Neuroimaging techniques have shown great advancements in the past few decades, leading to the development of very complex and fascinating morphological in vivo studies of brain structure. These advancements have been progressively translated in clinical practice and have resulted in outstanding support of the neurosurgical community for preoperative mapping and planning prior to brain tumor surgery. Nowadays different neuroimaging techniques provide important anatomical and functional information regarding the brain functional organization that can be used to plan a customized surgical strategy to preserve functional networks, and to increase the extent of tumor resection. Among these techniques, navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS) has recently gained great favor among the neurosurgical community for preoperative mapping and planning prior to brain tumor surgery. It represents an advanced neuroimaging technique based on the neurophysiological mapping of the functional cortical brain organization. Moreover, it can be combined with other neuroimaging techniques such as diffusion tensor imaging tractography, thus providing a reliable reconstruction of brain eloquent networks. Consequently, nTMS mapping may provide reliable noninvasive brain functional mapping, anticipating information that otherwise may be available to neurosurgeons only in the operating theater by using direct electrical stimulation. The authors describe the reliability and usefulness of the preoperative nTMS-based approach in neurosurgical practice, and briefly discuss their experience using nTMS as well as currently available evidence in the literature supporting its clinical use. In particular, special attention is reserved for the discussion of the role of nTMS as a novel tool for the preoperative neurophysiological mapping of motor and language networks prior to surgery of intrinsic brain tumors located in or close to eloquent networks, as well as for future and promising applications of nTMS in neurosurgical practice.
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moved toward the development of advanced techniques for the identification of the “functional” brain architecture. Many indirect approaches to map brain functional organization have been implemented, such as functional MRI (fMRI) or magnetoencephalography (MEG). Nevertheless, the ability of these techniques to detect neural activity with a high spatial and temporal resolution has been questioned.

As a matter of fact, most knowledge about brain functional architecture derives from direct electrical stimulation (DES), at both a cortical (direct cortical stimulation, DCS) and subcortical (direct subcortical stimulation, DSS) level during awake surgery. From Penfield to the present time, brain functional organization has been primarily studied using the same “language” as that of the nervous system (that is, electrophysiology). Indeed, intraoperative neurophysiological mapping by DES is still considered to be the gold standard to identify functional brain structures and is essential for achieving the maximal safe resection of supratentorial intrinsic tumors, as well as many posterior fossa tumors and spinal neoplasms.

In the last decade a new mixed technique merging advanced imaging and electrophysiology, namely navigated transcranial magnetic stimulation (nTMS), has progressively gained favor among the neurosurgical community for studying and understanding brain functional organization. nTMS provides a reliable combination of advanced imaging with the direct electrophysiological evaluation of neural activity, thereby representing a new approach for a noninvasive preoperative neurophysiological mapping of brain functional organization. It may be considered a valuable functional adjunct to preoperative planning before brain tumor surgery, especially in the case of intrinsic lesions located in eloquent areas.

The aim of this paper was to describe the usefulness of nTMS in neurosurgical practice; to discuss its value in answering everyday complex clinical questions prior to brain tumor surgery, even in combination with other neuroimaging techniques; and to summarize evidence in the current literature supporting its use in neurosurgical departments.

Technical Details for nTMS

Transcranial magnetic stimulation is a well-established electrophysiological technique consisting of noninvasive brain stimulation performed using a magnetic field that is able to induce a secondary ionic current in the cortical neurons. From a technical point of view, a primary electrical current is delivered to a magnetic coil, resulting in the induction of a magnetic field. The coil (usually a figure-of-eight coil) is applied over the scalp of patients. The induced magnetic field reaches the brain cortex, thus inducing a modification of the neuronal excitability and resulting in an excitatory or inhibitory effect depending on the specific stimulation parameters used. The modifications of cortical activity and excitability can be measured at a cortical level and/or recorded at the peripheral muscles.

The novel aspect of nTMS is the combination with neuronavigation. The TMS machine is connected to a dedicated station equipped with an optical navigation system (Fig. 1). This combination enables the navigation of the stimulation coils over an accurate 3D rendering of the patient’s brain MRI scan (Fig. 2). After the coregistration process, the nTMS coil movements are visualized in real time over the 3D rendering of the patient’s brain MRI scan, thereby enabling the operator to map specific cortical gyri with a high spatial accuracy. The adjunct of navigation converts the procedure into an advanced neuroimaging technique, and represents the main advantage over the traditional TMS mapping which relies only on external scalp landmarks. nTMS can be performed using an excitatory or inhibitory paradigm according to the specific brain functional areas that need to be investigated.

nTMS-Based Motor Mapping

The nTMS excitatory paradigm is usually used for mapping the motor network—it is based on a single-pulse stimulation applied over the primary motor cortex (M1). It results in motor evoked potentials (MEPs) that can be recorded at the contralateral peripheral muscles by using surface electromyography electrodes. The MEPs’ amplitude and latency can be measured to investigate the integrity of the motor descending network. Usually a single muscle for each contralateral body segment (face, upper limb, lower limb) is chosen. Stimulation is performed after the measurement of the resting motor threshold (RMT), which is defined as the lowest stimulation intensity capable of eliciting a response in a given relaxed muscle in 5 of 10 stimulations. Then, the stimulation is applied using an intensity of 110% of the RMT. Each single stimulation is visualized as an nTMS spot over the 3D rendering of the patient’s brain MRI scan, and the characteristics of each single MEP are recorded. This enables the offline analysis of the motor responses according to their amplitude and latency. The final result is the nTMS map of the M1, with its somatotopic motor organization (Fig. 3).

nTMS Combination With DTI Fiber Tracking

The nTMS map of M1 can be used as a seeding region for the DTI fiber tracking of the corticospinal tract (CST). The standard anatomically based DTI fiber tracking relies on the selection of specific anatomical structures as regions of interest (ROIs) for seeding the tracking of the pyramidal tract. That may increase the interoperator variability of results, because different seeding ROIs may be selected by different operators. Moreover, one of the most important drawbacks of the anatomically based approach, especially when using deterministic algorithms—which are usually available in the most common neuronavigation systems—is the difficult tracking of the most lateral portion of the CST, which contains the corticobulbar fibers originating from the cortical motor representation of face muscles.

The nTMS-based DTI fiber tracking of the CST has the advantage of using functionally verified cortical landmarks as seeding ROIs. Indeed, each single spot of the nTMS map corresponds to a functional region of M1 that has been stimulated, resulting in an MEP. That reduces the interoperator variability of results. Moreover, the nTMS-based approach enables the visualization of the corti-
cobulbar component of the CST, thereby making visible the most lateral part of the pyramidal tract, even using a deterministic approach.  

Neurosurgical Use—Technical Details and Rationale

Neurosurgeons with some electrophysiological expertise can easily perform the motor mapping procedure: it usually lasts approximately 15–30 minutes. MEP characteristics must be analyzed, removing motor responses with latency shorter than 10 mA and/or longer than 35 mA from the final map, as well as MEPs with low amplitude (< 100 µV) to avoid false-positive responses. Those false-positive responses can be caused by the patient’s involuntary movements or by electrophysiological artifacts. In patients with severe motor deficits, nTMS may fail to elicit MEPs due to the impairment of the motor pathway. In those cases, a possible solution is to try to record MEPs from different muscles than those usually mapped, such as biceps or deltoid for the upper limb, quadriceps for the lower limb, and the nasal or buccinator muscles for the face. In some cases, this strategy may lead to a successful mapping even in hemiplegic patients.

Once the nTMS map of M1 is obtained, it can be exported in DICOM format to the neuronavigation system equipped with tractography software. Here, it can be used to perform the somatotopic DTI fiber tracking of the CST. Each single area containing the nTMS-verified motor cortical representation of the face, upper-limb, and lower-limb muscles can be separately selected as first seeding ROI and combined with a second ROI positioned in the cerebral peduncle or the internal capsule. The most commonly used parameters are as follows: fractional anisotropy (FA) threshold = 0.20, which can be progressively lowered in case of excessive perilesional edema (no less than 75% of the FA threshold); and maximum directional change = 45°.

The nTMS-based approach results in an advanced functionally based reconstruction and visualization of the motor pathway (Video 1).

VIDEO 1. Example of the preoperative nTMS-based reconstruction of the motor network in 2 cases of right frontal glioblastomas. In the first case, the motor network is simply dislocated posteriorly by the tumor, thus reducing risks of resection. In the second case, the CST is clearly infiltrated by the lesion, making surgery at high risk for the occurrence of postoperative motor deficits. Copyright Giovanni Raffa. Published with permission. Click here to view.

This reconstruction enables neurosurgeons to analyze the spatial relationship between the tumor and the motor network (M1 + CST), thus increasing the awareness about surgical risks. nTMS-based information therefore enables customized, neurophysiologically based presurgical planning that may really help in defining the best surgical strategy for maximal safe resection of motor-eloquent intrinsic tumors (Fig. 4).
Moreover, the nTMS-based reconstruction of M1 and CST may also be used during surgery: the M1 map and the CST may be merged with the anatomical brain MRI scan and visualized on the neuronavigation screen, thus providing a real-time feedback about the exact location of the motor network during surgery. That may help surgeons in tailoring the craniotomy, eventually guiding DES and tumor resection when using nTMS than when using fMRI.

The possibility for the use of nTMS cortical information as seeding ROIs for DTI fiber tracking of the CST has been reported by several studies. The nTMS-based CST reconstruction seems more accurate than the standard DTI tractography, correlating well with DSS findings. Moreover, the intraoperative visualization of the nTMS-based reconstruction of the motor network may be useful to guide DES and tumor resection, allowing an easier identification of high-risk areas versus areas that can be safely resected (excluding limitations caused by brain shift). The eventual combination with intraoperative MRI may further improve the value of nTMS-based reconstruction of the motor pathway: it enables intraoperative DTI computation of the CST, thus reducing inaccuracy caused by brain shift, as well as enabling verification of the extent of tumor resection guided by nTMS findings and DES.

More recent studies clearly confirmed that nTMS-based planning may improve the treatment of brain tumors located in the central region. A recent meta-analysis concluded that nTMS motor mapping may lead to a reduced occurrence of postoperative permanent motor deficits, an increased extent of tumor resection, and a tailored surgical approach compared to standard surgery without nTMS mapping. These results are probably due to the higher preoperative awareness of the functional anatomy and surgical risks, but they derive from observational studies only, and therefore must be interpreted with caution. Our single-center experience between 2012 and 2019 contributed to these literature findings; in a series of 228 patients with motor-eloquent tumors we achieved gross-total resection in 67.6% of cases, and permanent motor deficits (3 months postsurgery) occurred in 7.5% of patients (Table 1).

nTMS-Based Language Mapping

As well as neurophysiological language mapping by DES during awake surgery, nTMS mapping of the language network relies on an inhibitory stimulation paradigm applied during the execution of a specific task designed for the exploration of different domains of the language function (articulation, naming, comprehension, etc.). A low-frequency repetitive stimulation is applied during the execution of a visual object naming test aiming to elicit language errors and/or disturbances according to a virtual transient lesion model. Briefly, the object naming task is performed using simple black-and-white pictures...
FIG. 4. Examples of 2 cases of suspected motor-eloquent intrinsic brain tumors. A: The tumor seems to be located just posteriorly to the M1 according to the identification of the contralateral omega sign representing the sylvian fissure. B: The tumor seems to be located anteriorly to the M1 according to the contralateral omega sign. C: nTMS mapping disclosed that the tumor was far away from the M1, making surgical resection easier and safer. D: In the second tumor case, the lesion is just below the M1, making surgery at high risk for the occurrence of postoperative motor deficits.
FIG. 5. Example of the intraoperative use of nTMS-derived reconstruction of the motor network as a guide to tailor DES and tumor resection thanks to its visualization on the navigation screen.

TABLE 1. Patient demographics and outcome after nTMS-based preoperative mapping was used at the University of Messina (2012–2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>nTMS Motor Mapping—Tumors Close to Motor Pathway</th>
<th>nTMS Language Mapping—Tumors Close to Language Pathways</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>228</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age in yrs, mean ± SD</td>
<td>53.9 ± 13.9</td>
<td>54.5 ± 14.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>134 (58.8)</td>
<td>71 (66.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>94 (41.2)</td>
<td>36 (33.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathology (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-grade gliomas</td>
<td>166 (72.8)</td>
<td>62 (57.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-grade gliomas</td>
<td>40 (17.5)</td>
<td>15 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastases</td>
<td>14 (6.2)</td>
<td>14 (13.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>8 (3.5)</td>
<td>16 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of resection (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross-total resection</td>
<td>154 (67.6)</td>
<td>78 (72.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal resection</td>
<td>55 (24.1)</td>
<td>24 (22.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial resection</td>
<td>14 (6.1)</td>
<td>4 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biopsy only</td>
<td>5 (2.2)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent deficits at 3 mos postop (%)</td>
<td>17 (7.5)</td>
<td>6 (5.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All data given as number of patients or tumors (%) unless otherwise indicated. The “other” category of tumors includes mixed glioneuronal tumors, embryonal tumors, and hemangiomas.
of common objects that are randomly shown on a screen in front of the patient. The patient is asked to correctly name these objects. A baseline test is performed 3 times without any stimulation to remove all pictures that are not properly named. Then, the task is repeated during the repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS). The rTMS trains are composed of 5 pulses at 5 Hz using an intensity of 100% of the ipsilateral RMT; if no naming errors occur, the number of pulses, their frequency, and their intensity are progressively increased.29 Every single picture is displayed for 700 msec, with an interpicture interval of 2500 msec. rTMS starts simultaneously with the picture presentation to increase mapping sensitivity in the posterior perisylvian areas.29 A camera records the mapping procedure for the offline analysis of responses. Neuropsychologists compare patients’ responses during rTMS stimulation versus baseline sessions. Naming errors that occur during the stimulation correspond to cortical language essential sites: they belong to the language networks and are classified in 3 categories consisting of performance, semantic, and phonological errors.3 Finally, each single stimulation spot is visualized over the 3D rendering of the patient’s brain MRI scan, thus representing the final nTMS map of cortical language essential sites (Fig. 6).

**nTMS Combination With DTI Fiber Tracking**

The most important white matter tracts involved in the language networks consist of the superior longitudinal fascicle (SLF) and its major component, the arcuate fascicle (AF), belonging to the so-called dorsal stream and involved in the articulatory control of language. Other important tracts are the inferior frontooccipital fascicle (IFOF), the inferior longitudinal fascicle (ILF), and the uncinate fascicle (UF), belonging to the ventral stream and involved in semantic and phonological encoding.2

Several DTI tractography approaches have been reported for the computation of this fascicle network. The most commonly used technique is based on the choice of specific anatomical landmarks as seeding ROIs.1 Nevertheless, it does not take into account the variability of functional landmarks that can be observed in patients with brain tumors; this variability is due to neuroplasticity phenomena and connectivity changes related to the presence of the tumor itself.3,8 Some authors have also pointed out the dangers of the use of DTI tractography in brain surgery, especially because the fibers’ reconstruction is also dependent on the physician who decides where to put the ROIs for tracking.7

To overcome this drawback, as well as for the motor pathway, the rTMS cortical map can be used as a functionally verified seeding ROI for the computation of the language network fascicles. Indeed, rTMS can transiently inhibit cortical essential sites, similarly to what is routinely performed during awake surgery by DES. That leads to the objective neurophysiological identification of language-eloquent cortical areas, thus reducing the interoperator variability of the DTI approach. Several approaches for nTMS-based language DTI fiber tracking have been described in detail, and were found to be feasible and accurate.41,50,52,56,72

**Neurosurgical Use—Technical Details and Rationale**

The mapping procedure usually takes between 20 and 40 minutes, but it needs the support of neuropsychologists. Indeed, the most difficult aspect of the procedure is the offline analysis of response errors induced by rTMS. The expertise of neuropsychologists is mandatory to disclose the occurrence of even minimal errors involving different language domains. Conversely, as well as during awake surgery, the lack of patients’ verbal collaboration reduces the postoperative risk for postoperative language deficits.14,15

Once the offline analysis has been performed, the rTMS language map can be easily exported in a DICOM format to a planning station or a navigation system. Tractography can be performed by selecting separately each single rTMS language spot or all spots together as a first seeding ROI,50 and a second anatomical ROI can be selected based on the specific fascicle to track.4 Tracking is usually performed using an FA threshold of 0.20; in case of excessive perilesional edema it can be lowered to achieve the best visualization of each fascicle (no less than 75% of the FA threshold);4 maximum directional change should be 45° for IFOF and ILF, and up to 90° for AF and UF.50

The rationale for the use of nTMS-based reconstruction of the language network consists in the possibility of performing customized presurgical planning in patients affected by intrinsic brain tumors located in the perisylvian region of the hemisphere specializing in language processing (Fig. 7). Moreover, as was previously described for the motor pathway, nTMS-based reconstruction may be displayed on the navigation screen and may serve as visual feedback during surgery, thus helping surgeons to preserve functional structures,56 especially if combined with intraoperative MRI. Finally, rTMS-based language mapping is especially useful in all those cases in which awake surgery cannot be performed, thereby representing the only functional information available for surgeons.14,56
Neurosurgical Use—Current Evidence

The first paper describing the possibility of using nTMS for language mapping was published in 2012. Thereafter, preliminary studies were focused on the analysis of the accuracy of rTMS language mapping in comparison with the gold-standard DES, reporting a very high sensitivity and negative predictive value, but a low specificity and positive predictive value, especially for posterior language areas. The reliability of rTMS language mapping is lower than motor mapping, and can be influenced by different parameters, including the stimulation frequency and intensity, the task used, the coil positioning, and time between picture presentation and the beginning of the repetitive stimulation. Accordingly, a standardized protocol has been defined by experts to increase the accuracy of rTMS language mapping.

Some authors also investigated the accuracy of rTMS versus fMRI for mapping language areas in comparison with the DES. In 2 consecutive studies Ille et al. reported that rTMS language mapping seems more sensitive but less specific in comparison with fMRI.

The clinical usefulness of the combination of rTMS cortical language mapping with DTI fiber tracking has been demonstrated by several papers. Thanks to these studies, the nTMS-based reconstruction of the language network has also entered the clinical workflow prior to surgery of language-eloquent tumors. Some preliminary reports have demonstrated that nTMS motor and language mapping may be useful also for surgery of brain arteriovenous malformations and of convexity meningiomas located in the central region.

Future Perspectives and Applications

Some preliminary reports have demonstrated that nTMS motor and language mapping may be useful also for surgery of brain arteriovenous malformations and of convexity meningiomas located in the central region. Interestingly, a similar approach has been recently reported as effective also before epilepsy surgery.

More recently, some attempts to develop novel nTMS-based approaches for mapping other complex brain functions have been performed. New tasks have been implemented to enable the nTMS-based exploration of brain...
areas involved in more specific language domains such as reading, writing, verb generation, and action naming, as well as in other functions including tactile discrimination/working memory, visuospatial and calculation processes. The aim would be to reproduce by nTMS, in the preoperative period, the same settings we routinely have in the operating theater during awake surgery.

Conclusions

nTMS represents a novel electrophysiology-based imaging technique to perform and visualize, in a noninvasive way, preoperative brain mapping findings. Being based on neurophysiology, it provides valuable results that may anticipate findings otherwise available only by DES during surgery. Accordingly, nTMS might be considered a novel reliable tool for preoperative neurophysiological mapping of brain functional areas. This tool, combined with advanced imaging, might really impact surgical treatment of brain tumors located in eloquent areas.

Acknowledgments

This study has been funded by EU PON “R&I” 2014-2020; Call AIM line 1 CUP 1839117-3. Recipient: Giovanni Raffa, MD, PhD, BIOMORF Department, University of Messina, Italy.

References

transcranial magnetic stimulation in preoperative mapping of brain tumors. *World Neurosurg* 122:e1578–e1587, 2019
35. Larson E, Maddox RK, Lee AKC: Improving spatial local-
44. Ottenhausen M, Krieg SM, Meyer B, Ringel F: Functional preoperative and intraoperative mapping and monitoring: increasing safety and efficacy in glioma surgery. *Neurosur-

10 Neurosurg Focus Volume 47 • December 2019
Disclosures
The authors report no conflict of interest concerning the materials or methods used in this study or the findings specified in this paper.

Author Contributions
Conception and design: Raffa, Germanò. Acquisition of data: Quattropani. Analysis and interpretation of data: Quattropani. Drafting the article: Raffa. Critically revising the article: all authors. Approved the final version of the manuscript on behalf of all authors: Raffa. Study supervision: all authors. Approved the final version of the manuscript on behalf of all authors: Raffa. Study supervision: all authors.

Supplemental Information
Videos

Correspondence
Giovanni Raffa: University of Messina, Italy. giovanni.raffa@unime.it.