Supratentorial high-grade gliomas: maximal safe anatomical resection guided by augmented reality high-definition fiber tractography and fluorescein
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OBJECTIVE The theoretical advantages of augmented reality (AR) with diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)–based high-definition fiber tractography (HDFT) and sodium fluorescein (F) in high-grade glioma (HGG) surgery have not been investigated in detail. In this study, the authors aimed to evaluate the safety and efficacy profiles of HDFT-F microscope-based AR cytoreductive surgery for newly diagnosed supratentorial HGGs.

METHODS Data of patients with newly diagnosed supratentorial HGGs who underwent surgery using the AR HDFT-F technique were reviewed and compared with those of a cohort of patients who underwent conventional white-light surgery assisted by infrared neuronavigation. The safety and efficacy of the techniques were reported based on the postoperative Neurological Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (NANO) scores, extent of resection (EOR), and Kaplan-Meier curves, respectively. The chi-square test was conducted for categorical variables. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS A total of 54 patients underwent surgery using the AR HDFT-F technique, and 63 underwent conventional white-light surgery assisted by infrared neuronavigation. The mean postoperative NANO scores were 3.8 ± 2 and 5.2 ± 4 in the AR HDFT-F group and control group, respectively (p < 0.05). The EOR was higher in the AR HDFT-F group (p < 0.05) than in the control group. With a mean follow-up of 12.2 months, the rate of progression-free survival (PFS) was longer in the study group (log-rank test, p = 0.006) than in the control group. Moreover, the complication rates were 9.2% and 9.5% in the study and control groups, respectively.

CONCLUSIONS Overall, AR HDFT-F–assisted surgery is safe and effective in maximizing the EOR and PFS rate for patients with newly diagnosed supratentorial HGGs, and in optimizing patient functional outcomes.
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Glioma surgery has two main goals: to maximize the extent of resection (EOR) of the tumor due to the consequent increase in overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) rates, and to improve the patient’s quality of life (QOL).†,‡ In doing so, the surgeon needs to have an accurate plan and properly execute the surgical procedures, both based on a deep knowledge of the white matter anatomy of the brain.
Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) high-definition fiber tractography (HDFT) has been proven useful for planning of the brain tumor resection as well as the postoperative assessment of the residual structural anatomical connectivity of the white matter fiber tracts. However, the potential benefits of its intraoperative use have not been extensively studied, with the exception of an experimental level often involving virtual or mixed reality. Contrary to augmented reality (AR), which has recently gained an increasing interest due to its extraordinary feasibility, virtual and mixed realities still have a wide range of limitations related to their implementation in the operative scenario.

Sodium fluorescein (F) has been validated as a useful in vivo fluorescent dye in high-grade glioma (HGG) surgery that can increase the EOR and rates of OS and PFS. Nevertheless, it provides no information about the connectivity nor the function of the tumoral tissue, with consequent well-known and nonnegligible risks of iatrogenic damages in the case of unrestricted, yellow-guided tumor resection.

The rationale of the combination of intraoperative HDFT and F dye lies in the possibility of increasing tumor resectability, thus resulting in better patient functional outcomes and QOL. The possibility of full integration in the form of microscope-based AR represents the greatest theoretical advantage for HGG surgery, since the surgeon can simultaneously use both techniques in a single tool.

The primary objective of the present study was to evaluate the safety and efficacy of HDFT-F microscope-based AR during the cytoreductive surgery of newly diagnosed supratentorial HGGs. The reliability and technical aspects of the technique were also analyzed.

Methods

The demographic, clinical, and surgical data of a consecutive series of patients with newly diagnosed supratentorial HGGs who underwent HDFT-F microscope-based AR-assisted surgery (AR HDFT-F group) (2019–2020) were retrospectively reviewed and compared with those of a cohort of patients who underwent conventional non-AR surgery assisted by infrared neuronavigation, brain mapping, and F (control group) (2018–2020). In the AR HDFT-F group, the surgeries were performed by two neurosurgeons who started to implement the technique when it was available at our institution. Only those patients who underwent concurrent adjuvant chemoradiotherapy were chosen.

Preoperative Workup

Neurological evaluation was conducted based on the Neurological Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (NANO) scores. In addition to conventional structural CT and MRI, all patients underwent diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and MR spectroscopy. The scheduled AR HDFT-F preoperative protocol involved the acquisition of DWI data on a 1.5T MRI scanner (MAGNETOM Symphony, Siemens Healthcare GmbH) and evaluation of diffusion-weighted 2D echo planar imaging sequences (TR 9000 msec, TE 109 msec, average 4, slices 45, slice thickness 3 mm, FOV 230 mm, matrix 128 × 128, spatial resolution 1.8 mm × 1.8 mm). The diffusion b-factors, encoding directions, and acquisition time were 0–800 sec/mm², 12 minutes, and 7.6 minutes, respectively. Dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced (DSC) MR perfusion and blood oxygenation level–dependent (BOLD) functional MRI (fMRI) were performed on selected patients of both groups based on the tumor location and availability of a trained team. Images were imported on a DICOM imaging workstation (OsiriX DICOM Viewer, Pixmeo), which enabled a detailed calculation of the tumor volume through a segmentation of the contrast-enhancing areas on the axial T1-weighted (T1W) MR image. In reporting the tumor location, the central lobe was also considered according to Yaşargil, whereas cortical pallial involvement was based on the corticoreticular categorization of the primitive mammalian cortex by Sarnat and Netsky. The eloquence of the lesions was based on the functional grading system proposed by Lacroix et al. and adapted from Sawaya et al.

In the study group, the surgical planning involved the transfer of DWI DICOM images on the navigation platform (Brainlab Curve, Brainlab AG) along with the CT, T1W contrast-enhanced (CE) 3D magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo imaging, 3D T2-weighted images, and FLAIR MR images, which were automatically fused. The Brainlab SmartBrush software was used for DTI-based HDFT. It comprehended a deterministic dual-tensor tracking algorithm with a fiber assignment by continuous tracking, with a minimum fiber length of 100 mm and fractional anisotropy threshold of 0.1. Moreover, it involved automatic or interactive multiplanar regions of interest selection. The fiber tracking protocol involved the corticospinal tract; arcuate, uncinate, occipitofrontal, superior, and inferior longitudinal fasciculus; optic radiation (Meyer’s loop); anterior commissure; and cingulum. The software also allowed a color 3D visualization of the tumor via a semiautomatic multiplanar contouring.

Choice of Approach

In the AR HDFT-F group, the choice of the approach, including the surgical position, was based on the tumor location; relationships with the neighboring white matter fiber tracts on DTI; dominance of the involved hemisphere; and the patient’s age, comorbidities, and neurological status. Apart from the HDFT data, which were not included in the surgical planning, the clinical factors of the patients were the same in the control group. For those HGGs which were either primarily affected or close to the corticospinal tract, cortical-subcortical mapping was employed in both groups; the stimulation technique (direct cortical or transcranial) was decided on a case-by-case basis.

Planning EOR

In all Lacroix grade I tumors and gyral or lobar grade II tumors of the nondominant hemisphere, the target of surgery was a supratotal removal of the tumor, which was mainly planned on FLAIR and performed via a sulcal-to-sulcal resection. However, the EOR was tailored according to the BOLD fMRI and cortical-subcortical mapping. In left-sided grade II and grade III HGGs, a tumorectomy of the contrast-enhancing area on T1W MRI was planned. In deep-seated and subcortical HGGs residing below the sulcal level, a transsulcal parafascicular approach was em-
ployed. Instead, tumors abutting the cortex were treated using a transgyral approach.

**Surgical Workflow in AR HDFT-F Group**

Asleep craniotomy was performed in all patients. After induction of anesthesia, F was administered at a dose of 5 mg/kg. After CT-based optical tracking registration, an autodetection on the part of the robotic surgical microscope (KINEVO 900, Carl Zeiss) established the integration with the navigation platform. The surgical microscope was equipped with two integrated filters (YELLOW 560 and INFRARED 800, Carl Zeiss). Then, HDFT images were injected into the microscope eyepieces as colored fiber tracts which, as needed, can be overlapped onto the surgical scene at any step of the surgery. Optionally, single tracts can be added or unviewed as virtual modular elements of the AR. It was the same for the tumor, viewable as a 3D solid shape in color. The microscope-based navigation enabled the focus to be used as a probe tip, whereas the microscope eyepiece functioned as a “see-through display” of the AR. Focus adjustments produced variations in the number, types, and amounts of the visualized fiber tracts based on their depth. AR did not limit the surgical workflow. The YELLOW 560 filter enabled the detection of the cortical areas of pathological enhanced fluorescence. The amount of safely resectable fluorescent tissue was determined based on the anatomical relationship with the neighboring fiber tracts and was also confirmed by cortical-subcortical mapping when available. The brain-shift correction involved a finite-element model-based elastic fusion.

**Surgical Workflow in the Control Group**

Surgeries were performed with two different surgical microscopes (OPMI Neuro-NC4 and KINEVO 900, Carl Zeiss). The decision to stop surgery was based on the surgeon's experience in recognizing tumor boundaries, brain mapping data, and fluorescent enhancement.

**Histology, Follow-Up, and Adjuvant Therapy**

The histological types were reported according to the WHO 2016 classification of central nervous system tumors. The O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) methylation status and isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1) mutation were also evaluated in some patients according to the availability of the pathology department at the time of surgery. In both groups, the patients underwent an ultra-early postoperative CE MRI within 48 hours, repeated at the first month and every 3 months thereafter. Adjuvant chemoradiotherapy involved the standard Stupp protocol. Bevacizumab was administered to 8 patients in the AR HDFT-F group and 6 patients in the control group.

**Imaging Criteria for Residual and Recurrent Tumor, Pseudoprogression/Radionecrosis, and Pseudoresponse**

Images were reviewed for the EOR on the same DICOM imaging workstation by two neurosurgeons and a neuroradiologist who were blinded to the employment of AR HDFT-F for surgery. On ultra-early postoperative MRI, the criterion for diagnosis of residual tumors was the evidence of variable contrast enhancements, unrestricted on DWI images. During the mid- and long-term imaging follow-up, the diagnosis of local recurrence was supported by 1) CEs on T1W and hyperintensities on T2/FLAIR sequences, 2) increased cerebral blood volume (CBV) and relative CBV (rCBV) on DSC perfusion MRI, and 3) increased choline/creatine ratio and absolute choline on spectroscopy. The volumes of the remnants and recurrent tumors were calculated through their segmentation. In patients receiving concomitant radiation therapy and temozolomide within the first 3 months after surgery, the occurrence of hyperintensities on T1W CE MRI associated with a low CBV/ rCBV and choline/creatine ratio, with a normal diffusivity, was classified as pseudoprogression/radionecrosis and was managed conservatively.

In patients treated with bevacizumab and in whom a rapid enhancement reduction and vasogenic cerebral edema occurred, the differential diagnosis between tumor progression and pseudoresponse was based on the findings of the perfusion- and diffusion-weighted MRI and MR spectroscopy.

**Sample Homogeneity and Outcome Measures**

R (https://www.r-project.org) and Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, Inc.) software were used for statistical analysis. Continuous variables with normal distributions were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categorical variables as percentages. To test the homogeneity, the groups were compared using an unpaired t-test for numerical data, the Welch’s t-test for continuous variables with different variances, and the chi-square test for categorical variables. For dichotomous variables, the odds ratio was also estimated.

The safety profiles of the AR HDFT-F surgery group and the control group were obtained from patient postoperative neurological assessment and were reported as the average between the postoperative and 3-month NANO score.

The efficacy of each technique was evaluated in terms of the maximal safe EOR and survival curves. The EOR was reported by Chaichana et al. as the percentage ratio between the difference in the preoperative and postoperative tumor volume and preoperative tumor volume. Gross-total resection (GTR), near-total resection (NTR), and subtotal resection of the EOR were defined as 100%, 99%–98%, and ≤ 97%, respectively. The chi-square test was used to compare the differences between the groups with regard to the pre- and postoperative NANO scores and EOR; p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant in each of the aforementioned tests.

The OS rate was calculated from surgery until the patient’s death at the last follow-up, if not censored. The PFS rate was estimated as the time between surgery and the discovery of tumor progression or patient death at the last follow-up, if not censored. Response to the first-line treatment and disease progression was assessed at each follow-up according to the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology criteria. OS and PFS analyses were conducted using the Kaplan-Meier method, and comparisons between the groups were performed using the log-rank test; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.
Classification of Complications

Based on the four-grade scale proposed by Landriel Ibáñez et al., surgical complications were classified as grade I, non–life-threatening; grade II, requiring surgery; grade III, life-threatening; and grade IV, lethal.28

Results

Overall, 117 patients underwent surgery: 54 in the AR HDFT-F group and 63 in the control group. Patient age ranged from 31 to 78 years, with a mean age of 55 years. Among the patients, 41% were female. No differences were observed in the demographic and clinical data aside from the mean tumor volume (Table 1). The mean postoperative NANO scores were 3.8 ± 2 and 5.2 ± 4 in the AR HDFT-F group and control group, respectively (p = 0.011) (Fig. 1A). The GTR + NTR rate was higher in the AR HDFT-F group than in the control group (OR 3.03, 95% CI 1.25–8.02; p = 0.019) (Fig. 1B). Table 2 presents the patient neurological outcomes and resection data. The median follow-up was 12.2 months in both groups.

The OS was similar in both groups (log-rank test, p =

### TABLE 1. Patient demographic, clinical, and histological data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AR HDFT-F Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, yrs</td>
<td>54.8 ± 11</td>
<td>55.4 ± 11</td>
<td>0.755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>30 (55.6)</td>
<td>39 (61.9)</td>
<td>0.573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>24 (44.4)</td>
<td>24 (38.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-sided HGG</td>
<td>34 (63)</td>
<td>39 (62)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>12 (22.2)</td>
<td>12 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central</td>
<td>10 (18.5)</td>
<td>12 (19)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal</td>
<td>5 (9.3)</td>
<td>7 (11.1)</td>
<td>0.932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>3 (5.6)</td>
<td>4 (6.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>12 (22.2)</td>
<td>18 (28.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limbic</td>
<td>12 (22.2)</td>
<td>10 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical pallial involvement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isocortex (neocortex)</td>
<td>42 (77.8)</td>
<td>53 (84.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesocortex</td>
<td>11 (20.4)</td>
<td>9 (14.3)</td>
<td>0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocortex (paleocortex)</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lacroix functional location grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>29 (53.7)</td>
<td>36 (57.2)</td>
<td>0.897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
<td>7 (11.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>18 (33.3)</td>
<td>20 (31.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean preop tumor volume, cm³</td>
<td>12.5 ± 6</td>
<td>15.1 ± 7</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological type according to WHO 2016 tumor classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma <em>IDH</em>-mutant 9401/3</td>
<td>10 (18.5)</td>
<td>5 (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma <em>IDH</em>-wildtype 9401/3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (3.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma NOS 9401/3</td>
<td>2 (3.7)</td>
<td>3 (4.8)</td>
<td>0.447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma <em>IDH</em> wildtype 9440/3</td>
<td>10 (18.5)</td>
<td>21 (33.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma <em>IDH</em> mutant 9440/3</td>
<td>19 (35.2)</td>
<td>16 (25.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma NOS 9440/3</td>
<td>13 (24.1)</td>
<td>16 (25.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGMT status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>40 (74)</td>
<td>36 (57)</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>9 (16.7)</td>
<td>17 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOS</td>
<td>5 (9.3)</td>
<td>10 (16)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean follow-up, mos</td>
<td>12.2 ± 5</td>
<td>12.2 ± 4</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median OS, days</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>0.537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOS = not otherwise specified.

Values represent the number of patients (%) or mean ± SD unless indicated otherwise.

* The groups were not statistically different, apart from tumor volume.
0.097), whereas the PFS was longer in the AR HDFT-F group (log-rank test, p = 0.006). Figure 2 presents the OS and PFS curves in both groups.

Complications
No grade III to IV complications were found in either group, and none of the operative complications were attributable to the AR assistance in the HDFT-F group. Moreover, in the AR HDFT-F group, 5 complications occurred (9.2%): 2 postoperative hematomas, 1 left caudate-nucleus ischemia in an insular anaplastic astrocytoma, and 2 radio-necrosis. In the control group, 2 postoperative hematomas, 1 radionecrosis, 1 thalamic ischemic infarct, 1 hemorrhagic infarct due to occlusion of the vein of Labbé, and 1 pseudo-response, for a total of 6 complications (9.5%), were noted. In both groups, postoperative hematomas were evacuated with no neurological sequelae. The caudate-nucleus ischemia caused mild cognitive and behavioral dysfunction in that patient, which resolved within 1 month. The two patients with radionecrosis were successfully managed with corticosteroids when symptomatic. The thalamic infarct and the occlusion of the vein of Labbé caused hemiplegia in both patients; the patients partially recovered after 6 months of neuromotor rehabilitation.

Illustrative Cases
Case 1: Primary Motor Cortex Glioblastoma of the Dominant Hemisphere
A 65-year-old right-handed female patient experiencing seizures was diagnosed with left primary motor cortex gyral glioblastoma. Preoperative DTI-based HDFT revealed splitting and medialization of the corticospinal tract. The inferior aspect of the lesion also caused an initial caudal displacement of the dorsal component of the superior longitudinal fasciculus (SLF), namely, SLF I, and arcuate fasciculus. Preoperative evaluation of these aspects was important for surgical planning. A transgyral approach was employed with the AR HDFT-F and cortical-subcortical mapping (Fig. 3). Tumor resection was started in the center and progressively carried out toward the peripheral boundaries, achieving the maximal safe EOR while having a constant awareness about the spatial location of the fiber tracts (Fig. 4 and Video 1).

VIDEO 1. AR HDFT and F in the resection of a primary motor cortex glioblastoma of the dominant hemisphere. Copyright Sabino Luzzi. Published with permission. Click here to view.

Postoperative MRI documented a GTR of the tumor, along with the anatomical integrity of the corticospinal tract, SLF I, and arcuate fasciculus (Fig. 3). The patient was discharged without deficits on the 3rd postoperative day. Histology was conclusive for an IDH1-mutated, MGMT-methylated glioblastoma. The treatment was completed by conventional adjuvant therapy, and at the 15-month follow-up, the patient had not experienced recurrence.

Case 2: Insular Anaplastic Astrocytoma
A 63-year-old male patient with new-onset sleep-related hypermotor epilepsy underwent brain MRI, which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>AR HDFT-F Group</th>
<th>Control Group</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean NANO score</td>
<td>5.1 ± 2</td>
<td>4.9 ± 2</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preop</td>
<td>3.8 ± 2</td>
<td>5.2 ± 4</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOR</td>
<td>44 (81.4)</td>
<td>38 (60.3)</td>
<td>3.03 (1.25–8.02)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTR + NTR</td>
<td>46 (85)</td>
<td>41 (65)</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values represent the number of patients (%) or mean ± SD unless indicated otherwise.

* Postoperative NANO score and EOR did differ significantly (p < 0.05).
FIG. 2. Kaplan-Meier curves of OS (A) and PFS (B).

FIG. 3. A–D: Preoperative axial T1W non-CE MR image (A) and axial (B), sagittal (C), and coronal (D) T1W CE MR images showing a left primary motor cortex gyral glioblastoma. E–H: Multiplanar 3D-rendered visualization of the left corticospinal tract and SLF obtained by the T1W CE and HDFT image fusion, used for surgical planning. The tumor was contoured, and the red solid shape corresponded to its volumetric representation. I–L: Postoperative T1W CE and HDFT MR images confirming the GTR of the glioblastoma along with the integrity of the corticospinal tract and SLF. Insets in panels F, G, and H show the axial, sagittal, and coronal 2D images. Insets in J, K, and L depict the 3D images of the related axial, sagittal, and coronal 2D images, respectively.
FIG. 4. Intraoperative photographs obtained under white light (A), YELLOW 560 filter (B), and AR HDT (C) before tumor resection. D–I: The main steps of the surgery that were performed in large part along with the AR HDT-F technique.
revealed a right insular cystic HGG. Preoperative HDFT revealed the anatomical relationships between the tumor, the occipitofrontal fasciculus, and the corticospinal tract (Fig. 5). A pericallosal transsylvian-transinsular approach was performed with the assistance of AR HDFT-F, transcranial motor evoked potentials, and cortical-subcortical mapping. Intraoperatively, AR HDFT-F was particularly useful during the resection of the deepest part of the tumor, at the interface with the putamen (Fig. 6). Postoperative MRI and HDFT confirmed the GTR, along with the full visualization of the fiber tracts (Fig. 5). The patient was discharged neurologically intact 7 days later, and the histology revealed an IDH1-mutated, MGMT-methylated anaplastic astrocytoma. No recurrence was present at the 21-month follow-up.

Discussion
The present study aimed to review and critically assess the safety and efficacy of the HDFT-F microscope-based AR surgery in the management of newly diagnosed supratentorial HGGs.

AR HDFT-F assistance was proven to be safe, as no grade III to IV complications occurred and no intraoperative complications directly attributable to the adjunction of AR were observed. The types, grades, and percentages of complications were similar in both the AR HDFT-F and control groups (9.2% vs 9.5%). Analogous results about the safety of AR have been reported in other studies.10,29,30 The evidence of better postoperative NANO scores in the study group also enabled us to consider the AR HDFT-F assistance among such technical improvements to be useful in achieving better overall functional outcomes and QOL after glioma surgery. The EOR was significantly higher with the AR HDFT-F assistance, leading to a resection rate of ≥ 98% in 85% of the patients. These data are in agreement with the AR HDFT-F technique. Deliberate HDFT- or F-guided resections may potentially lead to an unplanned supratal total removal of the lesion, which is detrimental.34

Advantages of AR HDFT-F Assistance
AR HDFT-F increases the surgeon’s awareness of the spatial location of the fiber tracts. The integration with the robotic surgical microscope enables the optimal use of the head-up or see-through display. AR HDFT-F allows for a differential visualization of each tract, which can be added or removed from the surgical scene as needed. AR can be used continuously or as needed during the duration of surgery, allowing for the intraoperative visualization of fiber tracts from incision to closure. It is also safe for the patient as it does not involve tracers or dyes. AR HDFT-F can be coupled with indocyanine green videoangiography. The brain-shift correction updates the virtual visualization of the fiber tracts, thus maintaining a high accuracy of neuro-navigation. AR HDFT-F can be employed with the use of different microscopes, endoscopes, and ultrasound scanners. It can be coupled with a full-HD exoscopic vision, such as that offered by some new-generation microscopes. However, the present series involved only asleep craniotomies. This is mainly due to the availability of a fully trained team. Our preliminary experience enabled us to collect sufficient information to hypothesize that, during awake craniotomy, AR HDFT-F assistance may offer further advantages in optimizing patient surgical outcomes.

In the near future, the clinical implementation of probabilistic tracking algorithms during surgical planning may allow an intraoperative microscopic mapping of the fiber tracts, further improving the spatial resolution of bundles. A 3D microscopic probabilistic stereotactic map of the main fiber tracts of the human brain has already been reported by Bürgel et al. in 2006.39

Technical Limitations of AR HDFT-F Assistance
The main technical limitation of AR HDFT-F is related to the intrinsic constraint of the DTI.40–44 DTI provides only anatomical information but no data about the function. For this reason, brain mapping ought to be coupled with the AR HDFT-F technique. Deliberate HDFT- or F-guided resections may potentially lead to an unplanned and detrimental supratotal removal of the lesion, which is
FIG. 5. A–H: Preoperative axial T1W (A), T2*-weighted gradient echo (B), diffusion-weighted (C), apparent diffusion coefficient (D), and FLAIR (E) images and multiplanar axial (F), sagittal (G), and coronal (H) T1W-weighted CE MR images. FIG. 5. (continued)→
considered an overtreatment. Two further limitations have been observed based on our experience, namely, the parallax and the crowding of the fiber tracts. The parallax consists of an optical error common to all the projector-based AR approaches. After the optical tracking registration, the location of virtual images in the operative scene is spatially reliable only if the surgeon’s view and the projector have a direct line of sight.\textsuperscript{1} and \textsuperscript{38,45} The parallax error increases with the depth of the virtual objects or also with the increase in surgeon/projector misalignment.\textsuperscript{38,45} During surgery, this phenomenon is further enhanced by the constant zoom and focus adjustments. Due to the parallax error, Ferrari and Cutolo strongly discouraged the use of AR in tailoring skin incisions, craniotomy, and the dural opening for tumor resections.\textsuperscript{38} The crowding of fiber tracts may lead to paradoxical disorientation. An “extra-visualization” of fiber tracts may limit or even hide vital structures, making the AR potentially dangerous.

**Limitations of the Study**

The limitations of this study include the small number of patients treated with AR HDFT-F assistance. Indeed, our experience ought to be considered as preliminary. Another limitation is that the use of cortical-subcortical mapping intrinsically plays as a potential bias for data interpretation. Since the AR HDFT-F involves a full integration between anatomical information and the functional information about the fiber tracts, we cannot discern whether

**FIG. 5.** I–L: Multiplanar 3D-rendered visualization of the tumor (red solid shape), right corticospinal tract (blue), and occipitofrontal fasciculus (green). M–T: Postoperative multiplanar 3D CT scan (M) and T1W CE MR (N–P), T2 FLAIR+ HDFT (Q), and T1W CE+ HDFT (R–T) images.

**FIG. 6.** A–D: The surgical position (A) and main steps of the sylvian fissure splitting under white light (B and C) and the YELLOW 560 filter (D), the latter revealing the fluorescence uptake by the tumor. In panels C and D, the respective frames of the indocyanine green videoangiography are shown as insets. E–G: The variations of the depth of the focus in AR HDFT enabled the visualization and spatial localization of the corticospinal tract and the occipitofrontal fasciculus from the different perspectives of the surgical field. Insets in panels E and F show the relative different depths of the focus. H–K: The main steps of the tumor resection performed in AR HDFT-F. In panel K, the respective frame of the indocyanine green videoangiography is shown in the inset.
the discontinuation of surgery was certainly attributable to the structural anatomical data of the AR HDFT, or partially influenced by the functional information derived from the neuromonitoring. In addition, the different expertise of the surgeons is also a limitation.

Conclusions
In our preliminary experience, HDFT-F microscope-based AR has been proven to be safe and effective in maximizing the EOR and PFS rate for patients with newly diagnosed supratentorial HGGs. In comparison with the non-AR surgery, it results in a higher postoperative NANO score. The parallax error and crowding of the fiber tracts are shortcomings that still, in part, limit the accuracy and reliability of the technique. Coupling with intraoperative brain mapping techniques should be considered mandatory since the AR HDFT-F technique provides precious information about the structural anatomical connectivity of the fiber tracts but no data about the function.
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