Progress in diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma: advocating for stereotactic biopsy in the standard of care
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Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) is a universally fatal pediatric brainstem tumor affecting approximately 300 children in the US annually. Median survival is less than 1 year, and radiation therapy has been the mainstay of treatment for decades. Recent advances in the biological understanding of the disease have identified the H3K27M mutation in nearly 80% of DIPGs, leading to the 2016 WHO classification of diffuse midline glioma H3K27M-mutant, a grade IV brainstem tumor. Developments in epigenetic targeting of transcriptional tendencies have yielded potential molecular targets for clinical trials. Chimeric antigen receptor T cell therapy has also shown preclinical promise. Recent clinical studies, including prospective trials, have demonstrated the safety and feasibility of pediatric brainstem biopsy in the setting of DIPG and other brainstem tumors. Given developments in the ability to analyze DIPG tumor tissue to deepen biological understanding of this disease and develop new therapies for treatment, together with the increased safety of stereotactic brainstem biopsy, the authors present a case for offering biopsy to all children with suspected DIPG. They also present their standard operative techniques for image-guided, frameless stereotactic biopsy.

https://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2019.9.FOCUS19745

KEYWORDS diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma; diffuse midline glioma; biopsy; pediatric cancer; brainstem cancer

Diffuse intrinsic pontine glioma (DIPG) was first described by Wilfred Harris in 1926.24 This tumor accounts for nearly 80% of pediatric brainstem gliomas and is high grade and locally infiltrative with a universally devastating prognosis.17,18,48 Histologically, these tumors are astrocytomas ranging from WHO grade II to grade IV, although lower histological grades do not portend a better prognosis.16,68 Approximately 10% of pediatric brain tumors are DIPGs, with about 300 children diagnosed annually in the United States.17 Males and females are affected equally, and the median age at diagnosis is 6–7 years old with a median overall survival of 9–11 months.10,36,67 Median progression-free survival is 7 months, and DIPG is the leading cause of death from brain tumors in children.39 According to a calculation by Vitanza and Monje based on incidence, median age at diagnosis, and survival, the potential years of life lost annually as a result of this disease are 24,000.68

Scientific understanding of the molecular profile of DIPG has increased substantially over the last decade. Histologically, DIPGs can range from grade II to IV astrocytic glioma changes, but they have always been considered grade IV clinically because of their universally poor prognosis.5,41 Landmark studies by Wu, Khuong-Quang, and Schwartzentruber and colleagues, all published in 2012, identified a pathognomonic histone H3 (H3)K27M mutation in nearly 80% of DIPG cases.32,62,71 Further studies showed that the recurrent H3K27M mutation found in DIPG is also present in many thalamic and spinal cord gliomas, identifying an “oncohistone” underlying central epigenetic dysregulation.37,63 Considered revelatory in terms of the pathophysiological understanding of the disease process, the WHO central nervous system tumor reclassification in 2016 defined a new entity labeled “diffuse midline glioma H3 K27M-mutant” (DMG), which is categorically grade IV.41 While the histone mutation in DIPG is now pathognomonic in the new diagnostic term “DMG,” there are other molecular aberrations, such those in FGFR1, PDGFRα, PI3K, NF1, and NTRK, that are individually infrequent but may each represent molecularly targetable
subgroups. While similarly powered proteomic studies are in progress, B7-H3 has already been identified as a potentially targetable surface antigen. Ultimately, next-generation molecularly targeted and immunologically targeted trials will rely heavily on biopsy tissue review for target identification and ultimately for appropriate clinical trial enrollment.

For decades, the role of neurosurgery in DIPG has been limited to evaluation and treatment of obstructive hydrocephalus from DIPG and rare biopsies for cases of uncertain diagnosis. Improvements in the understanding of the molecular biology of these tumors have revealed a host of aberrant cell functions and a relative lack of innate immune response. This knowledge has informed preclinical trials, which in turn have inspired a surge in clinical treatment trials. Enrollment in many of these trials is contingent on tumor tissue acquisition via biopsy to differentiate among the histone 3 mutations identified to date and to allow for tumor sequencing for individualized treatment pathways based on other relevant mutations and expression dysregulation, such as neurofibromin, neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase (NTRK), O-6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation, epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) overexpression, and loss of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) expression. Identifying targetable surface antigens via biopsy-derived tissue has become critical in enrollment in immune-based therapy trials.

Presentation and Diagnosis

Children with DIPG tend to present acutely with a median of 1 month of symptoms. The classic triad of symptoms includes ataxia, pyramidal tract dysfunction, and cranial nerve (CN) palsy, with the abducens nerve (CN VI) being the most commonly affected and usually the first to be affected. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain with and without contrast is considered diagnostic for DIPG, though molecular characterization is needed to make the diagnosis of DMG and its subvariants. Characteristic features include an expanded pons encasing the basilar artery, increased T2 FLAIR signal in 50% or more of the ventral pons, and, classically, no T1 contrast enhancement, although it is not unusual to observe small areas of enhancement when necrosis is present. Contiguous and distant dissemination from the pons is frequent, and a postmortem review identified not only local infiltration into structures such as the medulla, thalamus, and midbrain, but also distant disease in structures such as the frontal lobe. Spinal cord disease has been documented at diagnosis, and some authors recommend MRI of the entire spine with and without contrast, with consideration of lumbar puncture in children without hydrocephalus for additional staging information and even the potential for analysis of circulating tumor DNA.

While historically the diagnosis of DIPG was made with imaging alone, more recently tissue acquisition has developed an increasingly critical role. Institutional and commercial DNA sequencing have allowed for broader iden-
tification of the K27M mutation in the histone 3.3 (H3.3) gene H3F3A, the histone 3.2 (H3.2) gene HIST2H3C, and histone 3.1 (H3.1) genes HIST1H3B/C. Immunochemistry is the most cost-efficient test for H3K27M mutations but cannot distinguish among them, and mutation variants have proven clinically important. For instance, the H3.1 variant is specific to pontine gliomas and occurs with higher frequency in females and at a younger age. Patients with mutations in K27M H3.3 (H3F3A) have been found to be less responsive to radiotherapy, relapse earlier, and have a higher rate of metastatic recurrence as compared to those in the H3.1 (HIST1H3B/C) group. Identification of the H3K27M mutation variant is important in generating the most accurate prognosis and in identifying patients who may qualify for early phase trials targeting specific molecular subgroups.

Current Treatment

Once the DIPG diagnosis has been established with MRI, multiple services should be engaged for evaluation and possible treatment. Typically, pediatric oncology coordinates most care throughout the course of the disease. Neurosurgical consultation should be initiated in all cases for the management of hydrocephalus when present and for consideration of stereotactic needle biopsy. Although most children do not present with hydrocephalus, some have necrotic centers and/or rapidly progressive disease requiring CSF diversion, preferably with endoscopic third ventriculostomy, and/or emergent radiation therapy. Even when emergent radiation is not required, radiation oncology should be consulted at the time of diagnosis for expedient therapy planning and early family counseling regarding treatment requirements and potential adverse effects. While often overlooked, early consultation with pediatric palliative care provides a diverse set of support strategies for patients and broader family care networks in the face of this devastating diagnosis. Furthermore, tandem care from palliative and neurooncology teams has been shown to maximize function during treatment and identify signs of disease progression ahead of standard clinical and radiographic assessment.

Dexamethasone is typically prescribed at the time of diagnosis for alleviation and stabilization of related neurological symptoms. While high-dose steroids offer early relief, their well-established side effect profile, including impaired sleep, wound healing, behavior, and endocrine and metabolic functional effects, limits their long-term utility. Additionally, corticosteroids reinforce the blood-brain barrier, limiting penetration by extant and experimental systemic therapies, and may shorten survival. For these reasons, dexamethasone use should be limited to short bursts and weaned as quickly as tolerable.

Focal radiotherapy is the only intervention with substantial evidence supporting increased overall survival in pediatric DIPG. In its absence, survival is approximately 5 months, and overall survival increases 2–4 months with standard treatment. Treatment typically consists of conformational photon radiotherapy directly to the tumor for a total of 54 Gy in daily 1.8-Gy increments over 6 weeks. Similar outcomes have been demonstrated with a hypo-fractionated regimen. Reirradiation is considered with disease progression and has been demonstrated to be safe, with a small survival benefit and a positive effect on symptoms. No radiosensitizing agents have shown survival benefit to date.

Further, hundreds of trials of cytotoxic and myeloablative chemotherapy have shown no survival benefit in this disease. Intensive chemotherapeutic treatment regimens with myeloablative dosing requiring stem cell transplant, gemcitabine, capecitabine, several tyrosine kinase inhibitors, and a monoclonal EGFR antibody have been tested in the setting of DIPG without improvement in overall or progression-free survival. A Phase II trial using the EGFR inhibitor gefitinib in combination with radiation therapy did show overall survival rates that were “nominally superior” to those in contemporaneous trial cohorts. Curiously, single-agent temozolomide, which has repeatedly shown some efficacy in adult high-grade gliomas, does not alter DIPG outcomes in conventional or metronomic dosing. This wide resistance to conventional chemotherapy has heightened the urgency directed toward understanding the molecular biology of DIPG in the pursuit of targeted therapies for this lethal disease.

Research Progress

Increased tissue acquisition has allowed for improved understanding of the molecular biology of DIPG and its increasing number of known variants. Expanding awareness of DIPG as a neurosurgical disease has also opened the door to new prospects in individualized medicine and targeted trials.

Preclinical drug screens have shown particular promise in epigenetic targeted agents, leading to clinical trials to evaluate the histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor panobinostat. Epigenetic studies have also identified oncogenic transcription targets including CDK7 blockade and BRD4 inhibition. Antitumor activity has been demonstrated by inhibiting K27 demethylase JMJD3 via GSKJ4, showing promise in targeting defective transcription mechanisms in DIPG. Interestingly, DIPG demonstrates an important distinction from adult glioblastoma (GBM) in its microenvironment, exhibiting comparatively low levels of immunosuppression and inflammation. This lack of immunosuppression makes DIPG more susceptible to chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T cell therapy. Preclinical in vivo studies targeting the heavily expressed disialoganglioside GD2 nearly eradicated H2K27M-mutant DMG tumors in xenograft mouse models, prompting study of additional targets such as B7-H3. This work provided the foundation for the planned clinical trial of GD2-specific CAR T cell therapy for DIPG. Current CAR T cell trials in place are deploying HER2-specific cells (BrainChild-01, NCT03500991) and EGFR806-specific cells (BrainChild-02, NCT03638167) in children with refractory or recurrent central nervous system tumors. Both BrainChild-01 and BrainChild-02 have excluded DIPG, but their evaluation of locoregional delivery of CAR T cells will provide critical information regarding the inflammatory response as the design for BrainChild-03, a
Role of Neurosurgery in DIPG Treatment and Biopsy

Thus, a window into the biological understanding of DIPG has opened. Patient-derived cells, animal models, and genetic engineering are paving new roads toward meaningful treatment. In 1993, Leland Albright presented a convincing case against all operative intervention for DIPG given the diagnostic capacity of MRI, unacceptable morbidity of resection, and lack of utility of tissue biopsy. However, in the intervening decades, the increased safety and feasibility of stereotactic biopsy, together with research developments of clinical significance, command reexamination of this stance. Current data show that stereotactic biopsy can be performed safely with minimal morbidity and mortality and is associated with a high pathological and molecular diagnostic yield, making a strong case for carefully executed biopsy in all cases of suspected DIPG at institutions with the capacity for the intervention.

Feasibility, Safety, and Utility of Brainstem Biopsies

Several prospective and retrospective studies, as well as meta-analyses, have demonstrated the relative safety of stereotactic biopsy procedures in multiple centers worldwide. In the DIPG Biology and Treatment Study, a national clinical trial for the treatment of DIPG, the feasibility and safety of brainstem biopsy were demonstrated in 50 patients from 23 institutions. Using a frameless, stereotactic transcerebellar approach, researchers obtained diagnostic tissue in 48/50 patients (96%). No significant hemorrhagic complication was reported. One patient experienced permanent hemiparesis, and there was no procedure-related mortality. Similarly, in a national pediatric brain tumor registry study in Germany, brainstem biopsy was shown to be safe. In the Individualised Therapy For Relapsed Malignancies in Childhood (INFORM) registry, 21 patients underwent brainstem biopsy at 12 centers over a 3-year period. Notably, of the 21 patients underwent frame-based biopsy, while 5 patients underwent open surgical biopsy. Nevertheless, sufficient tissue was obtained in all patients for pathological and molecular diagnosis. One patient developed hydrocephalus requiring shunting, and another patient experienced permanent neurological deficit. The largest pediatric biopsy series consists of 130 patients from a single French institution. Patients in that study underwent Leksell frame-based, stereotactic transcerebellar biopsy. Every biopsy in that series was diagnostic for DIPG, and there were 5 cases of transient worsening of neurological deficit, which either resolved or significantly improved without mortality. An earlier meta-analysis of 38 studies with 1480 adult and pediatric patients showed a 96.2% diagnostic success rate associated with 7.8% morbidity (1.7% permanent) and 0.9% mortality. Another meta-analysis of 18 studies with 735 pediatric brainstem biopsies suggested that diagnostic success was similarly high at 96.1% with 6.7% morbidity (0.6% permanent) and 0.6% mortality. Cumulatively, there is a body of evidence suggesting that brainstem biopsy can be safely performed with a high diagnostic yield. Furthermore, meta-analyses suggest that different surgical approaches such as transfrontal versus transcerebellar, the use of frame-based versus frameless stereotactic biopsy, or the use of robot-assisted systems have all consistently resulted in an excellent diagnostic yield with relatively minor morbidity. Biopsy tissue has revitalized the study of DIPG, leading to new classifications, dozens of promising preclinical trials, individualized therapy targeting patient-specific mutations, and multiple clinical trials.

Operative Preparation and Surgical Approach

At our institution, established standards of care are initiated upon diagnosis, including the administration of a short course of high-dose steroids, treatment of hydrocephalus if present, and team consultation and family counseling. The patients’ families are universally offered stereotactic biopsy and counseled on the risks and benefits of the procedure based on the information described here.

We use two systems for the procedure: a Vertek biopsy device (Medtronic; Fig. 2A and B) and a robot-assisted approach (ROSA robotic system, Zimmer-Biomet USA). Routine CT and MRI data for navigation are acquired. Anatomical and functional data are created to plan the biopsy trajectory, avoiding eloquent areas, vascular structures, and transependymal breach. Cystic or necrotic components of the tumor are avoided where possible to increase the diagnostic yield. Although both transfrontal and transcerebellar approaches to the brainstem are reported to have similar rates of diagnostic success, as well as morbidity and mortality, we prefer the suboccipital transcerebellar approach, lateral to the midline, taking the shortest
route to the lesion, generally by transgressing the middle cerebellar peduncle. The location of the venous sinuses, deep cerebellar nuclei, and ventral pontine motor tracts is noted and meticulously avoided. The use of diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) to visualize white matter tracts and plan the biopsy trajectory has been reported; however, the density of white matter tracts through this region makes integrating DTI information into trajectory planning extremely challenging.

Standard preoperative care, anesthesia, sterile preparation, and positioning are utilized. Mannitol and hyperventilation are avoided to prevent volumetric changes, which can distort intracranial anatomy relative to navigation imaging sequences. The patient is positioned prone with the head held in a Mayfield head holder. For very young patients whose head cannot be held by the head holder alone, the Mayfield Infinity Support System (Integra) is used, which supports the face on a horseshoe headrest, and the head is stabilized with only 18 lbs of torque (Fig. 2A). In order to achieve precision of 1 mm or less when using the Vertek frameless system, we frequently use fiducial markers in combination with skin tracing for registration with the StealthStation S8 system (Medtronic). When the ROSA robot is employed, the Mayfield head holder is docked to the robot station. Planning, similar to what is performed on the StealthStation, is done within the ROSA software platform. In our registration, we prefer to place five bone fiducials along the midline and slightly lateral to midline for registration. This is followed by intraoperative CT scanning using the O-arm (Medtronic), and the results are fused with the preoperative MRI scan in the ROSA software. Standard procedural techniques are used for opening, tissue acquisition, closing, and hemostasis. We ensure our neuropathology colleagues are on call to interpret the first-acquired tissue as frozen preparation to ensure diagnostic tumor tissue is being collected. At the end of the procedure, immediate head CT is performed to confirm the site of biopsy and exclude complications (Fig. 2C).

Conclusions

DIPG is a high-grade brainstem tumor affecting approximately 300 children annually in the United States. It is a devastating diagnosis with a prognosis of death within a year for most affected children. Historically, care has focused on radiotherapy and palliative measures as these lesions are anatomically unresectable and have not responded to conventional chemotherapy. Biopsy, once reserved only for cases of questionable diagnosis, has re-emerged as a routine consideration in DIPG diagnosis, as advances in our molecular understanding of the disease have led to a number of new subclassifications with clinically relevant prognostic differences. More importantly, the availability of tumor tissue has driven the development of trials, which have shown promise in tumor control in experimental models. Those models have been the foundation of a number of active clinical trials identifying and treating new targets in DIPG. At the same time, recent studies of brainstem biopsy using improved imaging and stereotactic navigation technology have shown improved safety and feasibility of the procedure. While radiotherapy remains the only meaningful intervention in terms of overall survival, tissue sequencing and analysis has increased optimism in the field that treatment breakthroughs may be forthcoming. For these reasons, we advocate for an informed discussion with patients and families about brainstem biopsy as part of the evolving standard of care in the treatment of DIPG.
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