Surgically resected skull base meningiomas demonstrate a divergent postoperative recurrence pattern compared with non–skull base meningiomas

Alireza Mansouri, MD,1–3 George Klironomos, MD, PhD,1,2 Shervin Taslimi, MD, MPH,1,2 Alex Kilian,2 Fred Gentili, MD, MSc,2 Osaama H. Khan, MD,1,2 Kenneth Aldape, MD,4 and Gelareh Zadeh, MD, PhD1,2

1Division of Neurosurgery, University of Toronto; 2Department of Neurosurgery, Toronto Western Hospital, University Health Network, Toronto; 3Department of Clinical Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton; and 4Department of Pathology, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada

OBJECTIVE The objective of this study was to identify the natural history and clinical predictors of postoperative recurrence of skull base and non–skull base meningiomas.

METHODS The authors performed a retrospective hospital-based study of all patients with meningioma referred to their institution from September 1993 to January 2014. The cohort constituted both patients with a first-time presentation and those with evidence of recurrence. Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed for analysis of recurrence and differences were assessed using the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazard regression was used to identify potential predictors of recurrence.

RESULTS Overall, 398 intracranial meningiomas were reviewed, including 269 (68%) non–skull base and 129 (32%) skull base meningiomas (median follow-up 30.2 months, interquartile range [IQR] 8.5–76 months). The 10-year recurrence-free survival rates for patients with gross-total resection (GTR) and subtotal resection (STR) were 90% and 43%, respectively. Skull base tumors were associated with a lower proliferation index (0.041 vs 0.062, p = 0.001), higher likelihood of WHO Grade I (85.3% vs 69.1%, p = 0.003), and younger patient age (55.2 vs 58.3 years, p = 0.01). Meningiomas in all locations demonstrated an average recurrence rate of 30% at 100 months of follow-up. Subsequently, the recurrence of skull base meningiomas plateaued whereas non–skull base lesions had an 80% recurrence rate at 230 months follow-up (p = 0.02). On univariate analysis, a prior history of recurrence (p < 0.001), initial WHO grade following resection (p < 0.001), and the inability to obtain GTR (p < 0.001) were predictors of future recurrence. On multivariate analysis a prior history of recurrence (p = 0.02) and an STR (p < 0.01) were independent predictors of a recurrence. Assessing only patients with primary presentations, STR and WHO Grades II and III were independent predictors of recurrence (p < 0.001 for both).

CONCLUSIONS Patients with skull base meningiomas present at a younger age and have less aggressive lesions overall. Extent of resection is a key predictor of recurrence and long-term follow-up of meningiomas is necessary, especially for non–skull base tumors. In skull base meningiomas, recurrence risk plateaus approximately 100 months after surgery, suggesting that for this specific cohort, follow-up after 100 months can be less frequent.
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gross-total resection (GTR), microscopic remnants may remain adherent to neurovascular structures, resulting in recurrence. Studies assessing the long-term outcomes of surgically resected meningiomas suggest that the recurrence rate is a clinically relevant concern, despite the benign nature of the majority of meningiomas, particularly for subtotally resected lesions, and follow-up beyond 10–20 years is required.

The majority of surgical series assessing the long-term recurrence patterns of meningiomas have focused on the extent of resection (EOR). However, recent studies have suggested that in addition to the EOR, the pattern of meningioma recurrence may be dependent on the tumor biology as well. While the proliferation index (MIB-1 index) has been recognized as a relevant histological marker, the correlation of the mitotic index based on phosphohistone H3 staining and recurrence-free survival has also been noted. A growing body of literature, with expanding population cohorts followed over longer periods of time, suggests that skull base meningiomas may, in fact, be genetically different from their non–skull base counterparts. While many studies suggest that skull base meningiomas have lower proliferative indices, the evidence correlating this information with recurrence rates has been inconsistent.

In the current study, we report on a consecutive series of patients with surgically managed meningiomas to determine whether skull base meningiomas demonstrate a natural history that is different from non–skull base meningiomas. In addition, we have sought to explore the interplay of EOR with additional factors that could be predictors of postoperative tumor recurrence as well. Awareness of the recurrence patterns of meningiomas over the long term, as determined by patient and tumor characteristics, would help determine the optimal frequency and duration of follow-up and guide the choice of postoperative intervention for residuals and recurrences.

Methods

Patients and Setting

Following institutional ethics board approval, a retrospective analysis of the database on surgically treated meningiomas was conducted. All consecutive patients referred to our hospital for surgical management of meningiomas from September 1993 to January 2014 were analyzed, including patients in whom a prior surgical intervention had been undertaken elsewhere. Only patients with a minimum of 6 months of follow-up with available clinical, imaging, and pathological data were included. Baseline patient demographic data were collected. Patients with a diagnosis of neurofibromatosis Type 2 (NF2) were also excluded.

Definition of Tumor Location

Tumors located in the anterior/middle cranial fossa (including the olfactory groove, tuberculum sella, planum sphenoidale, anterior clinoid, and sphenoid ridge), cavernous sinus, petroclival/clival area, basal foramina (e.g., jugular foramen), and the petrous bone were classified as skull base. Meningiomas located on the sphenoid ridge were further subclassified into medial and lateral, depending on the epicenter of the tumor in relation to the middle of the sphenoid wing. Tumors located in the supratentorial/infratentorial convexity, tentorial region, and parasagittal regions were considered non–skull base. Patients with intraventricular and spinal meningiomas were excluded.

Clinical Patient Information

Any history of hormone replacement therapy/oral contraceptive medication use, the presence of pre- or postoperative active seizures (not attributable to alternative pathology)/dependence on antiepileptic medications, perioperative antiepileptic therapy, postoperative radiation therapy, remote and/or prior history of radiation, and number of total resections was documented. Time to recurrence was also documented. Any tumor growth resulting in symptomatic changes was considered a recurrence of significance. In asymptomatic cases, tumor recurrence was defined as ≥10% growth in either of greatest diameter or volume, taking whichever value was highest. This threshold was selected to account for possible inaccuracies in measurements. While patient survival was documented, it was not studied as an outcome for analysis given the lack of clarity with regard to the cause of death and the invalidity of assumptions with regard to patients lost to follow-up.

Our institutional practice with regard to postoperative intervention and/or follow-up is as follows: WHO Grade I meningiomas with an uncomplicated resection are followed-up every 6 months for the first year, and annually thereafter with MRI and clinical assessment; imaging follow-up in earlier series of patients was based on CT imaging. WHO Grade II meningiomas with a subtotal resection (STR) are considered for postoperative radiation-based therapy, in consultation with radiation oncology colleagues as part of our institutional tumor board meetings. Considerations toward this decision include the size of the residual tumor, patient age, expected survival, along with additional case-by-case factors. Those with a GTR are followed-up every 6 months for the first 2 years, and annually thereafter. WHO Grade III meningiomas with any residual undergo postoperative radiation therapy, with a decision for stereotactic radiosurgery versus fractionated radiation made based on review at a multidisciplinary tumor board conference. Following radiation treatment, patients are followed every 3–6 months.

Imaging (CT or MRI, Where Available)

Tumor size (both tumor volume and greatest diameter in a major cardinal plane, or only the latter when volume assessment was not feasible), location, evidence of peritumoral edema and its volume, and evidence of pre- or postoperative hemorrhage (based on the presence of hyperdensity within the tumor resection cavity on postoperative CT) were documented. Volumetric tumor analysis was conducted for cases in which appropriate DICOM images were available on our hospital radiology files. Measurements for both the lesion and the surrounding edema were made using T1-weighted MRI with Gd enhancement and T2-weighted 1.5-T/3-T MRI using ITK-SNAP 2.2 software. The validity of the ITK-SNAP software has been confirmed in the measurement of several anatomi-
recurrence trends among surgically resected meningiomas. Assessment of growth was made at each successive follow-up evaluation compared with the immediate postoperative images.

Tumor Pathology
Tumor WHO grade (along with grade of recurrent lesion, if relevant), MIB-1 index of first tumor resection at our hospital, and progesterone receptor (PR) status were documented. All of the meningiomas resected prior to 2000 underwent WHO reclassification to provide an updated WHO grade. PR status was recorded based on the classification used by Hsu et al. in that study, patients with no PR staining were found to have the shortest disease-free interval. Patients in our study were dichotomized as either having no PR staining (a score of 0) or having scores of 1 to 4.

Extent of Resection
The EOR was determined based on operative reports in addition to confirmation based on the first postoperative CT scan and/or MRI. In our analysis, Simpson resection grades were dichotomized: Grade I/II resection was considered a GTR, while higher Simpson grades were considered an STR.

Statistical Analysis
Independent t-tests and Mann-Whitney tests were used to compare continuous variables. The chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. Kaplan-Meier curves were generated to analyze the relationship between tumor recurrence and different variables, including tumor location. The log-rank test was used to assess for significant differences among these curves. Cox proportional hazard regression was used for evaluating different factors that might influence recurrence while controlling for confounders.

Univariate and multivariate analysis was used to identify potential predictors of recurrence. Variables with a p value < 0.2 on univariate analysis were carried forward to the multivariate analysis. All statistical analyses were 2-sided and conducted using SPSS (version 22.0, IBM). For the multivariate analysis and all other statistical analyses, a p value < 0.05 was considered significant; the Bonferroni correction was applied to account for multiple comparisons, when applicable.

Results
Baseline Patient Information
Between September 1993 and January 2014, a total of 465 patients who had complete long-term follow-up data, including clinical and imaging data with a diagnosis of meningioma, were included in this study. A total of 67 patients were excluded from the study: 10 of these patients lacked clinical follow-up data, 8 had intraventricular meningiomas, 39 had spinal meningiomas, and 10 were diagnosed with NF2. Therefore, for this study we had a total of 398 intracranial meningiomas available for review (Table 1).

The median follow-up was 30.2 months (interquartile range [IQR] 8.5–76 months). Among these patients, the majority were female (65.8%), had tumors in a non–skull base location (67.6%), and were found to have a WHO Grade I tumor (74.4%). One hundred twenty-nine patients (32.4%) had skull base meningiomas (Table 2). A potential diagnosis of radiation-induced meningioma, based on a history of remote radiation, was made in 29 patients (7.3%). Postoperative hemorrhage of any extent in the tumor resection cavity was evident in close to a third of cases; only 3 of these required surgical evacuation secondary to concerns regarding mass effect. The percentage of postoperative seizures was lower than preoperative sei-

### Table 1. Baseline patient- and tumor-related characteristics across all patients analyzed in the database

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Frequency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non–skull base</td>
<td>269 (67.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull base</td>
<td>129 (32.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>136 (34.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median follow-up in mos (IQR)</td>
<td>30.2 (8.5–76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial WHO grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>296 (74.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>78 (19.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>23 (5.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (&lt;0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation history</td>
<td>29 (7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary presentation at initial op</td>
<td>359 (90.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop intratumoral hemorrhage</td>
<td>8 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop tumor bed hemorrhage</td>
<td>119 (29.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop seizure</td>
<td>95 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop seizure</td>
<td>70 (17.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periop AEDs</td>
<td>14 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR status positive (Classes 1–4)</td>
<td>115 (28.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR</td>
<td>125 (31.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AEDs = antiepileptic drugs.

### Table 2. A comparison of perioperative patient and tumor characteristics among skull base and non–skull base meningiomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Non–Skull Base</th>
<th>Skull Base</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age ± SD (yrs)</td>
<td>58.3 ± 15.3</td>
<td>55.15 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males (%)</td>
<td>95 (35)</td>
<td>41 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow-up (mos)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO Grade (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>186 (69.1)</td>
<td>110 (85.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>63 (23.4)</td>
<td>15 (11.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>19 (7.1)</td>
<td>4 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary presentation at initial op (%)</td>
<td>243 (90.3)</td>
<td>116 (89.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average MIB-1 index for initial tumor ± SD</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.061</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STR (%)</td>
<td>84 (31.2)</td>
<td>41 (31.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postop radiation (%)</td>
<td>46 (17.1)</td>
<td>9 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrence (%)</td>
<td>46 (17.1)</td>
<td>17 (13.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time to recurrence (mos)</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>31.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
zures (17.6% vs 23.9%, no significant differences); perioperative seizure prophylaxis was used in approximately 10% of patients.

**Skull Base and Non–Skull Base Meningiomas**

A patient’s sex and the ability to obtain a GTR were independent of tumor location. Patients with skull base meningiomas were younger at the time of surgery (55.2 vs 58.3 years, respectively; p = 0.01), had a significantly higher proportion of WHO Grade I meningiomas (85.3% vs 69.1%, respectively; p = 0.003), and had a lower mean MIB-1 index (0.041 vs 0.062, respectively; p = 0.001). Patients with non–skull base meningiomas were more likely to undergo postoperative radiation therapy (17.1% vs 7%, p = 0.006), likely a reflection of the higher WHO grade of tumors in this cohort. While the median time to recurrence was shorter in the cohort of skull base meningiomas (31.8 months vs 47.3 months), this was not statistically significant. Among non–skull base tumors, the occurrence of WHO Grade II/III tumors was higher among meningiomas located in the parasagittal and falxine regions (11 of 24, 46%) compared with the other locations (63 of 244, 26%; Table 3); the odds ratio (OR) for this correlation was 2.2 and approached significance (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.94–5.2, p = 0.07). A comparison of the WHO grade of sphenoid ridge meningiomas suggested that 21% of lateral and 50% of medial tumors were of higher WHO grades. However, due to the very small sample of patients with distinctly medial tumors (4 total), this relationship was not statistically significant (OR 3.8, 95% CI 0.4–34.1; p = 0.23).

**Odds of Overall Recurrence**

**Prior History of Recurrence**

Patients who had previously undergone surgical intervention, prior to first presenting at our institution, had significantly higher odds of tumor recurrence compared with patients undergoing surgical intervention for the first time (OR 6.24, 95% CI 3.0–12.7, p < 0.001; Fig. 1).

**Extent of Resection**

Based on a pooled sample of patients representing meningiomas in all locations, the 10-year recurrence-free survival rate in patients with GTR was 90%, while in patients for whom an STR was obtained it was 43%. This difference in odds was statistically significant (OR 6.74, 95% CI 3.9–12.7, p < 0.001; Fig. 2).

**Meningioma Location**

Patients with skull base and non–skull base meningiomas were found to have a similar rate of recurrence until approximately 100 months of overall follow-up (Fig. 3); subsequent to this period, however, while the recurrence of non–skull base meningiomas persisted at the same rate, the pattern of skull base meningiomas demonstrated a plateau (Fig. 3A). However, this was based on 65 total patients (45 with non–skull base and 20 with skull base meningiomas). An analysis of the skull base versus non–skull base cohorts following the 100-month follow-up period demonstrated that the difference in the recurrence pattern was statistically significant. A sepa-

---

**TABLE 3. Tumor locations and grades among skull base and non–skull base meningiomas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumors</th>
<th>Non-Skull Base (%)</th>
<th>Skull Base (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>211 (92.2)</td>
<td>8 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. wi GTR</td>
<td>155 (70)</td>
<td>18 (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO I</td>
<td>197 (70)</td>
<td>19 (98.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO II</td>
<td>14 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO III</td>
<td>14 (6.3)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACF = anterior cranial fossa; BFor = basal foramen; CPA = cerebellopontine angle; CS = cavernous sinus; Falc = Falx; IT = infratentorial convexity; MCF = middle cranial fossa; OFG = olfactory groove; PSph = planum sphenoidale; SPnR = sphenoid ridge; STC = supratentorial convexity; Tent = tentorial region; TS = tuberculum sella.
rate analysis of only patients with a primary presentation to our institution (243 non–skull base and 116 skull base patients) showed no substantial difference regarding this trend (data not shown). Focusing solely on WHO Grade I tumors (186 with non–skull base and 110 with skull base tumors) showed a similar diverging recurrence trend, although this occurred at approximately 150 months (data not shown). To account for the possibility of the EOR affecting recurrence patterns, Kaplan-Meier analysis was conducted separately in the subcohorts of skull base/non–skull base meningiomas with a GTR (Fig. 3B) and STR (Fig. 3C). Although patients with an STR, regardless of location, had a higher recurrence rate, the pattern of divergence within the 50–100-month follow-up period between skull base and non–skull base meningiomas remained consistent. To account for the possibility of the higher proportion of WHO Grade I tumors among skull base meningiomas affecting recurrence patterns, Kaplan-Meier curves were constructed for Grade I meningiomas in both locations, stratified by GTR and STR (Fig. 3D and E, respectively); Figure 3F demonstrates the Kaplan-Meier curves for recurrence patterns based on location, stratified by GTR and WHO Grades II/III. The overall diverging patterns of recurrence were maintained.

**WHO Grade**

Overall, WHO Grade III tumors had a significantly higher likelihood of recurrence following resection (p < 0.001, Fig. 4A). This was also dependent on the EOR: regardless of grade, the recurrence rate of all tumors was lower in cases of GTR compared with STR. In GTR cases, WHO Grade I tumors were significantly less likely to recur compared with Grade II and III tumors (p = 0.03, Fig. 4B), while in cases with STR, Grade I and II tumors followed a similar trend, which was different from the pattern noted with Grade III tumors (p = 0.002, Fig. 4C). Caution must be advised in interpreting these results, however, given the smaller sample size of patients with Grade II and III tumors compared with Grade I tumors.

**Statistical Modeling to Predict Overall Patterns of Recurrence**

Regression analysis was conducted to identify potential predictors of overall recurrence. On univariate analysis, the following factors were found to influence the recurrence of meningiomas: a prior history of recurrence (p < 0.001), initial WHO grade following resection at our center (p < 0.001), and the inability to obtain GTR (p < 0.001). While tumor location was overall not significant (p = 0.25), in the cohorts of patients followed longer than 100 months, patients with a tumor in the skull base were significantly less likely to experience a recurrence (p = 0.012; upon applying Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons, p = 0.025). Age, sex, perioperative seizure status, tumor diameter, volume of tumor, remote history of radiation, PR status, or any history of hormone replacement therapy/oral contraceptive medication use were not significant predictors of recurrence. The presence of hemorrhage in the tumor resection cavity (p = 0.002) and the volume of peritumoral edema (p = 0.037) were significant predictors while the MIB-1 index (p = 0.1) approached significance. Postoperative hemorrhage and edema were not correlated with tumor grade. Due to colinearity and a small sample size, these 3 latter variables could not be incorporated into the multivariate model.

In the multivariate Cox regression model, a prior history of recurrence (p = 0.02) and the inability to obtain a GTR (p < 0.01) remained as significant factors associated with recurrence. The predictors of recurrence were further assessed through an analysis that focused solely on patients with a primary presentation. In this analysis, the inability to obtain GTR and tumors with WHO Grades II and III were both predictors of a more rapid recurrence (p < 0.001 for both variables).

**Discussion**

In this study we have analyzed one of the largest cohorts of patients with intracranial meningiomas who have undergone resection and were followed postoperatively for a relatively long period. The patients included in the time period of analysis closely resemble the cohort of patients that are managed and followed in modern-day neurosurgical practice. In this population, WHO grade, prior evidence of recurrence, and an inability to achieve GTR were
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significant predictors of overall postoperative recurrence; the latter two factors were found to be independent predictors of recurrence based on multivariate analysis. Given that patients with a prior history of tumor recurrence likely had higher-grade tumors, the elimination of this cohort of patients resulted in both the EOR and the WHO grade becoming significant predictors of recurrence. Through a comparison of patients with skull base and non–skull base intracranial meningiomas as separate cohorts, we have demonstrated that patients with skull base meningiomas require surgical intervention at a significantly younger age, but their tumors have a significantly lower average MIB-1 index and are more likely to be WHO Grade I. This correlation is in agreement with various recent studies suggesting the benign nature of skull base meningiomas in comparison with superficial ones. Furthermore, long-term follow-up of our patients suggests that non–skull base meningiomas demonstrate a continued pattern of recurrence, whereas skull base meningiomas plateau beyond 100 months; this divergence beyond 100 months was shown to be statistically significant, although based on a smaller cohort of patients. These results have potential implications for postoperative decision-making, long-term follow-up of patients subsequent to surgical intervention, and predicting the possibility of the need for a second intervention.

Most of the data regarding the long-term postoperative
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recurrence rates of meningiomas in various intracranial locations have been based on surgical series prior to the era of neuronavigation and other surgical adjuncts. In one of the earliest series published in 1983, Adegbite et al. surgically managed 114 intracranial meningiomas. Evidence of tumor recurrence, the criteria for which were not defined, was noted in 19% of cases and the overall recurrence-free survival rate was noted to be 80% at 5 years. The authors found the Simpson grade of initial resection to be the only significant predictor of recurrence; a multivariate analysis was not conducted to assess the possible interaction of other variables. In a larger series of 276 patients with a mean follow-up of 5.1 years published in 1994, Mahmood et al. showed a mean time to recurrence of 46 months and an approximately 93% recurrence-free survival rate at 5 years. The authors showed a significantly lower rate of recurrence in cases of GTR compared with STR, regardless of location. The reported recurrence rate in this study was 6% overall, which is likely due to a higher proportion of benign tumors (254 of 276 samples), the frequency of imaging follow-up, and the sensitivity of the available imaging modality at the time. The authors suggested that the majority of tumors that are typically classified as recurrences in essence represent evidence of tumor “re-growth” and that only higher-grade tumors demonstrate true recurrences. The overall conclusions of this study were similar to the observation in our sample of patients, wherein EOR was an independent predictor of recurrence, but contrary to results in other studies that suggest that a skull base location is a predictor of higher recurrence, this likely an attribute of the difficulty in obtaining GTR for skull base tumors in these older series, perhaps due to sensitivity of imaging analysis and availability of surgical adjuncts such as neuronavigation.

In 1996, Mathiesen and colleagues assessed a surgical cohort of patients with skull base meningiomas; the mean follow-up was 18 years (spanning the years 1947 to 1982). Similar to our study, the majority of these lesions did not
demonstrate malignant features and the majority of recurrences were within the first 10 years following surgery. This study was the first to convey the need for long-term postoperative follow-up of patients with meningioma. However, the 10.8% perioperative mortality rate, likely an attribute of limited access to high-resolution imaging and surgical adjuncts (e.g., neuronavigation, ultrasonic aspirators, and higher quality bipolar cautery, to name a few examples), was concerning. Furthermore, this study also had not included relevant intrinsic biological properties of tumors, such as the proliferation index. In our current study, representing a relatively more modern series of patients, we have included and confirmed the relevance of the MIB-1 index and the WHO grade as important variables affecting recurrence, as had been commonly recognized in prior recent studies.

The MIB-1 index of proliferation has been used extensively for the assessment of proliferative activity in meningiomas. In an analysis on WHO Grade II and III meningiomas, a higher overall MIB-1 index was identified in recurrent tumors. In a study of 344 meningiomas (157 in the skull base, a non–skull base location was shown to be an independent predictor of a higher MIB-1 index. In an analysis of 2 separate cohorts, one with incidentally diagnosed meningiomas and the other with resected meningiomas, Hashimoto and colleagues demonstrated that skull base meningiomas had a lower rate of growth in the former cohort (38 skull base and 75 non–skull base meningiomas) and a lower average MIB-1 index in the latter cohort (94 skull base and 116 non–skull base meningiomas). Given the parallel nature of this analysis, it is difficult to draw definitive conclusions with regard to the correlation of MIB-1 index and patterns of growth postoperatively. Furthermore, only the MIB-1 index of WHO Grade I meningiomas was assessed. McGovern and colleagues reported their institutional data on 216 patients with meningiomas who were surgically managed and followed for a median of 7.2 years. In an assessment of 183 resected WHO Grade I meningiomas (71 skull base, 93 non–skull base), the authors observed that non–skull base meningiomas had a significantly higher MIB-1 index but a lower rate of recurrence. In this study, the rate of GTR in skull base meningiomas was 41%, while the rate for non–skull base meningiomas was 78%. In our study, the EOR was similar regardless of location (STR in 31.2% of non–skull base and 31.8% in skull base). Furthermore, the EOR and the WHO grade of the tumor were shown to be influential in tumor recurrence in our cohort of patients. Therefore, discrepancies between our findings and those of McGovern et al. could potentially stem from differential EOR and the restriction of the latter analysis to WHO Grade I meningiomas.

Although both the WHO grade and the MIB-1 index were significantly different in skull base and non–skull base lesions, only the WHO grade (when eliminating patients with a prior history of recurrence) was an independent predictor of recurrence in our series. The correlation of MIB-1 proliferation index with tumor grade, and the relatively small sample size of patients, are likely explanations. Alternatively, the histopathological variables MIB-1 index and PR status are most likely not reflective of tumor biology and/or clinical behavior. The defining biological features of meningiomas are certainly more complex and future identification of such biological markers will be necessary.

While the grading of the MIB-1 index assists in communicating the likely aggressiveness of a tumor, this tool may be subjected to limits in its reproducibility due to a lack of standardized methods of immunostaining, heterogeneity of tumor regions, and other issues with assessment of staining pattern. This has led to variability of procedures and reference values used across different institutions. Therefore, the Clinical Neuropathology practice guide was unable to recommend its routine implementation for prognostication purposes and it is difficult to envision that the MIB-1 index alone would be a suitable method for predicting recurrence; other strategies are necessary. One such recently established strategy has been the detection of phosphohistone H3 as a more robust method of calculating mitotic index and correlating it with recurrence-free survival. Other studies have demonstrated the potential correlation of tumor suppressor genes (e.g., DAL-1 [progression], TIMP-1 [tumor invasion], and NDRG2 [tumor recurrence]) with aggressive tumor behavior in meningiomas. Furthermore, genome-sequencing studies have identified additional novel mutations associated with certain meningioma types. While further confirmatory studies are necessary, it is highly likely that future studies assessing the long-term recurrence patterns of meningiomas would need to consider a more exhaustive list of variables that define the biological features of meningiomas.

The limitations of this study are those that are well-known for retrospective investigations and these include difficulties with ascertaining the fate of missing data, inability to obtain detailed Simpson grading for tumors resected, nonstandardized reporting of MIB-1 indices, and an inability to access imaging for all patients at every follow-up. Furthermore, given the time span over which our study was conducted, the imaging modalities, operative techniques, and postoperative adjunctive therapies were not uniform. While this may have introduced heterogeneity, this choice was relevant given that many of the patients in the current neurosurgical practice may have been managed surgically several years prior. Furthermore, and related to limitations in accessing all imaging media, volumetric measurements could not be made for all patients. Also, given the tertiary referral nature of our center, it is likely that many of the lesions managed would be typically classified as more challenging from a surgical point of view. This limits the generalizability of our findings to perhaps a specific cohort of patients. In addition, it must be emphasized that the observation regarding the diverging recurrence pattern is driven by a smaller sample of the overall cohort. Nonetheless, this study represents one of the largest modern series of patients with surgically managed meningiomas followed for a relatively long duration. In light of emerging evidence with regard to the more benign nature of skull base meningiomas, the overall concept of divergent recurrence patterns is likely true but must be systematically explored in future surgical series as well.
In this study, the importance of the EOR and the WHO grade as predictors of recurrence has been reemphasized. Furthermore, our findings have added strength to the theory that skull base meningiomas are biologically different and perhaps less aggressive than non–skull base meningiomas. Our observation with regard to the 100-month period of diverging patterns of recurrence between meningiomas in the two locations and its persistence despite accounting for difference in the EOR is noteworthy. It is possible that the influence of EOR pertains to the period before this divergence, while the inherent biology of the tumor is responsible for recurrence (or perhaps regrowth, as suggested by Mahmood et al.\(^{17}\)) beyond this 100-month period. The traditional features of tumor biology could not account for this dramatic difference, as the diverging pattern was maintained when stratifying by GTR and high WHO grade, suggesting that alternative molecular, genetic, and perhaps epigenetic features of meningiomas should be accounted for in future studies assessing the long-term postoperative pattern of tumor recurrence.
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