Functional preoperative and intraoperative mapping and monitoring: increasing safety and efficacy in glioma surgery
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Greater extent of resection (EOR) of low-grade gliomas is associated with improved survival. Proximity to eloquent cortical regions often limits resectability and elevates the risk of surgery-related deficits. Therefore, functional localization of eloquent cortex or subcortical fiber tracts can enhance the EOR and functional outcome. Imaging techniques such as functional MRI and diffusion tensor imaging fiber tracking, and neurophysiological methods like navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation and magnetoencephalography, make it possible to identify eloquent areas prior to resective surgery and to tailor indication and surgical approach but also to assess the surgical risk. Intraoperative monitoring with direct cortical stimulation and subcortical stimulation enables surgeons to preserve essential functional tissue during surgery. Through tailored pre- and intraoperative mapping and monitoring the EOR can be maximized, with reduced rates of surgery-related deficits.
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Despite ongoing efforts to find effective treatments for infiltrative gliomas, progression of these tumors can only be slowed, and so far a curative treatment remains impossible. Although there have been advancements in nonsurgical therapy and some pharmacological agents are being tested in clinical trials, early surgery and gross-total resection (GTR) play an increasingly substantiated role in prolonging overall survival in high-grade and low-grade gliomas (LGGs) and in maintaining or improving patients’ quality of life.

Surgical treatment of tumors in close proximity to important functional areas or so-called eloquent areas remains a challenge, and eloquent location is a risk factor for disease progression and poor overall survival. However, the knowledge of topographical anatomy is not sufficient to determine resectability of a tumor because it does not represent functional anatomy with its interindividual variations. Therefore, to identify the relation of a tumor to an eloquent area and to define resectability, several techniques (using true electrophysiological methods or surrogate parameters of function) have evolved and have been increasingly used to guide glioma resections during recent years. Thereby, resection of tumors previously classified as unresectable became possible, with a tolerable morbidity.

In the quest to maximize the extent of resection (EOR) and to minimize morbidity, the modern neurosurgical armamentarium includes techniques to locate and identify tumor tissue by image guidance, fluorescent dyes, and intraoperative MRI, and to map and monitor critical functional areas like motor and language function, which can be achieved prior to surgery by functional MRI (fMRI), diffusion tensor imaging fiber tracking (DTI-FT), magnetoencephalography (MEG), and navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS) and intraoperatively by direct electrical stimulation of the cortex (direct cortical stimulation [DCS]) or subcortical white matter tracts (subcortical stimulation [SCS]).

Presently, mapping and monitoring of motor and language function pre- and intraoperatively are the most established techniques, and therefore this review focuses on...
We present the currently available techniques in their order of perioperative use, which can primarily be divided into preoperative mapping, intraoperative mapping, and intraoperative monitoring (Table 1). Thereby, the different techniques of preoperative mapping serve as tools to determine resectability, to estimate surgical risk and the necessity for intraoperative monitoring, and to plan the resection, including the approach. Intraoperative mapping defines resection borders and controls for preservation of neurological functions.

**Preoperative Functional Mapping**

**Functional MRI**

**Neurosurgical Use**

Although initially used primarily for scientific purposes, fMRI was quickly adopted for clinical purposes and has become a widely available clinical application for presurgical evaluation of functional areas prior to brain tumor surgery.61 In patients with tumors in eloquent brain regions, fMRI has been routinely used for many years as a noninvasive brain-mapping tool to guide neurosurgical treatment decisions (Fig. 1).

**Technical Details**

Technically, fMRI detects a surrogate parameter of neuronal activation, a blood oxygenation level–dependent effect from activation-induced perfusion-related changes in the blood oxygen level from neurovascular coupling.77 Thereby, fMRI depicts functional networks involved in an investigated function such as a motor or language task. These networks are not necessarily required or critical for the assessed function in its completeness, because neuronal activity measured indirectly by blood oxygen levels is globally assessed and a differentiation of essential versus nonessential areas for function is not possible.

**Current Evidence**

Several studies have investigated the accuracy of fMRI, presenting promising results concerning sensitivity and specificity to adequately predict motor function compared with DCS.36,50,51 In these studies, motor fMRI has been proven to be a reliable method to localize motor function, which facilitates surgical planning and reduces the time needed for intraoperative mapping. However, there are also contradictory studies showing a large deviation of fMRI-depicted areas compared with areas detected by electrophysiological methods such as DCS and nTMS, which should keep us thinking critically in terms of fMRI use for preoperative planning.77,50,51

**Limitations**

Especially in the vicinity of tumors, vascular changes can lead to a neurovascular uncoupling instead of the regular coupling and thereby produce false-negative fMRI results, making fMRI unreliable for resective planning.30,121 These false-negative results from neurovascular uncoupling could lead to the misinterpretation of eloquent tissue being noneloquent, and to subsequent resection with the associated neurological sequelae.

Concerning fMRI language localization, a review by Giussani et al. summarized the available data and evaluated the reliability of presurgical fMRI language mapping compared with DCS from 9 different studies.32 Several of the studies that these authors summarized investigated the sensitivity and specificity of fMRI in mapping language function.9,62,93,120,126 Because different language tasks and different MRI machines, software, analysis paradigms, and algorithms were used, the specificity and sensitivity for presurgical language localization by fMRI was highly variable. Five studies provided sufficient statistical data showing that sensitivity ranged from 59% to 100% and that specificity ranged from 0% to 97% compared with intra-
operative DCS mapping during awake surgery. These data show that fMRI in its present form cannot be used reliably to guide resections of tumors close to eloquent areas. It can certainly give a presurgical impression of functional organization; however, neurovascular uncoupling and potential false-negative results always have to be kept in mind.

At present, fMRI motor and language mapping is not able to detect critical functional areas reliably prior to surgery and cannot be recommended for planning of resective surgery of tumors in a potentially eloquent location. It can only serve as an adjunct to other methods, especially intraoperative electrical stimulation mapping.

Magnetoencephalography

Neurosurgical Use

So far, MEG has been applied for central sulcus localization, primary auditory and visual cortex delineation, language lateralization, and localization of the motor cortex. Several studies have assessed the feasibility of MEG motor or language mapping prior to surgery.

Technical Details

Magnetoencephalography is the detection of magnetic fields produced by bioelectric currents from neuronal activation, which means it is a direct measurement of cortical activity. To detect magnetic fields produced by cerebral electric activity at pico- or femtotesla levels, supraconductive sensors and magnetically shielded environments are necessary. Use of MEG allows the detection of spontaneous activity or evoked activity time-locked to certain stimuli. The coregistration of MEG source localization with anatomical MRI allows the use of this technique in presurgical localization of activity and surgical planning. The MEG data obtained during motor tasks can be used to reconstruct spatiotemporal dynamics of brain sources.

Current Evidence

To assess MEG accuracy, some studies compared preoperative MEG motor mapping with intraoperative DCS in patients with brain tumors and found a reliable delineation of MEG motor areas in comparison with intraoperative DCS. Tarapore et al. compared nTMS and MEG motor mapping with intraoperative DCS in patients with brain tumor and found a deviation of nTMS, MEG, and DCS motor sites of 4.7–2.1 mm. The use of MEG for motor mapping confirmed functional activity within LGGs, and all patients who had functional tissue located within the tumor and who underwent GTR despite this finding suffered from new neurological deficits after surgery, showing the predictive accuracy of MEG.

The experience with MEG for presurgical language mapping is limited. Only a few studies have assessed language prior to surgery and revealed a good agreement with intraoperative electrophysiological language mapping. Tarapore et al. recently published a study reporting their results for mapping language function via DCS, nTMS, and MEG. In 12 patients in whom language sites were outlined by MEG for verb generation and object naming, the sites correlated with nTMS sites in 5 of these patients and with DCS sites in 2.

Earlier MEG studies of language lateralization have demonstrated promising results. As discussed by Tarapore et al., although MEG lacks the accuracy of TMS, Findlay et al. have highlighted the use of MEG for a more global analysis of language lateralization that even predicted surgical outcome for patients with eloquent gliomas.

Concerning presurgical risk assessment based on MEG data for a neurological complication from lesion resection, a series of 119 patients was published. In this patient series 46% were not treated surgically because of tumor invasion of eloquent cortex as assessed by MEG, whereas 54% underwent resection on MEG mapping, with an associated neurological deterioration of 6%.

Limitations

Although MEG is efficient in terms of temporal and spatial resolution, the distribution of MEG mapping is still limited due to the high costs of the modality and as a consequence its limited availability. Therefore, the amount of data presently available for tumor resection is still quite scarce.

Navigated TMS

Neurosurgical Use

Transcranial magnetic stimulation is an older technique, which uses a transcranial magnetic field to elicit a cortical electrical field and thus neuronal activation or inhibition. The integration of TMS in an adjusted navigation system made it available for presurgical functional mapping, because this allows for an exact cortical representation of stimulation or inhibition by TMS and the induced or inhibited functional response. Single-pulse TMS is readily used for motor response stimulation, whereas repetitive TMS inhibits functional activation, leading to a so-called virtual lesion, which has been recently used for language mapping.

Technical Details

Navigated TMS is a unique method for mapping essential brain function due to a fundamental difference between TMS and other functional brain imaging tools such as fMRI and MEG. When stimulated or inhibited cortical areas evoke a measurable physiological response, these areas are mandatory; i.e., essential to the observed reaction. Other imaging methods such as fMRI and MEG detect and map all brain areas that participate in a given task or reaction; i.e., the entire network that is responsible for the reaction, without differentiating essential from nonessential areas.

Current Evidence

Navigated TMS has recently proven to be suitable for clinical mapping of the cortical motor areas and for the simultaneous assessment of the functional status of the motor tracts. For a detailed evaluation of their mapping accuracy, the noninvasive nTMS method and the DCS cortical map of motor function were compared by calculating the distances between the respective hot spots or centers of gravity of target muscles. Although there are various methodological flaws inherent to this approach—
from the navigational error to the misconception of one hot spot or stable center of gravity for each muscle—all studies on nTMS mapping accuracy reported distances between both methods of 1.1 and 14.8 mm for the hot spot comparison of the adductor pollicis brevis muscle.27,51,86,117

Due to the low expense of nTMS and the ease of use associated with the sufficient accuracy of this true electrophysiological method, an increasing number of centers use presurgical nTMS mapping when gliomas are located in or near eloquent areas.

Concerning presurgical planning, one study showed that brain mapping by nTMS influenced the surgical approach and the planned EOR, and even changed the indication in a small group of patients.86 Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that tumor-infiltrated eloquent tissue that prevented total tumor resection can become resectable due to functional reorganization over time as assessed by nTMS.86,112

Recently, the first study addressing the impact of nTMS on the oncological and functional outcome of brain tumor surgery was published.47 The study compared the outcomes of 100 patients treated with preoperative nTMS examination to those of patients in a matched in-house historical pre-nTMS group and revealed better neurological outcomes combined with increased EOR in the nTMS-mapped patient cohort. A similar second study revealed that these beneficial effects of preoperative nTMS also occurred in a subgroup of patients with LGGs.28 The authors provided data that nTMS caused a conversion of the treatment approach from biopsy or no surgery to surgery in 37 of 54 patients (68.5%).

Additionally, cortical nTMS mapping results can serve as a measure to standardize the visualization of subcortical motor fiber tracts. The cortical outline of essential motor areas by nTMS can be used as a seed region for initiation of a fiber tracking algorithm, leading to a more accurate and reproducible delineation of subcortical fibers than can be obtained with the standard tracking approach.29,46 This method has recently been shown to contribute to preoperative risk stratification by measuring the distance between subcortical tumors and the corticospinal tract (CST) as outlined by nTMS-based DTI-FT. The authors demonstrated that none of the 205 patients showed surgery-related paresis when the minimal distance between tumor and CST was larger than 10 mm.28

Whereas the protocol for nTMS motor cortical mapping is well established and reliable, nTMS language mapping for neurosurgical patients performed using repetitive TMS stimulation is still evolving. Even so, the first published series including the first tested protocol has already reported a good overall correlation between repetitive nTMS and DCS, which was especially true for negatively mapped brain regions, resulting in a high negative predictive value.85 Nonetheless, the insufficient specificity in posterior perisylvian language areas demands further refinements of the mapping protocol, a difficulty that already has been partially overcome by modifications.55

Navigated TMS language mapping has already been used as a tool for follow-up examinations prior to repeated awake surgery and to detect a shift of language function to the contralateral perisylvian region, and this method is therefore able to visualize language plasticity in patients with brain tumor.53,54,91

Limitations

nTMS motor mapping has proven to be beneficial in treatment planning for the resection of tumors close to eloquent regions, but this technique has limitations. Although mapping results obtained prior to surgery are useful, choosing an approach using presurgical mapping does not allow the surgeon to waive intraoperative electrical stimulation mapping and monitoring. Moreover, although nTMS serves to estimate resectability, EOR, and surgical approaches, and to define starting points for intraoperative electrical stimulation, nTMS language mapping will not allow the general abandonment of awake language mapping. However, this method could reduce awake mapping time, and for only a small subgroup of patients unable to undergo mapping while awake or electrical stimulation while asleep, presurgical nTMS mapping can reduce the surgical risk.

Diffusion Tensor Imaging Fiber Tracking

Neurosurgical Use

Although fMRI, MEG, and TMS allow for cortical localization of neurological function, none of these techniques is able to delineate subcortical white matter tracts arising from or connecting relevant cortical areas. Again, the aim of presurgical functional localization is to assess lesion resectability and surgical risk prior to taking the patient to the operating room and to provide intraoperative orientation regarding when to expect relevant subcortical fibers and when to initiate subcortical electrical stimulation mapping during surgery.5,11

Technical Details

Only a single technique—DTI-FT—is available to noninvasively depict subcortical white matter tracts, the preservation of which is also important to maintain neurological functions.15,73,75,105,108 However, the reconstruction of subcortical fiber tracts from diffusion tensor vectors is a purely anatomical imaging analysis that does not include true electrophysiological functional data.

Current Evidence

Particularly for preoperative mapping of the CST in relation to a tumor, DTI-FT is a commonly used technique.2,5,8,73,78,106 Various studies have investigated the accuracy of pre- and intraoperative DTI-FT compared with intraoperative SCS mapping of the motor pathways, which revealed mostly good intraoperative correlations of DTI-FT and SCS, depending on intraoperative brain shift.78,81,127,128 The sensitivity of CST detection was 95% in a series by Bello et al.,6 and the sensitivity was 93% at a specificity of 93% in a series by Zhu et al. Several reports have shown that especially in LGGs, fibers were frequently located inside the tumor, and DTI-FT was able to visualize these fibers.5,6,78 This aspect contributes to the estimation of resectability. In a series of 73 gliomas, Castellano et al. revealed that an infiltration or displacement of the DTI-FT CST was associated with a lower probabil-
ity of total tumor resection.12 In a prospective randomized trial including 238 patients, the presurgical DTI-FT of the CST and inclusion in the neuronavigation system did lead to a larger EOR, an improved clinical outcome with regard to new deficits, and improved overall functional status in comparison with the control group without FT.125

However, in addition to the limitation of solely anatomical fiber delineation, a major limitation of the intraoperative use of DTI-FT when integrated into neuronavigation is the brain shift, which has already happened when subcortical fibers are reached during a tumor resection. A study by Nimsky et al. revealed CST shifts at a range from −8 to 15 mm, where direction of shift was not predictable.74 Thus, DTI-FT is a valuable additional tool for preoperative planning, but it requires a strict control modality when used intraoperatively within the navigation systems during resection of tumors close to the CST; that control is intraoperative electrical stimulation mapping.74,83,127

The introduction of nTMS in neurosurgical planning led to the fusion of the neurophysiologically based nTMS motor mapping and DTI-FT as a pure imaging technique by using the motor cortex as outlined by nTMS as a seed region for DTI-FT. Two recently reported studies have investigated this approach and both found a higher grade of standardization of DTI-FT when combined with nTMS.29,46 Moreover, this technique can be used to clarify highly impaired and unclear functional anatomy prior to surgery and enable the surgeon to get a better understanding of the essential structures, which have to be preserved (Fig. 2).

Apart from motor system tracking, DTI-FT can also be used to noninvasively visualize language tracts such as the arcuate fasciculus and the inferior frontooccipital fasciculus, and comparisons of this method to intraoperative electrical stimulation have been published.5,34,57,58,74 Similar to mapping of the CST, SCS for language tracts also correlated well with preoperative DTI-FT, with a sensitivity of 97%.5 As for motor tracts, a displacement or infiltration of subcortical language fiber tracts is predictive for a lower probability of a complete resection.12

Limitations

It remains unclear whether DTI-FT of language tracts based on cortical nTMS language representation would also be beneficial. This approach is currently being evaluated in our department, but studies on the value of such an approach are still lacking. Figure 3 gives an impression of combined cortical and subcortical nTMS-based planning to determine areas of language and motor function prior to surgery.

Intraoperative Electrical Stimulation Mapping and Monitoring

Neurosurgical Use

Whereas presurgical functional mapping serves as a tool to plan surgical treatments of tumors close to eloquent areas, intraoperative electrical stimulation techniques aim to guide resection in an attempt to achieve maximum re-

FIG. 2. Navigated TMS is able to clarify highly impaired and unclear functional anatomy prior to surgery and enables the surgeon to get a better understanding of the essential structures, which have to be preserved. Green = motor cortex; light blue = LGG; dark blue = nTMS-based DTI-FT of the CST; dark pink = language-involved cortex.
control group in which operations were not performed with the aid of stimulation mapping. The mapping group consisted of more patients with eloquently located LGG, and the rate of severe permanent deficits decreased from 17% to 6.5% in the mapping group while the rate of GTR increased. Furthermore, a recent meta-analysis on 8091 patients strengthened the evidence for stimulation mapping even more. In this analysis, late severe neurological deficits were observed in 3.4% of patients with intraoperative DCS mapping and in 8.2% of patients after resections performed without DCS mapping. Moreover, GTR was 75% with and 58% without stimulation mapping. The use of electrical stimulation mapping has an oncological (by increasing the EOR) and neurological (by reducing the incidence of new neurological deficits inflicted by surgery) benefit for patients with eloquently located tumors.

**Motor Mapping and Monitoring**

**Cortical Monitoring and Mapping**

For intraoperative mapping and monitoring of motor function, different techniques are available at a cortical or subcortical level to identify and monitor cortical motor areas or the subcortical tracts.

Continuous transcranial or cortical MEP monitoring is performed by stimulation of the motor cortex by using a train-of-five stimulation technique. Resulting MEPs are recorded by extremity electromyography, and the latency and amplitude are evaluated online for any changes during surgery. Certain criteria for a significant MEP change with predictive value concerning motor outcome have been described, as follows: 1) an amplitude reduction of 50% or more; \( \geq 4\)-mA increase in stimulation energy to maintain amplitude height.\(^{101} \) However, the majority uses a 50% or more amplitude decline as a significant warning criterion.\(^{52,69,96} \)

Reversible MEP amplitude declines of 50% or more are generally associated with temporary motor deficits, whereas irreversible MEP declines or an MEP loss predicts a permanent new motor deficit.\(^{48,66,68–70,109,110} \) Recently the reliability of this modality in terms of potentially false-negative events was investigated, proving that these events are mainly due to secondary injury to the motor system (hemorrhages, secondary ischemia) or resections in supplementary motor areas resulting in temporary motor deficits.\(^{52} \) This study clarified that postoperative events such as hematoma causing deterioration of motor function do not represent false-negative results when MEPs have remained stable during surgery.\(^{52} \)

The question arises whether MEP monitoring provides warning information resulting in a change of surgical strategy and potential return to baseline MEP signals or whether this monitoring provides predictive information for motor outcome only. In a series reported by Seidel et al., most MEP declines occurred abruptly and were reversible in only 60% of cases.\(^{101} \) Thus, the warning function of MEP monitoring is limited and the predictive value for motor outcome predominates.

**Subcortical Mapping**

To guide resections close to the motor pathways, cortical and subcortical mapping of the motor system are frequent-
ly used. For subcortical and cortical mapping stimulation, two different techniques are available: 50- or 60-Hz low-frequency stimulation or a high-frequency train-of-five stimulation as used for motor monitoring. This monitoring can be used as bipolar or monopolar stimulation (anodal cortical stimulation and cathodal subcortical stimulation). Whereas Berman et al.8 used 60-Hz bipolar stimulation with stimulation intensity ranging from 8 to 12 mA, Ohue et al.78 used a train-of-five monopolar cathodal stimulation from 5 to 20 mA and Mikuni et al.64 used 50-Hz bipolar stimulation without reporting any stimulation intensity.

There are a vast number of other studies reporting on bipolar, monopolar anodal, and monopolar cathodal stimulation applied as a train, which mostly concluded in a linear correlation of current and distance to the CST.64,83,88 Concerning this stimulation setup, Szelényi et al. performed a highly cited and crucial study comparing train application with the single-pulse technique as well as bipolar and monopolar stimulation.11 It was found that the CST is most efficiently identified using a multipulse train technique with a monopolar probe. Additionally, results of a large series of patients who underwent subcortical motor mapping were recently published, comparing the 60-Hz low-frequency technique to the train-of-five stimulation technique for subcortical motor mapping in patients with tumors involving the CST. This study revealed that in most situations high-frequency stimulation is superior to the older 50- or 60-Hz technique in its efficacy in identifying subcortical motor fibers.46 Train-of-five high-frequency stimulation seems to be the superior technique to stimulate MEPs from subcortical CST. Thereby, the MEP threshold (i.e., the energy necessary to elicit a peripheral MEP response) reflects the distance between the stimulation point and the CST. There have been attempts to provide a direct transfer between stimulation intensity and distance to the CST. This study reported a linear correlation between the SCS intensity at which an MEP could be elicited and the distance to the CST. Although this correlation is still under discussion, the majority of neurosurgeons presume a linear correlation of 1 mA of stimulation equals approximately 1 mm of distance of the stimulation point to the CST.64,76,78,88

Recently we published our own evaluation of the relation of stimulation distance and stimulation energy. These data revealed that the distance-to-energy relationship is not linear and that stimulation points are closer than assumed from the “1 mA resembles 1 mm” rule. In this study we were able to safely resect toward the CST until a threshold of 3 mA, which is approximately a distance of 2 mm. Some other studies also defined electrical safety margins (i.e., at which stimulation intensity at the white matter of the resection cavity the resection should be stopped to avoid injury to the CST).41,64,89,96 with consecutive surgery-related paresis. Although this safety margin was reported to be 6 mA for some time, a new study on continuous SCS as permanent monitoring of the CST used 1–3 mA in 24 of 67 cases without any new surgery-related permanent paresis.89

For many experienced neurosurgeons, SCS is the most reliable method for estimating the proximity to the CST during resection within the white matter.5,8,40,41,89 Thus, neurosurgeons are able to perform safer and even more radical tumor resections close to the CST.2,21

Cortical and Subcortical Language Mapping
Cortical Monitoring and Mapping

The meta-analysis by De Witt Hamer et al. included not only motor but also language eloquent tumors and therefore the corresponding DCS mapping.18 Thus, there are sufficient data at hand to demonstrate that it is difficult to operate on patients with left-sided perisylvian LGG without performing any intraoperative awake DCS mapping.

One large series on awake surgery for patients with glioma showed that only 4 of 243 patients (1.6%) suffered from any surgery-related permanent language deficit 6 months after surgery, and reported a GTR rate of 51.6% in patients with LGG.53,79,80,97

Moreover, as also shown in recent studies, DCS mapping during awake surgery can provide cortical maps of language function, which showed a high variability within the dominant hemisphere among the patients investigated.32,80,97

However, language mapping requires awake mapping, which has become a common tool in contemporary neurosurgery.97 Awake craniotomy is well accepted and failure rates are low.3,76 For mapping of language function during awake surgery, various protocols have been published. The most commonly used is presented in this review. Craniotomy should at least expose the tumor and up to 3 cm of surrounding brain surface. One-millimeter bipolar electrodes positioned 5 mm apart are used, starting with a low stimulus of a constant current with 1.5-mA square-wave pulses and increased to a maximum of 6 mA. A generator delivers biphasic trains of 50 or 60 Hz (depending on the electrical currents used in a particular country). The cortex is mapped every 5–10 mm, and positive stimulation sites at which language impairment was caused are marked with sterile numbered tickets (Figs. 4 and 5). Language tasks usually include systematic counting, naming, and reading; repetition and semantic tasks can be used as well, depending on the primary tumor location.25,97,113

Most importantly, continuous electrocorticography can be used to monitor afterdischarge potentials, and therefore eliminate the chance that language is impaired by focal seizures.

Subcortical Mapping

Awake surgery not only allows mapping of cortical language sites by DCS, but also enables mapping and monitoring of subcortical language tracts.96,97

Duffau et al. recently described the hodotopical model of language function.26 This model (hodotopical means a delocalized and dynamic model of language function) argues that the language network is organized in widespread, corresponding, separated cortico-subcortical subnetworks for syntactic, semantic, and phonological function.26,122 This parallel organization makes it possible for language function to recover after impairment of subnetworks due to resection or surgery-related ischemia. Yet this model, which also highly corresponds with clinical experience, makes it even more important not only to map cortical language sites during awake surgery but also to map and monitor subcortical fiber tracts during LGG resection.17,24,96

Concerning the technical aspect of subcortical map-
ping of language function, the same 5-mm spaced bipolar electrodes with a biphasic current (pulse frequency 50 or 60 Hz) are used, with a stimulation intensity of 2–6 mA and the same language tasks as for cortical mapping, depending on the targeted subcortical tract.25

Parallel to the mapping of cortical language areas and subcortical language tracts, awake surgery also enables continuous monitoring of language function by use of language tasks given by a trained neuropsychologist even during tumor resection.55,85,113

Future Directions

The techniques presented in this review have evolved significantly within recent years. Through functional mapping, treatment of gliomas within eloquent brain regions has been changed: indications have increased and overall survival and surgery-related deficits have been optimized. Although the possibilities of the techniques presented are immense, so are, for now, their limitations. The most important task in the near future is to improve their accuracy.
and conduct prospective studies to reach higher levels of evidence.

Whereas pre- and intraoperative mapping and monitoring of motor and language function has already been established, the possibilities of neuropsychological or cognitive mapping and monitoring should be investigated more intensively. Several authors have already highlighted the importance of neuropsychological testing before, during, and after glioma surgery.22,98,114,124 The clinical relevance of monitoring other cognitive functions like calculation20 has been shown.

Navigated TMS is not only able to map function but also to modulate it.21 Recent studies have shown its impact on connectivity within functional networks and therapeutic applications in a wide range of diseases—depression,23 acute19 and chronic59,96 pain, and epilepsy18,119 are under investigation. Moreover, TMS has been considered useful in neurocognitive rehabilitation.22

Moreover, it was repeatedly shown that nTMS can reveal tumor-induced plasticity for motor as well as for language function (Fig. 6).35,39,53,90,91 Whether and with what incidence this possibility is able to change surgical indications or the clinical course will be shown soon.

From our perspective, more surgeons need to acquire expertise in the already well-established techniques such as MEP mapping and monitoring, and some centers should also concentrate on increasing the knowledge of new techniques and their actual value in patient care.

Conclusions

Through thoughtful pre- and intraoperative mapping and monitoring the EOR can be maximized, with low rates of surgery-related deficits. The available techniques are feasible and should be used in all glioma resected with functional location surgery. Motor and language functions are already monitored successfully, and neuropsychological functions will also be more commonly monitored in the near future. As image-guided surgery is evolving to increase EOR, the indications for and possibilities of brain mapping are extended—these techniques should be used together to optimize surgical results. While improving surgical results, these techniques also help us to understand the complex neuronal architecture of the brain.
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