Variations in 30-day readmissions and length of stay among spine surgeons: a national study of elective spine surgery among US Medicare beneficiaries
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OBJECTIVE Pay-for-performance programs are targeting hospital readmissions. These programs have an underlying assumption that readmissions are due to provider practice patterns that can be modified by a reduction in reimbursement. However, there are limited data to support the role of providers in influencing readmissions. To study this, the authors examined variations in readmission rates by spine surgeon within 30 days among Medicare beneficiaries undergoing elective lumbar spine surgery for degenerative conditions.

METHODS The authors applied validated ICD-9-CM algorithms to 2003–2007 Medicare data to select beneficiaries undergoing elective inpatient lumbar spine surgery for degenerative conditions. Mixed models, adjusting for patient demographics, comorbidities, and surgery type, were used to estimate risk of 30-day readmission by the surgeon. Length of stay (LOS) was also studied using these same models.

RESULTS A total of 39,884 beneficiaries were operated on by 3987 spine surgeons. The mean readmission rate was 7.2%. The mean LOS was 3.1 days. After adjusting for patient characteristics and surgery type, 1 surgeon had readmission rates significantly below the mean, and only 5 surgeons had readmission rates significantly above the mean. In contrast, for LOS, the patients of 288 surgeons (7.2%) had LOS significantly lower than the mean, and the patients of 397 surgeons (10.0%) had LOS significantly above the mean. These findings were robust to adjustments for surgeon characteristics and clustering by hospital. Similarly, hospital characteristics were not significantly associated with readmission rates, but LOS was associated with hospital for-profit status and size.

CONCLUSIONS The authors found almost no variations in readmission rates by surgeon. These findings suggest that surgeon practice patterns do not affect the risk of readmission. Likewise, no significant variation in readmission rates by hospital characteristics were found. Strategies to reduce readmissions would be better targeted at factors other than providers.
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READMISSIONS for Medicare beneficiaries within 30 days of discharge are frequent and costly.17 Some readmissions may represent poor quality of care,16 and the risk of readmission varies among hospitals, suggesting that reduction in readmissions is possible.17 Therefore, both private payers and the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) have initiated programs aimed at reducing readmissions. Some of these programs, such as the CMS Hospital Readmission Reduction Program (HRRP), hold hospitals accountable for reducing readmissions among the medical and surgical patients they discharge.4 Hospitals may transfer this accountability to their physicians. However, physicians are also increasingly being held directly accountable for readmissions. For example, the CMS Quality Payment Program (QPP) holds physicians accountable for their patients’ readmissions;7 as does public reporting of quality data by individual physicians.4 Despite this, it is unclear if placing accountability
for readmissions on physicians is justified, because there are limited data to show that physician practice patterns influence readmissions.

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the role of physicians, and specifically spine surgeons, in readmission rates after elective lumbar spine surgery for degenerative conditions in a national study of US Medicare beneficiaries. Because variations in length of stay (LOS) have been shown to be associated with surgeon practice patterns in other populations, we also evaluated the variation in LOS in the same Medicare cohort.15

Methods

Study Population and Data Source

Medicare claims were provided by CMS, including Medicare Provider Analysis and Review (MEDPAR) hospital claims, outpatient clinic claims, carrier physician claims, and Denominator enrollment files, which were linked by beneficiary identifier and date from 2003 to 2007. This study was approved by our institutional review board. We used published and validated ICD-9-CM algorithms to select patients who underwent elective nonurgent lumbar spine surgery for degenerative conditions such as lumbar stenosis in the lumbosacral spine.8,10,17 Lumbar fusion and nonfusion procedures were included.

We excluded beneficiaries who were enrolled in a Health Maintenance Organization because some of their claims may not have been in the Medicare database.90 In addition, we required that all beneficiaries be continuously enrolled in Medicare from 1 year before the index surgical admission to 30 days after discharge in order to capture all relevant codes. Since reoperations could be associated with surgeon practice patterns in other populations, we also evaluated the variation in LOS in the same Medicare cohort.15

Length of Stay

The LOS was defined as the number of days from the index hospital admission to the index hospital discharge.

Data Analysis

We chose a modeling method that would address the inferential needs presented by the data we collected and the question at hand. Generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs) are well suited for this investigation. First, GLMMs support both dichotomous outcomes (for 30-day readmissions) and count outcomes (for LOS) by what we call the logistic mixed model (LogMM) and the Poisson mixed model (PoiMM), respectively. Second, GLMMs handle clustered outcomes which, in this case, are due to the lack of independence between patients treated at the same hospital and/or by the same surgeon.

For each outcome, we fit a series of models of increasing complexity, which helps us assess the effect of patient and treatment characteristics as well as the relative magnitude of surgeon and hospital characteristics and clustering variation. We fit the first model with clustering for surgeon and an intercept only; i.e., no covariates. We fit the second model with clustering for surgeon and adjusting for the following covariates: age, sex, race, dual Medicare and Medicaid enrollment, census division, Charlson-Deyo Comorbidity Index, and surgery. We fit a third model with clustering for surgeon and hospital, including the same covariates as the second model. Finally, we fit a fourth model with clustering for surgeon and hospital, includ-
ing the same covariates as the second and third models, plus surgeon volume, surgeon specialty, surgeon years of training, hospital volume, number of hospital beds, hospital for-profit status, and hospital medical school affiliation (Council of Teaching Hospitals affiliation). We used the second model for our results, and we used the third and fourth models for sensitivity analysis.

Results

Between 2004 and 2007, 39,884 Medicare beneficiaries underwent elective lumbar surgeries for degenerative conditions. These surgeries were performed by 3987 spine surgeons (orthopedic surgeons and neurosurgeons). Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. The majority of the cohort was female (56%) and white (94.5%). A total of 5.5% had joint Medicare/Medicaid status, which is an indicator of low socioeconomic status. The majority of the cohort was healthy; 13.3% had ≥ 2 comorbidities.

Type of surgery, surgeon characteristics, and hospital characteristics are listed in Table 2. Overall, 60.8% of surgeons were neurosurgeons. Surgeons were divided into tertiles based on years of training and number of cases per year (< 12, 12–24, and > 24 Medicare elective lumbar surgeries per year). The majority of hospitals had not-for-profit status. Hospitals were also divided into tertiles based on hospital volume of Medicare cases per year. Hospital size ranged from < 100 beds to ≥ 300 beds. Approximately one-third of the hospitals were 100–199 beds in size. Just over half of hospitals were affiliated with medical schools (53.0%).

Thirty-Day Readmissions

The mean 30-day readmission rate was 7.2%. After adjusting for patient characteristics and type of surgery, surgeon had a 30-day readmission rate below the mean and only 5 surgeons had a readmission rate significantly above the mean (Fig. 1). Our findings were robust to adjustments for surgeon characteristics and clustering within hospitals. Hospital characteristics (volume, size, for-profit status, and medical school affiliation) were not significantly associated with 30-day readmission rates.

Length of Stay

The mean LOS was 3.1 days (SD 2.2). The median LOS was 3.0 days (IQR 1.0–4.0 days). Among those who were readmitted within 30 days, the mean LOS was 3.8 days (SD 3.0, median 3.0 days, IQR 3.0). There was no significant correlation between LOS and 30-day readmission (Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient 0.1).

After adjusting for patient characteristics and type of surgery, we noted significant variations in LOS by sur-
We found that 7.2% of surgeons (n = 288) had significantly shorter LOS and 10% had significantly longer LOS (n = 397) than the mean (Fig. 2). In addition, we found significant variation in LOS by hospital for-profit status and size, with for-profit hospital status associated with shorter LOS (p = 0.005), but larger hospital size associated with longer LOS (p < 0.001).

**Discussion**

Among our cohort of 39,884 Medicare beneficiaries undergoing elective lumbar spine surgeries, we found no significant variation in readmission rates by spine surgeon. This suggests that individual surgeon practice patterns do not significantly impact the risk of readmission, and is consistent with prior research that showed that physicians do not impact risk of readmission for medical inpatients and that patient factors contribute the most to the risk of readmission. In addition, studies of unplanned readmissions after spine surgery have shown significant associations with patient race, comorbid conditions, preoperative diagnoses, and complications after surgical procedures. Variations by spine surgeon specialty have been studied, but no significant differences were noted between orthopedic and neurological surgeons in spine surgery. Our findings suggest that patient characteristics may be important factors influencing readmission rates. Programs that target physicians to reduce readmission rates may have limited effectiveness.

In contrast, we found significant variations in LOS by spine surgeons, even after adjustment for patient and hospital factors. We found no correlation between LOS and readmission rates. This is also consistent with previous research, and points to surgical practice patterns contributing to LOS. Goodwin et al. and Krell et al. separately showed that LOS for hospitalized patients varies by physician, even after adjustment for patient factors. Caminiti et al. then showed that LOS could be reduced by placing accountability on physicians. As hospitals try to meet the challenge of providing more care in the face of declining reimbursement, our findings represent an opportunity for spine surgeons to impact the cost of care by decreasing variation in their clinical practice to also decrease LOS. Our finding of no significant correlation between LOS and readmission rates has also been described before among medical readmissions, and is reassuring because quality improvement to reduce LOS would be unlikely to have the unintended consequence of increasing readmission rates.

Our study has limitations. It is an observational study and susceptible to bias and confounding. We studied Medicare beneficiaries; our findings may not apply to a younger population. Our study is limited by use of ICD-9-CM codes and their lack of detailed descriptions of surgical procedures, but we limited our sample to elective lumbar spine surgeries only, and stratified the sample by.
the number of levels fused. Surgeon volume could only be defined using elective lumbar spine surgeries for degenerative conditions, but spine surgeons would typically perform surgery in other levels of the spine as well. This data set did not include the number of spine surgeries performed in all populations—for example, among patients with private insurance or younger patients. Spine surgery volume for urgent spine conditions was not counted. Hospital characteristics were also limited to those included in the data set, and other factors may play a role in these outcomes. Despite these limitations, use of this Medicare data set allowed us to follow patients longitudinally to determine the 30-day readmission rates. Our study data preceeds major policy changes impacting readmissions, such as the Hospital Readmission Reduction Program, but is still relevant because readmissions have been a persistent issue.

Conclusions

Our study shows that individual spine surgeons did not significantly influence variations in 30-day readmission rates, after adjusting for patient and hospital characteristics. However, similar to other studies of hospital LOS, individual spine surgeons did significantly influence variations in LOS. Our findings suggest that efforts to reduce readmission rates targeted at individual spine surgeons may have limited effectiveness.
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FIG. 2. Variation in LOS by spine surgeon. The dotted line represents the average LOS normalized to a value of 1. Each individual spine surgeon’s estimates of LOS in multiples of the mean are presented as vertical bars (gray and black). The black line connects the mean estimated LOS for each spine surgeon, and the height of the bars represents the upper and lower 95% confidence intervals. The black bars represent spine surgeons who have 95% confidence intervals for the LOS that are above or below the normalized average of 1.


**Disclosures**

Dr. Singh is a consultant for AstraZeneca. This study was funded in part by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) Physician Faculty Scholars Program and by the Department of Neurosurgery, Medical College of Wisconsin, research and salary support (Dr. Wang). Dr. Sparapani received salary support from the RWJF.

**Author Contributions**

Conception and design: Wang, Singh. Acquisition of data: Wang, Sparapani. Analysis and interpretation of data: all authors. Drafting the article: all authors. Critically revising the article: all authors. Reviewed submitted version of manuscript: all authors. Approved the final version of the manuscript on behalf of all authors: Wang. Statistical analysis: all authors. Administrative/technical/material support: Wang. Study supervision: Wang.

**Supplemental Information**

**Previous Presentations**

This study was presented at the CNS Annual Meeting in New Orleans, Louisiana (oral presentation), 2015.

**Correspondence**

Marjorie C. Wang: Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI. mwang@mcw.edu.