Use of the Pipeline embolization device in the treatment of iatrogenic intracranial vascular injuries: a bi-institutional experience
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OBJECTIVE Flow-diverting devices have been used for the treatment of complex intracranial vascular pathology with success, but the role of these devices in treating iatrogenic intracranial vascular injuries has yet to be clearly defined. Here, the authors report their bi-institutional experience with the use of the Pipeline embolization device (PED) for the treatment of iatrogenic intracranial vascular injuries.

METHODS The authors reviewed a retrospective cohort of patients with iatrogenic injuries to the intracranial vasculature that were treated with the PED between 2012 and 2016. Data collection included demographic data, indications for treatment, number and sizes of PEDs used, and immediate and follow-up angiographic and clinical outcomes.

RESULTS Four patients with a mean age of 47.5 years (range 18–63 years) underwent PED placement for iatrogenic vessel injuries. In 3 patients, the intracranial internal carotid artery (ICA) was injured during transnasal tumor resection. In 1 patient, a basilar apex injury occurred during endoscopic third ventriculostomy. Three patients had a pseudoaneurysm as a result of vessel injury, and 1 patient had frank ICA laceration and extravasation. All 3 pseudoaneurysms were successfully treated with PED deployment. The ICA laceration was refractory to PED placement, and the vessel was subsequently occluded endovascularly. All 4 patients had a good clinical outcome (modified Rankin Scale score of 0 or 1).

CONCLUSIONS The use of the PED is feasible in the management of iatrogenic pseudoaneurysms of the intracranial vasculature. In cases of frank vessel perforation, an alternative strategy such as covered stent placement should be considered. Endovascular or surgical vessel occlusion remains the definitive treatment in cases of refractory hemorrhage.
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and promoting thrombosis.\textsuperscript{6} Additionally, they provide a scaffolding for long-term endothelialization across an aneurysm neck, effectively excluding the aneurysm from the circulation.\textsuperscript{6} The Pipeline embolization device (PED, Medtronic) is the only flow-diversion device that is approved by the FDA for use in the United States. In this paper, we present our bi-institutional experience with the use of the PED in the treatment of iatrogenic injuries of the intracranial vasculature.

\section*{Methods}
\subsection*{Study Design}
This was a retrospective study of cases from the University of Michigan Health System in Ann Arbor, Michigan, and the University of Utah Hospital in Salt Lake City, Utah. Institutional review board approval was obtained at each participating center.

\subsection*{Patient Population and Data}
Procedural logs were screened for use of a PED in the treatment of iatrogenic intracranial vascular injuries between January 2012 and December 2016. Patient demographic data, indications for treatment, operative reports, radiological images, endovascular procedure notes, and the patients’ postprocedure hospital course were reviewed. Procedural data evaluated included number of procedures, number of PEDs used, size of PED(s) used, procedural complications, and immediate postprocedural angiographic outcome. Postprocedural data evaluated included postoperative hospital course, modified Rankin scale (mRS) score, significant neurological events, and follow-up procedures and angiography.

\subsection*{Endovascular Techniques}
Informed consent was obtained from the patient or legal representative for the use of a PED for the treatment of intracranial vessel injury, which is an off-label use. Immediate postsurgical cerebral angiography was performed after intraoperative vessel injury. The affected vascular distribution was evaluated first, followed by assessment of collateral vasculature, in case vessel occlusion might be needed. If an acute vascular injury was identified, intervention proceeded immediately. In cases without evidence of vessel injury on immediate angiography, the patient was admitted to the neurosurgical intensive care unit and monitored closely with a plan for short-term follow-up angiography within 2 weeks. If patients were medically and neurologically stable, they were moved to the general care floor.

For placement of the PED, the diagnostic catheter was exchanged over an exchange-length wire for a 6-Fr Cook shuttle sheath (Cook Medical) and a Navien guide catheter (0.058 mm or 0.072 mm; Medtronic). Next, roadmap angiography was performed, and a Marksman microcatheter (Medtronic) was advanced over a microwire through the Navien guide catheter beyond the vessel injury. A PED was then deployed across the area of injury. In cases in which a PED was used as the primary treatment for vessel injury, patients were not administered antiplatelet agents prior to the procedure. In these cases, patients were administered 10 mg of abciximab intravenously immediately prior to PED deployment and a second dose of 10 mg immediately after deployment. Patients were then started on prasugrel for 6 months and aspirin indefinitely; prasugrel was used in these cases because of the interference of the administered abciximab with P2Y\textsubscript{12} testing. In cases in which PED treatment was used for a recurrent lesion, patients being treated with clopidogrel and aspirin were confirmed to have achieved a therapeutic response to the medications prior to the procedure. Clopidogrel was continued for 6 months and aspirin was continued indefinitely. Follow-up angiographic imaging (MR angiography, CT angiography, or digital subtraction angiography) was performed at 3–6 months after PED placement and then at the treating physician’s discretion.

\section*{Results}
The patient population included 3 women and 1 man with an average age of 47.5 years (range 18–63 years). In 3 patients, the intracranial ICA was injured during transnasal tumor resection (1 pituitary macroadenoma, 1 recurrent pituitary macroadenoma, and 1 recurrent chordoma invading the sella). In 1 patient, a basilar apex injury occurred during attempted endoscopic ventriculostomy after biopsy of a pineal parenchymal tumor. Three patients underwent immediate postsurgical angiography. Immediate angiography was negative in 2 cases, and active contrast extravasation was seen in 1 case. One patient was transferred from an outside institution with confirmed ICA injury from transsphenoidal pituitary tumor resection 19 days earlier. The preprocedural patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

Three patients had pseudoaneurysms at the site of vessel injury, and 1 patient had a complete vessel laceration. Three patients underwent primary treatment of their vessel injury with PED. One patient had a recurrent pseudoaneurysm (initially treated with stent-assisted coiling),

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Patient characteristics}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Case No. & Age (yrs), Sex & Tumor Type & Surgery & Artery Injured & Initial Angiogram \\
\hline
1 & 18, F & Pineal parenchymal tumor & Endoscopic biopsy & BA & Negative \\
\hline
2 & 49, F & Recurrent chordoma & Transnasal resection & ICA & Negative \\
\hline
3 & 63, F & Recurrent pituitary macroadenoma & TSRPT & ICA & Vessel laceration \\
\hline
4 & 60, M & Pituitary macroadenoma & TSRPT & ICA & — \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

BA = basilar artery; TSRPT = transsphenoidal resection of pituitary tumor; — = not available.
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which was retreated with coiling and PED placement. PED placement was technically successful in all 4 cases. In 3 cases, 1 PED was used. In 1 case, 2 PEDs were used because of a persistent flow jet in the pseudoaneurysm sac following placement of the first PED. All 3 pseudoaneurysms were successfully obliterated after PED deployment. In the case of vessel laceration, there was persistent extravasation after PED placement, and the vessel was endovascularly occluded using coils. This patient had persistent perfusion-related weakness corresponding to the occluded ICA and underwent successful superficial temporal artery to middle cerebral artery bypass 1 month later with subsequent improvement of symptoms. All patients had a good clinical outcome (mRS score of 0 or 1). Procedural and outcome data are summarized in Table 2.

Illustrative Case 1

An 18-year-old woman with a pineal region mass underwent endoscopic biopsy and third ventriculostomy. Biopsy of the mass was uneventful. During attempted third ventriculostomy, electrocautery of the floor of the third ventricle was associated with significant bleeding. Following copious irrigation, the bleeding appeared to have stopped. Intraoperative MRI did not demonstrate any obvious vascular injury. The procedure was aborted, and the patient was transferred to the angiography suite for emergency cerebral angiography. Angiography did not demonstrate any evidence of pseudoaneurysm, vessel perforation, or dissection. A 2-week follow-up angiogram demonstrated a 5-mm basilar apex pseudoaneurysm at the origin of the right posterior cerebral artery (Fig. 1A). This was treated with endovascular coiling followed by placement of a 2.5 × 17–mm LVIS Jr. stent (MicroVention). Postprocedure angiography confirmed no filling of the aneurysm and patency of the stent in the parent vessel (Fig. 1B).

Two-month follow-up MR angiography demonstrated a 3-mm aneurysm recurrence, which was confirmed with cerebral angiography (Fig. 1C). The recurrent pseudoaneurysm was treated with recoiling followed by placement of a 2.75 × 14–mm Pipeline Flex stent (Medtronic) placed within the LVIS Jr. stent. Postprocedure angiography demonstrated contrast stasis within the recurrent aneurysm, and rotational angiography (DynaCT, Siemens) demonstrated excellent apposition of the stent to the vessel wall (Fig. 2). Follow-up angiograms obtained 4 and 12 months later confirmed no residual pseudoaneurysm filling and continued patency of the stents (Fig. 3). The patient’s mRS score at follow-up was 0.

Illustrative Case 2

A 61-year-old woman with a history of a benign pituitary macroadenoma who presented with nasal obstruction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Vessel Injury</th>
<th>Initial Treatment</th>
<th>Initial Outcome</th>
<th>Additional Treatment</th>
<th>PED Successful</th>
<th>Follow-Up Imaging</th>
<th>mRS Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Basilar PS</td>
<td>LVIS Jr. stent + coils</td>
<td>Recurrent PS</td>
<td>PED + coils</td>
<td>1 PED: 2.75 × 14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DSA showing no recurrence at 4 &amp; 12 mos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ICA PS</td>
<td>PED</td>
<td>No residual PS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 PEDs: 4 × 25 &amp; 4.25 × 16</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CTA showing no recurrence at 6 mos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ICA extravasation</td>
<td>PED</td>
<td>Persistent contrast extravasation</td>
<td>Vessel occlusion</td>
<td>1 PED: 5 × 35</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ICA PS</td>
<td>PED</td>
<td>No residual PS</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 PED: 4.5 × 20</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MRA showing no recurrence at 5 mos</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTA = CT angiography; DSA = digital subtraction angiography; MRA = MR angiography; NA = not applicable; PS = pseudoaneurysm.

FIG. 1. Illustrative Case 1. High-magnification oblique cerebral angiograms. A: Angiogram demonstrating a pseudoaneurysm at the junction of the basilar apex and the right posterior cerebral artery. B: Angiogram obtained after stent-assisted coiling showing no evidence of residual aneurysm filling. C: Angiogram obtained 1 month after stent coiling showing irregular large recurrence of the pseudoaneurysm. The right posterior cerebral artery stent remains widely patent.
J. Griauzde et al.

Neurosurg Focus Volume 42 • June 2017

was found to have a mass centered in the sphenoid sinus. Needle biopsy demonstrated recurrent pituitary macroadenoma, and she was thus referred for operative evaluation. A combined endoscopic/microsurgical approach with otolaryngology was used for tumor resection. There was a significant amount of scar tissue in the sphenoid sinus. After tumor was removed from the sphenoid sinus, the right optic nerve was identified, and a small amount of tumor from the right inferior margin of the field was scraped using a ring curette. Subsequently, brisk bleeding was encountered from the right ICA. The cavity was packed and the patient was taken for emergency cerebral angiography, which demonstrated disintegration of the right ICA at the distal cavernous segment proximal to the anterior genu with contrast extravasation and injury to the intima with narrowing and dissection of the vessel (Fig. 4).

A PED was placed proximal to the ophthalmic artery to the distal petrous segment; however, there was suboptimal wall apposition and continued extravasation from the segment (Fig. 5), likely because of the nature and size of the vessel injury. The vessel was sacrificed using coil embolization with trapping of the injured segment with coils from proximal to the ophthalmic artery to the distal petrous segment. There was no further flow through the right ICA, with intact filling of the right ophthalmic artery and supraclinoid ICA from extracranial collaterals and cross-filling from the left anterior cerebral artery via the anterior communicating artery (Fig. 6). The patient had continued signs of right-sided ischemia, and CT perfusion imaging indicated a perfusion deficit. She underwent a right superficial temporal artery to middle cerebral artery bypass 1 month later, which restored flow through the right hemisphere.

Discussion

Iatrogenic injury of the intracranial vasculature is a rare but highly morbid surgical complication. Injuries of the intracranial ICA during surgery involving the sellar region are well documented in the literature.5,15 Cases of

![FIG. 2. Illustrative Case 1. Upper: Anteroposterior cerebral angiogram obtained after recoiling and placement of a PED showing contrast stasis at the aneurysm neck (arrow). Lower: Rotational angiogram (DynaCT) obtained after PED placement and coiling, demonstrating good apposition of the stent to the vessel wall and excellent packing of the coil mass within the aneurysm sac.](image)

![FIG. 3. Illustrative Case 1. High-magnification oblique cerebral angiogram obtained at 12-month follow-up demonstrating complete occlusion of the pseudoaneurysm with no evidence of residual or recurrent aneurysm filling. The stents within the right posterior cerebral artery (PCA) remain widely patent. The left PCA appears small in caliber, but there is a large posterior communicating artery on the left side and there is diversion away from this vessel giving the impression of a diminutive left P1 segment.](image)
i atragenic injury of the basilar artery, anterior cerebral artery, posterior cerebral artery, and middle cerebral artery occur less commonly but have also been reported.10,12,18 Recently, the use of flow-diverting stents has been reported in the treatment of iatrogenic intracranial vascular injuries. Nerva et al.13 presented 2 cases in which pseudoaneurysms were encountered following transsphenoidal pituitary tumor resection. One patient was treated on postinjury Day 4 with coil embolization and PED placement with complete aneurysm occlusion. The other patient was treated approximately 2 weeks after injury with telescoping PEDs. Both patients had angiographic resolution of their pseudoaneurysms. Similarly, Amenta et al.2 reported a case of an iatrogenic ICA pseudoaneurysm that was successfully treated with telescoping PEDs. Sylvester et al.17 presented 2 cases of transsphenoidal pituitary tumor resection with vessel injury treated with PEDs. In both cases, iatrogenic pseudoaneurysms were treated successfully with PEDs without complications, and the patients had good clinical outcomes. Our experience mirrors these results, as all 3 pseudoaneurysm cases we encountered were successfully treated with PED placement. One of our cases was initially treated with stent-assisted coiling. In this case, we elected to not primarily attempt PED placement out of concern for covering perforator vessels originating off the basilar and posterior cerebral arteries and the inability to pretreat with antiplatelet agents. Following pseudoaneurysm recurrence, we believed that further coiling and PED placement was the best remaining treatment option. During the initial evaluation of this patient, it was thought that the greater metallic coverage of the LVIS Jr. stent might allow for some improved flow-diverting properties compared with more traditional stents such as the Neuroform device (Stryker). Therefore, we implanted the LVIS Jr. and placed coils within the pseudoaneurysm. Based on this recurrence, it is apparent that the LVIS Jr. does not provide sufficient flow diversion in the treatment of pseudoaneurysms, and PED placement should be a primary consideration.

One patient in our series experienced frank vessel disruption during surgery, which was confirmed on immediate postsurgical angiography. PED placement was unsuccessful in attenuating extravasation in this case, and the vessel was endovascularly occluded following confirmation of robust cross-fill through the anterior communicating artery. Other authors have hypothesized that the PED is unable to cure vessel injuries with ongoing extravasation because of a persistent pressure gradient from the intravascular to extravascular space across the injury.1 Our case lends credence to this hypothesis and supports attempting alternative measures of vessel reconstruction, such as covered stent placement. Shakir et al.16 reported a case of frank vessel disruption that was treated with a covered stent followed by PED. The authors used 2 PEDs as a secondary therapy to treat a Type 1 endoleak following placement of the covered stent. In our case, we believed that tortuous anatomy at the location of the injury would be prohibitive to adequate wall apposition of the covered stent to the vessel laceration, a shortcoming that has been reported previously.2

**FIG. 4.** Illustrative Case 2. High-magnification oblique cerebral angiogram demonstrating an injury to the cavernous segment of the right ICA with active extravasation (arrow).

**FIG. 5.** Illustrative Case 2. A: Lateral cerebral angiogram demonstrating the right ICA following PED placement with suboptimal wall apposition. B and C: Oblique (B) and anteroposterior projection (C) cerebral angiograms demonstrating active contrast extravasation (arrow) following PED placement.
Our series underscores the need for short-term follow-up angiography if immediate postoperative angiography is unrevealing. In 2 of our cases, an initial angiogram was negative despite the operative surgeon’s high degree of suspicion of a large vessel injury. Both of these patients demonstrated pseudoaneurysm formation on short-term follow-up angiography. Other authors have postulated that the initial false-negative angiogram is a result of cerebral vasospasm causing decreased flow in the parent vessel and apposition of the walls of the aneurysm neck, precluding aneurysm filling. Some have attributed this phenomenon to aneurysm thrombosis with later recanalization. The timing of follow-up angiography is debatable, but significant delays should be avoided because of the propensity of pseudoaneurysms to rapidly enlarge and rupture.

Conclusions

The use of the PED is feasible in the management of iatrogenic pseudoaneurysms of the intracranial vasculature. In cases of frank vessel perforation, an alternative strategy such as covered stent placement should be considered. Endovascular or surgical vessel occlusion remains the definitive treatment in cases of refractory hemorrhage. Short-term follow-up angiography should be performed in cases with negative immediate postprocedural angiography, as vessel injuries can remain occult in the immediate postoperative period.
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FIG. 6. Illustrative Case 2. Anteroposterior projection angiogram (native image, left) and digital subtraction angiogram (right) obtained following coil embolization of the right ICA. The subtracted image demonstrates adequate cross-filling of the right middle cerebral artery and anterior cerebral artery via the anterior communicating artery.
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