Hydrodynamic hydrocephalus in nongalenic arteriovenous fistula

TO THE EDITOR: I read with interest the article by Morales-Gómez et al. (Morales-Gómez JA, Garza-Oyervides VV, Arenas-Ruiz JA, et al: Hydrocephalus in a patient with an unruptured pial arteriovenous fistula: hydrodynamic considerations, endovascular treatment, and clinical course. J Neurosurg Pediatr 19:307–311, March 2017). I salute the authors on their report concerning the rare development of hydrodynamic hydrocephalus in nongalenic cerebral (or pial) arteriovenous fistula. I coined the term “nongalenic cerebral arteriovenous fistula” as part of our 1992 report. Among our 13 cases up to 1992 and the 35 cases reported by others, the case with hydrocephalus was considered obstructive due to varices at the tentorial incisura. In 1994, however, I encountered a case of hydrocephalus (Fig. 1 left) in which the aqueduct was clearly open (Fig. 1 right). There was evidence of venous hypertension with stenosis at the sigmoid sinus (Fig. 2) and reversed flow in the superior sagittal sinus. Considering the rarity of this scenario, one might postulate that venous outflow stenosis is an added requirement for hydrodynamic hydrocephalus to develop in such cases.

Stephen P. Lownie, MD, FRCSC
London Health Sciences Centre, London, ON, Canada
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Response

We were pleased to read and discuss with interest the letter by Dr. Lownie and thank him for his interest in our case. We also thank the Editor for allowing us the opportunity to respond. The relationship between dural venous sinus stenosis and hydrocephalus has not been fully elucidated, though correcting venous outflow obstruction may not be enough to effectively treat patients with congenital

FIG. 1. Images obtained in a 20-month-old male with increased head circumference, developmental delay, and cranial bruit. Left: Axial T1-weighted MR image shows marked hydrocephalus and mesial left occipital nongalenic malformation. Right: Sagittal T1-weighted MR image shows the aqueduct is patent.

FIG. 2. Lateral common carotid artery injection, late venous phase, showing severe stenosis at the left sigmoid sinus–jugular vein transition.
hydrocephalus. It seems that outflow stenosis plays a role in the development of hydrodynamic hydrocephalus, but it is not clear if, in high-flow arteriovenous fistulas, the stenosis is a consequential phenomenon of autoregulation, which worsens the venous hypertension. In our case there was also venous hypertension, sigmoid sinus stenosis, and reversed flow.

The practical relevance at this point in the treatment of hydrodynamic hydrocephalus should be a careful and judicious selection of shunting reserved for refractory or life-threatening cases.
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Ventricular catheter tip proximity to choroid plexus is a key factor in shunt failure

TO THE EDITOR: We read with interest the article by Whitehead et al. (Whitehead WE, Riva-Cambrin J, Kulkarni AV, et al: Ventricular catheter entry site and not catheter tip location predicts shunt survival: a secondary analysis of 3 large pediatric hydrocephalus studies. J Neurosurg Pediatr 19:157–167, February 2017). The study provided a significant amount of data analysis that is beneficial to the neurosurgical literature; however, the title of the article, stating “not catheter tip location,” is misleading. Choroid plexus is known to be one of the primary reasons for proximal catheter tip obstruction. There is even a specific surgical technique published on how to remove choroid plexus when it is obstructing a ventricular catheter tip via the Seldinger technique with a guidewire and cautery. In 2005 we performed a study very similar that of Whitehead et al. analyzing proximal shunt failures in anterior (frontal) versus posterior (parietal) approaches with the hypothesis that the posterior approach would have a higher failure rate based on the longer trajectory. We were surprised to discover that the approaches had no statistically significant difference but rather the proximity of the catheter tip to the choroid plexus was the main factor for failure. The results of Whitehead et al. demonstrated that the posterior approach did have a significantly higher failure rate in comparison to anterior approaches, but a very important factor was excluded from the data analysis.

In describing their data collection in the Methods section, Whitehead and colleagues state the “relationship to choroid plexus was divided into catheter tips touching the choroid plexus, tips not touching the choroid plexus, and tips completely not in the ventricle (≥ 2 cm of the proximal tip in the brain/cistern).” In the Results section, however, they state that the variable of proximity of catheter tip to choroid plexus was excluded from analysis due to interobserver variability. The authors go on to hypothesize in the Discussion section that catheters that enter the ventricle from a posterior approach enter through the walls of the ventricle and may be more likely to rest on the ventricular floor or choroid plexus, and this may result in earlier obstruction. If this was actually the case, that the posterior approach catheter tips were closer to the choroid plexus, then it would contradict the title of their manuscript. Whitehead et al. go on to state in the Conclusions that unexpectedly they found that entry site selection has a greater effect on shunt survival than ventricular catheter tip placement. We would venture to say that most neurosurgeons would agree that outside of shunt infection the next most common concern for failure when placing a ventricular catheter tip is its proximity to the choroid plexus. Contrary to our findings in 2005, Whitehead et al. found that the anterior (frontal) approaches had a lower failure rate than posterior (parietal) approaches, but again this could all be due to the important excluded variable.

What if when reanalyzing the posterior approach data it is discovered that the catheter tips in the posterior approaches were closer to the choroid plexus or that anterior approaches were further away from choroid plexus? This would totally change the conclusions.

Whitehead et al. performed a very thorough study on proximal shunts and the numerous variables that could lead to failure; it would be very interesting if they could take the excluded data on proximity to choroid plexus and have one neuroradiologist review all the data to determine if this was a significant contributor to the overall failure rate. We had the same exact hypothesis 12 years ago in our study—that frontal approaches would have a lower failure rate due to the shorter distance—and we were surprised with our final results in that the entry site had no significant effect, but rather the proximity of the tip to the choroid plexus was the key to failure. The overall analysis provided by Whitehead et al. is very helpful, although the conclusions from the study could be substantially strengthened and/or totally altered by including the proximity to choroid plexus data.
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Presbyterian Hospital of Plano, The Medical City of Frisco, University of North Texas Health Science Center, Plano, TX

614 J Neurosurg Pediatr Volume 20 • December 2017