Patients with small vestibular schwannomas (VSs) usually present with unilateral progressive hearing loss, tinnitus, and balance problems. These patients also sometimes present with vestibular nerve dysfunction, which includes vertigo, dizziness, and unsteadiness, and occurs in up to 61% of all VSs. Vertigo as a presenting symptom occurs in 8.8%–28% of cases. 

Most patients with a chronic loss of vestibular function regain their balance due to the process of central vestibular compensation, which consists of multiple processes of perceptual, vestibuloocular, and vestibulospinal readjustment. However, this process of central compensation does not occur in small VSs due to continuous irritation and false input from the tumorous vestibular nerve or from the nearby compressed nerve. Sudden or rapid vestibular dysfunction or vertigo attacks may cause significant physical and social limitations and significantly impair the quality of life.

A consensus on a universal reporting system for patients with VS was published by Kanzaki et al. in 2003.
In this consensus, the vestibular symptoms were described from a quality of life perspective. A grading system was proposed and included 4 grades: Grade I, no dizziness or disequilibrium; Grade II, occasional or slight dizziness or disequilibrium; Grade III, moderate or persistent dizziness or disequilibrium; and Grade IV, severe persistent dizziness or disequilibrium. For a more detailed assessment of functional, emotional, and physical deficits that occur secondary to balance problems or vertigo, the Dizziness Handicap Inventory (DHI) score has been used, which describes the patient’s perception of his/her vestibular symptoms. The DHI scores range from 0 (the best possible measured score) to 100 (the worst possible measured score).11

According to the experience of the senior author (M.S.), the resection of intracanalicular VS alleviates the vestibular symptoms in most cases.26 On the other hand, such patients are usually in otherwise very good general condition and have normal hearing. Therefore, the issues of surgical morbidity, hearing preservation, and operative complications are of paramount importance.

In this study, we aimed to systematically analyze the efficacy of microsurgical resection of intracanalicular VS via the hearing-preserving retrosigmoid approach in patients with disabling vestibular symptoms that significantly affect their quality of life. Furthermore, we attempted to evaluate the postoperative general and functional outcomes.

Methods
Patient Population

Nineteen consecutive patients with intracanalicular VSs suffered from preoperative disabling vestibular symptoms as the only or the main symptom (Group A), corresponding to Grade IV according to the classification by Kanzaki et al.12 These patients, who underwent surgery at the International Neuroscience Institute–Hannover between 2001 and 2013, were included in the current study.

A control group (Group B) consisted of 19 randomly selected patients with intracanalicular VS who did not complain of any vestibular symptoms at the time of presentation (Grade I according to the Kanzaki classification). The control group was randomly chosen (using Microsoft Excel’s random selection tool) from the whole pool of patients who suffered from intracanalicular VS without vestibular symptoms.

The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Clinical Manifestations

The average age in Group A ranged from 39 to 70 years (mean 47 years). This group included 10 women and 9 men. All patients in this series suffered from disabling vestibular symptoms (corresponding to Kanzaki Grade IV) at the time of presentation. The duration of the symptoms ranged from 2 to 48 months (average 15 months). All patients were initially diagnosed by independent otologists, who excluded inner ear diseases as a cause of the vestibular symptoms. The patients were subjected first to conservative treatment including vestibular rehabilitation programs, which failed to improve the symptoms. In Group A, all patients had a positive Romberg’s test, an inability to walk a straight line, and showed rotation in the Unterberger test.

All patients reported that they had disabling rotation- vertigo and dizziness at the time of presentation. The quality of life was assessed using the total score of the DHI. The preoperative DHI score was ≥ 54 in all patients (mean 66.3, median 62, range 54–94) (Table 1).

Eleven patients reported tinnitus. Facial nerve function was normal in all patients. The new Hannover classification (Table 2) was used to classify hearing level: 8 patients had preoperative hearing that was nearly normal (Class H1), 5 still had good hearing (Class H2), and 4 suffered from functional deafness before surgery (Table 3).

Surgical Procedure

All surgeries were performed with the same technique and following the same general principles, which have been described in detail previously.25 Briefly, all procedures were performed in the semisitting position under constant electrophysiological control. Once the posterior wall of the internal auditory canal was exposed, it was opened widely using a diamond drill and taking into consideration the locations of the common crus and the vestibule. The tumor was gently dissected from the nerves and vessels, and then resected. The nerve of origin of the tumor (one of the vestibular nerves) was transected during resection of the tumor. The other vestibular nerve was preserved as long as it appeared healthy and its preservation did not interfere with safe tumor resection. Gross-total resection of the tumor was achieved in all patients.

Postoperative Rehabilitation

Patients in Group A underwent a postoperative vestibular rehabilitation program, which usually lasted for 1 month. In 7 patients, the program extended to 3 months. The patients in the control group (Group B) did not need regular vestibular rehabilitation. We offered, however, to all patients after VS resection some balance training during the hospital stay in the early postoperative period.

Statistical Analysis

In Group A, multivariate regression analysis was performed to detect factors that can affect the DHI score at 3-week, 3-month, and 1-year intervals after surgery. The preoperative DHI score, tumor size, tumor location in the canal (medial, lateral, or middle), duration of symptoms, age of patient, sex, and preservation of the nontumorous vestibular nerve were tested as independent variables. A Mann-Whitney U-test was performed to compare the DHI scores at 3 weeks, 3 months, and 1 year between Groups A and B. The occurrence of very early postoperative sporadic attacks of vertigo and dizziness, i.e., during the first 2 weeks after surgery, was compared between the groups.

Results

In 12 patients (63%), the vertigo disappeared completely within 3 months after surgery. After 1 year of follow-up, 17 patients were free from vertigo attacks and only 2
patients (10.5%) reported having occasional attacks of vertigo. However, the vertigo in these 2 patients was well controlled on medication (corresponding to Kanzaki Grades II and III).12

In Group A, 13 patients suffered from vestibular symptoms in the form of occasional vertigo and dizziness attacks in the first 2 weeks after surgery. Three weeks after surgery, the DHI score was improved in all patients (mean 31.05, median 24, range 16–64). The patients showed further improvement after 3 months (DHI score: mean 9.8, median 1, range 0–32) and after 1 year (DHI score: mean 4.3, median 2, range 0–32). The DHI score after 1 year was < 4 in 17 patients and reached 0 in 6 patients. However, 2 patients, who described occasional (yet improving) vertigo attacks, had DHI scores of 24 and 32 (Table 1). The patients showed improvement of the vestibular dysfunction within 3 months. After 1 year, all patients had negative Romberg's and Unterberger's tests. Seventeen patients could walk a straight line without problems.

The multivariate regression analysis showed that the preoperative DHI score significantly affected the postoperative DHI score at 3 weeks and 3 months after surgery. Nevertheless, the preoperative DHI score did not affect the postoperative DHI score after 1 year. The other variables—age of patient, sex, duration of symptoms, tumor size, tumor location in the internal auditory canal, and preservation of the nontumorous vestibular nerve—did not affect the postoperative DHI scores.

A comparison with the control group (Group B) using a Mann-Whitney U-test showed that the DHI score was significantly worse in Group A at 3 weeks and 3 months after surgery. After 1 year, there was no statistically significant difference in the DHI score between groups. There was no statistically significant difference in the occurrences of sporadic vertigo or dizziness attacks in the first 2 weeks after surgery between groups.

**Functional Outcome**

Hearing outcome is presented in Table 3. In 10 of 13 patients (76%), preoperative functional hearing was preserved. A common feature among patients who lost functional hearing was that before surgery, wave V of the brainstem auditory evoked potential (BAEP) recordings was significantly delayed and had low amplitude. In contrast, in the group with preserved functional hearing after surgery, the BAEPs were normal.

In all cases, the facial nerve was preserved anatomically. Shortly after surgery, 15 patients (78%) had normal facial nerve function (Grade I according to House-Brackmann grading), 2 patients (10%) had Grade II facial nerve function, 1 (5%) had Grade III, and 1 (5%) had Grade IV. At 1-year follow-up, the facial nerve function in all patients (100%) was excellent (House-Brackmann Grades I and II) (Table 4).

**Operative Complications**

Early after surgery, 1 patient (5%) with well-pneumatized mastoid air cells had CSF rhinorrhea, which was successfully managed with lumbar drainage for 5 days. Two months later, he developed subcutaneous air collection, which required revision surgery to occlude the mastoid air cells. No other complications were registered in the series.

**Discussion**

Vestibular schwannoma is a benign tumor arising from the Schwann cells of the vestibular nerve. These lesions may cause variable degrees of hearing loss, tinnitus, dizziness, gait instability, vertigo, and, with large tumors, signs of brainstem and cerebellar compression, multiple cranial nerves dysfunction, and hydrocephalus.5,13,16–22,27,30,31 Vestibular schwannomas are generally slow-growing tumors that cause gradual loss of the vestibular nerve function over a long period of time. This loss of the vestibular functions is accompanied by gradual parallel central compensation. Thus, the larger tumors are associated with better quality of life after surgery due to the well-developed central compensation.2,4,8–10,15,16,29–31

According to some reports, however, the quality of life after surgery is worse than for the normal population when nonoperative management is performed.2,4,6,8–10 This process of compensation is not complete with small

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Hearing Loss in Decibels*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>0–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>21–40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>41–60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>61–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>81–90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Table 3. Postoperative hearing plotted against preoperative hearing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preop Hearing</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>H3</th>
<th>H4</th>
<th>H5</th>
<th>Deaf</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaf</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The spectrum of useful hearing is in boldface type. The new Hannover classification is used.
tumors or in cases of sudden loss of the vestibular functions.\textsuperscript{1,2,6,9,27\textendash}30 Our hypothesis is that continuous firing from irritated vestibular nerves in cases of small VSs hinders the process of central compensation and causes the intractable vestibular symptoms.

Our previous experience showed that the disabling vestibular dysfunction and vertigo in particular could be positively influenced by surgery, and that this option should be discussed and offered to patients.\textsuperscript{26} On the other hand, such patients are usually in otherwise very good general condition and have normal hearing. The issues of surgical morbidity and operative complications are hence of paramount importance. It is unacceptable to induce new functional deficits in such patients. Therefore, the hearing-destructive translabyrinthine approach for managing intracanalicular VS that causes disabling vertigo, as recommended by Godefroy et al., might be a plausible alternative only in patients with hearing loss.\textsuperscript{7}

Vertigo attacks seem to be more disruptive to quality of life than permanent unsteadiness and/or dizziness. In some patients, these symptoms are unresponsive to medical treatment and cause significant physical and social limitations. However, vertigo as an indication for surgery for VS in our institution.
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sex, duration of symptoms, tumor size, and preservation of the nontumorous vestibular nerve) did not affect the postoperative DHI score. The more severe the preoperative vestibular symptoms as measured by the DHI score, the worse the DHI score was after 3 weeks and 3 months. The DHI score after 1 year was not influenced by the preoperative DHI score, as explained by the fact that almost all patients were free of symptoms after 1 year.

Because of the tumor size in this group, radiosurgery could be an attractive alternative. To the best of our knowledge, there is no systematic analysis of a similar group of patients, who presented with disabling vestibular symptoms, when treated with radiosurgery. Clear evidence is missing regarding the alleviation or worsening of disabling vestibular symptoms, as well as the long-term outcome if this group of patients were to be treated with radiosurgery. Further investigations are warranted.

In regard to the outcome, our study showed that surgery is safe and does not expose the patient to the risk of major lasting functional deficits. This finding is very important considering the fact that all patients at presentation had normal facial nerve function, and 68% presented with functional hearing. In this group, functional hearing was preserved in 10 of 13 patients (77%). We could identify which patients were at risk for hearing loss by using a low-amplitude delayed V wave of the BAEP, which is important for their preoperative counseling. The facial nerve outcome was excellent in all patients. Within 1 year, it was completely normal (House-Brackmann Grade I) in 17 patients, and 2 patients had minimal dysfunction (House-Brackmann Grade II). Still, 4 patients had some degree of facial dysfunction early after surgery. Although function was recovered later, this possibility has to be explained to the patient and his/her family.

Study Limitations

The main limitation of this study is the small sample size. The number of patients with VS who suffer from intractable disabling vestibular symptoms is relatively low. The patients in this study were initially diagnosed by independent otologists, who did the detailed vestibular laboratory investigations. Unfortunately, the details of these investigations were not available to us in the majority of cases. Due to the lack of these details, documentation of the central compensation via these tests was not possible. We did, however, use clinical evidence in the form of subjective perception of the improvement (i.e., DHI score) and clinical evaluation. We did not perform postoperative laboratory tests of the vestibular function. The main assessment was directed toward the clinical outcome.

Conclusions

Disabling vestibular symptoms should be considered an indication for surgery, even in otherwise asymptomatic patients with intracanalicular VS. Surgical removal of the tumor is very effective in regard to relief of the vestibular symptoms. Patients who presented with a high DHI score had worse DHI scores at 3 weeks and 3 months. After 1 year, the DHI score was significantly improved. Surgery for treatment of such tumors is safe, and the risk of surgery-related complications is very low. All patients regained excellent facial nerve function within 1 year. In cases where preoperative hearing is at a functional level and the BAEP trace is normal, functional hearing can be preserved.
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