Throughout history, neurosurgical interventions have been crucial, and at times controversial, in their attempts to better understand, diagnose, and treat neuropsychiatric conditions. Neuroradiological techniques have likewise been fundamental in the advancement of clinical neuroscience and our ability to manage intracranial pathology. Pneumoencephalography (PEG) is a technique that bridges the realms of both neurosurgery and neuroradiology. Performed extensively for a period of about 50 years, PEG has a peculiar history in neuropsychiatry and, now, an ambiguous legacy. Herein, we focus on the development, applications, cultural relevance, and role of PEG in the workup of neuropsychiatric illnesses.

History of Pneumoencephalography

Soon after Wilhelm Röntgen's discovery of x-rays in 1895, the skull radiograph emerged as the first neuroradiological method. This was the primary means to diagnose CNS structural pathology in the early 20th century. However, due to the limited soft-tissue contrast provided by the skull radiograph, clinicians were hopeful to use contrast media in conjunction with radiography to enhance anatomical depiction. Walter Dandy, a neurosurgical resident at the Johns Hopkins Hospital, hypothesized that compounds used for retrograde pyelography (e.g., potassium iodide, bismuth subnitrate, argyrol) could be suitable for intracranial contrast.33 He tested his hypotheses in canines through intraventricular injection of these compounds. The radiopaque media turned out to be toxic to the animals, and ultimately, fatal. Soon after, Dandy incidentally observed a patient with a penetrating head injury to also have pneumocephalus. This fortuitous event prompted Dandy to develop what would later be known as ventriculography in 1918, a procedure that entailed replacing CSF with air to provide contrast in the ventricular system (Fig. 1).7 Air was typically introduced through puncture of an open fontanel or via a bur hole.9,18,33 Soon after the development of ventriculography, Dandy realized that air from ventriculograms often escaped onto the surface of the brain. Using this observation, he developed the pneumoencephalogram in 1919.6 In this novel technique, CSF was replaced by air in the spinal subarachnoid space, allowing for better resolution of intracranial structures and, consequently, improved diagnosis of intracranial lesions and CSF flow abnormalities (Fig. 2).12,20 Dandy had concerns about the risk of herniation in patients with increased intracranial pressure and thus tended to prefer ventriculography for his patients.12 The use of PEG nevertheless increased as Dandy’s work was replicated and implemented in other hospitals, particularly by a contemporary German internist at the City Hospital of Brunswick, Adolf Bingel.33 In fact, Bingel is considered by some to be the “second inventor” of lumbar pneumoencephalography.26

Several aspects of PEG limited its rapid and widespread
adoption; it was notorious for its invasiveness, poor patient tolerance, and potentially severe complications. Side effects commonly included severe headaches, nausea, and vomiting. White and colleagues reported on short-term clinical sequelae following PEG among 50 patients. Over the course of 7 days, nearly 80% complained of headaches, 34% had neck stiffness, 34% experienced vomiting, and nearly 75% were tachycardic. Furthermore, the procedure became more cumbersome as it required patients to be manipulated into various positions while strapped to a table to image air traversing the ventricles and subarachnoid space. By some neurosurgeons’ accounts, mortality was reported to be as high as 30%. PEG additionally suffered from low resolution and relatively nonspecific findings. Consistency in interpretation and standardized reporting of studies was challenging, particularly due to the lack of a robust control group, as the procedure was too invasive and risky to obtain images in healthy patients. In 1929, the American Roentgen Ray Society decreed that it was inappropriate to use healthy patients as control subjects for PEG studies. To mitigate this, data from psychiatric patients often served as control data when studying CNS tumors or hydrocephalus, as these patients were thought to be without any macrostructural pathology.

Despite its low resolution and high potential for morbidity and mortality, PEG played an important role in neurological and psychiatric diagnostics for nearly half a century; that is, until it became virtually obsolete with the advent of angiography in the 1950s and CT imaging in the 1970s.

Schizophrenia

The most widely reported applications of PEG to psychiatric disorders relate to schizophrenia. Clinicians and researchers investigated for structural pathology common to schizophrenic patients in hopes of improving time to diagnosis and finding potential therapeutic targets. Several large studies hailed from psychiatrists in western European countries, particularly Germany. Bingel’s role in the development and clinical use of PEG likely contributed to the relatively large number of German psychiatrists, neurologists, and neuroradiologists employing PEG—with the help of neurosurgeons—in both research and clinic practice. The initial studies of the German psychiatrists Walter Jacobi and H. Winkler in schizophrenics demonstrated a high prevalence of cortical and subcortical abnormalities. The most consistent findings included ventricular enlargement, cortical atrophy in chronic subgroups, and a poorer prognosis associated with cortical atrophy. These studies were among the earliest to suggest that the pathophysiology of schizophrenia involved structural brain changes, such as ventricular dilation or dysmorphia. They further prompted several other investigations into schizophrenia, which largely corroborated Jacobi and Winkler’s conclusions while also providing novel information.

In a series of studies throughout the 1950s at the University of Bonn, Huber reported ventriculomegaly, most often affecting the third ventricle, in 133 of 195 schizophrenic patients. In 1975, Huber and colleagues published a longitudinal study in which pneumoencephalograms were reacquired in 27 patients from their original cohort. They found that clinical progression of disease corresponded to more abnormal PEG features. Importantly, this introduced the concept of using objective, imaging-based measures for prognostic determinations and disease-monitoring purposes, along with advancing knowledge of the natural history of schizophrenia. The first studies in schizophrenia using CT imaging substantiated pneumoencephalographic
findings of ventriculomegaly. Subsequent investigations demonstrated that ventricular enlargement might be detectable quite early in the disease process, facilitating earlier suspicion and therapeutic intervention in schizophrenic patients.

Ventricular enlargement initially seen via PEG has become pathognomonic in the neuropathological analysis of schizophrenia, confirmed over decades with autopsy as well as more recently with CT and MRI. The fine detail offered by modern MRI techniques, including volumetric analysis and tractography, has advanced understanding of the neuropathology. Ventricles have been shown on MR studies further still, diffusion tensor imaging has led to discoveries of increased diffusivity in the superior temporal gyrus, parahippocampal gyrus, and insula. Ultimately, MRI is elucidating and expanding the seminal findings seen on PEG decades prior.

Early imaging studies using PEG in schizophrenia were thus quite informative and remarkably concordant, despite being limited by a lack of standardized technical and interpretive measures, and often, absence of an appropriate control group. In a wider context, they also challenged the prevailing notion of autopsy as the gold standard for evaluation of neuropathology, as PEG demonstrated microstructural pathology absent on postmortem examination. Considerable diagnostic impact was not reached, however, due to the previously mentioned limitations. The diagnosis of schizophrenia appeared to remain chiefly clinical, with most psychiatrists using established criteria or “staff consensus” to reach a diagnosis. PEG appeared to occasionally have an adjunct role when the clinical diagnosis was uncertain.

Homosexuality

At a time when homosexuality was considered an illness, Rudolf Lemke, a German psychiatrist and neurologist at the University of Jena, argued that the etiology was endocrinological in nature and specifically localized to the brain. In his book published in 1940, Lemke used PEG to report decreases in brainstem size in homosexual men, which he attributed to regional disturbances in hormonal activity. He believed that these changes were associated with the subject’s “perversion,” manifesting as aberrant sexual preferences. It is unclear how Lemke’s theory was received by his contemporaries, but in the years that followed, homosexuality was perceived more so as a remediable illness. As such, a rise in “therapeutic” techniques, both behavioral based (such as psychotherapy) and psychosomatic based (such as electrical shock ablation and icepick hypothalotomies), was seen. Lemke’s endocrinological hypothesis nevertheless represents one of the earliest attempts to identify a biological basis for homosexuality.

Pediatric Neurological Conditions

Applications of PEG in children and adolescents emerged comparatively late after introduction of the procedure, likely due to fear of adverse effects on the maturing brain. Once deemed to be tolerable by children, PEG was used to image structural neuropathology in this population, facilitating examination of both congenital and acquired conditions affecting the CNS. Although several studies used PEG for characterization of pediatric neurological disorders, it was chiefly used for pictorial representation of structural disease and thus, oftentimes, did not directly contribute to the diagnostic process. Nevertheless, improved visualization of known pathology and demonstration of novel pathology by PEG were indirectly impactful in the long term. They prompted investigations in basic and clinical neuroscience and stimulated discussion among clinicians attempting to explain their findings. However, some institutions sought to limit the use of PEG in children. By the mid-1950s, the Pediatric Service at the New York Hospital, Cornell Medical Center, had largely restricted the use of PEG to the study of patients with mental retardation in order to dem-
onstrate extent of cerebral atrophy, and 2) to those with idiopathic epilepsy in order to search for an underlying cerebral lesion. This protocol was driven by the perceived extraordinary risks of the procedure.

Eventually, PEG was used in Europe and North America to study children with developmental disorders or abnormal behaviors, with the most prominent application in patients with autism. In 1965, pediatric neurologist Melchior and colleagues at the Rigshospitalet in Copenhagen, Denmark, found “mild” pneumoencephalographic abnormalities in nearly all autistic children in their cohort when compared with typically developing controls. These abnormalities consisted of an increased Evans ratio (frontal horn width/transverse inner skull diameter), enlargement of the third ventricle, or widened cortical sulci. In 1975, Hauser and colleagues from the Pediatric Neurology Unit of Harvard Medical School and Boston University described pneumoencephalographic findings in 18 children with delayed language development and autistic behaviors. Although none had been diagnosed with a specific neurological disorder at the time of the study, consistent abnormalities in PEG findings across the cohort suggested a common pathophysiological process: enlargement of the left temporal horn of the lateral ventricle was found in 15 of 18 cases (Fig. 5). Of note, prior PEG studies had not directly commented on temporal horn structure. Nevertheless, dilation of the temporal horn indicated selective atrophy of the left cerebral hemisphere in children with autistic behaviors. The most prominent region of localized tissue loss was in the left medial temporal lobe, which led the authors to suspect that medial temporal lobe dysfunction could contribute to the pathogenesis of autism. They related the behaviors and characteristics of their cohort to those of patient populations with known medial temporal lobe dysfunction, such as Korsakoff syndrome and Klüver-Bucy syndrome. In this manner, findings derived from PEG supported a neurobiological explanation of autism, offering an alternative to theories of its etiology related to maternal neglect and other psychogenic and environmental factors.

Therapeutic Pneumoencephalography

For some physicians, PEG represented a therapeutic intervention for neuropsychiatric diseases in addition to serving a diagnostic purpose. The main therapeutic indications included meningitis, epilepsy, and dementia. Adolf Bingel prominently reported on positive effects observed post-PEG. He appreciated defervescence, increased alertness, and an overall improvement in the mental status of patients with bacterial meningitis. Similar accounts of increased psychomotor activity and alertness in patients with Alzheimer’s disease were reported by Schaldach in 1942. In one account by an unknown physician, injection of air into the subarachnoid space of a comatose patient stimulated near-complete reversal of his impaired consciousness. The therapeutic effect was thought to stem from the introduction of air itself.

Bingel reportedly considered PEG to be “life-saving” in status epilepticus. Over the next several years, the therapeutic use of PEG in epilepsy was notably recognized by other European neurologists as well. The Austrian epileptologist Felix Frisch acknowledged its controversial, yet effective, role in epilepsy in his book Die Epilepsie, Biologie, Klinik, Therapie in 1937. Polish neurologist Schleier emphasized its efficacy in, specifically, childhood epilepsy after reporting decreased frequency of seizures post-PEG in 49 children whom she followed for over 3 years.
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years at the University of Breslau. Furthermore, in a study of 84 patients with posttraumatic epilepsy undergoing PEG, Leksin, a Russian neurosurgeon, reported that 77% manifested a therapeutic effect to the procedure. This was either through subjective improvement of symptoms or decreased frequency and/or severity of seizures.

Although never broadly recognized for its therapeutic potential, PEG was considered as one of the few options for treating neuropsychiatric diseases in the early-to-mid 20th century. Existence of an effective intervention for psychiatric conditions helped support the concept that these disorders had a neurobiological basis, thus lending increasing credence to the concept that psychiatric illnesses were based on chemical or structural alterations of the brain. This served in stark contrast to the psychological school of thought, founded in Sigmund Freud’s theories of psychopathology, in which mental disease was viewed to be driven by intrinsic or extrinsic aspects of one’s personality.

Due to the advent of PEG and its potential for therapeutic benefit, a noticeable increase in other therapeutic attempts and advances for psychiatric conditions was seen in subsequent years. Examples of these methods included insulin-induced comas and convulsions to treat schizophrenia, metrazol-induced convulsions to treat schizophrenia and affective psychoses, and electroconvulsive shock therapy.

Legacy in Popular Culture

The legacy of PEG today is perhaps best preserved in popular culture, with the most notable depiction of the procedure occurring in the 1973 supernatural horror classic and Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences Award nominee for Best Picture, The Exorcist. The main character, Regan, undergoes the procedure to assess for an intracranial lesion that may explain her demonic behavior. The scene immediately follows the dramatic depiction of cerebral angiography by direct carotid arterial puncture. While a PEG is being performed, Regan is shown restrained on a tilt table with an x-ray tube and detector moving mechanically yet wildly around her. The scene is tense and uncomfortable, largely due to the character’s distress from the painful procedure, perhaps contributing to its authenticity. Interestingly, this brief depiction likely provides us with the most readily accessible historical documentation of the procedure. In more modern media programming, PEG was referenced by name in an episode of the popular medical television series House in 2010: it was cited as an example of an archaic and dangerous procedure while working up a case of smallpox. More recently, the 2013 film Jimmy P: Psychotherapy of a Plains Indian featured the procedure.

Conclusions

Medical, scientific, and cultural aspects of pneumoencephalography provide a rich context for the procedure’s place in history and its modern-day legacy. PEG was one of the first methods in medicine to allow reproducible in vivo neuroimaging. It advanced not only our understanding of neuropathology, but also neurophysiology and the management of neuropsychiatric disorders. Despite the novel possibilities offered by PEG, its history cannot be represented as the pure triumph of a successful diagnostic method, largely due to the controversially high morbidity and mortality associated with the procedure. Today, the concept of PEG is certainly not completely antiquated: pneumoencephalography served as the inspiration for myelography and cisternography, which were facilitated by the advent of safe intrathecal contrast agents. Thus, similar to a myriad of other interventions in neuropsychiatry, the history and legacy of pneumoencephalography remain entrenched in a duality between progress and experimentalism.
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