Anterior transposition of the ulnar nerve is a common surgical procedure designed to ameliorate entrapment neuropathy at the elbow.5,6,14,21,25,31 Performed for over a century,10 the technique of transposition provides direct decompression of the ulnar nerve as well as the elimination of traction during elbow flexion.5,13,24 However, anterior transposition may also create new sites of constriction from the fascial structures about the elbow, which act to hold the nerve in its normal anatomical position posterior to the elbow. Proximal to the elbow, these structures include the internal brachial ligament, also known as the “arcade of Struthers,” and the medial intermuscular septum. Distal to the elbow, the nerve passes from its retrocondylar location at the elbow into the volar forearm between the humeral and ulnar heads of the flexor carpi ulnaris (FCU) muscle, under a fascial confluence known as the “Osborne band.”19 Distal to this structure, the nerve dives below the FCU muscle to enter the fascial sleeve of the flexor digitorum superficialis (FDS) muscle. These fascial layers may constrict the nerve as part of a primary compression neuropathy4,9,13 a problem that may be compounded by anterior transposition if they are not released.

Recently, there have been conflicting reports on the structure of this distal fascial anatomy, as well as on the utilization of similar terms to describe different structures.27 Several reports have either indicated an absence of the intermuscular septum between the FDS and the FCU muscles11,15 or asserted the presence of new fascial bands,29 in contrast to older reports. Furthermore, no study has evaluated the effect of intact fascia after anterior transposition during elbow flexion.
To clarify the description of this important anatomical entity, we performed dissections in the fashion of a submuscular transposition to illustrate these key structures and their relationship to the transposed ulnar nerve in a dynamic model evaluating elbow flexion.

Methods

The upper extremities of 26 fresh human cadaveric specimens were dissected to investigate the anatomy of the muscular fascia at the level of the flexor-pronator origin. An extended incision was made along the ulnar groove, and the ulnar nerve was unroofed through the retrocondylar retinaculum of the cubital tunnel. The proximal antebrachial fascia overlying the FCU muscle was divided (Fig. 1), but the fascial and aponeurotic components of the humeral head of the FCU and flexor muscle insertions were left intact to investigate their effect on transposition of the ulnar nerve during maximal elbow flexion. The humeral and ulnar heads of the FCU muscle were separated, and the deep fascia of the FCU was divided. The humeral head of the FCU was elevated to identify the thin septum between the FCU and FDS muscles as well as the hiatus within this septum through which the ulnar nerve passes (Fig. 2). The distance from the medial epicondyle to the proximal aspect of this hiatus was measured with the arm in full extension (Fig. 3C).

After assessing the fascial structures at the medial epicondyle, we prepared a bed for the ulnar nerve by opening the antebrachial fascia in Z-plasty fashion, followed by release of the intermuscular septa between the pronator teres muscle, common flexor tendon, and humeral head of the FCU, similar to modifications of the Learmonth submuscular transposition procedure. The nerve was then freed from its bed and transposed into the newly prepared preepicondylar position. The effect of this repositioning upon the nerve was similarly recorded during maximal elbow flexion.

Results

Superficial Fascia

All specimens had an intact retrocondylar retinaculum (Fig. 1). There was a discontinuous transition between the antebrachial fascia (of the flexor and pronator muscles) and the retrocondylar retinaculum.

Intermuscular Fascia

The antebrachial fascia was divided along the long axis of the FCU to expose the muscle fibers. Separation of the humeral and ulnar heads of the FCU demonstrated a deep fascia of the FCU that was of variable thickness between specimens. Proceeding distally, the ulnar nerve was found to pass beneath the deep fascia of the humeral head of the FCU. The ulnar nerve then passed through an aperture in the fascia, separating the FCU from the FDS (Fig. 2). Proximally, the muscle fibers of the FCU insert on this fascia, forming the common aponeurosis of the FCU and FDS. Distally, this fascia serves as the investing fascia of the FDS and flexor digitorum profundus (FDP) muscles. The ulnar nerve was found to pass through this intermuscular septum in all specimens to achieve its normal location in the more distal volar forearm, within the investing fascia of the FDS.

The common aponeurosis was a coalescence of the insertion of the humeral head of the FCU into the investing fascia of the FDS, forming a taut vertical plate between the FCU and FDS. Expressed differently, the intermuscular septum between the humeral head of the FCU and FDS thickens into a fibrous plate, providing the insertion point for both muscles. This was observed as a transition from thin, transparent fascia into a more robust silver and fibrous aponeurosis (Figs. 2 and 3A and B).

Effect of the Common Aponeurosis on Transposition

Transposition of the ulnar nerve into the precondylar position with the common aponeurosis intact resulted in an angulation of the nerve at the site of its passage through this hiatus that was exaggerated during elbow flexion in all specimens (Fig. 4A). The mean distance from the medial epicondyle to the proximal aspect of the hiatus within

![Fig. 1. Identification of the retrocondylar retinaculum, which is distinct from the antebrachial fascia overlying the FCU muscle. Dashed lines indicate the ulnar nerve. * = medial epicondyle; # = olecranon.](image)

![Fig. 2. Passage of the ulnar nerve from the retrocondylar groove into the forearm fascia of the FDS muscle. Black arrow indicates the deep aponeurosis of the FCU; white arrow, the hiatus of the intermuscular septum. * = medial epicondyle; # = common aponeurosis of the FDS and FCU muscles (note that the intermuscular septum of the FDS and FCU becomes the tendinous common aponeurosis proximally); + = the ulnar nerve deep to the investing fascia of the FDS, located between the FDS and FDP muscles.](image)
FIG. 3. Identification of the flexor-pronator aponeurosis. A: Ulnar nerve passing underneath the thickest portion of the aponeurosis and penetration through a thin fibrous septum (inset). *Dashed line* indicates the common aponeurosis. B: Posterior reflection of the aponeurosis demonstrating the separation of the FCU and FDS. C: Anterior mobilization of the ulnar nerve demonstrates tension at the septal hiatus. * = medial epicondyle.
this intermuscular septum (or the site at which this “kinking” occurred) was 3.9 cm (SD 0.7 cm; Table 1). In right arm specimens, this occurred at a mean distance of 4.0 cm (SD 0.8 cm) and in left arm specimens at 3.9 cm (SD 0.6 cm) from the medial epicondyle (p = 0.66, Mann-Whitney test).

Release of the common aponeurosis of the FCU and FDS relaxed the apparent angulation of the transposed nerve (Fig. 4B and C). Only resection of the common aponeurosis of the FCU and FDS and disinsertion of the humeral origin of the FCU allowed the nerve to travel completely radial to the FCU within the forearm.

**Discussion**

Cadaveric dissection confirmed the presence of an intermuscular septum between the FCU and FDS muscles and its associated hiatus through which the ulnar nerve passes. The fascia thickens superficially and proximally to become the common aponeurosis of the FCU and FDS. Left intact, this common aponeurosis resulted in angulation and traction of the ulnar nerve during elbow flexion, which was abrupt in some cases. Iatrogenic angulation at this hiatus may result in a clinical compression syndrome that has been used to explain a subset of suboptimal results following ulnar nerve transposition surgery.7, 20

---

**FIG. 4.** Iatrogenic kinking of the ulnar nerve because of the common aponeurosis. **A:** Dramatic increase in curvature of the ulnar nerve along the fibrous band of the common aponeurosis. **B:** Release of the common aponeurosis with exposure of the humeral and ulnar heads of the FCU. **C:** Relaxed position of the ulnar nerve (dashed lines) after division of the flexor-pronator aponeurosis. * = medial epicondyle.

**TABLE 1. Distance from the center of the medial epicondyle to the deep aponeurosis of the flexor-pronator origin, measured with the elbow in full extension**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specimen</th>
<th>Left (cm)</th>
<th>Right (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean and standard deviation for fresh specimens.*
Prior Anatomical Studies

Many anatomical studies have investigated the relationship of the ulnar nerve to the flexor-pronator muscles and their associated fascia within the proximal forearm. Despite the scholarly work, inconsistency remains among authors regarding terminology and anatomical relationships. Early studies gave variable names to a common finding; more recent studies revealed inconsistent anatomical relationships, yet were subject to incomplete dissection or incomplete data. No study has examined the dynamic relationship of the forearm fascia and the ulnar nerve during elbow flexion.

Amadio and Beckenbaugh observed a fascial band common to the FDS and FCU that was a source of compression in 8 patients, which they named the “deep flexor-pronator aponeurosis” (the intraoperative photo in Fig. 1 of that publication, depicting a decompression of the distal ulnar nerve in the forearm, demonstrates an entrapment point similar to that in Fig. 3B presented here). The authors also examined 20 cadaveric specimens and found that the nerve pierced through the aponeurosis at the lateral edge of the FCU an average of 5 cm from the medial epicondyle. Simultaneously, Inserna and Spinner studied the intermuscular fascial anatomy of the flexor-pronator origin, identifying the common aponeurosis of the FDS and FCU as a source for tethering the ulnar nerve on attempted transposition of the ulnar nerve (Fig. 3 in that publication demonstrates the same finding as in Fig. 3B presented here). Green and Rayan also confirmed the finding of such a common aponeurosis. They reported findings, similar to our results, indicating that the distal extent of the common flexor aponeurosis averaged 3.7 cm from the medial epicondyle, although no reference to the degree of elbow flexion was made. Importantly, they found evidence of increased pressures on the ulnar nerve at the site of the common aponeurosis, independent of transposition or proximal decompression. These studies universally found, although the authors described it differently, a fibrous aponeurosis between the FDS and the humeral head of the FCU through which the ulnar nerve traversed, at approximately 4 cm from the medial epicondyle.

Subsequent anatomical reports failed to arrive at similar conclusions. Gonzalez et al. found a “discrete flexor pronator aponeurosis” in only 17 of 39 arms, which formed “an elliptical . . . tunnel” 4.2 cm from the medial epicondyle. They did not relate what was found in the other 22 arms as regards the nerve’s passage to the radial side of the FCU. Degeorges and Masquelet found no aponeurosis between the FCU and the flexor muscles in 54% of cases; however, no figure in that publication demonstrated dissection of the ulnar nerve up to the medial epicondyle, where the common aponeurosis would have attached. Shewinnow and colleagues split the FCU overlying the ulnar nerve, identifying so-called bands, which they described as analogous to the common aponeurosis of the FCU and FDS. The fascia they depicted is the investing fascia of the FDS, which is why they demonstrated fascia overlying the nerve much further from the medial epicondyle than presented in prior studies. In these later studies, it appears that the anatomy of the intermuscular aponeurosis was not comprehensively explored; the conclusion that the common aponeurosis is either variable or nonexistent is questionable.

Consistent Anatomy of the Fibrous Arcade

The ulnar nerve passes beneath multiple fascial and muscular structures to enter the volar forearm. After the ulnar nerve exits the retrocondylar groove, it first passes between the humeral and ulnar heads of the FCU underneath a band formed by the fusion of the antebrachial fascia and the deep fascia of the FCU. The ulnar nerve remains under the deep fascia of the FCU until it reaches the radial and deep margin of the muscle. At this point, the ulnar nerve passes through the intermuscular septum between the FCU and FDS (Fig. 5). This septum is thickened proximally, forming the common aponeurosis of the FCU and FDS. The ulnar nerve then continues down the forearm within the enveloping fascia of the FDS, separate from the FCU.

The narrow aperture of these structures may participate as a primary cause of cubital tunnel syndrome, as a distinct location from the retrocondylar retinaculum. Similar to the retrocondylar retinaculum, the ulnar nerve is compressed by the fascial structures of the FCU in elbow flexion. When the ulnar nerve is transposed anteriorly, these anatomical confines become even more pronounced if they are not released. This is because the ulnar nerve must reenter the flexor side of the forearm by passage around the humeral head of the FCU and the common aponeurosis. The common aponeurosis can cause impingement of the ulnar nerve in elbow flexion beyond 90°. Furthermore, when a subfascial or submuscular transposition is performed, an intact aponeurosis leaves an exposed fibrous ridge against the nerve, similar to the proximal intermuscular septum between the triceps and brachialis muscles. Remnants of these fibrous septa may irritate the transposed nerve.

Distal Intermuscular Compression as a Source of Recurrent Neuropathy

The clinical importance of the fascia of the flexor-pronator insertion has been echoed through the decades. One of the early descriptions of a submuscular anterior transposition noted the importance of the fibrous bands of the flexor muscles: “The tendons and a few of the muscle-fibers of the inner head of the flexor carpi ulnaris as well as a few of the tendinous [sic] fibers of the common flexor tendon are divided in order to bury the nerve.” Sources of recurrent symptoms after surgical decompression are of particular instruction in clinical anatomy. The studies are generally retrospective but serve to highlight the unintended consequences of anatomically incomplete surgery. One of the first retrospective series of reoperations for cubital tunnel syndrome noted frequent compression by “fascial slings” within the FCU. Gabel and Amadio found that 20 of 30 patients with recurrent ulnar neuropathy after primary decompression had compression within the fibrous-pronator aponeurosis and cubital tunnel. Similarly, when Rogers et al. reported results of 14 revision surgeries, they placed emphasis on angulation and reactive fibrosis of the ulnar nerve within the FCU. Figures 3 and 5 carefully and clearly depict
distal entrapment of ulnar nerve angulation and compression at this distal site. Vogel and colleagues\(^30\) reported on operative findings in 18 patients with failed primary decompression; anterior subcutaneous transpositions were present in 15. Half of all patients had compression at the common flexor aponeurosis. In a large and recent retrospective review of revision surgeries for failed ulnar nerve decompression, 85 of 100 nerves were compressed and two-thirds of those were compressed dis- tal to the medial epicondyle.\(^23\)

The limited evidence provided in these series suggests that distal revision of the transposed nerve improved ulnar nerve function. In Gabel and Amadio’s series,\(^12\) when the authors addressed the flexor-pronator aponeurosis, all but 1 patient had an outcome that was rated good or excellent. Rogers and colleagues\(^28\) reported uniformly positive re- sults from revision surgery. Vogel and colleagues\(^30\) report- ed a 78% success rate from revision surgery, but provided insufficient details to allow readers to assess the impact of distal decompression on the results.

Inadequate decompression of the ulnar nerve’s fascial transition to the flexor side of the forearm appears to be a major source of surgical failure. Our results confirm that failure to release the intermuscular and, in particular, the thickened common aponeurosis of the FDS and FCU can lead to severe kinking and thus compression of the nerve with anterior transposition.

**Conclusions**

As the ulnar nerve transitions from the posterior retro- condylar position into the anterior flexor forearm, it passes beneath the humeral head of the FCU and through an intermuscular septum to continue distally within the fascial compartment of the FDS. This intermuscular septum is thick proximally and superficially, forming the common aponeurosis of the FCU and FDS. If not divided, this taut fascial structure becomes an area of compression and ten- sion after anterior transposition of the ulnar nerve. Com-
plete release of this structure is essential to complete an anatomically sound anterior transposition of the ulnar nerve.
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