Meningeal melanocytomas are extremely rare tumors originating from leptomeningeal melanocytes. The first reported description, in the early 1970s, was by Limas and Tio. Although little is known about this tumor entity as only a few case reports have been published over the past few decades, the tumors mostly occur along the spine and the posterior fossa with a peak incidence around the 5th decade and a slight female predominance.3,11

According to the classification suggested by Brat et al.,2 melanocytic neoplasms are categorized histologically as melanocytomas, melanocytomas of intermediate grade, or melanomas exhibiting typical malignant features such as necrosis and high mitotic activity. Recent in-depth molecular characterization of melanocytomas revealed distinct mutational and chromosomal fingerprints separating melanocytomas from other melanotic tumors such as melanoma metastases or melanotic schwannomas.6

Treatment aims at the complete surgical removal of the tumor and postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy.

Case Report

A 58-year-old woman presented with a history of progressive ataxia, progressive urinary and bowel incontinence, and weight loss of 6 kg over a period of 6–8 weeks. Neurological examination revealed a paraparesis of the lower extremities (M0/5 muscle strength grade of the right leg; M1–2/5, left leg) with paresthesia and hypesthesia starting from the T-10 dermatome downward.

Her medical history included breast cancer diagnosed in 2008, which was treated with a lumpectomy, adjuvant chemotherapy (6 cycles of Taxotere, Adriamycin, and cyclophosphamide), and postoperative whole breast radiotherapy (including supraclavicular lymph nodes) with a total dose of 50.4 Gy and followed by a 16-Gy boost to the tumor bed.

Upon admission, MRI of the spine revealed a 3.7-cm isodense/slightly hyperintense intramedullary lesion at T9–10. After administration of gadolinium, the lesion appeared hyperintense on T1-weighted images (Fig. 1A). T2-weighted images showed a myelopathy signal from T-7 to T-12. The differential diagnosis included ependymoma, astrocytoma, and intraspinal metastasis.

The patient thus underwent a laminectomy at the T9–10 levels and a complete microsurgical resection of the intramedullary tumor under continuous neuromonitoring. The lesion was grayish-red and heavily vascularized with a macroscopic appearance that closely resembled an epen-
A postoperative MRI scan showed no residual contrast-enhancing mass (Fig. 1B).

Histopathological workup showed a highly cellular tumor composed of epithelioid-shaped tumor cells with a scant to moderate cytoplasmic pigmentation and vesicular nuclei with prominent nucleoli (Fig. 2A). Single mitotic figures and small necrotic areas were present. The proliferation index Ki 67 (MIB1) focally reached 10% (Fig. 2B). Tumor cells strongly expressed S100 and HMB45 (Fig. 2C and D), but were negative for BRAF V600E (VE1), pan-cytokeratin (AE1/3), EMA, and GFAP. Therefore, the tumor was classified as a melanoma of unknown primary (MUP).

However, neither dermatological and ophthalmological examinations nor imaging studies including CT from the neck to the pelvis and cerebral MRI revealed a primary tumor site. Based on the diagnosis of an MUP, the interdisciplinary tumor board recommended postoperative radiotherapy of T8–11 with 50 Gy in 25 fractions of 2.0 Gy followed by 4 cycles of ipilimumab.

One week prior to completion of radiotherapy, comprehensive molecular analyses of 6 key genes (BRAF, NRAS, cKIT, TERT promoter, GNA11, and GNAQ) revealed a hotspot missense mutation in codon 209 of the GNAQ gene (Fig. 3) while all other genes were not mutated (wild type). GNAQ mutations were previously found to be linked to uveal melanomas and melanocytomas, which can hardly be discriminated by histology alone. However, as ophthalmological consultation had already ruled out the presence of a uveal melanoma, the diagnosis of melanocytoma of intermediate grade was favored over MUP. Adjuvant treatment with ipilimumab was therefore omitted, and the radiation therapy was completed.

Radiotherapy was well tolerated by the patient, and the paraparesis improved only on the left side. At the end of radiotherapy, the patient could freely move her left leg (M3/5), while the right leg remained paralized (M0/5). The lower-limb paresthesia and hypesthesia, as well as the urinary and bowel incontinence, did not improve. At the first follow-up 3 months after the initial diagnosis, she presented with a persistent M3/5 of the left leg and a mildly increased strength grade (M1/5) of the right leg. Although the paresthesia had not improved, the patient regained bladder and bowel control. MRI of the total spine did not detect any remaining tumor (Fig. 1C) and a whole-body MRI scan showed no further lesions. After discharge, the patient was scheduled for quarterly follow-up visits including MRI of the total spine.

**Discussion**

Melanocytomas, especially of intermediate grade, and melanomas share a variety of histological features and therefore may be challenging for pathologists. Brat and colleagues described low-grade melanocytomas as well-differentiated lesions with tight nests, vasocentric fascicles, or sheet-like arrangements that typically lack malignant histological features such as high mitotic activity, necrosis, and nuclear pleomorphism (Fig. 2). Prominent nucleoli are a common feature of meningeal melanocytomas. Melanomas, however, consist of spindled or epithelioid cells with arrangements similar to those of melanocytomas, are densely cellular, and show significant cellular pleomorphism and nuclear atypia. Intermediate-grade melanocytomas, show histological features of aggressive behavior (necrosis, hypercellularity, mitosis, invasion of CNS structures) but lack the cellular atypia present in malignant melanomas.

Immunohistochemically, both melanomas and meningeal melanocytomas are characterized by a positive staining for anti–melanoma antibody (HMB-45), S100 protein, and a negative reaction to EMA. Molecular analyses played a key role in establishing the more precise diagnosis for our patient. Without the detection of GNAQ mutation, our patient would have been misdiagnosed as having a malignant melanoma. In addition to uveal melanomas, blue nevi, and leptomeningeal or uveal melanocytomas, the GNAQ mutation may be found only in melanomas that developed from these benign precursors. Thus, in the absence of these lesions a GNAQ mutation is almost pathognomonic for melanocytoma. The GNAQ (G alpha q) gene is located on the long arm of chromosome 9.
and encodes for a GTP-binding protein within the MAP kinase pathway.\textsuperscript{17} GNAQ codon 209 mutations (as detected in our patient) are found in pituitary and thyroid tumors, blue nevi, and ocular melanomas.\textsuperscript{9,12} The mutation results in constitutive activation of the protein, which permanently activates the MAP kinase pathway similar to BRAF and NRAS mutations.\textsuperscript{14} Küsters-Vandevelde et al.\textsuperscript{7} suggested that the presence of a GNAQ mutation and the absence of typical mutations of cutaneous melanomas such as BRAF or NRAS in a melanocytic neoplasm of the CNS strongly indicate a primary CNS tumor. On the other hand, the presence of a BRAF and NRAS mutation in the absence of a GNAQ mutation points to a primary cutaneous melanoma.

The symptoms of melanocytomas depend on the anatomical site. The majority of patients will present with neurological symptoms caused by edema and compression of nerve bundles or brain tissue. In our case, spinal cord compression caused paraparesis, which partly resolved after combined treatment with surgery and radiotherapy. Different approaches to treatment were analyzed in a study by Rades et al.\textsuperscript{15} who showed that the 5-year survival rates were 100% for complete resection with or without adjuvant radiation. Incomplete resection with adjuvant radiation also achieved 100% 5-year survival rates, whereas an incomplete resection alone only achieved 46% 5-year survival rates. Therefore, the current gold standard of treatment for intracranial or intraspinal melanocytomas should be complete resection if possible. Due to
the small number of cases, the role of adjuvant radiation therapy after complete resection is almost impossible to evaluate. Thus, in our opinion, radiotherapy should not be omitted for 2 reasons. First, although imaging techniques may have significantly improved and detection of residual tumor volume is more feasible nowadays, the definition of complete resection of a CNS tumor remains controversial in the absence of the possibility to assess a resection margin. Second, malignant transformation is possible,\textsuperscript{4,13} and metastasizing meningeval melanocytomas\textsuperscript{4,13} have been reported in the literature.

Our patient was treated with a radiotherapy regimen of 25 fractions of 2.0 Gy, which was chosen at a time where the underlying tumor was believed to be a malignant melanoma. We have not observed radiotherapy-related adverse events so far, and, as Rades et al.\textsuperscript{15} hypothesized an improved local control with doses exceeding 40 Gy, we suggest that the “melanoma regimen” is a safe and practical approach for spinal meningeval melanocytoma.

Although this regimen appears to achieve high local control rates, we have initially scheduled the patient for quarterly follow-up visits as there is, in our opinion, not enough long-term data on these tumors available that would justify longer follow-up intervals.

In conclusion, we report on how molecular analyses helped establish the diagnosis of a meningeval melanocytoma of intermediate grade. In the absence of histologically confirmed (extra)cutaneous primary melanomas, we suggest a routine mutational analysis in the genetic loci of \textit{GNAQ} (as well as \textit{BRAF}, \textit{NRAS}, \textit{cKIT}, and \textit{GNA11}) in all cases of melanocytic neoplasms of the CNS.
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