Decision-making algorithm for minimally invasive approaches to anterior skull base meningiomas
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OBJECTIVE Anterior skull base meningiomas are benign lesions that cause neurological symptoms through mass effect on adjacent neurovascular structures. While traditional transcranial approaches have proven to be effective at removing these tumors, minimally invasive approaches that involve using an endoscope offer the possibility of reducing brain and nerve retraction, minimizing incision size, and speeding patient recovery; however, appropriate case selection and results in large series are lacking.

METHODS The authors developed an algorithm for selecting a supraorbital keyhole minicraniotomy (SKM) for olfactory groove meningiomas or an expanded endoscopic endonasal approach (EEA) for tuberculum sella (TS) or planum sphenoidale (PS) meningiomas based on the presence or absence of olfaction and the anatomical extent of the tumor. Where neither approach is appropriate, a standard transcranial approach is utilized. The authors describe rates of gross-total resection (GTR), olfactory outcomes, and visual outcomes, as well as complications, for 7 subgroups of patients. Exceptions to the algorithm are also discussed.

RESULTS The series of 57 patients harbored 57 anterior skull base meningiomas; the mean tumor volume was 14.7 ± 15.4 cm³ (range 2.2–66.1 cm³), and the mean follow-up duration was 42.2 ± 37.1 months (range 2–144 months). Of 19 patients with olfactory groove meningiomas, 10 had preserved olfaction and underwent SKM, and preservation of olfaction was seen in 60%. Of 9 patients who presented without olfaction, 8 had cribiform plate invasion and underwent combined SKM and EEA (n = 3), bifrontal craniotomy (n = 3), or EEA (n = 2), and one patient without both olfaction and cribiform plate invasion underwent SKM. GTR was achieved in 94.7%. Of 38 TS/PS meningiomas, 36 of the lesions were treated according to the algorithm. Of these 36 meningiomas, 30 were treated by EEA and 6 by craniotomy. GTR was achieved in 97.2%, with no visual deterioration and one CSF leak that resolved by placement of a lumbar drain. Two patients with tumors that, based on the algorithm, were not amenable to an EEA underwent EEA nonetheless: one had GTR and the other had a residual tumor that was followed and removed via craniotomy 9 years later.

CONCLUSIONS Utilizing a simple algorithm aimed at preserving olfaction and vision and based on maximizing use of minimally invasive approaches and selective use of transcranial approaches, the authors found that excellent outcomes can be achieved for anterior skull base meningiomas.
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of reducing brain and nerve retraction, minimizing incision size and speeding patient recovery. These include the endoscopic endonasal approach (EEA)\textsuperscript{11,19,21,22,24,26,32,36} and the supraorbital keyhole micraniotomy (SKM) performed via an eyebrow incision with endoscopic assistance.\textsuperscript{7,15,35,40} However, these approaches are not suitable for all meningiomas in this location and some still benefit from more extensive, more traditional approaches.\textsuperscript{5,7,12}

The goals of meningioma surgery have evolved over the years. Ideally, curative surgery requires a Simpson grade I result, including removal of the tumor, surrounding dura, and invaded bone. However, at the skull base, given the proximity of critical neurovascular structures, these goals must sometimes be modified to avoid causing harm, with the understanding that, based on the age of the patient, alternatives to surgery may include observation and several forms of radiation therapy that have been proven to be effective.\textsuperscript{3,30} Decision-making and case selection are the keys to successful surgery, particularly when selecting appropriate cases for minimally invasive approaches so as not to compromise patient outcome.

The purpose of this article is to present and evaluate an algorithm for selecting the case-appropriate minimally invasive approach for meningiomas of the anterior skull base that we have tried to employ over the last 15 years. One critical factor that has often been overlooked, particularly for olfactory groove meningiomas, is the presence or absence of olfaction. Because loss of olfaction can have a significant negative impact on quality of life, its preservation is given a high priority in our algorithm. Outcomes with respect to extent of resection (EOR), preservation of vision, and preservation of olfaction, as well as complications, are provided for each of 7 subgroups created by the algorithm. In this way, these results can be compared with other series using different approaches or treatment strategies. We hope this article will serve as a guide regarding surgical decision-making and for success or failure of implementing minimally invasive approaches for anterior skull base meningiomas. Our aim ultimately is to provide an algorithm designed to assist surgeons in choosing the optimal approach to anterior skull base meningiomas by utilizing data from our series of patients treated for olfactory groove, tuberculum sella (TS), and planum sphenoidale (PS) tumors.

**Methods**

This study was approved by the Weill Cornell Medical College Institutional Review Board. We reviewed a database of prospectively acquired cases involving anterior skull base meningiomas that were managed by a single surgeon (T.H.S.) at NewYork-Presbyterian/Weill Cornell Medical College between 2008 and 2017. Our center generally uses minimally invasive approaches, either an EEA for TS or PS meningiomas or an SKM with endoscopic assistance for olfactory groove meningiomas. In some circumstances, a combined approach, pterional approach, or a bifrontal craniotomy is utilized if it is determined, based on examination of preoperative images, that the minimally invasive approach will not be suitable for achieving the goals of surgery. We have created an algorithm for determining the suitability of applying minimally invasive approaches to anterior skull base meningiomas. The algorithm was established after years of trying different approaches for different tumors and determining which approach seemed to lead to the best outcome. Once the algorithm was established, we retrospectively reviewed our cases to determine the outcomes achieved in each subgroup to establish the utility of the algorithm and our selection criteria. All patients with meningiomas arising from the olfactory groove, PS, or TS were included. A few cases were eliminated for the following reasons: In 5 cases the goal of surgery was either tumor debulking to decompress neural structures rather than gross-total resection (GTR), generally in elderly patients, or a purely intranasal meningioma recurrence in which the approach was not in question. Fifty-seven patients were divided into 7 groups based on our decision-making algorithm (Table 1). Data were collected by retrospective chart review and phone interviews with patients.

**Algorithm-Guided Decision-Making**

We first measured the rostrocaudal location of the tumor in relation to the attachment of the anterior wall of the sphenoid sinus (AWSS) to the anterior skull base (Fig. 1). This point divides planum and tuberculum meningiomas from olfactory groove meningiomas. Since this distinction is somewhat arbitrary, with a gray zone of tumors that arise in between, we considered tumors that had > 50% of their diameter in front of this point to be olfactory groove lesions and those with > 50% of their diameter behind this point to be PS or TS meningiomas. For simplification, we combined the PS and TS meningiomas into one group since our decision-making was not influenced by this distinction. For tumors with less than 50% of tumor volume in front of the AWSS (PS and TS), we assessed the lesions’ lateral extension (Fig. 2). Tumors with extension lateral to the internal carotid artery (ICA), to the anterior clinoid process, or to > 5 mm beyond the lamina papyracea undergo a transcranial approach (Group 7), whereas all other tumors are preferably resected via an EEA (Group 6). Lesions with > 50% of tumor volume in front of the AWSS (olfactory groove) in patients with preserved olfaction are selected for SKM with endoscopic assistance (Group 5), which has as its goal complete tumor removal, including the shaving of hyperostotic bone without penetrating into the sphenoid sinus and preserving at least one olfactory nerve. However, when olfaction is lost and its preservation is no longer the goal of surgery, we evaluate the extent of cribriform plate invasion and the lateral and anterior extent of the tumor. If the cribriform plate is not invaded, then we again offer an SKM (Group 3), unless the tumor can be completely removed endonasally based on lateral and anterior extent and then we also offer an EEA as an alternative (Group 4). If the cribriform plate is clearly invaded by tumor extending into the sinuses and if it can be completely removed with EEA, we offer this approach (Group 2). However, if the tumor extends too far laterally or anteriorly for this approach to be done safely, we prefer to offer a traditional bifrontal craniotomy to fully remove the cribriform plate and reconstruction with a pericranial flap or, alternatively,
a combined SKM approach and an EEA to remove the tumor in the sinuses (Group 1).

Our selection criteria for the use of minimally invasive approaches in the removal of anterior skull base meningiomas is presented in Fig. 3.

**Surgical Technique**

Details of the surgical techniques have been described elsewhere. For the EEA, when resecting a PS or TS meningioma, the superior turbinate is both removed, but the middle turbinate can often be preserved, or sometimes if the nose is narrow, only the middle turbinate on the left is removed. Since the optic canals are invaded in the majority of these tumors, we generally open the medial optic canals in all cases, particularly in treating TS meningiomas, and directly inspect the canals with angled endoscopes, removing any tumor that has invaded the canals. For olfactory groove meningiomas, both superior and middle turbinates are removed, as well as the septum, with a graded opening of the skull base in order to include the entire base of the tumor, including involved parts of the cribriform plate and PS and the criista galli extending laterally to the lamina papyracea, which can also be partially removed to extend the opening more laterally over the orbits. Closure includes a “gasket seal” involving onlay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group No.</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Supraorbital approach + EEA or bicoronal approach</td>
<td>1) More than 50% extension in front of the sphenoid sinus. 2) Impaired olfaction. 3) CP invasion. 4) No lateral extension beyond the LP or extension to back wall of the SF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>EEA</td>
<td>1) More than 50% extension in front of the sphenoid sinus. 2) Impaired olfaction. 3) CP invasion. 4) No lateral extension beyond the LP or extension to back wall of the SF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Supraorbital approach + endoscopic assistance</td>
<td>1) More than 50% extension in front of the sphenoid sinus. 2) Impaired olfaction. 3) No invasion of the CP. 4) No lateral extension beyond the LP or extension to back wall of the SF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>EEA</td>
<td>1) More than 50% extension in front of the sphenoid sinus. 2) Impaired olfaction. 3) No invasion of the CP. 4) No lateral extension beyond the LP or extension to back wall of the SF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Supraorbital approach + endoscopic assistance</td>
<td>1) More than 50% extension in front of the sphenoid sinus. 2) Preserved olfaction.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>EEA</td>
<td>1) Less than 50% extension in front of the sphenoid sinus. 2) No lateral extension beyond the ICA/LP.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 7        | Pterional approach, supraorbital approach,    | 1) Less than 50% extension in front of the sphenoid sinus. 2) Lateral extension beyond the subtemporal approach  

**TABLE 1. Definition of groups as seen in the algorithm flowchart**

CP = cribriform plate.
The flowchart is shown in Fig. 3.
of fascia lata held in place with Medpore covered with a nasoseptal flap and placement of a lumbar drain for 1–2 days.17,27,37 The SKM involves an incision in the eyebrow that extends from the supraorbital notch to just beyond the superior temporal line, with a burr hole made in the pterion or “keyhole.” The craniotomy includes removal of the superior rim of the orbit and drilling of the roof of the orbit. The tumor is first removed using a microscope and then with a 45° endoscope to visualize the cribriform plate and the back wall of the frontal sinus. The aim is to save at least one and preferably both olfactory nerves if possible, particularly if the patient has some degree of preserved preoperative olfaction.

Radiological Assessment

Volumetric analysis was performed utilizing the Advantage Workstation (version 2.0; GE Healthcare) by a senior neuroradiologist (A.J.T.) with more than 10 years of experience. The postcontrast axial 3D TI-weighted spoiled gradient echo MR sequence was used for preoperative tumor measurement. The EOR was confirmed by comparison of preoperative and immediate postoperative contrast-enhanced MR images. EOR was categorized as GTR (100%), near-total resection (NTR) (≥ 95% and < 100%), or subtotal resection (< 95%). The EOR of a tumor invading the optic canals was based on the visible preoperative tumor in the canals.

The Simpson grade system was not used because it was developed prior to the widespread use of MRI scans and operating microscope, and some authors feel it may not have a significant impact on outcome as previously thought.1,13,20,31,39 Moreover, Simpson grading of skull base meningiomas can be very difficult to measure given the proximity of the foramina carrying the ICA and optic and olfactory nerves, which are never drilled out in their entirety. To avoid over- or undergrading the Simpson grade, we preferred to present the EOR for these tumors as a more accurate measure. With regards to involved bone, the EEA removes the skull base bone underlying the tumor, but not lateral dura that cannot be seen, while the SKM shaves down any hyperostosis but never fully removes involved bone to avoid CSF leak.

Results

Patient and Imaging Characteristics

Fifty-seven patients (16 male and 41 female; mean age 59.8 years [range 27–93 years]) met the inclusion criteria. The most common presenting symptoms were headaches, visual deficits, and cognitive problems. The mean follow-up period was 42.2 ± 37.1 months (range 2–144 months).

Preoperative MRI studies were available for all patients. The mean tumor volume was 14.7 ± 15.4 cm³ (range 2.2–66.1 cm³). A total of 19 tumors (31.6%) extended more than 50% anterior to the sphenoid sinus and were classified as olfactory groove lesions, while the remaining 38 (68.4%) were either PS or TS meningiomas. Eighteen tumors (31.6%) invaded the cribriform plate, and 17 tumors (29.8%)
extended lateral to the ICA and or lamina papyracea. Table 2 provides an overview of the patients in each group.

Outcome

Outcomes are summarized in Table 3 for each group in the study.

Olfactory Groove Meningiomas

Of the 19 olfactory groove meningiomas, all were treated according to our algorithm. Of these 19 patients, 10 (52.6%) presented with preserved olfaction and underwent an SKM (Group 5) with the goal of trying to preserve olfaction. Of these 10 patients, 6 (60%) had cribriform plate invasion, but since the goal of surgery was to preserve olfaction, the supraorbital approach was used in all 6. Four of the 10 patients (40%) had extension of the lesion beyond the reach of an EEA and the lateral extension could be removed easily through the supraorbital approach. One of these patients also had decreased vision. A GTR was achieved in 90% of these patients. This implies that although preoperative images were interpreted as showing cribriform plate invasion, the SKM procedure is capable of removing enough tumor that the postoperative MR image appears not to show any tumor and the hyperostosis can be thinned to normal thickness. The one patient with residual tumor was found to have residual hyperostosis in the cribriform plate, which was followed on imaging for 5 years without evidence of any interval growth. Olfaction was preserved in 6 (60%) of these 10 patients and vision was worse in 1, stable in 8, and improved in 1.

Six patients had loss of olfaction, cribriform plate invasion, and tumor extending beyond the reach of the EEA (Group 1). In this group, since olfaction was already lost, the goal of surgery was to remove the entire cribriform plate. Hence, these patients were not candidates for an SKM alone. Since the EEA would not be capable of allowing removal of the entire tumor given the lesion’s lateral extension, these patients were candidates for either a bifrontal craniotomy or a combined SKM/EEA. In this group, patients were indeed treated with either a bifrontal craniotomy or a combined SKM/EEA. In this group, patients were indeed treated with either a bifrontal craniotomy or a combined SKM/EEA.
nal craniotomy (n = 3) or SKM plus EEA (n = 3). In this group, GTR was achieved in all patients, olfaction was permanently lost, as expected, and there was a single case of mucocele.

Two patients with impaired olfaction, cribriform plate invasion, and tumors amenable to an EEA (Group 2) underwent the EEA. GTR was achieved in each patient, but in 1 case a postoperative hematoma developed that required the patient to undergo reoperation. Olfaction was lost, as expected, in all of these patients. One patient without olfaction and without cribriform plate involvement but who harbored a tumor that extended laterally underwent SKM (Group 3). A GTR was achieved. No patient was categorized into Group 4 (loss of olfaction without cribriform plate invasion and without lateral extent [i.e., a tumor that was amenable to an EEA]). Overall, for the 19 patients with olfactory groove meningiomas, we were able to utilize a minimally invasive approach in 84.2% (16/19) and radiological GTR was achieved in 94.7% (18/19) of the entire group and in 93.75% (15/16) of those in whom a minimally invasive approach could be used. EEA alone was only used in 10.5% (2/19).

PS and TS Meningiomas

Of the 38 PS/TS meningiomas, 36 were treated according to our algorithm. Of these, 30 (83.3%) of these were amenable to, and underwent, minimally invasive EEA (Group 6). A GTR was achieved in 100%. Vision was improved in 16 (53.3%) of 30 patients, stable in 13 (43.3%), and worse in 1 (3.3%). The reoperation rate for a CSF leak was 0%, there was 1 CSF leak that was repaired by delaying the placement of a lumbar drain. Six patients had lateral extension of the tumor beyond the reach of an EEA and underwent a craniotomy (Group 7). Of these, 3 patients (50%) had cribriform plate extension. A GTR was achieved in 5 (83.3%). In the 1 patient with NTR, a small residual tumor was left behind along the cribriform plate. Vision was improved in 2 patients (33.3%) and stable in 4 (66.7%). Olfaction was worse in 4 patients (66.7%). One patient had a postoperative hematoma.

Cases That Did Not Fit the Algorithm

In 2 patients with PS meningiomas, the actual surgical approach used differed from the algorithm. One patient with impaired vision, preserved olfaction, and no anterior extension was operated via the EEA despite the fact that the tumor had lateral extension past the ICA and appeared to encase the ICAs and anterior cerebral arteries > 180°. This patient would have been offered a pterional approach according to the algorithm, but the patient preferred to undergo surgery via the EEA. Surprisingly, a GTR was achieved. Cases such as these demonstrate that the EEA may be capable of allowing removal of tumors that encase blood vessels and extend lateral to the ICA in certain circumstances in which a suitable plane of dissection can be found and utilized. Moreover, encasement of the ICA was not 360°, and thus the tumor could be rolled over the top of the ICA. However, it is hard to predict in which cases this will occur, and certainly it is safer to offer a transcranial approach, although EEA might prove sufficient for success.

The second patient with impaired vision, preserved olfaction, and no cribriform plate invasion who was operated on via the EEA had tumor lateral to the ICA over anterior clinoid segment on one side. The patient had improved vision, but a small residual amount of tumor left behind over the anterior clinoid segment was followed for 9 years; a slight interval growth appeared, and the lesion was removed through a craniotomy.

Discussion

In most surgical fields, there has been an evolution toward increasing application of minimally invasive approaches, which have been shown to speed recovery, reduce operative risks, and lead to comparable or, in some cases, improved outcomes.2 Neurosurgery is no exception, and procedures that involve embolization, radiosurgery, and minimal access and keyhole surgery with endoscopic assistance are salient examples. Anterior skull base meningiomas are challenging tumors that abut the olfactory and optic nerves, compress the frontal lobes, and invade the nasal sinuses and optic canals. Traditional approaches such as the pterional, bifrontal, transbasal, and orbitozygomatic are effective at removing these tumors,9,10,28,29,34 although the morbidities attendant on brain retraction, sagittal sinus transection, optic nerve manipulation, and wound healing offer room for improvement. In recent years, we have witnessed the evolution and implementation of a variety of minimally invasive approaches that benefit from the use of endoscopy and that may avoid some of these issues, reduce morbidity, and speed recovery. These include the EEA11,19,21,22,24,26,32,36 and the SKM performed via an eyebrow incision.7,15,35,40 While attractive in theory, not all anterior skull base meningiomas are amenable to minimally invasive approaches, and there is a lack of consensus regarding case selection. Most centers tend to favor one or the other approach and apply this approach universally to all tumors, rather than adopting a strategy of careful case selection based on a set of defined criteria. In this article we show the results of having implemented such a treatment algorithm. We successfully used minimally invasive approaches in 85% of our cases, and we achieved GTR in 96% of all cases and in 98% of all minimally invasive cases. However, these results do not provide long-term follow-up data, and it is possible that a small residual tumor below the resolution of MRI could recur given long enough follow-up.

The primary criticism of these minimally invasive approaches is that, as a result of the more limited field of view, the rate of Simpson grade I resections will be lower. However, the literature does not support this criticism. Simpson grading was developed in 1957, and with the advent of modern neurosurgical tools and radiation therapy, the outcome for grade I, II, and III resections are essentially equivalent.13,33,39 Moreover, for skull base meningiomas in particular, attempting to achieve a higher Simpson grade may result in decreases in quality of life such as anosmia, CSF leak, and decreases in visual acuity.13 If one uses radiological GTR rather than Simpson grade as a metric of successful surgery, the results of our minimally invasive approaches are comparable, if not superior, to the
published literature. However, tumor invading the optic canals may be difficult to appreciate on MRI. With standard transcranial surgery, GTR is achieved in 92.3% of the cases of olfactory groove meningiomas and in 84.1% of the cases of PS and TS meningiomas.\textsuperscript{13,23} Using our algorithm, the results are slightly better for both olfactory groove meningiomas and TS/PS meningiomas. Moreover, we preserved olfaction in 60% of patients who had pre-operative olfaction intact in the olfactory group and preserved or improved vision in 97.5% of those with TS or PS meningiomas. In a series of 41 microsurgically treated patients with long-term follow-up, Bassioni et al. reported preserved olfaction in 24.4% of patients and improved vision in 83.3%.\textsuperscript{8} In their series of 24 patients with TS meningiomas operated via the frontobasal interhemispheric approach, Ganna et al. reported improved vision in 79% of patients.\textsuperscript{16} Gande et al. reported no deterioration of olfaction after stereotactic radiosurgery in a group of patients with olfactory groove meningiomas.\textsuperscript{14}

We also wish to emphasize that alternative treatment strategies can lead to similar results. For example, while we prefer to use an EEA for TS and PS meningioma, other surgeons favor SKM. Our reason for selecting the EEA is our experience that will also lead to excellent outcomes; this paper serves as a benchmark for comparisons and as a teaching tool for how these decisions are made at an experienced center.

Conclusions

Minimally invasive approaches can be utilized, along with more traditional transcranial approaches, in the management of anterior skull base meningiomas. Using a simple algorithm aimed primarily at maintaining quality of life through preservation of olfaction and vision, high rates of GTR can be achieved with acceptable morbidity.
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