Spondylolisthesis refers to the anterior or posterior displacement of a vertebral body over the adjacent one. The prevalence of this condition is not well defined. A classic study by Roche and Rowe showed that the prevalence was about 4.2\%,\textsuperscript{22} whereas in recent studies in which spondylolysis was analyzed in CT images obtained in an unselected community sample of adults\textsuperscript{11} or in patients with other pathologies not related to lumbar pain,\textsuperscript{12} a pars articularis defect was detected, respectively, in 9\% and 11.2\% of subjects. However, the incidence of high-grade spondylolisthesis (HGS), defined as slips greater than 50\%—also described as Meyerding grade

**OBJECTIVE** Spondylolisthesis is a prevalent spine disease that recent studies estimate could be detected in 9\% of the population. High-grade spondylolisthesis (HGS), however, is much less frequent, which makes it difficult to develop a general recommendation for its treatment. Posterior transdiscal fixation was proposed in 1994 for HGS, and the use of spine navigation could make this technique more accessible and reduce the morbidity associated with the procedure. The purpose of this study was to present a case series involving adult patients with HGS and correct spinal alignment who were treated with transdiscal pedicle screw placement guided with neuronavigation and compare the results to those achieved previously without image guidance.

**METHODS** The authors reviewed all cases in which adult patients with correct spinal alignment were treated for HGS with posterior transdiscal instrumentation placement guided with navigation between 2014 and 2016 at their institution. The authors compared preoperative and postoperative spinopelvic parameters on standing radiographs as well as Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) scores and visual analog scale (VAS) scores for low-back pain. Follow-up CT and MRI studies and postoperative radiographs were evaluated to identify any screw malplacement or instrumentation failure. Any other intraoperative or postoperative complications were also recorded.

**RESULTS** Eight patients underwent posterior transdiscal navigated instrumentation placement during this period, with a mean duration of follow-up of 16 months (range 9–24 months). Six of the patients presented with Meyerding grade III spondylolisthesis and 2 with Meyerding grade IV. In 5 cases, L4–S1 instrumentation was placed, while in the other 3 cases, surgery consisted of transdiscal L5–S1 fixation. There was no significant difference between preoperative and postoperative spinopelvic parameters. However, there was a statistically significant improvement in the mean VAS score for low-back pain (6.5 ± 1.5 vs 4 ± 1.7) and the mean ODI score (49.2 ± 19.4 vs 37.7 ± 22) (p = 0.01 and p = 0.012, respectively). Six patients reduced their use of pain medication. There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications during the hospital stay, and as of the most recent follow-up, no complications related to pseudarthrosis or hardware failure had been observed.

**CONCLUSIONS** Treatment with posterior transdiscal pedicle screws with in situ fusion achieved good clinical and radiological outcomes in patients with HGS and good sagittal spinal balance. The use of navigation and image guidance was associated with improved results in this technique, including a reduction in postoperative and intraoperative complications related to screw malplacement, pseudarthrosis, and instrumentation failure.
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III and IV spondylolisthesis—is less frequent, accounting for only 19% of spondylolisthesis cases. Nowadays, there is a major consensus about the usefulness of surgery in HGS, although it is more controversial in low-grade cases, but the low incidence of HGS has made it difficult to determine the most useful surgical approach for its treatment. The main objective of surgical treatment of spondylolisthesis is to decompress and fuse the vertebrae, with or without reduction of the slipped vertebra. Many approaches have been described, including anterior and posterior approaches, with or without interbody fusion; posterolateral fusion without decompression; L-5 vertebrectomy (Gaines procedure); and circumferential fusion and reduction. Another of the main controversies related to HGS is the requirement of slippage reduction, which is mainly recommended in cases of spinal imbalance due to the risk of neurological impairment during the procedure. In those patients in whom spinal balance is conserved, most authors agree that slippage reduction can be avoided. Prior to fixation techniques, posterior in situ fusion was performed, and it was associated with a risk of pseudarthrosis between 17% and 50%. This risk was reduced with posterior fixation and also with the use of interbody implants and circumferential approaches.

In our case series, an image-guided drill (Brainlab) was used for initial trajectory planning from the S-1 pedicle, through the endplate of S-1, crossing the intervertebral disc, and reaching the L-5 body. Afterward, a previously calibrated screw (7.5 mm in diameter and 60–70 mm in length; Zodiac, Alphatec Spine) was placed with imaging guidance. In this technique, pedicle screws are inserted obliquely through the pedicle of S-1 in an anteromedial direction to the sacral promontory. Then with continued image guidance, using the calibrated screwdriver, the screws are directed across the L5–S1 intervertebral disc to the L-5 body. In the upper levels, screws were also placed with image guidance in a standard fashion. Once the instrumentation was finished, another fluoroscopic 3D scan is performed to check that all screws were correctly positioned (Fig. 3). In patients with radiculopathy, a hemilaminectomy and foraminotomy of the affected root were performed. After surgery, a lumbosacral orthosis was prescribed for 3 months.

Surgical therapy was performed on a Jackson radiolucent surgical table with image guidance. A midline incision was made at the level of the spondylolisthesis, and subperiosteal dissection was performed until exposure of transverse processes were achieved. The oblique dissection was performed until exposure of transverse processes at every level to be treated. Once the reference array was attached to the lower spinous process of the sacrum at S-2 or S-3, images were obtained with intraoperative 3D fluoroscopy (Siemens Medical Solutions) and 3D reconstruction was performed by the Brainlab system for spine navigation. After calibration of the probe, tap, and screwdriver (Fig. 1), the pedicle screws were inserted. The technique of transdiscal fixation has previously been described by Abdu et al. In our case series, an image-guided drill (Brainlab) was used for initial trajectory planning from the S-1 pedicle, through the endplate of S-1, crossing the intervertebral disc, and reaching the L-5 body. Afterward, a previously calibrated screw (7.5 mm in diameter and 60–70 mm in length; Zodiac, Alphatec Spine) was placed with imaging guidance. In this technique, pedicle screws are inserted obliquely through the pedicle of S-1 in an anteromedial direction to the sacral promontory. Then with continued image guidance, using the calibrated screwdriver, the screws are directed across the L5–S1 intervertebral disc to the L-5 body. In the upper levels, screws were also placed with image guidance in a standard fashion. Once the instrumentation was finished, another fluoroscopic 3D scan is performed to check that all screws were correctly positioned (Fig. 3). In patients with radiculopathy, a hemilaminectomy and foraminotomy of the affected root were performed. After surgery, a lumbosacral orthosis was prescribed for 3 months.

Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS 21.0 (IBM Corp.). Preoperative and postoperative data were
compared by means of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. A p value \( \leq 0.05 \) was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Because the study was a retrospective review of patients, no informed consent was required for enrollment.

**Results**

During the period analyzed in our study, 8 patients (all female) underwent surgery for HGS. Their mean age was 47.5 years (range 37–62 years). A summary of the patients’ spinopelvic parameters is shown in Table 1. Six patients presented with Meyerding grade III spondylolisthesis and only 2 patients with Meyerding grade IV displacement of L-5 over S-1. In 5 cases, L4–S1 fixation was performed because of signs of L4–5 instability on dynamic radiographs and/or MRI (see Methods); in the remaining 3 cases, the signs of instability were limited to the lumbosacral joint, and only transsacral L5–S1 instrumentation was used. No reduction of the listhesis was performed in any case, as there was no spinal imbalance. The median surgical time

**FIG. 1.** Intraoperative photographs showing the calibration of the awl-tap (A) and drill guide (B).

**FIG. 2.** A: Photograph of the navigation screen showing the correct position of the transdiscal L5–S1 screw. B: Intraoperative photograph of navigation-guided drilling for transdiscal screw placement.
was 235 minutes (range 180–280 minutes). There were no intraoperative complications.

The intraoperative CT performed at the end of the procedure showed correct position of the instrumentation in all cases, with no need for repositioning of the screws. Also, none of the patients required a transfusion after the procedure.

Low-back pain lasting longer than 2 years was the main symptom in all the cases, radiating to the lower extremities mainly at the pelvic girdle. The mean preoperative VAS score was 6.5 (range 5–9), and the mean preoperative ODI score was 49.2 (range 18–71.1). However, in 3 of the cases low-back pain was accompanied by radiculopathy to the feet.

The mean duration of follow-up was 16 months (range 9–24 months), and no complication was observed during this period. The mean postoperative VAS score was 4 (range 2–7), and the mean postoperative ODI score was 37.7 (range 4.4–64). There was a significant clinical improvement postoperatively in both VAS (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p = 0.01) and ODI (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p = 0.012) scores. Also, none of the patients have returned to our pain unit because of back pain, and all but 2 patients have reduced their intake of pain medication. None of the patients had increased their analgesic dosage at the last follow-up. Six patients were starting exercise and 2 had returned to their jobs. There was no significant difference between preoperative and postoperative values for any of the spinopelvic parameters (Table 1). Review of follow-up images by the independent radiologist showed no pseudarthrosis or implant breakage or malplacement, and fusion was obtained in all cases (Fig. 4).

**Discussion**

HGS is a low-incidence pathology, which makes it difficult to standardize an approach for its treatment. Many techniques have been described for HGS treatment,
including anterior, posterior, and circumferential approaches. Abdu et al.\textsuperscript{1} were the first authors to describe a posterior approach through a pedicular transdiscal screw fixation in HGS. These authors cited 4 main advantages to this approach: it uses the concept of 3-column fixation achieved from a single posterior approach; it has demonstrated in vivo implementation of superior biomechanical principles, as the triangular construct provides a superior fixation strength; it has better contact with cortical bone; and the use of longer screws and greater penetration provides additional fixation. Subsequent studies of biomechanical properties of the transdiscal approach have demonstrated improvement in resistance against shear forces at the displaced level compared with posterolateral fusion,\textsuperscript{4,8} stiffness 1.6–1.8 times higher than that achieved with traditional pedicle screw fixation,\textsuperscript{18} and equivalent fixation to that achieved with the combination of interbody fusion and pedicle screw fixation.\textsuperscript{23} Another advantage is that a posterior approach is used more frequently in spine surgeries and is more familiar for less-experienced surgeons. As Collados-Maestre et al.\textsuperscript{5} reported, it can be difficult to obtain an adequate position of a sacral screw; however, in our experience, this limitation is easily resolved when surgeons have experience in image guidance.

Reduction of listhesis is one of the most controversial topics in HGS management. The aim of reduction is to restore spinal anatomy, mainly recommended in patients with sagittal imbalance, and to lower the risk of pseudarthrosis. However, neurological complications are described in 25%–30% of cases.\textsuperscript{10,15,19} In a recent review of HGS, mainly in the pediatric population, Longo et al.\textsuperscript{17} showed that there was no statistically significant difference in neurological complications in patients with reduction compared with those patients without reduction, but pseudarthrosis was more frequent in patients with in situ fixation (17.8% vs 5.5%, \(p = 0.004\)). In our series, we did not perform a reduction, as there was no sagittal imbalance in any patient. One of the limitations of Longo and colleagues’ analysis is that most of the studies (5 of 8) were performed in children, in whom neurological complications were less frequent than in adults.

Again, a lack of studies on HGS with a substantial proportion of adult patients makes it difficult to generate a reliable standard recommendation. One of the largest series, published by Collados-Maestre et al.,\textsuperscript{5} compared treatment with pedicle screws and treatment with transdiscal fixation. In their study, 25 patients (age range 14–60 years) were treated with transdiscal fixation. Complications reported for that group of patients included infection (8%), implant misplacement (12%), and implant breakage (4%). The authors did not find any case of pseudarthrosis in the patients treated with transdiscal fixation, despite the fact that pseudarthrosis is one of the most frequent complications associated with in situ fusion and fixation procedures.\textsuperscript{17,23,26}

In previously published studies, the incidence of reported complications related to transdiscal fixation for the treatment of HGS is generally quite low; with the exception of 1 study, in which the incidence was 77.8%,\textsuperscript{26} the in-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Preop</th>
<th>Postop</th>
<th>(p) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS, lumbar pain</td>
<td>6.5 (1.5)</td>
<td>4 (1.7)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODI</td>
<td>49.2 (19.4)</td>
<td>37.7 (22)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slip angle</td>
<td>83.5° (16.5°)</td>
<td>69.3° (14.9°)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic incidence</td>
<td>80.6° (10.2°)</td>
<td>84.6° (9.5°)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic tilt</td>
<td>27.6° (7.3°)</td>
<td>25° (8.6°)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sacral slope</td>
<td>54.6° (6°)</td>
<td>56.4° (6°)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean (SD).

TABLE 1. Summary of clinical and radiological data in 8 adult patients with HGS

FIG. 4. Postoperative images. **A**: Lateral standing radiograph showing correct positioning of the instrumentation without signs of malplacement or pseudarthrosis. **B** and **C**: Axial CT images showing the proper placement of screws from the S-1 insertion point through the L-5 vertebral body. **D**: 3D CT reconstruction of L4–S1 transdiscal fixation.
accounting for 17.8% of the reported complications.4,14,23,26

The most frequent intraoperative complication described is dural tear, which could be reduced using image-guidance systems, commonly used in most of the spine centers treating this kind of pathology.4,14 As Collados-Maestre et al.5 revealed in their review, the difficulty in placing the implant may lie mainly in reaching the L-5 vertebral body. Malplacement of hardware and its failure are both common complications of transdiscal fixation for the treatment of spondylolisthesis.15,23 Screw failure could also be related to increased forces due to malplacement. In these cases, online 3D image guidance offers a better approach, improving security in the moment of the implant position and decreasing the incidence of complications, as we have shown in our series. To our knowledge, the use of image guidance for transdiscal screws has only been previously reported in the literature a single time—by Beringer et al.,3 who described an illustrative case of HGS treated through a new technique for stabilization. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 20(3):249–254, 2007


Other postoperative complications frequently described are infection (5%),5,15,23 hardware failure (4.5%),5,23 and implant malplacement (9%).5,15 As Collados-Maestre et al.5 revealed in their review, the difficulty in placing the implant may lie mainly in reaching the L-5 vertebral body. Malplacement of hardware and its failure are both common complications of transdiscal fixation for the treatment of spondylolisthesis.15,23 Screw failure could also be related to increased forces due to malplacement. In these cases, online 3D image guidance offers a better approach, improving security in the moment of the implant position and decreasing the incidence of complications, as we have shown in our series. To our knowledge, the use of image guidance for transdiscal screws has only been previously reported in the literature a single time—by Beringer et al.,3 who described an illustrative case of HGS treated through an anterior L4–5 ALIF (anterior lumbar interbody fusion) and transdiscal pedicle screw placement with good results. Our results presented here further demonstrate that screw malplacement and hardware breakage are greatly reduced with the use of online 3D image guidance compared with the results from previous reports. Nonetheless, the main limitation in our study is a short mean duration of follow-up, and this may have led to underestimation of implant failure and the presence of pseudarthrosis as well as the fusion rate in the most recent cases.

Conclusions

The use of transdiscal pedicle screws with in situ fusion is a good option for treating HGS in patients with good sagittal balance. This technique has some advantages compared with other approaches, including the increased familiarity of the surgical field for spine surgeons and the relatively low frequency of complications, which could be reduced using image-guidance systems, commonly used in most of the spine centers treating this kind of pathology.
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### TABLE 2. Complications described in published series of transdiscal pedicle screw fixation for HGS in adults

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
<th>Overall Morbidity</th>
<th>Pseudarthrosis</th>
<th>Postop Infection</th>
<th>Intraop Complication</th>
<th>Neurological Complication</th>
<th>Screw Malplacement</th>
<th>Instrumentation Failure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdu et al., 1994</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smith et al., 2001</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boachie-Adjei et al., 2002</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>François et al., 2005</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodríguez-Olaverri et al., 2008</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakshmanan et al., 2009</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logroscino et al., 2012</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collados-Maestre et al., 2016</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abdu et al.1 and François et al.6 did not state in their studies whether there were any complications. In our study, no complications were found at last follow-up.
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