The use of epidural MCS for the treatment of refractory deafferentation pain was first described by Tsubokawa and colleagues in 1991. Since their initial reports, other groups have reported procedure-related success in treating deafferentation pain syndromes of varying causes, with success rates ranging from 50 to 80% for both central and trigeminal neuropathic pain. This procedure, in which minimal rates of morbidity have been demonstrated, is gaining increasing acceptance.

Precise intraoperative placement of the stimulating electrode over the motor cortex region corresponding to the painful body part is essential to successful outcome. Although most groups have reported intraoperative electrophysiological confirmation of motor cortex by using SSEP and/or EMG monitoring, initial localization techniques vary. The use of surface anatomical landmarks, scalp SSEPs, and computerized tomography and MR imaging–guided systems have all been reported. Functional imaging information, such as that obtained using MEG, fMR imaging, and optical imaging, is now routinely integrated into many neurosurgical procedures, including tumor/arteriovenous malformation resection and epilepsy surgery. Sole reliance on anatomical criteria for determination of eloquent cortex has been shown to have limitations, and the integration of such functional imaging data allows for precise and efficient surgical planning and may reduce the time necessary for intraoperative physiological verification.
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Precise intraoperative placement of the stimulating electrode over the motor cortex region corresponding to the painful body part is essential to successful outcome. Although most groups have reported intraoperative electrophysiological confirmation of motor cortex by using SSEP and/or EMG monitoring, initial localization techniques vary. The use of surface anatomical landmarks, scalp SSEPs, and computerized tomography and MR imaging–guided systems have all been reported.

Functional imaging information, such as that obtained using MEG, fMR imaging, and optical imaging, is now routinely integrated into many neurosurgical procedures, including tumor/arteriovenous malformation resection and epilepsy surgery. Sole reliance on anatomical criteria for determination of eloquent cortex has been shown to have limitations, and the integration of such functional imaging data is a useful adjunct in preoperative planning and risk assessment as well as for intraoperative guidance. We report our experience using functional imaging guidance with MEG as well as fMR imaging in patients undergoing MCS for the treatment of refractory pain.

**CLINICAL MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Five patients underwent functional imaging–guided epidural MCS. Indications for the procedure included atypical facial pain in three patients, brachial plexus avulsion in one, and post–spinal cord injury lower-extremity pain in one. In all cases pain was refractory to standard medical therapy, and the patients underwent comprehensive neurological and psychological evaluation prior to being considered for surgery.
The day prior to surgery, patients underwent MR imaging with skin fiducial markers placed on standard anatomical reference points used by the MEG system—the nasion and the left and right preauricular points. Additional fiducial markers were placed as indicated for the image guidance system. An fMR imaging motor activation paradigm involving movement of the affected body part was performed in two patients. Following the MR imaging session, the patients underwent MEG mapping of the sensory and motor cortices, performed on a 148-channel Magnes system (WH2500; 4-D Neuroimaging, San Diego, CA; Fig. 1). Somatosensory mapping, performed in all patients, consisted of recording and localizing evoked responses to tactile stimulation of the affected body part. Motor mapping, performed for the upper extremity, consisted of paced repetitive finger tapping movements, with the evoked responses triggered by EMG activity. In both sensory and motor mapping, evoked responses were averaged 250 times and filtered from 3 to 40 Hz. Using a single dipole model, activity was then localized onto the corresponding MR image by using standard MEG software.

Following functional image acquisition, the data were transferred over the network to the neurosurgical image-guided system (Cygnus PFS; Compass International, Rochester, MN). The necessary coordinate transformation to integrate the functional imaging data into the surgical database was performed with in-house software, allowing the coordinates for the sensory and motor cortices of the affected body part to be displayed within the standard surgical planning software (Fig. 2 upper).10

Patients underwent an awake craniotomy in which frameless stereotaxis was used. Intravenous sedation with Diprivan and midazolam was used, and the skin was anesthetized using a mixture of 1% lidocaine/0.25% bupivacaine. Using the image guidance system, the fiducial markers were registered to the scalp (Fig. 2 lower), the sensorimotor region was localized on the skin based on the integrated functional and anatomical imaging data (Fig. 3 upper), and the approximate location of the central sulcus was outlined (Fig. 3 lower). A curvilinear incision was made, centered over the motor cortex, and a rectangular craniotomy was made, exposing the dura. Epidural SSEPs recorded with an eight-contact electrode strip in response to median and trigeminal nerve stimulation were used to locate the site of phase reversal corresponding to the central sulcus. Once the region of the central sulcus was identified, a standard quadripolar laminectomy-type electrode (Resume II, model 3587A; Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) was placed over the dura, and direct stimulation was provided through the electrode to locate the cortical representation of the painful body part. Visual inspection of the facial and extremity muscles as well as EMG recordings were used to aid in localization. Once the appropriate location was determined, the electrode was sutured to the dura overlying the motor cortex (Fig. 4) and connected to an extension lead for the externalized trial period. Prophylactic anticonvulsant agents were given during the trial period.

Patients then underwent an in-hospital trial period of 2 to 5 days to determine if stimulation, performed at approximately two thirds the voltage threshold necessary for motor contractions, would provide significant pain relief, defined as greater than 50% pain reduction on a visual analog scale. If the trial period was successful, the system was placed internally and connected to a subcutaneous pulse generator (Itrel 3; Medtronic).

Fig. 1. Photograph showing the 148-channel whole-head neuromagnetometer system.

Fig. 2. Integration of MEG data into the frameless database. The locations of the MEG current dipoles representing the motor cortex (circles) and the sensory cortex (squares) are shown on an axial slice in the imaging database prior to (upper) and during (lower) the process of image registration.
RESULTS

In conjunction with the image guidance system, the functional imaging data were used to plan the skin incision and craniotomy and to guide initial placement of electrodes. In all cases, there was excellent correspondence between the location of the central sulcus and motor cortex as determined by functional imaging and that determined by intraoperative physiological inspection. Trial periods were successful in three patients in whom the system was then placed internally. At last follow-up examination (mean 6 months), visual analog scale scores indicated a mean pain reduction with stimulation of 55% in these patients. Stimulation parameters were a frequency of 110 Hz, pulse width of 210 µsec, and an amplitude range of 2 to 8 V, at approximately two thirds the threshold for motor contraction. Stimulation was performed from five to 10 times per day, with the duration of each session ranging from approximately 30 minutes to 2 hours.

DISCUSSION

Accurate localization of motor cortex and precise electrode placement are essential for maximal clinical efficacy of the procedure. Although MCS is a relatively new technique, a number of technical procedural variations have already been described. In the initial studies by Tsubokawa and colleagues and subsequently by Meyerson, et al., the authors reported using a single burr hole centered over the motor cortex. The location of the burr hole was determined by standard anatomical landmarks and skin-surface SSEPs, and the electrode was placed through the burr hole over the approximate location of the motor cortex. In later reports by Ebel, et al., and Peyron, et al., the authors suggested that a craniotomy should be used rather than a burr hole, allowing for more extensive mapping of the rolandic region. Further refinement of the technique with the introduction of anatomical image guidance, as described by Nguyen and colleagues, has been associated with improved long-term results. Although not all neurosurgeons have used image guidance, all perform intra- or postoperative direct functional mapping (SSEPs or direct stimulation) to guide placement of the stimulating electrode. Although functional imaging data such as that obtained using fMR imaging and MEG will never replace intraoperative physiological localization, the integration of such information into an image guidance system can serve as a useful adjunct and may allow for more
efficient planning and thus reduce the time necessary for the procedure. To our knowledge there have been no prior reports in which MEG guidance was used to perform this procedure, and there is one report13 in the literature in which the authors used fMR imaging for MCS.

Functional imaging is being increasingly used in neurosurgical procedures. Magnetoencephalography is a noninvasive functional imaging modality that is used to record and localize the magnetic activity generated by the nervous system as a direct consequence of neuronal activity. Functional MR imaging, which measures blood oxygenation and/or flow, is an indirect measure of neuronal activation. Whereas both fMR imaging and MEG provide excellent spatial resolution, MEG provides superior temporal resolution, on the order of milliseconds. Both of these imaging modalities can be routinely integrated into frame-based and frameless image guidance systems. This has been used to help guide resection of tumors and vascular malformations associated with eloquent cortex.12

Similarly, this combination of functional and anatomical information can be used to guide MCS procedures. We now routinely perform MEG and fMR imaging in our patients and integrate the information into our image guidance systems to assist in preoperative surgical planning and in intraoperative localization. The integration of preoperative functional imaging data into a frameless stereotactic database provides an efficient, precise, and reliable method of performing MCS surgery.
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