Intracapsular decompression or radical resection followed by Gamma Knife surgery for patients harboring a large vestibular schwannoma
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Object. Microsurgery is the primary treatment used for patients harboring a large vestibular schwannoma (VS). However, its outcome may lead to hearing impairment and facial nerve dysfunction particularly when resection is extended outside the tumor capsule. When surgery for a large VS consists of intracapsular resection and decompression, better preservation of facial and hearing function are obtained. In this study, the authors compared outcomes of intracapsular decompression followed by Gamma Knife surgery (GKS) with outcomes of standard microsurgery followed by radiosurgery.

Methods. Between August 2003 and October 2008, 35 patients harboring large VSs (> 3 cm in diameter) were enrolled in this study. Eighteen patients underwent intracapsular decompression followed by GKS (Group I), and 17 patients underwent radical extracapsular resection followed by GKS (Group II). In all cases GKS was performed with a margin dose of 12 Gy. All patients were followed up for at least 3 years. All patients also underwent periodic audiography, electroneuronography (ENoG), MR imaging, and testing with the SF-36 form. The Student t-test and repeated ANOVA were used for statistical analysis.

Results. The mean ages of the patients (± SEM) in Groups I and II were 50 ± 3.0 and 49 ± 2.3 years, respectively. The female/male ratios were 8:10 in Group I and 7:10 in Group II. All patients had excellent facial function as measured according to the House-Brackmann Facial Grading System (Grade I or II) preoperatively. After the operation, 16 patients (89%) in Group I retained excellent facial function, whereas only 6 patients (35%) in Group II had excellent facial function (p < 0.01). In Group I, 11 patients had serviceable hearing, and all 11 (100%) retained hearing function after the operation. In Group II, 11 patients had serviceable hearing, but none retained hearing function postoperatively (p < 0.001). In Group I, the mean tumor volume (± SEM) was 17.5 ± 1.1 cm³, and the postoperative volume was 9.35 ± 1.02 cm³. In Group II, the mean tumor volume was 16.4 ± 0.95 cm³, whereas the postoperative volume was 1.1 ± 0.14 cm³ (p < 0.001). After GKS, the tumor volume was reduced to 5.12 ± 1.1 cm³ and 0.9 ± 0.95 cm³ in Groups I and II, respectively. No patients experienced adverse effects after GKS. The mean return-to-work times were 2.4 ± 0.16 and 33.4 ± 4.3 weeks in Groups I and II, respectively (p < 0.001). According to the results obtained using the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), patients in Group I enjoyed more significant improvements in quality of life than patients in Group II (p < 0.001).

Conclusions. Intracapsular decompression followed by GKS afforded a better neurological outcome and quality of life than radical extracapsular resection followed by GKS. Further application of this approach in patients harboring large VSs seems warranted.

(http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2012.6.GKS12697)
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CONTROVERSY exists as to what is the best treatment strategy for patients harboring VSs. Treatment options include watchful waiting, microsurgery, stereotactic radiosurgery, and fractionated stereotactic radiotherapy.21 In small-to-medium sized VSs, radiosurgery yields a favorable local tumor control rate and preservation of neurological function, which make radiosurgery an increasingly preferred approach when compared with microsurgery.36,40

Abbreviations used in this paper: BP = bodily pain; ENoG = electroneuronography; GH = general health perceptions; GKS = Gamma Knife surgery; HB = House-Brackmann Facial Grading System; IAC = internal auditory canal; MH = mental health; PF = physical functioning; RE = role limitations due to emotional problems; RP = role limitations due to physical health; SF = social functioning; SF-36 = 36-Item Short Form Health Survey; QOL = quality of life; VP = ventriculoperitoneal; VS = vestibular schwannoma; VT = vitality.
In patients harboring large VSs and, especially, those patients in whom there is also brainstem compression, microsurgery is favored over radiosurgery because the latter approach is unlikely to lead to immediate relief from the mass effect on the brainstem. Nevertheless, in cases of large VSs, the outcome of microsurgery may be compromised by facial and hearing dysfunction.\(^2\,^{10-12}\) The best treatment approach for dealing with such VSs remains controversial. Proposed strategies include subtotal removal followed by observation or radiosurgery of the residual tumor, and complete removal of the tumor in one or more stages.\(^1,4,16,43,50\) Whatever surgical approach is taken, preservation of facial nerve function is complicated by significant stretching during surgery and the extreme vulnerability of the nerve. Large VSs represent such a challenging clinical entity that the goal of trying to preserve cranial nerve function while treating these lesions is even questioned by some surgeons.\(^3,5,57\)

When dealing with large VSs, there is a treatment dilemma after subtotal resection of the tumor. If a wait-and-scan policy is adopted, the possibility of morbidity and even mortality can arise if the tumor progresses. Alternatively, typical complications directly related to radiosurgery are proportional to radiation dose and tumor volume. Radiosurgery can cause VS swelling,\(^10,15\) which is one reason that this approach is generally avoided in cases of large VSs. Complete microsurgical removal of large VSs is attended by a considerable risk of long-term suboptimal cranial nerve outcomes; for example, good facial nerve function (HB Grade I or II) has been reported in only 27%–58% of patients.\(^5,18,23,28,51,58\) Subtotal removal of large vestibular tumors, on the other hand, was reported to preserve excellent facial nerve function and serviceable hearing in nearly 100% of patients.\(^12,42\) Preoperative MRI and the combination of subtotal removal followed by radiosurgery should be a reasonable treatment strategy to preserve cranial nerve function and achieve tumor control.

To date, there have only been a few studies in which outcomes of subtotal removal followed by radiosurgery in the treatment of large VS have been analyzed.\(^11,16,34,50,55\)

The present study was conducted to compare the surgical results obtained by intracapsular decompression followed by GKS with those obtained by radical extracapsular resection followed by GKS. Specifically, we assessed outcomes regarding facial nerve and hearing function as well as QOL.

### Methods

#### Patient Population

From August 2003 to October 2008, 38 patients harboring large VSs (>3 cm in diameter) were enrolled in this study. Eighteen patients underwent intracapsular decompression followed by GKS (Group I). Twenty patients underwent radical extracapsular resection of the tumor; however, postoperative MR imaging in 3 of these patients did not reveal any residual tumor. As such, these 3 patients did not undergo postresection GKS and were excluded from this study. One of these 3 patients, who had serviceable hearing prior to treatment, suffered hearing loss after radical resection. One patient with excellent facial function (HB Grade I) retained reasonable facial function (Grade III), and the other two had poor facial function (Grade V) after the operation. The remaining 17 patients who underwent radical resection followed by GKS were included in the current study (Group II) (Fig. 1). The patient characteristics are detailed in Table 1.

Prior to surgery, 13 patients—7 in Group I and 6 in Group II—presented with hearing loss, and 1 patient in Group I presented with right facial weakness (HB Grade II). Two patients in Group I and 1 patient in Group II had unsteady gait. Three patients in Group I and 4 patients in Group II presented with severe tinnitus.

The allocation of patients to planned subtotal removal with intracapsular decompression or radical extracapsular resection was determined by patient preference after each patient had been informed about the relative risks associated with the extent of tumor resection and preservation of cranial nerve function with each resection approach. If the patient was more concerned about developing facial nerve palsy than maximizing the extent of tumor removal, intracapsular decompression was performed. If the patient preferred maximum extent of tumor resection to preservation of facial nerve function, the more radical extracapsular resection was performed. In patients who selected intracapsular decompression (Group I), we did not guarantee how much tumor could be resected but only gave an indication based on our surgical experience with releasing brainstem compression. We did not conduct intraoperative MRI and thus could not measure how much residual tumor remained. For this reason, some patients in this study chose radical resection, even if there was a possibility of injury to their facial and hearing function. Informed consent was obtained from patients after the procedures were explained by D.Y.Y. and H.C.P. All radical extracapsular resection of tumors was performed by D.Y.Y. Six of 17 cases of intracapsular decompression were treated by H.C.P.

Before the operation, the patients underwent MRI and the SF-36. A hearing test and ENoG were overseen by an otolaryngologist. All microsurgeries were performed using a retrosigmoid approach. The primary goal of surgery was brainstem decompression. In each case, the facial nerve was identified by neurostimulation. For subtotal intracapsular decompression, IAC decompression was not performed. Tumor removal was assisted by using a Cavitational Ultrasonic Surgical Aspirator (Valleylab) and a ring curette. The operation ended when brainstem decompression was observed. For more aggressive, extracapsular resection, we used the Caldwell EMG/EP to monitor facial nerve activity. The seventh cranial nerve was stimulated after its initial exposure, after identification of the medial portion of the nerve, before entering the IAC, and again at various intervals during the operation such as during resection of the tumor in the IAC. During the final dissection, the observation that an evoked potential had disappeared meant the possibility of facial nerve injury and the operation was...
stopped. All patients received external ventricular drainage for 3–5 days. If the patient suffered facial palsy after the operation, steroid medication was given for 7–14 days postoperatively.

Magnetic resonance imaging was performed 3 months after resection to determine the feasibility of GKS. If there was any abnormal enhancement consistent with a residual tumor, we sent that information to the Taiwan central health bureau to obtain treatment approval, and GKS was then performed.

**Gamma Knife Surgical Dose Planning**

Stereotactic radiosurgery was performed using a Leksell Gamma Knife model 4C (Elekta AB). Treatment planning was performed using Leksell GammaPlan software (version 5.3, Elekta AB). A dose of 12 Gy was prescribed to the 50% isodose line, and it covered more than 95% of the tumor in all treatments.

**Clinical Follow-Up**

Patients were followed up at our otolaryngology and neurosurgical outpatient clinics 2–3 months post radiosurgery. The clinical data obtained at follow-up included the

![Table 1: Characteristics of patients*](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Group I (18 patients)</th>
<th>Group II (17 patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age (yrs)</td>
<td>50 ± 3.0</td>
<td>49 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F/M ratio</td>
<td>8.10</td>
<td>7.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preop tumor vol (cm³)</td>
<td>17.5 ± 1.1</td>
<td>16.4 ± 0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pre-GKS tumor vol (cm³)</td>
<td>9.35 ± 1.02</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preop facial function</td>
<td>HB Grade III, 17:1</td>
<td>HB Grade I, 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>preop ENoG</td>
<td>59.5 ± 2.1%</td>
<td>56.7 ± 2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of patients w/ preop hearing function (serviceable hearing)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>time from op to GKS (mos)</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no. of patients requiring placement of a VP shunt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean values are expressed as means ± SEMs. Patients in Group I underwent intracapsular decompression followed by GKS, and patients in Group II underwent radical extracapsular resection of the tumor followed by GKS.

---

**Fig. 1.** Contrast-enhanced T1-weighted MR images showing VSs treated by either intracapsular decompression or radical resection followed by GKS. **A:** Images obtained in a patient who underwent intracapsular resection followed by GKS. The tumor has shrunk substantially. **B:** Images obtained in a second patient who underwent intracapsular resection followed by GKS. **C:** Images obtained in a patient who underwent radical extracapsular resection followed by GKS. FU = follow-up; TV = tumor volume.
results of the SF-36, an audiogram, ENoG images, and a detailed neurological examination.

Assessment of Hearing Function

Serviceable hearing was defined as a speech repetition threshold or pure tone audiogram value less than 50 dB and a speech discrimination score of 50% or greater.

Assessment of Facial Nerve Function With ENoG

Electroneuronography involves electrical stimulation of the facial nerve at or near the stylomastoid foramen and the subsequent measurement and interpretation of the motor response, as recorded at or near the nasolabial fold. Electroneuronography was performed by an audiologist to evaluate the integrity of the facial nerve. The ENoG test is the only “relatively objective” measure of facial nerve integrity. The ENoG is used to compare the neurophysiological response of the normal (healthy) side to the abnormal (diseased) side in order, and data are presented as the ratio of the nerve ipsilateral to the tumor divided by the nerve on the contralateral side.

House-Brackmann Facial Grading System

The HB scale was used to approximate the quantity of facial nerve function that the patient had at presentation as well as at each follow-up interval. The HB scale allows us to grossly describe the characteristics and degree of facial nerve motion using a subjective analysis scale, which is easily and reliably applied. The HB scale has 6 grades and each grade is reported as a fraction (for example, 1/6 = Grade I). In the HB scale, Grade I indicates perfectly normal, Grade II indicates slight or mild weakness, Grade III indicates moderate weakness with good (normal) eye closure, Grade IV indicates moderate weakness with no volitional eye closure, Grade V indicates severe weakness, and Grade VI indicates total facial paralysis.

Assessment of Quality of Life

The SF-36 is a validated instrument used to measure a patient’s QOL. The items in the SF-36 cover eight domains or scales including physical functioning (PF), role limitations due to physical health (RP), body pain (BP), general health perceptions (GH), vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role limitations due to emotional problems (RE), and mental health (MH). In general, the physical component summary encompasses PF, RP, BP, and GH, whereas the mental component summary includes VT, SF, RE, and MH. The scores on the SF-36 scale range from 0 to 100, with higher scores implying better condition.

Imaging Follow-Up

Periodic follow-up neuroimaging (MRI) was performed. Studies were obtained every 6 months for the first 2 years following GKS and then yearly thereafter. The post-GKS MRI studies were performed using a 2- to 3-mm slice thickness to obtain T1-weighted images and a 3- to 5-mm slice thickness to obtain T2-weighted and FLAIR sequences. The MRI was performed without adding Gd contrast medium. Tumor volumes were determined on the basis of the MR images by using a picture archiving and communication system and were compared with volumes obtained during GKS planning. We graded the neuroimaging response according to the change in tumor volume as follows: > 20% volume enlargement = tumor increase; > 20% volume decrease = tumor shrinkage; and stable tumor = < 20% volume change. Postradiosurgical imaging studies were available for all patients.

Statistical Analysis

The descriptive statistics were computed using standard methods to calculate the mean or median values. The Student t-test and repeated ANOVA were used. A p value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Clinical and Imaging Outcomes

The mean age (± SEM) in Group I was 50 ± 3 years and that in Group II was 49 ± 2.3 years. The female/male ratio was 8:10 in Group I and 7:10 in Group II. Thirty-four of 35 patients had preserved HB Grade I facial function and only 1 patient in Group I had facial nerve function of HB Grade II before resection. Sixteen patients (89%) in Group I retained excellent facial function (Grade I or II) following intracapsular decompression. However, only 6 patients (35%) in Group II had excellent facial nerve function following radical extracapsular resection (p < 0.01). The mean ENoG in Group I was 59.5% ± 2.1% preoperatively and remained at 55.3% ± 3.4% postoperatively (p = 0.8). The mean preoperative ENoG in Group II was 56.7% ± 2.3%, and it decreased to 10.5% ± 1.3% postresection (p < 0.001).

In Group I, 11 patients had serviceable hearing and all 11 patients (100%) retained the same hearing function after resection. In Group II, 11 patients had serviceable hearing and none of them retained serviceable hearing after resection (p < 0.001). One patient in Group I had delayed hydrocephalus and underwent placement of a VP shunt. There was no new development of low cranial palsy after the operation. Only 1 patient in Group II required placement of a permanent VP shunt due to delayed hydrocephalus. One patient in Group II suffered from lower cranial nerve palsy after resection.

In Group I, the mean tumor volume (± SEM) was 17.5 ± 1.1 cm³ before the operation and the postresection volume was 9.35 ± 1.02 cm³ (p < 0.01). In Group II, the mean tumor volume was 16.4 ± 0.95 cm³, whereas the postoperative volume was 1.1 ± 0.14 cm³ (p < 0.001).

The time intervals from resection to GKS were 3.6 ± 0.2 and 7 ± 0.4 months in Groups I and II, respectively (p < 0.01), and the post-GKS follow-up periods were 57.7 ± 3.3 and 52.7 ± 1.8 months, respectively. After GKS, tumor volumes shrank to 5.12 ± 1.1 cm³ in Group I and 0.9 ± 0.1 cm³ in Group II (p < 0.001), and the volumes remained decreased until the last follow-up. There was no additional deterioration in facial nerve function after GKS. One patient in Group I had transient hearing loss following
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GKS, but the patient displayed progressive improvement after steroid treatment. This 45-year-old woman harbored a 15.4-cm³ acoustic tumor on the right side with facial nerve function (HB Grade I) and serviceable hearing with a pure tone audiogram of 35 dB. She underwent intracapsular decompression for this tumor. After the operation, preservation of both facial nerve function and hearing were noted. Postoperative MRI showed a residual tumor with a volume of 4.5 cm³. The patient underwent GKS 4 months after the operation; the radiation dose to the margin was 12 Gy at the 50% isodose line. The patient suffered sudden onset of tinnitus and hearing loss 2 days after GKS. She started methylprednisolone treatment with a dosage of 200 mg every 6 hours for 5 days. The patient progressively recovered hearing function. Two months after GKS, the pure tone audiogram was 40 dB. The patient continued to have serviceable hearing 36 months after GKS. Magnetic resonance imaging showed that the tumor had shrunk to the size of 1.5 cm³.

Following GKS, no patients experienced adverse effects, such as those seen by T2 signal changes within the brainstem on MR images. Further outcomes are summarized in Table 2.

Quality of Life After Treatment

The mean return-to-work times after resection were 2.4 ± 0.16 and 33.4 ± 4.3 weeks in Groups I and II, respectively (p < 0.001). In Group I, the mean score for physical functioning (PF) before surgery was 82.2 ± 13.5. The score remained stable at 84.2 ± 1.3 at 3 months after the operation and at 84.2 ± 1.2 following GKS until the last follow-up. In Group II, the mean PF score before surgery was 82.3 ± 1.5. The score remained stable at 84.2 ± 1.3 at 3 months after the operation, and it was 82.6 ± 1.4 following GKS until the last follow-up.

In Group I, the mean score for role limitations due to physical health (RP) before surgery was 86.1 ± 3.6. The score increased to 93.1 ± 2.7 at 3 months after resection and remained at that number after GKS. In Group II, the mean RP score before resection was 83.8 ± 3.7. The score decreased to 52.9 ± 7.7 (p < 0.001) 3 months after resection and remained stable at 52.9 ± 7.7 after GKS. In Group I, the mean score for role limitations due to emotional problems (RE) before surgery was 82.1 ± 4.4, and it improved to 98.1 ± 1.9 at 3 months after resection. The mean RE score remained stable after GKS with little fluctuation until the last follow-up. In Group II, the mean RE score before surgery was 78.1 ± 4.9, and it sharply decreased to 54.5 ± 6.4 (p < 0.001) 3 months after resection. The mean RE score remained stable after GKS with little fluctuation until the last follow-up. In both groups, the mean preoperative, postoperative, and post-GKS vitality (VT) scores remained stable. In Group I, the mean preoperative, postoperative, and post-GKS mental health (MH) scores only showed slight changes. In Group II, the mean MH score before resection was 89.4 ± 1.8. This score sharply decreased to 49.3 ± 7.3 (p < 0.001) 3 months after GKS and remained stable until the last follow-up. In Group I, the mean preoperative, postoperative, and post-GKS social functioning (SF) scores did not show any significant change. In Group II, the mean SF score before surgery was 87.5 ± 3.2. This score decreased to 40.6 ± 5.9 (p < 0.001) 3 months after GKS and remained stable until the last follow-up.

In both groups, the mean preoperative, postoperative, and post-GKS scores for bodily pain (BP) remained stable. In Group I, the mean preoperative, postoperative, and post-GKS scores for general health perceptions (GH) showed no appreciable changes. In Group II, the mean preoperative GH score was 93.8 ± 1.9. This score decreased to 52.4 ± 6.4 (p < 0.001) 3 months after resection and remained stable until the last visit. The detailed results of the SF-36 scores are depicted in Table 3.

At the last follow up, the mean QOL scores for RF (p < 0.001), RE (p < 0.001), MH (p < 0.001), SF (p < 0.001), and GH (p < 0.001) were significantly greater in Group I than in Group II.

Discussion

The general consensus on large VSs has been to perform a resection and thereby facilitate brainstem decompression. But with complete resection, a high incidence of cranial nerve dysfunction has been reported. Hence, an alternative approach has been to perform an intracapsular decompression for the purpose of brainstem decompression while maintaining cranial nerve function. For long-term tumor control, GKS has as its target the residual tumor. In this study, we found that planned intracapsular decompression followed by GKS achieved better preservation of seventh and eighth cranial nerve function than more radical extracapsular resection followed by GKS.

For microsurgery, it is well known that the size of the VS is the main predictor of preservation of facial nerve anatomy and function. In cases of large VSs, the anatomical integrity of the nerve is preserved in 78%–94% of patients, but good facial nerve function is only preserved in 38%–75% of cases. However,
In some reports planned subtotal removal of the tumor achieved nearly 100% preservation of facial nerve and hearing function. In this study, in the planned subtotal intracapsular resection group, preservation of facial nerve function was 89%, but in the planned radical extracapsular resection group, only 36% of facial nerve function was achieved. For preservation of facial nerve function, intracapsular decompression should be considered an alternative approach for patients harboring large VSs.

The incidence of giant tumor among all cases of VS has been estimated to be 2%–15% in Western countries, but in developing countries such tumors may be harbored by the majority of VS patients. The clinical presentation of patients with large VSs differs from that of patients with small tumors. In particular, hearing loss has been observed in 96%–100% of these patients. In some large VS series, 40% to 60% of serviceable hearing was still preserved preoperatively, but the hearing preservation rate dropped to 0% to 36.4% postoperatively. In the radical resection group in our study, 100% of patients had hearing loss. However, in the intracapsular decompression group, functional hearing was preserved in all patients.

Stereotactic radiosurgery has become the standard treatment for small-to-medium sized VSs. With radiosurgery, satisfactory clinical outcomes have been reported in patients after long-term follow-up, and radiosurgery typically affords tumor shrinkage, facial nerve preservation, and functional hearing preservation in the majority of patients. However, transient tumor expansion has been observed at 6–12 months postradiosurgery. In those patients who experience transient tumor expansion, the tumor exhibits a mean increase of nearly 39% to 62% in volume. In patients with larger tumors, transient tumor expansion after radiosurgery can cause morbidity. Some anecdotal reports concerning large VSs treated with GKS have shown nearly 90% tumor control as well as preservation of satisfactory facial nerve and serviceable hearing function. However, the incidence of unsteady gait or VP shunt placement in patients with large VSs after radiosurgery has been reported to be nearly 10%, which is significantly higher than that in small-to-medium sized VS, for which such a complication is usually as low as 2%. Thus, it is seems reasonable to debulk larger tumors to a manageable size so as to facilitate safer radiosurgery. In our study, larger tumors were treated by intracapsular decompression to achieve a tumor size more amenable to GKS. In this way, there was no appreciable rate of adverse events after GKS.

Outcomes after VS treatment tend to focus on those associated with the surgical approach, immediate procedural complications, facial and hearing preservation, and mortality. However, from the patient’s standpoint, QOL is an important outcome metric, too, even though few studies have assessed this result. The SF-36 has long been used as a reliable tool in QOL assessment. The greater the number of symptoms, such as balance problems, headache, and facial and hearing dysfunction experienced by patients with VSs, the lower the overall SF-36 score and the specific component scores within it. The SF-36 scores in physical ability, social functioning, emotional status, and vitality were lower after resection than before surgery.24,27 In the present study, Group I, in which patients underwent intracapsular decompression, showed that significant preservation of facial function can contribute to a significant improvement in QOL.

Regarding working capacity, patients who harbored a large tumor prior to surgery returned to work significantly later than patients who had undergone surgery for a small tumor. An individual’s capacity for working and the time interval before returning to work depend on the person’s status of neurological function and the length of rehabilitation required for recovery. In Group I, in which patients underwent intracapsular decompression, most patients resumed their preoperative work schedule within 1 month, even with the planned addition of GKS to target the residual tumor. In Group II, in which patients underwent radical extracapsular resection, however, a high incidence of facial and hearing dysfunction was observed; these patients spent more time in rehabilitation and also required facial nerve anastomosis. Based on the length of time before patients could return to work, intracapsular decompression followed by GKS appears to be the optimal approach for patients harboring large VSs.

### Table 3: Outcomes on the SF-36 after resection and GKS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Preop</th>
<th>Postresection</th>
<th>Post-GKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Group II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PF</td>
<td>82.2 ± 13.5</td>
<td>83.3 ± 1.5</td>
<td>84.2 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP</td>
<td>86.1 ± 3.6</td>
<td>83.8 ± 3.7</td>
<td>93.1 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RE</td>
<td>82.1 ± 4.4</td>
<td>78.1 ± 4.9</td>
<td>86.1 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT</td>
<td>89.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>89.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>88.4 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>89.4 ± 1.9</td>
<td>89.4 ± 1.8</td>
<td>92.6 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>86.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>78.5 ± 3.2</td>
<td>92.1 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
<td>100 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH</td>
<td>95.5 ± 1.6</td>
<td>93.8 ± 1.9</td>
<td>94.2 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are presented as means ± SDs. Abbreviations: BP = body pain; GH = general health; MH = mental health; PF = physical function; RE = role limitation due to physical health; SF = social function; VT = vitality.
Many tests of facial nerve function have been used, including the Hiller test, electromyography, acoustic reflex test,\(^3\) evoked accelerometry, antidromic nerve potentials, MRI and CT evaluations, maximal and minimal nerve stimulation tests, transcranial magnetic stimulation,\(^4\) and blink reflex tests.\(^20\) The most commonly used facial nerve grading scale is the HB scale.\(^{14}\) This scale is used to approximate the quantity of volitional motion patients have, based on their clinical facial presentation. The scale is based on clinical observation, and variation among observers exists. The ENoG is an established and reliable method for assessing neural degeneration in various conditions affecting the facial nerve; it allows a subclinical analytical method that can impact medical or surgical decisions.\(^3\) In this study, we used ENoG as an adjuvant test to assess facial nerve function, and we found that it and the HB scale were highly correlated. Compared with radical resection followed by GKS, planned intracapsular resection followed by GKS afforded superior facial nerve function at the last follow-up examination, when nerve function was assessed using either ENoG or HB methods.

There are several limitations to this study. First, the allocation of patients to the intracapsular compression group or the radical extracapsular resection group was based on patients’ preference after they had been informed of surgical options and associated risks and benefits. Second, the statistical power of the current study was limited. Also, the short interval between resection and GKS for residual tumors in our study may not have provided sufficient time for visualizing the tumor and yielding optimal radiosurgical targeting, given that postoperative changes were still present.\(^{15}\) In addition, a longer follow-up period in both patient groups is required to show that neuroimaging, clinical, and QOL outcomes remain durable. There has been some debate concerning regrowth of residual tumor following GKS during long-term follow-up. Indeed, there have been some reports of progression or malignant transformation of acoustic schwannomas after GKS. This should make one cautious in the interpretation of outcomes of these two approaches.

Preservation of facial and hearing function is the primary goal in the treatment of large acoustic tumors. Only at very experienced centers can surgical outcomes provide excellent preservation of hearing and facial nerve function. At neurosurgical centers without sufficient surgical experience, outcomes of radical resection are not optimal. Alternatively, intracapsular decompression can be performed at almost any neurosurgical institute with minimal risk to facial and hearing function. Adjuvant GKS can then be performed to control the growth of the residual tumor. It seems that the combination of intracapsular decompression followed by GKS is a logical and alternative treatment for large VSs, especially when the concern is preservation of hearing and facial function.

**Conclusions**

For those patients harboring large VSs, intracapsular decompression followed by GKS appears to be a better treatment option than radical resection followed by GKS. Cranial nerve preservation and higher QOL scores on the SF36’s RF, RE, MH, SF, and GH domains are superior with a planned approach of limited intracapsular resection followed by GKS.
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