A novel technique for awake, minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion: technical note
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Minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (MI-TLIF) is associated with improved patient-reported outcomes in well-selected patients. Recently, some neurosurgeons have aimed to further improve outcomes by utilizing multimodal methods to avoid the use of general anesthesia. Here, the authors report on the use of a novel awake technique for MI-TLIF in two patients. They describe the successful use of liposomal bupivacaine in combination with a spinal anesthetic to allow for operative analgesia.
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while awake. We prepare the patient in the usual fashion and drape them steriley. First, attention is placed on the iliac crest. Iliac bone marrow autograft aspirate is harvested and drape them sterilely. First, attention is placed on the iliac crest. We then obtain a navigated CT scan using a portable scanner (Fig. 1A–C). We then register and confirm landmark marks for spinal navigation. Then, prior to skin incision, we place the reference frame of the Surgical Navigation System (Medtronic Inc.) on the right iliac crest. We then obtain a navigated CT scan using a portable scanner (Fig. 1A–C). We then register and confirm landmark marks for spinal navigation.

Two 1-inch incisions are planned in the Wiltse plane utilizing navigation (Fig. 1E). Then, prior to skin incision, an injectable liposomal bupivacaine suspension (Exparel, Pacira Pharmaceuticals Inc.) is infiltrated into both incisions and screw trajectories (Fig. 1B and E). This provides approximately 72 hours of local analgesia.

Next, the L4–5 pedicle screws are placed using navigation (Fig. 1D). First, a navigated screw with a cutting flute is placed into the cranial pedicle (Viper Prime, DePuy Synthes). We leave the caudal screw (i.e., L5) out ipsilateral to the side of interbody placement until after placement to facilitate visualization. Next, a contralateral partial facetectomy is completed. The bone is kept as local autograft. Subsequently, a drill is utilized to decorticale the contralateral L4–5 facet. The bone is kept as local autograft. A combination of shavers and curettes are utilized to prepare the disc space. Subsequently, interbody trials of sequentially larger sizes are placed until an appropriate fit is visualized (Fig. 1F). Next, the TLIF interbody expandable cage, filled with local autograft, is placed. We prefer to augment our interbody placement with iliac crest bone marrow aspirate and local crest autograft and graft extender.

After interbody cage placement, the remaining caudal ipsilateral pedicle screw is inserted. Rods are placed and locked down into the construct. Hemostasis is achieved with bipolar cautery. The wound is then closed in a layered fashion. Anteroposterior and lateral intraoperative fluoroscopy is utilized to confirm optimal location of the hardware (Fig. 1G and H). A video of the technique is provided (Video I).

Illustrative Cases
Case 1
History and Examination
This 63-year-old man had scoliosis and Meyerding grade I L4–5 spondylolisthesis with low-back pain and left leg radiculopathy, including paraesthesias (Fig. 2A and B). His imaging revealed a lateral L4–5 disc herniation, scoliosis, advanced degeneration, and left-sided up/down foraminal stenosis (Fig. 2E and F). He had received a trial...
of oral prednisone, naproxen 500 mg as necessary, gabapentin, lumbar physical therapy, and four lumbar epidural steroid injections without significant relief. He refused to undergo a fusion procedure, so he underwent a left L4–5 MI laminotomy and foraminotomy but continued to have radicular pain.

His postoperative course was notable for a recurrence of his leg pain several weeks after surgery despite initial symptom relief. Postoperative MRI revealed persistent left L4–5 up/down foraminal stenosis compressing the exiting L4 nerve root (Fig. 2G). There was no recurrent disc herniation.

MI-TLIF

Two months after his initial surgery, he underwent an awake L4–5 MI-TLIF with neuronavigation. A left-sided facetectomy and asymmetrical cage placement to the left was completed to correct the up/down foraminal stenosis (Fig. 2H). A Jackson-Pratt (JP) drain was placed to suction. Estimated blood loss (EBL) was under 100 ml. The operative time was 2.5 hours. There were no perioperative complications.

Postoperative Course

The patient mobilized within 4 hours of surgery and was able to ambulate 300 feet with an assistive device and to walk on stairs with physical therapy. Immediate postoperative radiographs demonstrated the hardware in a good position (Fig. 2C and D). His pain was well controlled. He required acetaminophen 1000 mg three times a day (TID), baclofen 10 mg TID, pregabalin 75 mg TID (a home medication), and six oxycodone 10 mg when necessary during his hospitalization. There were no requirements for any intravenous opioid or anti-nausea medications. The drain was removed on postoperative day 1. The patient was tolerating a regular diet. The Foley catheter was removed, and the patient voided successfully. He was discharged to home without event on postoperative day 1 with the recommendation for outpatient physical therapy. He was discharged with oral oxycodone-acetaminophen 5–325 mg to be taken as needed for pain. He was given baclofen 10 mg TID and a fentanyl patch as well.

At the 3-month postoperative follow-up, the patient noted 70% improvement in his sensory disturbance with only occasional burning pain on the medial dorsal aspect of his left foot when lying down. His pain was well controlled on acetaminophen alone and without narcotics. His visual analog scale (VAS) back and leg pain score was improved from 6 to 1. He returned to work full-time.

Case 2

History and Examination

This 67-year-old female with scoliosis, Meyerding grade I L4–5 spondylolisthesis, and moderate lumbar stenosis presented with left leg pain radiating from her buttocks to her calf that had lasted for 1 year (Fig. 3A, B, E, and F). She also noted intermittent left foot parasthesias and a partial right foot drop. She had mild low-back pain but ambulated without difficulty. Preoperative dynamic lumbar radiographs revealed dynamic listhesis at L4–5.
FIG. 2. Case 1. Preoperative AP (A) and lateral (B) radiographs demonstrating Meyerding grade I L4–5 spondylolisthesis and scoliosis. Postoperative AP (C) and lateral (D) radiographs obtained after L4–5 MI-TLIF, demonstrating hardware in the expected position. FIG. 2. (continued)
(Fig. 3G and H). The pain was resistant to naproxen 500 mg daily, massage therapy, physical therapy, and an epidural steroid injection. She also tried hydrocodone-acetaminophen, which did not have a significant effect.

MI-TLIF

The patient underwent an awake L4–5 MI-TLIF with percutaneous screw fixation and interbody cage placement from the left with the aid of neuronavigation (Fig. 3I and J). A JP drain was placed to suction. The EBL was under 100 ml. The operative time was 2.5 hours. There were no perioperative complications.

Postoperative Course

She mobilized immediately postoperatively with physical therapy. On postoperative day 1, she was able to ambulate. Her JP drain was removed. She was discharged to home on postoperative day 1. She was discharged with baclofen 5 mg every 6 hours, gabapentin 300 mg TID, and oxycodone 7.5 mg to be taken every 4 hours.

At the routine 3-month follow-up, the patient noted some right lateral hip pain and low-back pain. Her radiographs revealed postsurgical findings (Fig. 3C and D). Her leg pain was much improved, and she had reduced her oxycodone use to once nightly. Her VAS back pain score worsened from 0 to 6 postoperatively. Her VAS leg pain score improved from 7.5 to 0 postoperatively.

Discussion

The present study demonstrated that MI-TLIF is well tolerated in the awake patient under conscious sedation. We also showed the feasibility of 1) a nonendoscopic technique for MI-TLIF performed through tubular retractors and 2) the combination of the off-label use of liposomal long-acting local anesthetics and a spinal anesthetic to allow for longer operative times (> 2 hours).

The goals of MI spine surgery include the decrease of complications, recovery times, postoperative pain, and costs. In alignment with this aim, the use of local anesthesia and conscious sedation in MI-TLIF offers a number of advantages. The risks associated with intubation and the induction of general anesthesia are eliminated, and adverse effects from this process, such as nausea and memory loss, can be avoided.15 During recovery from general anesthesia, patients may have mental confusion and transient impairment of sensory and motor function.12 Hemodynamic instability and cardiac dysrhythmias can also occur during emergence from general anesthesia. Consequently, general anesthesia may be contraindicated in select patients, particularly in the elderly and those with a high perioperative risk due to medical comorbidities.

Authors reporting their experience with spine surgeries in awake patients have cited improved postoperative analgesia, shorter recovery times, decreased costs, and improved perioperative morbidity and mortality.6 Wang et al. reported decreased operative time, postoperative analgesic utilization, and cost in patients undergoing single-level anterior cervical disectomy and fusion with cervical plexus anesthesia as compared to those with general anesthesia.12 Conscious sedation allows the patient to remain awake and interact with the surgeon during critical steps that may involve the manipulation of nerve roots resulting in neurological symptoms. This immediate and live feedback can inform the surgeon of the patient’s neurological status during positioning and the surgery itself and obviate the need for intraoperative neuromonitoring (IONM) as in this report. Decreased intrathoracic pressure from spontaneous—as opposed to mechanical—breathing likely reduces bleeding during the procedures.7 Calderon et al. similarly noted that the avoidance of general anesthesia in carotid endarterectomy has the benefit of intraprocedural evaluation of the patient’s neurological function, a shorter length of stay, and decreased rates of cerebrovascular accidents.2 Although the use of a local anesthetic in MI spine surgery has been particularly described in the setting of decompression (e.g., discectomies or laminectomies),1,3,8,9,11,14 the literature on its application for MI-TLIF is limited. In a recent case report by Telfeian, a 42-year-old male with lumbar radiculopathy underwent awake L4–S1 MI-TLIF with the use of an endoscopic system, local anesthesia, and conscious sedation.10 The postoperative course was uncomplicated, and the patient noted the resolution of symptoms at the 1-year follow-up. Of note, the technique described by Telfeian relies on a side shaver drill for decompression that is fully reliant on fluoroscopy for visualization. This disadvantage, as the author notes, limits the ability to achieve a larger decompression, such as would be possible under direct visualization. With our technique, direct microscopic visualization is accomplished via the tubular retractor system, facilitating a wider decompression when necessary. Additionally, this nonendoscopic approach avoids the learning curve and expense associated with endoscopic equipment.

In the largest case series to date, Wang and Grossman reported their experience with endoscopic single-level MI-TLIF in 10 patients without general anesthesia.15 Patients were sedated with a ketamine and propofol infusion without the use of spinal, epidural, or regional anesthesia. The authors relied on an endoscopic technique for decompression. As in our series, the authors used off-label liposomal bupivacaine to provide long-acting local anesthesia. No case required conversion to open surgery. At the 1-year follow-up, the authors reported no postoperative complications, improvements in a number of health-related quality of life scores, and radiographic fusion on dynamic plain radiographs.

In contrast to their series, the present study utilized a nonendoscopic technique performed via tubular retrac-
FIG. 3. Case 2. Preoperative AP (A) and lateral (B) radiographs demonstrating Meyerding grade I L4–5 spondylolisthesis and scoliosis. Postoperative AP (C) and lateral (D) radiographs demonstrating hardware in the expected position. Preoperative axial (E) and sagittal (F) T2-weighted MR images reveal L4–5 lumbar stenosis. Dynamic extension (G) and flexion (H) lumbar plain radiographs demonstrate mobile spondylolisthesis. Intraoperative AP (I) and lateral (J) fluoroscopic images demonstrate the hardware in a good position.
tors, allowing for a larger decompression and more thorough endplate preparation. Though this must be balanced with the greater soft tissue trauma, we believe this non-endoscopic method optimizes surgical decompression and augments fusion rates.

Wang and Grossman had a surgical cutoff time of 120 minutes, which may not be achievable by all surgical teams. However, the use of a spinal anesthetic in the present study, which was not used by Wang and Grossman, enhances perioperative pain control and thus allows surgery to extend well beyond 120 minutes (e.g., 2.5 hours in our cases). Given the longer surgical times permitted by the spinal anesthetic, more extensive, multilevel MI-TLIF may be possible, but further study is required. The disadvantage of the use of the spinal anesthetic in our technique is the inability to assess for complaints of pain, which would otherwise be helpful to monitor for compression of neural structures. These differences are aimed at augmenting the applicability and generalizability of awake MI-TLIF across institutions. While there are differences in surgical technique, the cumulative results demonstrate the feasibility of MI-TLIF in an awake patient. Importantly, the technique was well tolerated and safe, as there were no instances of nerve root, spinal cord, or dural injuries and no local tissue reaction to the injectable liposomal bupivacaine.

Of note, our technique avoids the use of IONM to minimize patient discomfort and decrease in-room operative time. Though IONM may aid in the identification of neurological injury during decompression, screw insertion, or interbody placement, the benefit in decreasing neurological injury, especially for smaller procedures like MI 1- and 2-level transfemoral interbody fusion, has not been observed.

Despite its potential advantages, the procedure is not appropriate for all surgical candidates. Given the limitations on the duration of operative time, the procedure is best suited to patients who require surgery for 1- or 2-level lumbar stenosis or spondylolisthesis. The procedure is utilized for Meyering grade I or II spondylolisthesis because these cases are conducted without IONM. We avoid the procedure in grade III or higher spondylolisthesis because we prefer to utilize IONM in such cases. Close airway monitoring is required for the procedure. Comorbid factors that compromise the airway, such as extreme obesity and obstructive sleep apnea, are relative contraindications to awake TLIF given that the airway is not secured during surgery. Patients with comorbid anxiety may not tolerate the conscious sedation required for the procedure. While pain can be well controlled with the techniques described, patients may feel uncomfortable and anxious during the surgery because of the long periods of prone positioning and the sounds of surgical instruments. This may additionally result in elevated heart rate or blood pressure. Given these considerations, select patients may benefit from additional prophylaxis.

A control group in future studies will allow for an assessment of differences in recovery times from anesthesia, perioperative features, patient outcomes, and resource utilization based on the anesthetic approach, such as was undertaken by Wang et al. in their report of reduced costs following awake MI-TLIF as compared to conventional MI-TLIF. Further, long-term follow-up of the present cases, which is not within the scope of the present paper, will be important to clarify the efficacy of the procedure (e.g., radiographic fusion rates, long-term change in patient-reported outcomes, etc.).

Conclusions

Our preliminary experience demonstrates that a novel awake, tubular retractor technique using a microscope for MI-TLIF is feasible in patients who undergo spinal anesthesia. The advantages of our technique include the ability to achieve a wider decompression under direct visualization through tubular retractors and to operate for longer periods using a combination of liposomal bupivacaine and spinal anesthesia. Future prospective study with larger cohorts will be critical in fully defining the long-term efficacy of this technique.
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