Cost-effectiveness of adult spinal deformity surgery in a military healthcare system
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OBJECTIVE Adult spinal deformity surgery is an effective way of treating pain and disability, but little research has been done to evaluate the costs associated with changes in health outcome measures. This study determined the change in quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) and the cost per QALY in patients undergoing spinal deformity surgery in the unique environment of a military healthcare system (MHS).

METHODS Patients were enrolled between 2011 and 2017. Patients were eligible to participate if they were undergoing a thoracolumbar spinal fusion spanning more than 6 levels to treat an underlying deformity. Patients completed the 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36) prior to surgery and 6 and 12 months after surgery. The authors used paired t-tests to compare SF-36 Physical Component Summary (PCS) scores between baseline and postsurgery. To estimate the cost per QALY of complex spine surgery in this population, the authors extended the change in health-related quality of life (HRQOL) between baseline and follow-up over 5 years. Data on the cost of surgery were obtained from the MHS and include all facility and physician costs.

RESULTS HRQOL and surgical data were available for 49 of 91 eligible patients. Thirty-one patients met additional criteria allowing for cost-effectiveness analysis. Over 12 months, patients demonstrated significant improvement (p < 0.01) in SF-36 PCS scores. A majority of patients met the minimum clinically important difference (MCID; 83.7%) and substantive clinical benefit threshold (SCBT; 83.7%). The average change in QALY was an increase of 0.08. Extended across 5 years, including the 3.5% discounting per year, study participants increased their QALYs by 0.39, resulting in an average cost per QALY of $181,649.20. Nineteen percent of patients met the < $100,000/QALY threshold with half of the patients meeting the < $100,000/QALY mark by 10 years. A sensitivity analysis showed that patients who scored below 60 on their preoperative SF-36 PCS had an average increase in QALYs of 0.10 per year or 0.47 over 5 years.

CONCLUSIONS With a 5-year extended analysis, patients who receive spinal deformity surgery in the MHS increased their QALYs by 0.39, with 19% of patients meeting the $100,000/QALY threshold. The majority of patients met the threshold for MCID and SCBT at 1 year postoperatively. Consideration of preoperative functional status (SF-36 PCS score < 60) may be an important factor in determining which patients benefit the most from spinal deformity surgery.
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quality-of-life valuation. Otherwise referred to as a health utility score, this value ranges from 0 to 1 and is based on particular treatments or lack thereof. The duration for a particular health utility score from a procedure or other treatment produces a quality-adjusted life year (QALY). It is important to remember that over time, QALYs are cumulative, and it is the durability of a treatment that makes it cost-effective.

The ratio of cost that is required per QALY provides the basis for cost-effectiveness is currently judged to be $100,000/QALY. An intervention is considered cost-effective when the cost/QALY is less than $100,000. Some have argued, though, that inflation needs to be taken into account. This is where the concept that 3 times the gross domestic product (GDP) per capita can be used as a threshold. Based on the 2010 GDP per capita of the United States, this translates into $140,580/QALY, increasing to $154,458 based on 2015 data. Per the World Bank website, current GDP per capita in the United States is $57,638, equating to a cost-effectiveness threshold of $172,914 (https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.PCAPCD?view=map).

Given the controversy in the lay press over indications and outcomes associated with spine surgery, this field represents a prime area for cost-effectiveness studies. Several such studies have evaluated procedures such as anterior cervical discectomy and single-level lumbar fusions, showing them to have a cost per QALY of less than $100,000 and, therefore, deemed cost-effective. As our population increases in age, we will continue to see more patients with adult spinal deformities. Unfortunately, the literature is lacking in quality studies that evaluate the cost-effectiveness of treatment in this patient population. As fiscal restraints become more of an issue, we must be able to determine that an intervention improves the quality of life of a patient and is cost-effective or more so than nonsurgical management. Determining whether adult spinal deformity surgery meets cost-effectiveness measures has been more elusive. With its longer operative times, increased duration of hospitalization, and known complication rates, spinal deformity surgery faces challenges to meet cost-effectiveness standards. However, alternative nonoperative management of these patients has shown little to no significant improvement in their quality of life.

The goal of this study was to evaluate the impact that adult spinal deformity surgery has on quality of life and the cost-effectiveness of the procedure within the military healthcare system (MHS).

Methods

Prior to initiating the study, approval from the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center institutional review board was obtained. The study was an observational, prospective study with a retrospective arm dating back to 2011 until the prospective arm was initiated in 2015. There was no randomization involved and no research intervention. Individuals in the retrospective arm were approached to participate in the prospective portion of the study when they returned for standard follow-up care; only if they consented to participate were they previously collected data included for this research. If they did not return to the clinic (lost to follow-up), their retrospective information was included for the retrospective analysis only. Patients included in the study were those undergoing spinal deformity surgery who had any of following: surgery lasting > 6 hours, plan to surgically treat > 6 spinal levels, a staged procedure, significant medical comorbidities, or age > 65 years. The cases of all complex spine patients were presented in a multidisciplinary conference in which each patient’s intra- and postoperative surgical, medical, and pain care plan data were discussed and optimized.

Cost data were obtained through the MHS administrative records on all healthcare services the patient accessed during the study period, including inpatient, outpatient, and pharmacy costs. Costs were standardized to 2018 US dollars using the Bureau of Labor Statistics’ Consumer Price Index–Medical Care. Costs were associated with the episode of care if they occurred within 30 days of the complex spine surgery.

Validated health outcome measures (SF-36) were then utilized pre- and postoperatively at regular intervals to determine the clinical effectiveness of the operative intervention for their disease process. Patients were included in the study if they completed an SF-36 at baseline and at follow-up visits between 1 and 36 months after surgery.

Meaningful clinical measures were identified based on the literature. We tested whether patients met the minimum clinically important difference (MCID) and the substantive clinical benefit threshold (SCBT) to identify whether the patient’s health changed significantly after surgery. Copay al. found that the MCID for lumbar spine surgery was an SF-36 Physical Component Summary (PCS) score of 4.9, while Glassman et al. identified the SCBT to be a PCS score of 6.2.

Following the approach of Kharroubi et al., SF-36 scores were converted into QALYs. Because previous studies have found that outcomes are stable after spinal deformity surgery, we projected QALYs over 5 years and discounted them at a standard rate of 3.5% per year. To estimate the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, baseline QALYs were extended over the same period, and the cost-effectiveness acceptability (CEA) curve was estimated using nonparametric bootstrap methods.

Because previous studies have found that spinal deformity surgery provides the most benefit to the sickest patients, we conducted a sensitivity analysis focusing on patients whose baseline SF-36 PCS score was below 60.

Results

A total of 94 patients met the inclusion criteria for the study (Fig. 1). Of these, one patient declined to participate, and there were two deaths in the retrospective arm not related to the surgery, leaving 91 patients to be included in the analysis. Of the 91 eligible patients, 52 were strictly in the retrospective arm, 17 were initially retrospective and crossed over to the prospective arm, and 22 were strictly in the prospective arm. Fifty-six of the 91 patients were male (61.5%), and the average age was 63 years old at the time of surgery (range 25–84 years).

Forty-two patients were excluded from the outcome
component of the study for lack of either baseline or appropriate follow-up HRQOL data. This left a total of 49 patients to be included in the MCID/SCBT component of the study. Of these 49 patients, 33 (67.3%) were retired from the military, 14 (28.6%) were dependents, and 2 (4.1%) were on active duty. Both of the active duty patients underwent an L2–iliac procedure and have remained on active duty more than 1 year since surgery. Neither is undergoing medical separation from the military at this time. An additional 18 patients were excluded from the cost-effectiveness analysis due to incomplete baseline SF-36 data that prevented the calculation of QALYs. The average duration of follow-up for our cohort was 1 year. The most common type of surgery was placement of a T10–iliac construct (79.6% in the MCID/SCBT analysis and 84.4% in the CEA analysis (Table 1).

Of the 49 patients who had complete and incomplete baseline SF-36 data with follow-up, 83.7% met the threshold for both the MCID and the SCBT (Table 2). We observed a threshold effect such that patients who had higher SF-36 PCS scores at baseline had a smaller increase in HRQOL after spinal deformity surgery (Fig. 2).

The average cost for all healthcare services that patients accessed as part of their surgical episode of care, including inpatient, outpatient, and pharmacy costs, was $82,730.90. The largest proportion of the cost was attributable to the cost of surgery and its associated inpatient hospital stay, which averaged $77,472.96. Of the 31 patients who were included in the cost-effectiveness analysis, the average change in QALY was an increase of 0.08 over a 1-year period. Extended across 5 years, including the 3.5% discounting per year, study participants increased their QALYs by 0.39 over the 5 years. This resulted in a cost per QALY of $181,649.20. Nineteen per cent of patients met the < $100,000/QALY threshold (Table 2). We conducted two sensitivity analyses. In the first, we found that, by 10 years after surgery, half of participants included in the CEA analysis will meet the < $100,000/QALY threshold.

**Discussion**

The MHS is one of the largest healthcare systems in the United States, providing care for 9.6 million beneficiaries, and it has the unique mission of providing medical support to military operations. In addition, the MHS manages a healthcare delivery system, military readiness, public health activities, medical education and training, and medical research/development. While there is a purchased care component outside of the military system, managed through TRICARE, the care provided within the military system itself provides an excellent opportunity to evaluate for cost since there is not a high reliance on Medicare reimbursement rates to determine the cost of a procedure, especially one as complex as adult spinal deformity surgery.

It is known that adult patients with spinal deformity have a worse HRQOL, increased use of pain medication, and less functionality. In 2006, Glassman et al. found the cost of nonsurgical treatment in adult scoliosis to range from $9704 to $14,022, with no significant changes in HRQOL. This translates into a poor cost-effective treatment strategy. The Spine Patient Outcome Research Trial (known as SPORT) has provided cost-effectiveness studies in evaluating nondeformity spinal surgeries and has found these procedures to be cost-effective. In 2014, Paulus et al. conducted a literature review to determine whether spinal deformity surgery had a positive impact on HRQOL and the cost-effectiveness of these procedures.

**Table 1. Demographics of patients involved in the MCID/SCBT and the CEA analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>MCID/SCBT Analysis (n = 49)</th>
<th>CEA Analysis (n = 31)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% male</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>61.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age at index surgery, yrs</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>64.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% received T10–iliac surgery</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>87.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% receiving other type of deformity surgery</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median follow-up, yrs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean PCS score at baseline (IQR)</td>
<td>34.0 (23.1–43.1)</td>
<td>32.6 (23.1–40.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% needing revision surgery during study</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Changes in health state over time in the analysis and the changes in QALY for the CEA analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean PCS score (n = 49)</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean QALY (n = 31)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What they found was that patients benefitted from undergoing surgery for their deformity compared to nonsurgical management, but there were sparse data in the literature regarding a cost-effectiveness analysis of the surgical and postsurgical management of these patients. Riley et al. reviewed their changes in HRQOL measurements in patients undergoing complex reconstructive spine surgery, finding that 50% of patients achieved an MCID in the Scoliosis Research Society–22R domain scores to include pain, self-image, function, and satisfaction. The authors noted that patients sustaining a neurological deficit or major complication were unlikely to achieve an MCID.

To help determine the cost-effectiveness of spinal deformity surgery within the civilian healthcare system, McCarthy et al. built a statistical model to predict QALYs for nonsurgical treatment and compared this with data obtained from surgical patients. Their total costs averaged $125,407 with total QALYs of 1.93 at average 3-year follow-up. Their analysis suggests that adult spinal deformity is cost-effective after a 10-year period. Terran et al. performed a multicenter study evaluating 541 patients on projected cost-effectiveness at 5 years based on a 2-year cost, determined by Medicare reimbursement rates, and HRQOL data. Less than half (40.7%) of their patients met the cost-effectiveness threshold of <$100,000/QALY with the average 5-year cost/QALY of $120,311.73. In a study by Fischer et al. utilizing a multicenter database that included 514 patients who underwent surgery for adult spinal deformity, the average QALY change was 0.15 and the average cost/QALY was $243,761.97. The authors found that 56 patients (10.4%) had a cost/QALY of less than $100,000 at the 2-year follow-up. Age greater than 55 years, adult de novo scoliosis, prior surgery, higher preoperative sagittal vertical axis, lower maximum Cobb angles, 8 or fewer fusion levels, lower blood loss, worse global alignment classification, and global sagittal malalignment were factors that the authors found more likely to be associated with cost-effectiveness.

The International Spine Study Group presented their research on comparing changes in QALYs after operative treatment of adult spinal deformity with nonoperative treatment. They determined that adult spinal deformity patients who underwent surgery had significantly larger increases in QALYs from baseline at 1, 2, and 3 years compared with nonoperatively managed patients. The average 3-year QALY for the operative group was 0.258 ± 0.354. Most recently, Raman et al. looked at the effect that revision surgery has on cost-effectiveness. They found that a cost/QALY at 2 years for the primary surgery was $197,809, and it was $129,950 for revision surgery.
Despite the short-term follow-up, our study’s findings are consistent with other data in the literature with regard to cost, especially when one takes into account the fact that those studies looked at actual costs as opposed to Medicare reimbursements. The studies that examined the actual cost from their institution for a deformity surgery found costs ranging from $115,509 to $137,990. Our cost was $82,730.90. This compares to the studies that just used Medicare reimbursement rates, citing costs < $50,000. When studies use two different mechanisms to evaluate costs that are more than twofold different, it makes comparing findings in the cost-effectiveness literature difficult. By looking at the actual cost to the institution, we believe that we have a truer sense of the direct costs involved. The difference in direct cost in this study compared to others can be from uncaptured costs data within the MHS as opposed to private insurance. Our QALY data of 0.08/year of follow-up is also consistent with the literature, which showed a range of 2-year cumulative QALYs to be between 0.15 and 0.4 and 3-year cumulative QALYs to be between 0.238 and 1.93, noting that most studies find the second postoperative year typically results in a larger QALY gain than the first.

It is interesting to note the change in QALYs (increase by 0.02) achieved when patients with a higher level of preoperative function (SF-36 PCS score > 60) are excluded in the analysis. This suggests that patients who have worse preoperative functional levels benefit the most from this type of surgery. Fischer et al. noted similar findings in their study in which patients with a higher level of preoperative disability were more likely to achieve the cost-effectiveness threshold. This could represent a ceiling threshold to the treatment and may warrant consideration during the preoperative evaluation phase as to which patients would most benefit from undergoing adult spinal deformity surgery.

There are several limitations to our current study, most notably the small sample size, short follow-up duration, and the low study completion rate primarily due to the lack of baseline/follow-up HRQOL metrics in our retrospective arm. Additionally, there was no control group to compare against. The strength of this study, however, is that the cost data were not from Medicare reimbursement rates but rather were direct costs obtained from the MHS and included all healthcare services the patient accessed during the study period, including inpatient, outpatient, and pharmacy costs.

Conclusions

Adult spinal deformity surgery performed within the MHS has outcomes and cost-effectiveness that are similar to those found in larger studies performed in civilian healthcare systems. With an average change in QALY of 0.08 per year over a 1-year period, the resulting cost per QALY with an extended 5-year analysis was $181,649. Half of the patients would meet the < $100,000/QALY threshold by 10 years. Consideration of preoperative functional status (SF-36 PCS score < 60) may be an important factor in determining which patients benefit the most from this type of surgery. This is the first known study to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of adult spinal deformity surgery within the MHS. Further study on this topic is required.
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