Intracranial aneurysm growth: consistency of morphological changes
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OBJECTIVE Previous studies have shown a relation between growth and rupture of intracranial aneurysms. Additionally, several morphological characteristics are frequently measured to estimate rupture risk. Little is known about how the rupture risk is associated with morphological characteristic changes during growth. The aim of this study was to provide insights into how morphological characteristics, associated with rupture, change during an aneurysm’s growth.

METHODS The authors retrospectively identified patients with longitudinal MRA images of unruptured growing aneurysms. The MRA images had an in-plane resolution of 0.2–0.5 mm and a slice thickness of 0.2–0.75 mm. Therefore, growth was defined as an increase of at least 0.5 mm in two directions or 1 mm in one direction. Using the MRA images, the authors semiautomatically segmented the aneurysm and the perianeurysmal vasculature. Twelve morphological characteristics were automatically measured. These characteristics were related to size (diameter, height, width, neck diameter, volume, surface area, aspect ratio, height-width ratio, and bottleneck factor) and shape (ellipticity index, nonsphericity index, and undulation index) of the aneurysm. Morphological characteristics before and after growth were compared using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test.

RESULTS The authors included 31 patients with 38 growing aneurysms. The aneurysms’ growth was detected after a mean of 218 weeks (range 23–567 weeks). A significant increase was seen in all size-related characteristics, and the bottleneck factor also significantly increased (from a median of 1.00 [IQR 0.85–1.04] to 1.03 [IQR 0.93–1.18]), while the ellipticity index decreased (from a median of 0.26 [IQR 0.25–0.28] to 0.25 [IQR 0.24–0.26]). The changes in size ratios and shape indices varied largely among patients. Larger aneurysms more often showed an increase in shape ratios.

CONCLUSIONS Although aneurysm growth, size-related characteristics, bottleneck factor, and ellipticity index changed significantly during growth, most size ratios and shape indices showed inconsistent changes among aneurysms. This suggests that, for an accurate rupture prediction, morphological parameters need to be reassessed after growth.
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An increasing number of unruptured aneurysms are being detected due to improvements in and the wide availability of imaging.15 Only a small number of intracranial aneurysms will eventually rupture. Rupture often leads to death or severe disability and poses a considerable clinical and socioeconomic burden.17 Because aneurysm treatment also poses considerable risks,22,25 the risk of rupture must outweigh treatment risk. Current guidelines recommend that the following factors be taken into account when deciding upon treatment: size, irregularity, location, aneurysm growth, family history of subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH), age, hypertension,23,36 previous SAH, and the presence of comorbidities.7,16,23,34,36

If an aneurysm is left untreated, periodic follow-up imaging of the aneurysm is recommended. It has been reported that approximately 10% of the aneurysms show growth on follow-up imaging.12 Aneurysm growth is associated with an increasing risk of rupture, as growing aneurysms have proven to be unstable.26 Growth patterns differ—for instance, some grow globally, whereas oth-
ers form a bleb. Different growth patterns might have a different rupture risk as different pathological processes underlie the growth.28 Thus, a greater understanding of aneurysm growth is needed to improve the management of unruptured aneurysms.

Next to size, several other aneurysm morphological characteristics, such as aspect ratio (AR) and ellipticity index (EI), are frequently used to predict which aneurysms can be expected to rupture.11,13,18,20,21,28 Each available index describes a different aspect of the aneurysm's morphology. These characteristics may change as the aneurysm grows. Not much is known about the dynamics of these characteristics during the time that the aneurysm is growing. Nonetheless, these characteristics are used to predict the risk of rupture at a given moment in time. The aim of this study was to provide insights into how morphological characteristics, associated with rupture, change during the aneurysm's growth.

Methods

Patient Population

We retrospectively included all patients with saccular unruptured intracranial aneurysms and longitudinal imaging performed from 2012 to 2016 at our tertiary care referral center. In this population of 253 patients with 333 aneurysms, 55 aneurysms were marked as growing aneurysms based on the imaging report by the neuroradiologist. These reports documented a change in size of more than 1 mm in one direction, 0.5 mm in two directions, or did not show a significant change in shape.5 Longitudinal imaging was performed with either CTA or MRA. The MRA consisted of 3D time-of-flight or contrast-enhanced MRA. We excluded all patients with only CTA studies available (n = 9), as most CTA images contained slices of > 0.75 mm and thus no accurate segmentation could be made. Additionally, we excluded 11 scans because of poor imaging quality (n = 2), different MRA sequences (n = 1), no available time-of-flight imaging (n = 4), and imaging conducted after treatment (n = 2). As a result, this study included 31 patients with 38 growing aneurysms. All included MRA images were made on a Philips Medical Systems scanner. The scan parameters were as follows: TR 20–47 msec, TE 1.5–6.4 msec, in-plane resolution 0.2–0.5 mm, and slice thickness 0.2–0.75 mm. The requirement for informed consent was waived by the institution’s review board because no diagnostic tests other than routine clinical imaging were used in this study.

Morphology

To assess aneurysm morphology, we used the following longitudinal images: baseline images, all images showing growth, and final image of the untreated aneurysm. As a result, for each patient the aneurysm morphology was determined at 2 to 4 moments in time. We focused the analysis on the baseline imaging and the final available imaging. The other moments in time were used to evaluate when growth was first detected and whether an aneurysm continued to grow after initial detection.

We have segmented the aneurysm and perianeurysmal vasculature on the available images using the Vascular Modeling Toolkit (www.vmtk.org).2 Both thresholding and a Sato vesselness filter were used for the automated segmentation. Each model was visually inspected by an expert with more than 2 years’ experience in neurovascular imaging (E.L.L.). If needed, the segmentations were manually corrected to reduce inaccuracies due to imaging artifacts such as flow dispersion, saturation, and partial voluming. The manual corrections were performed with ITK-SNAP 3.6.0 (www.itksnap.org).

In 4 aneurysms (11 models), because it was difficult to differentiate the aneurysm from its surrounding vessels, these models were also corrected by an experienced neuroradiologist (C.B.L.M.M.). Subsequently, the surfaces of the resultant segmentations were smoothed with the built-in Taubin-smoothing algorithm of the Vascular Modeling Toolkit, thereby removing high-frequency artifacts without shrinking the surface.33 The following smoothing parameters were used: passband factor of 0.05 and 20 iterations.

To calculate each aneurysm’s morphological characteristics, the aneurysm volume and neck plane were automatically determined. First, the original parent vessel was reconstructed. We used the parent vessel reconstruction algorithm devised by Ford et al.14 This parent vessel reconstruction consists of creating multiple centerlines in the parent vessel, determining where the aneurysm deviates from the parent vessel, and reconstructing the original vessel using the Voronoi diagram (Fig. 1A–F). With the delineation of the parent artery, the aneurysm surface was extracted (Fig. 1). The aneurysm surface is here defined as the part of the total surface that does not coincide with the parent vessel surface.

In current clinical practice, several morphological characteristics are measured after defining the aneurysm neck plane. The neck plane is detected using the methodology reported by Piccinelli et al.27 By defining planes at multiple orientations on the centerline, the optimal neck plane can be found (Fig. 1G), which is the plane with the smallest area and which is the closest to, but not intersecting, the parent vessel.

Morphological Characteristics

With the delineation of the aneurysm surface and the neck plane, the morphological characteristics were automatically calculated. The characteristics can be divided into 4 groups: 2D size characteristics, size ratios, 3D size characteristics, and shape characteristics. In addition to the shape characteristics, the irregularity was also documented on the baseline imaging by an experienced neuroradiologist. All characteristics were measured in accordance with the definitions proposed by Raghavan et al.28

The 2D size characteristics consist of the maximum diameter, height, and width. These were measured as depicted in Fig. 1F. The maximum diameter is the maximum distance found between two points on the aneurysm surface. Aneurysm height is defined as the longest distance from the center of the neck plane to the aneurysm dome. Aneurysm width depicts the longest distance perpendicular to the measurement of the aneurysm height. In addition to the 2D size characteristics, two 3D size characteristics are determined: aneurysm volume and surface area.
With these 2D size characteristics, several size ratios were determined: height-width ratio (HWR), bottleneck factor (BNF), and AR. The HWR depicts the difference between the height and the width of the aneurysm. The BNF is the ratio between the width and neck diameter; it therefore shows if an aneurysm has a small or a broad base. The AR is the ratio between the height and the neck diameter; it thus shows how elongated the aneurysm is.

The last characteristics are the shape characteristics: EI, nonsphericity index (NSI), and undulation index (UI). For these indices, the convex hull is determined. The convex hull of an aneurysm is the smallest convex volume that encloses the aneurysm volume. The EI characterizes the deviation of the aneurysm convex hull, as described by the convex hull volume ($V_{ch}$) and surface area ($SA_{ch}$), from a perfect sphere [$EI = 18\pi^{1/3}(V_{ch}^{2/3})/(SA_{ch})$]. The NSI is similar to the EI, but instead of the convex hull volume and surface area, the volume and surface area of the aneurysm are used. It is therefore influenced by both ellipticity and surface irregularities (undulations). The UI captures the aneurysm irregularity, as it compares the aneurysm volume with the volume of the convex hull [$UI = 1 – V/V_{ch}$]; a completely regular aneurysm without any undulations will have an UI of 0.

**Statistical Analysis**

All variables were tested for normality using a Shapiro-Wilk test. Normally distributed values are reported as mean ± SD, nonnormally distributed values are reported as median and interquartile range (IQR 25%–75%). Morphological characteristics at baseline were compared with the characteristics at the final imaging follow-up using a paired t-test for normally distributed variables and a Wilcoxon signed-rank test for nonnormally distributed variables. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

We included 31 patients with 38 growing aneurysms with a total of 118 MRI studies. Two examples are displayed in Fig. 2. The clinical characteristics can be found...
Most patients had multiple aneurysms (n = 21, 68%) and were female (n = 27, 87%). In about one-third of the cases, the patient had suffered a previous SAH (n = 9, 29%) of another aneurysm.

Aneurysm growth was detected after 218 weeks (range 23–567 weeks). Twelve of the growing aneurysms (32%) were treated, and one (3%) ruptured during follow-up. The aneurysms were treated between 12 and 283 weeks after the detection of growth (4 within 6 months from the detection of growth). The one that ruptured did so 28 weeks after the detection of growth. Blebs were formed in 2 aneurysms (5%), while 8 aneurysms (21%) had a clear change in shape (i.e., new multiple lobulations or wall protrusions). On average, the aneurysms grew 1.8 ± 2.1 mm, and no differences were seen among aneurysm locations. In 11 aneurysms (29%), growth was detected on multiple scans after baseline imaging; however, for 13 lesions (34%), we only had two sets of images, and thus in these cases we could not determine if these aneurysms were still unstable after growth.

**TABLE 1. Clinical characteristics in 31 patients with 38 growing aneurysms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (female)</td>
<td>27 (87%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm multiplicity</td>
<td>21 (68%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at baseline (yrs)</td>
<td>51 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous SAH</td>
<td>9 (29%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of the growing aneurysm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>8 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA/ACoA</td>
<td>8 (21%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCA</td>
<td>16 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCoA/posterior circulation</td>
<td>6 (16%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm shape at baseline (regular)</td>
<td>32 (84%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACA = anterior cerebral artery; ACoA = anterior communicating artery; ICA = internal carotid artery; MCA = middle cerebral artery; PCoA = posterior communicating artery. Values are presented as the number (%) of patients or aneurysms or as the mean ± SD.

**Morphological Characteristics**

Nine of the 12 morphological characteristics changed significantly after growth (Table 2). Most of these characteristics were associated with the aneurysm size. A significant increase was seen in diameter, width, height, neck diameter, BNF, volume, and surface area, whereas the EI and UI decreased. On average, the aneurysm width increased more than its height, but the change in HWR was insignificant. The increase in BNF indicates that, during growth, the aneurysm neck grew slower or less than the aneurysm width. The decrease in EI indicates that the aneurysm’s convex hull deviates more from a perfect sphere and thus that the aneurysm becomes less spherical or has more undulations.

As can be seen in Fig. 3, the 2D and 3D size-related characteristics increase in most patients during the lesion’s growth. However, the change in width is more consistent with the aneurysm’s diameter than the change in its height. No clear trends were seen for the size ratios (AR, BNF, and HWR); in some aneurysms these ratios increased, but in other aneurysms these ratios decreased. In most aneurysms the EI decreased during growth. However, some an-
eurysms exhibited a stable or increased EI. A similar trend was seen for the UI.

Figure 4 shows for each morphological characteristic the relation of the magnitude of the measured change with the baseline diameter. No dependencies on baseline diameter were seen for the 2D size characteristics and the size indices. The aneurysm volume and surface area showed larger changes, with an increasing baseline diameter. Additionally, the shape indices seemed to decrease with smaller baseline diameters but increase with larger diameters.

Discussion

This study evaluated to what extent commonly measured morphological characteristics change during aneurysm growth. A significant increase was seen in the 2D and 3D size-related characteristics and the BNF during growth of intracranial aneurysms. The EI and UI significantly decreased. Both the size ratios and shape indices showed inconsistent trends; in some aneurysms these ratios increased, but in other aneurysms the values decreased. Based on the Bland-Altman plots, the shape indices seemed to decrease when baseline diameters were smaller, but to increase when baseline diameters were larger.

The aneurysm growth rate in our patient population (19%) is in line with other studies that reported growth rates between 4% and 45%.4 Several studies showed that an aneurysm’s size and irregularity are a strong predictor for growth.5 Our study showed that the change in most parameters was not dependent on the size of the lesion at baseline, but a larger aneurysm diameter at baseline often resulted in an increase instead of decrease in the shape indices (EI, NSI, and UI). What this indicates is that larger aneurysms are more likely to become irregularly shaped or to form a bleb during growth, whereas smaller aneurysms often become more regular and spherical. As aneurysm irregularity is related to both growth6 and rupture,6,22 the rupture risk of these smaller aneurysms might decrease during growth. It is yet unknown whether the other presented rupture risk–related characteristics predict growth. Nevertheless, our study provides insights into what happens to these features during growth.

Previous studies reported higher AR,11,13,28,38 BNF,13 HWR,13 UI,11,28,37 and NSI11,28,37 and a lower EI11,28,37 in ruptured aneurysms. Growing aneurysms have proven to be unstable and more prone to rupture.36 Therefore, one might expect that after growth aneurysms have a morphology similar to the ruptured aneurysms of previous studies. Our study only showed a significant increase for the BNF and a significantly lower EI. Thus, most of these rupture-related characteristics did not change significantly after growth. This might be because many studies use the images obtained after rupture to determine the morphology, but it has been shown that the morphology changes after rupture.31 Additionally, we did observe inconsistent changes in morphology among the various aneurysms. The difference in morphological change among these aneurysms might indicate a different rupture risk. Some aneurysms stabilize after growth. Although aneurysm growth is related to their rupture, not every growing aneurysm ruptures. Further research is needed to evaluate whether the difference in growth patterns can be used to predict rupture. With morphological changes, the hemodynamic environment also changes, which could further influence the rupture risk.8,9,32,35 Because aneurysm hemodynamics might influence the aneurysm wall,24 these changes might (de)stabilize the aneurysm.

Currently, the rupture risk is often estimated based on a single moment in time. Such an approach neglects the dynamic aspect of an aneurysm. Therefore, evaluating rupture risk based on a single moment in time might be unreliable. As our study shows, there is a wide variability in the changes in morphology during growth. Therefore these indices might not predict rupture at a single point in time. Reassessing the parameters during and after growth likely gives more extensive information on the aneurysm stability and rupture risk.

Only a small portion of the aneurysms in our data set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Baseline Imaging</th>
<th>Final Imaging</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (mm)</td>
<td>5.0 (3.4–7.0)</td>
<td>6.9 (4.9–9.0)</td>
<td>1.8 (2.1)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width (mm)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.6–5.5)</td>
<td>5.04 (3.9–7.9)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (mm)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.5–3.9)</td>
<td>3.3 (2.1–5.2)</td>
<td>1.0 (1.6)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neck diameter (mm)</td>
<td>3.6 (2.5–5.5)</td>
<td>4.58 (3.2–6.9)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.2)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNF</td>
<td>1.00 (0.85–1.04)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.93–1.18)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.35)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>0.63 (0.50–0.77)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.57–0.87)</td>
<td>0.06 (0.20)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HWR</td>
<td>0.63 (0.54–0.78)</td>
<td>0.68 (0.49–0.81)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume (mm³)</td>
<td>13.9 (5.0–51.3)</td>
<td>44.0 (13.4–105.7)</td>
<td>41.7 (66.8)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area (mm²)</td>
<td>31.9 (16.8–74.7)</td>
<td>71.8 (29.5–120.5)</td>
<td>36.4 (46.6)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EI</td>
<td>0.26 (0.25–0.28)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.24–0.26)</td>
<td>−0.01 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSI</td>
<td>0.19 (0.17–0.22)</td>
<td>0.19 (0.17–0.22)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.04)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UI</td>
<td>0.23 (0.14–0.35)</td>
<td>0.18 (0.13–0.22)</td>
<td>−0.05 (0.11)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as the median (IQR) or the mean (SD).
were treated after growth due to the estimated low risk of rupture after growth. A large portion of the aneurysms were at the middle cerebral artery (42%), and the diameter after growth was often below 7 mm. Although some patients had suffered an earlier SAH from another aneurysm, the 5-year cumulative rupture risk is still small (0.4%–0.9% [as estimated based on the PHASES score])\(^\text{16}\)). Since the risk posed by endovascular treatment in these patients was higher (mortality rate 1%–2% and morbidity rate 4%–10%\(^\text{25,29}\)), most patients were not treated and were followed with additional imaging. However, one should be aware that, during growth, the neck diameter often also increases, and therefore an aneurysm with increased size does not automatically imply that endovascular procedures will be easier.\(^\text{10}\) When choosing conservative treatment after growth of the aneurysm has been documented, shorter intervals between imaging can be recommended.

A limitation of this study is that the measurements of the morphological characteristics depend on the segmentations that are performed.\(^\text{6}\) Due to slow-flow artifacts the aneurysm lumen might appear smaller or incomplete on TOF MRA. To optimize accuracy, all segmentations were performed on MRA and were subsequently inspected by an experienced neuroradiologist. Additionally, the same imaging sequence was used at baseline and follow-up imaging; thus, the increase in size was independent of the imaging method. More accurate measurements can be obtained with 3D rotational angiography due to the high resolution and signal-to-noise ratios.\(^\text{1}\) However, follow-up

---

**FIG. 3.** Scatter and density plots for each morphological characteristic versus diameter. The density plots of the diameter are displayed on the first row. The density plots of each characteristic are displayed vertically (columns 2, 4, and 6). Blue dots and density plots represent the baseline values, orange dots and density plots represent the values after growth (at the time of the final imaging). The dotted lines connect the baseline and final value of one aneurysm. BF = bottleneck factor; SA = surface area.
FIG. 4. Modified Bland-Altman plots showing changes in the morphological characteristics versus the baseline diameter. The blue line shows the average change, and the dotted red lines show the upper and lower border of the 95% confidence interval.
imaging is rarely done with 3D rotational angiography as this method is invasive.

The resolution (both in plane and in the slice direction) was around 0.5 mm, which was our detection limit for aneurysm growth. Previous studies showed that the detection rate for aneurysm growth was high for growth > 0.4 mm, but smaller enlargements might be missed. Nevertheless, these smaller enlargements are also difficult to detect on other modalities and might have limited clinical relevance.

We quantified morphological shape using the EI, UI, and NSI as defined by Raghavan et al. By automating the quantification method, the measurements are objective and repeatable while preserving a close connection to the current measurement methodology. Although the neck-plane detection method we used, as presented by Piccinelli et al., has been shown to be in concordance with manually positioned planes, the algorithm sometimes misplaces the neck in wide-neck aneurysms and aneurysms with vessels originating from the aneurysms base. To prevent such errors, the measured characteristics were checked, and in case of misplacement of the neck plane, the parent vessel and aneurysm centerline were manually adjusted. Some of the measures are based on the aneurysm neck size; it has been shown that this measure differs between imaging modalities. In this study, we used the same modality before and after growth, thereby minimizing the differences within patients.

A selection bias is present in our study population as aneurysms with a high risk of rupture are immediately treated. This study thus only included conservative managed aneurysms. Therefore, our study population has a relative high number of small- and middle-sized middle cerebral artery aneurysms. However, the results of this study provide insight into the aneurysms that are left untreated.

Conclusions

We have shown that, during growth, the intracranial aneurysm’s morphological characteristics change. This change was only significant for the size-related characteristics, the BNF, and the EI. Most size ratios and shape indices show inconsistent changes among aneurysms during growth. This suggests that, for accurate rupture prediction, morphological parameters need to be reassessed after growth. Future studies should explore the inconsistencies in morphological change among aneurysms, as different growth patterns might indicate different pathologies and therefore different rupture risks.
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