Anterior lumbar interbody fusion (ALIF) is an effective surgical technique for the treatment of a wide range of pathologies of the lumbosacral spine. Evolution in surgical technique, approach, cage design, and biological implants has enhanced its safety profile and expanded the clinical utility since its introduction in the early 1930s.1,2 ALIF is currently the second most common method of spinal fusion performed in patients with degenerative disc disease (DDD), herniated nucleus pulposus, or back pain.3 Clinical and radiological outcomes are particularly favorable for DDD, spondylolisthesis, and failed posterior fusion.4

The adoption of ALIF in degenerative disorders can be attributed to the unique advantages conferred by the anterior approach and its ideal application to the L5–S1 level. The bifurcation of the aorta and vena cava above this level provides direct and unobstructed access to the anterior disc space at L5–S1, resulting in a wide working corridor. This is in contrast to posterior and lateral approaches, where access is limited by bony, ligamentous, or muscular structures. The efficient access allows for a comprehensive discectomy, increased distraction, foraminal decompression, and deformity correction, including restoration of lumbar lordosis, reduction of anterolisthesis, and achievement of coronal and sagittal balance.5–12 ALIF restores foraminal height, local disc angle, and lordosis better than transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion.13 Fusion potential is also enhanced due to the larger surface area that is in contact with the graft.8,14–17 Other reported benefits include reduced blood loss, short operating times, and reduced hospital stay.8,18–21

Despite the clear benefits, ALIF is not without associated risks. The proximity of the anterior spinal column to major blood vessels places them at significant risk of iatrogenic injury. Venous injuries are the predominant complication, with deep venous thrombosis occurring in 2%–11% of patients. Damage to the sympathetic (superior hypogastric) plexus can result in leg and groin dysesthesias as well.

ABBREVIATIONS ALIF = anterior lumbar interbody fusion; AP = anteroposterior; DDD = degenerative disc disease; EMG = electromyography; NCS = nerve conduction study; SSEP = somatosensory evoked potential.
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as retrograde ejaculation (1%–4.3%). Visceral complications include postoperative ileus, hernia, and bowel perforation. Prosthesis-related injuries such as graft migration or subsidence and infection represent 1% and 2% of complications, respectively. Nerve root injuries, while more prevalent in the posterior and lateral approaches, are scarcely reported in the anterior approach due to sparing of neural elements. Paresthesias and weakness are more common in lateral lumbar interbody fusion and vary widely in frequency (0.7%–30% and 3.4%–23.7%, respectively).

We conducted a retrospective review of all L5–S1 ALIF procedures performed at our institution between 2017 and 2019 specifically evaluating for nerve root injury. We present the cases of 3 patients with postoperative L5 nerve root injuries. An explanation of the anatomical basis of this injury based on current literature and recommendations to mitigate this complication are discussed.

Summary of Cases

A total of 352 ALIF procedures were performed at our institution from 2017 to 2019. Of the 352 procedures, 111 were stand-alone ALIFs at L5–S1 with no posterior instrumentation or fusion. Seven patients within this cohort were identified as having experienced symptomatic nerve root injuries within 60 days of surgery. Two patients had cage subsidence causing nerve impingement. One patient developed osteodiscitis leading to radiculopathy. The other 4 patients developed L5 nerve root injuries. An explanation of the anatomical basis of this injury based on current literature and recommendations to mitigate this complication are discussed here.

Case 1

An 80-year-old man presented with complaints of progressive lumbosacral pain accompanied by radiation to the lateral calves and buttocks along with hip pain and stiffness. The pain was severe and occurred daily and significantly limited his ability to stand or walk for extended periods. The patient’s past medical history was significant for extensive cardiac disorders and bilateral hip replacements. His past surgical history was also notable for a primary multilevel laminectomy and L3–4 fusion and extensive spondylotic disease with large bridging osteophytes from the thoracic spine to L5. On examination, motor strength and sensation were intact and symmetric in the bilateral lower extremities. Imaging studies, including CT myelography and dynamic radiography, revealed multilevel ankylosis throughout the lumbar spine with large bridging osteophytes from the thoracic spine to L5, severe disc degeneration at L5–S1, loss of disc height, and vacuum disc phenomenon (Fig. 1).

The patient underwent many sessions of physical therapy and steroid injections without relief. He was admitted to the hospital with intractable back pain and an inability to walk. He underwent a stand-alone ALIF at L5–S1 (Sovereign, Medtronic). A large implant was placed, with dimensions of 42 mm in width and 16 mm in height with 12° of lordosis (Table 1). A right-sided approach was utilized given the patient’s history of a sole kidney on the left side. Postoperatively, his back pain resolved; however, he developed new right hip and lateral thigh pain along with weakness in dorsiflexion. On examination, his motor strength had decreased to 4+/5 in the quadriceps, 3/5 in tibialis anterior, and 2/5 in extensor hallucis longus muscles in the right lower extremity. Sensation remained intact. Postoperative CT scanning of the lumbar spine revealed an intact appearance of the instrumentation without evidence of canal or foraminal compromise and an increased disc height at L5–S1 (Fig. 2). MRI could not be performed due to the presence of a cardiac pacemaker. At 3 months postoperatively, the patient was free of back pain but right dorsiflexion weakness remained. Electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction studies (NCSs) demonstrated an active and chronic right L5 radiculopathy and mild polyneuropathy.

Case 2

A 51-year-old woman with a history of right-sided L4–5 discectomy and obesity presented with diffuse, bilateral pain in the lumbosacral region. The back pain radi-
ated into the buttocks and posterior aspect of the leg down to the ankle and was refractory to conservative measures over a period of 18 months. On examination, gross motor strength was diminished (4+/5) in the right anterior tibialis muscle but was otherwise intact. Sensation was symmetric and equal bilaterally. Reflexes were normal. The patient’s gait was narrow based. MRI of the lumbar spine showed significant disc degeneration at L5–S1 with associated loss of disc height and endplate changes. Right laminotomy changes were present at L4–5 with mild disc desiccation at L4–5. Her symptoms were refractory to conservative measures.

The patient underwent a stand-alone ALIF at L5–S1 (Centinel Spine). A medium-sized implant was placed, with dimensions of 36 mm in width and 13 mm in height anteriorly with 12° of lordosis (Table 1). Postoperatively, she developed new left leg pain and weakness and numbness along the L5 distribution. Physical examination revealed weakness in the left tibialis anterior and extensor hallucis longus (3/5) muscles and a positive straight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Implant Size</th>
<th>Anterior Disc Height</th>
<th>Posterior Disc Height</th>
<th>L5 Foraminal Height</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Height (mm)</td>
<td>Width (mm)</td>
<td>Lordosis (°)</td>
<td>Preop (mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean for study cases</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean for 10 cases w/ no L5 injury</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 2. Case 1, postoperative imaging. Sagittal (A) and axial (B) CT scans of the lumbar spine, revealing increased disc space height and postsurgical changes without central canal or foraminal stenosis at L5–S1.
leg–raising test on the left. Postoperative MRI and CT revealed an intact appearance of the graft and instrumentation without obvious compromise of the L5–S1 foramen or lateral recess at L4–5 (Fig. 3A and B). The coronal reconstructions revealed possible displacement of the left L5 nerve against a posteroinferior osteophyte at L5–S1 due to disc height reexpansion (Fig. 3C and D). The patient’s symptoms persisted despite various medications, including dexamethasone and gabapentin—later switched to pregabalin. A left L5 selective nerve block administered on postoperative day 5 provided some symptom relief. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 6 with a steroid taper. Unfortunately, she was readmitted due to worsening pain. A left L5–S1 extraforaminal far-lateral discectomy and left L4–5 laminotomy, medial facetectomy, and foraminotomy for decompression of the L5 nerve root were performed 15 days after her ALIF surgery, resulting in improvement of her symptoms. She was discharged on postoperative day 3.

At her 3-month follow-up, the patient continued to have left leg pain, primarily below the knees, accompanied by a feeling of heaviness on ambulation. Her strength remained stable with weakness in the left lower-extremity quadriceps (4/5), tibialis anterior (3/5), and gastrocnemius (4+/5) muscles. She underwent additional CT and MRI of the lumbar spine, which were unrevealing. She was referred for EMG and NCSs; however, she was unable to tolerate the study due to allodynia. At the 6-month follow-up, her pain symptoms had resolved with some improvement in leg weakness. She continued to take gabapentin and was followed by a pain specialist. She also had weakness in knee extension and dorsiflexion on the left (4/5) but was able to ambulate better. At the 1-year follow-up, the patient was off all pain medications and experiencing no pain other than occasional paresthesias of the left foot and improved dorsiflexion strength.

Case 3

A 69-year-old woman with a prior history of L4–5 fusion presented with constant, severe low-back and right leg pain with associated numbness and tingling along the right lateral thigh that was refractory to conservative measures. Her neurological examination findings were normal. Anteroposterior (AP) and lateral radiographs of the lumbar spine showed a normal appearance. Initial conservative management with physical therapy and medications provided temporary relief. She underwent an ALIF procedure at L4–5 with a lordotic cage and instrumentation. Postoperative imaging revealed an intact graft and instrumentation without obvious compromise of the L5–S1 foramen or lateral recess at L4–5. However, coronal reconstructions showed possible displacement of the left L5 nerve against a posteroinferior osteophyte at L5–S1 due to disc height reexpansion.

At her 3-month follow-up, the patient continued to have left leg pain, primarily below the knees, accompanied by a feeling of heaviness on ambulation. Her strength remained stable with weakness in the left lower-extremity quadriceps (4/5), tibialis anterior (3/5), and gastrocnemius (4+/5) muscles. She underwent additional CT and MRI of the lumbar spine, which were unrevealing. She was referred for EMG and NCSs; however, she was unable to tolerate the study due to allodynia. At the 6-month follow-up, her pain symptoms had resolved with some improvement in leg weakness. She continued to take gabapentin and was followed by a pain specialist. She also had weakness in knee extension and dorsiflexion on the left (4/5) but was able to ambulate better. At the 1-year follow-up, the patient was off all pain medications and experiencing no pain other than occasional paresthesias of the left foot and improved dorsiflexion strength.
The superiority of ALIF in maximizing disc height, both anteriorly and posteriorly, is well established. Substantial increases in postoperative disc height ranging from 8.7 to 15.9 mm with increases up to 70% have been reported, along with increases in foraminal height of up to 33%. This has been associated with improved pain and functional outcomes as evidenced by improvements in postoperative visual analog scale and Oswestry Disability Index scores.

Significant disc height and foraminal height restoration may put the exiting L5 nerve root at risk of injury, leading to neuropraxia. A review of the literature on neurological complications associated with ALIF demonstrates limited data on this topic. The available studies focus on approach and implant-related complications of ALIF, which are much more common than neurological complications. If reported, neurological complications are found in retrospective reviews or meta-analyses and pertain to sympathetic plexus injuries or are presented as single case reports. A prospective analysis on the efficacy and safety of short-stay Enhanced Recovery After Surgery ALIF in treatment of single-level DDD reported transient postoperative radiculopathy in 1 of 44 patients. However, the underlying mechanism for this deficit was not explained or explored. A case series of 2 patients by Saad et al. demonstrated S1 radiculopathy after L5–S1 ALIF with stand-alone constructs. The culprit in both cases was identified as nerve root impingement due to a protruding screw tip. A retrospective review of 269 patients who underwent lateral lumbar interbody fusion or ALIF treatment revealed transient, postoperative sensory deficits characterized by anterior thigh numbness in 5 patients (9.6%). Two patients (3.8%) who underwent L5–S1 ALIF experienced foot weakness that presented in the immediate postoperative period and resolved with physical therapy over 3 months.

A review of iatrogenic neurological deficits after lumbar spine surgery showed that the prevalence of anterior approaches ranged from 1.5% to 5.6%. In this cohort, the average reported neurological complication rate was 9% (range 0.46–24%). Of 731 patients, 30 (4.1%) demonstrated a new-onset neurological injury after ALIF. A meta-analysis of 76 papers on anterior lumbar surgery from 2004 to 2015 highlighted the importance of paying close attention to the lumbosacral plexus during exposure and retractor placement with the use of neurophysiological monitoring as a means of preventing inadvertent injury to nerve roots during graft or implant insertion. Taylor et al. noted that postoperative radiography was inadequate for predicting foraminal violation. They recommended the use of intraoperative EMG for safe placement of interbody devices. An analysis of failed ALIF due to incomplete foraminal decompression showed that 13 of 223 consecutive cases led to “unfavorable neurologic results.” Significant risk factors were L5–S1 level (8.3% vs 2.9%), higher body mass index (27 vs 24 kg/m²), and grade facet arthropathy, which limits the ability to restore foraminal size and lordosis.

The significance of postoperative nerve root injury and its underlying etiology was highlighted in a recent ab-
Dowlati et al. performed a retrospective review of a prospective population of 67 patients randomized to either ALIF or artificial disc implantation. Patients with postoperative neuropraxia after undergoing either procedure were compared with asymptomatic patients for differences in disc height and lateralization of the interbody device. Postoperative disc heights were significantly elevated in the neuropraxia group. However, there was no association between the laterality of the implant and neuropraxia. The authors concluded that nerve root stretch secondary to overdistraction was the underlying etiology for the neuropraxia and recommended aiming toward the average of normal disc space heights above and below the affected level to minimize the risk of stretch neuropraxia from overdistraction.

A review of our own institution's 111 cases of stand-alone ALIF at L5–S1 over a 3-year span (2017–2019) resulted in 4 cases (rate of 3.6%), including the 3 cases discussed here, of postoperative radiculopathy that required intervention and were unexplained by factors such as hardware migration or infection. Specifically, all 4 patients presented with postoperative symptoms consistent with a unilateral L5 nerve radiculopathy and had undergone L5–S1 ALIF without posterior instrumentation.

Case 1: This patient's postoperative course was complicated by new-onset right hip pain, lateral thigh pain, and right foot drop. Imaging did not reveal any obvious pathology. There was no evidence of direct compression resulting in canal or foraminal compromise. Interestingly, the disc height was more than doubled (7.5 mm to 18.8 mm anteriorly and 5.0 to 9.8 mm posteriorly; Fig. 6). Table 1 outlines measurements of implant size and disc heights for all cases. A large implant was used due to profound disc degeneration, the large dimensions of the disc space, and concern for instability due to large axial loading forces from the patient's ankylosis of the thoracic and lumbar spine. Three months after the index surgery, his back pain had resolved; however, the foot drop persisted, suggesting an L5 nerve root...
any changes in spinal canal length place the nerve root within a mobile osteoligamentous enclosure. As a result, in the juxtaposition of the relatively tethered nerve root S1 ALIF surgery. The anatomical basis of this injury lies as an important cause of L5 nerve root injury after L5–S1 decompression. The cant improvement in radicular symptoms after a posterior placement of the implant causing direct compression of the L5 nerve root was determined to be the etiology of the injury confirmed by EMG. A neuropraxia stretch injury was suspected.

In case 2, radicular symptoms were apparent in the immediate postoperative period, and the pain was refractory to several pain management modalities, including selective nerve blocks. Postoperative imaging did not show clear evidence of structural impingement of the L5 nerve root. The coronal reconstructions depicted a possible displacement of the left L5 nerve against a posteroinferior osteophyte at L5–S1 exacerbating a stretch neuropraxia (Fig. 3C and D). This was corroborated during the patient’s second surgery, as the nerve root was found to be compressed in the foramen. Overdistraction was, once again, implicated as the culprit given the significant reexpansion in disc height in addition to stretching of the exiting L5 nerve root over a lateral osteophyte complex.

In case 3, symptoms of L5 radiculopathy emerged 6 weeks after the index surgery without any associated change in objective neurological examination findings. MRI identified paracentral implant placement causing right-sided foraminal stenosis at L5–S1. Additionally, a significant increase in anterior and posterior disc heights from 5.8 to 14.3 and 4.5 to 8.6 mm, respectively, was noted. Based on these findings, a combination of large interbody size resulting in excessive distraction and lateral placement of the implant causing direct compression of the L5 nerve root was determined to be the etiology of the neuropraxia. Fortunately, the patient experienced significant improvement in radicular symptoms after a posterior decompression.

The 3 cases presented herein highlight overdistraction as an important cause of L5 nerve root injury after L5–S1 ALIF surgery. The anatomical basis of this injury lies in the juxtaposition of the relatively tethered nerve root within a mobile osteoligamentous enclosure. As a result, any changes in spinal canal length place the nerve root at a high risk for stretch, particularly in the lumbosacral region, where nerve roots have a longer intraspinal course within the central and lateral recesses. While the magnitude of stretch required to cause a nerve root injury has not been established, careful selection of interbody implant size and central placement can mitigate this complication. Utilizing an implant size that equals the average of normal disc space heights above and below the level of the affected region may serve as a guide.

We found an average increase in anterior and posterior disc heights of 134% and 92%, respectively, and a foraminal height increase of almost 50% (Table 1). We compared this with 10 other patients in whom stand-alone L5–S1 ALIFs were performed in the same time period without nerve root complications. To be consistent, we performed this analysis on 10 consecutive patients with available pre- and postoperative imaging. We found an average percent increase in anterior and posterior disc heights of 105% and 78%, respectively, and foraminal height increase of 40% (Table 1). Although there is an overall trend toward a higher percent increase in disc height, this sample is not enough to make any statistical conclusions. Additionally, we cannot rule out other causes of L5 nerve root injuries with certainty, such as lumbosacral stretch from retractor placement, inadequate foraminal decompression, or iatrogenic injury during discectomy. Given our findings, we recommend that caution be exercised when considering more than doubling the disc height. Technology used to customize implants to fit patient-specific needs and match patient’s endplate anatomy is also under investigation and in early use.

In all 3 cases, we did not use EMG or somatosensory evoked potential (SSEP) monitoring during surgery, and it is unclear if neuromonitoring would have detected an abnormality intraoperatively. In addition, in many instances, the loss of SSEP signals may be secondary to vascular ischemia after retractor placement during exposure, which is typically transient. Another potential cause of postoperative neuropraxia would be direct iatrogenic injury to the nerve roots during discectomy or disc preparation, which could be detected by neuromonitoring during the case. A recent retrospective review of intraoperative SSEP monitoring in 189 patients reported alerts in 7.9% of cases with higher rates in multilevel procedures. No patient in this series had new postoperative neurological deficits.

Conclusions

The L5 nerve root is vulnerable during ALIF surgery at L5–S1. We have presented 3 cases of L5 nerve root injuries after L5–S1 ALIF. Significant disc height restoration can lead to overdistraction and stretch neuropraxia, with the consequence of permanent neurological injury. Judicious use of implants and careful preoperative planning to determine optimal implant sizes are paramount. Centering implants to avoid foraminal compromise or excessive foraminal height expansion can mitigate the risk. Careful evaluation of postoperative imaging including coronal views might provide valuable information. With the increasing utilization of ALIF, further studies with comparative radiographic analysis aimed at understanding the dynamics of stretch-induced nerve root injury are critical to refine this technique and prevent unwarranted complications.
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