Prolapse of the Pipeline embolization device in aneurysms: incidence, management, and outcomes
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OBJECTIVE The Pipeline embolization device (PED) is frequently used in the treatment of anterior circulation aneurysms, especially around the carotid siphon, with generally excellent results. However, the PED has its own unique technical challenges, including the occurrence of device foreshortening or migration leading to prolapse into the aneurysm. The authors sought to determine the incidence of this phenomenon, the rescue strategies, and outcomes.

METHODS Four institutional databases of neuroendovascular procedures were reviewed for cases of intracranial aneurysms treated with PEDs. Patient and aneurysm data as well as angiographic imaging were reviewed for all cases involving device prolapse into the aneurysm.

RESULTS A total of 413 intracranial aneurysms were treated with PEDs during the study period, by 5 neurointerventionalists. Large and giant aneurysms (≥2 cm) accounted for 32 of these aneurysms. Among these 32 PEDs, prolapse into the aneurysm occurred in 3 patients, with 1 of these PEDs successfully rescued and the other 2 left in situ. No patients suffered any severe complications. The 2 patients in whom the PEDs were left in situ remained on antiplatelet therapy.

CONCLUSIONS The PED may foreshorten or migrate during or after deployment, leading to prolapse into the aneurysm. This phenomenon appears to be associated with large and giant aneurysms, vessel tortuosity, short landing zones, and use of balloon angioplasty. Future study and follow-up is needed to further evaluate this phenomenon, but some of the observations and techniques described in this paper may help to prevent or salvage prolapsed devices.
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The Pipeline embolization device (PED; Medtronic) was approved by the US FDA for the treatment of large and giant intracranial aneurysms from the petrous to the superior hypophyseal segment of the internal carotid artery (ICA). It has, in a short time, transformed the treatment paradigm for giant aneurysms that are challenging surgically. However, flow diversion treatment has its own unique challenges and pitfalls, especially in the treatment of very large and giant aneurysms. Foreshortening and migration have been described in intracranial self-expanding stents8,17,18,21,23 as well as in several case examples or series with PEDs.3,5–7,14,16,19,25,28

A recent series of PEDs for large and giant aneurysms reported a foreshortening/migration in 5 of 47 patients.19 This series found tortuous parent artery anatomy to be a contributing factor and salvaged the construct in all these occurrences. However, occasionally the construct cannot be salvaged, despite heroic measures.

In the literature, several terms are used to describe various types of unwanted device movement: “foreshortening,” “migration,” “deformation,” and “prolapse.” Among these, foreshortening leading to either device prolapse into the aneurysm or incomplete neck coverage are the most worrisome. A case of incomplete neck coverage without prolapse into the aneurysm can be salvaged with additional devices, because distal access can be regained with rela-
tive ease. However, true device prolapse into the aneurysm presents a much bigger challenge. In this study, we sought to evaluate the incidence of PED foreshortening leading to prolapse into the aneurysm as well as management strategies and outcomes in these patients.

Methods
Institutional databases at each participating center (Baylor College of Medicine, University of New Mexico, University of South Florida, and University of Massachusetts) were searched for patients treated with PEDs. We also identified the large (2.0–2.5 cm) and giant (≥2.5 cm) aneurysms, as in our experience and in the literature, foreshortening and prolapse tend to occur in wide-necked giant aneurysms that require multiple overlapping devices for treatment. Among these, we selected patients who had at least a 6-month clinical and angiographic follow-up duration. Clinical data and all applicable imaging were reviewed. The study was approved by the local IRB of each participating institution.

Procedures
All procedures were performed under general anesthesia after obtaining appropriate consents. Patients systemically received heparin with an activated clotting time ≥200 seconds throughout the procedure. PEDs were delivered via a Marksman (Medtronic) or compatible microcatheter in standard fashion with a triaxial system consisting of a 6-Fr guiding catheter or shuttle sheath placed in the common carotid artery, and a 5-Fr Navien distal access catheter (Medtronic) placed proximal to the aneurysm. Satisfactory wall apposition was confirmed with cone-beam CT (DynaCT, Siemens Medical Imaging; or XperCT, Philips Healthcare).

Antiplatelet Regimen
All patients were treated with a dual antiplatelet regimen (81 mg or 325 mg of aspirin, and 75 mg of clopidogrel) 7 days prior to elective placement of the PED. Platelet inhibition was checked immediately preceding the procedure with the P2Y12 assay (VerifyNow, Accu-metrics). Clopidogrel nonresponders were changed to an alternative antiplatelet agent. An aspirin response unit value of ≤550 and a clopidogrel response unit of ≤220 were considered an indication of an appropriate level of platelet inhibition for treatment. Patients were maintained on aspirin and clopidogrel for at least 6 months following PED placement, and aspirin indefinitely after. Statistical comparisons (chi-square test) were performed using MedCalc (MedCalc Software bvba).

Results
The retrospective review of the institutional databases and personal series of 5 neurointerventionalists yielded a total of 413 PED deployments from 2012 to 2016. Among these, there were 32 large and giant aneurysms that were treated. Among these 32, there were 3 cases of PED foreshortening leading to prolapse into the aneurysm, 1 of which was rescued (previously reported, Case 3 by Kan et al.),16 and 2 of which were left in situ. The aneurysm characteristics and clinical details are further summarized in Table 1. While the incidence of PED prolapse overall was 0.73%, it was significantly higher (9.3%) among large and giant aneurysms (p < 0.00001).

Illustrative Cases
Case 1
An 84-year-old man with a recent myocardial infarction presented with a right lateral rectus palsy. A large right cavernous ICA aneurysm, measuring 20 × 14 mm with a 13-mm neck, was identified (Fig. 1A). There was significant proximal vessel tortuosity, and a 4.5 × 20-mm Pipeline Flex was deployed across the aneurysm neck in the cavernous ICA, with more attention given to having sufficient distal coverage, leaving a shorter proximal segment (Fig. 1B and C). Deployment of a second PED was considered, but there was concern of pushing the proximal end of the PED into the aneurysm while attempting to reaccess the device. Early stasis was observed, and the procedure was considered successful (Fig. 1D). After initial improvement of lateral rectus palsy, the patient developed acute worsening of diplopia at 4 months. Angiographic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, sex</td>
<td>84, M</td>
<td>63, F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm location</td>
<td>Cavernous ICA</td>
<td>Cavernous ICA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aneurysm size, neck (mm)</td>
<td>20 × 14, 13</td>
<td>21 × 29, 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PED size (mm)</td>
<td>4.5 × 20</td>
<td>4.25 × 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreshortening end (proximal/distal)</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time course of foreshortening</td>
<td>Delayed</td>
<td>Acute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflow/outflow vessel size (mm)</td>
<td>4/4.2</td>
<td>4.5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landing zone: proximal/distal (mm)</td>
<td>&lt;5/15</td>
<td>3.1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last clinical follow-up</td>
<td>12 mos</td>
<td>30 mos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last angiographic imaging</td>
<td>6-mo DSA, 12-mo CTA</td>
<td>12-mo &amp; 30-mo CTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postprocedural antiplatelet protocol</td>
<td>Dual antiplatelet</td>
<td>Dual antiplatelet × 12 mos, then 81 mg of aspirin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DSA = digital subtraction angiography.
follow-up at 6 months showed that the proximal end of the PED had migrated into the dome of the aneurysm (Fig. 1E and F). As the patient’s symptoms were tolerable, further intervention was declined. The patient was maintained on dual antiplatelet therapy thereafter, with no change in the aneurysm on follow-up CT angiography (CTA) at 12 months (Fig. 1G).

**Case 2**

A 63-year-old woman harboring a giant right cavernous ICA aneurysm (21 × 29 mm, 7.7-mm neck), was referred for endovascular treatment (Fig. 2A). She was symptomatic with symptoms of right-hemisphere ischemia, and had failed previous attempts at a balloon test occlusion (BTO) and extracranial-intracranial bypass. The PED (4.25 × 30 mm) took a tortuous path through the aneurysm and left a precariously short proximal landing zone (3.1 mm; Fig. 2B). The PED was inadvertently bumped by the microcatheter during recapture of the distal wire, and the proximal PED foreshortened and prolapsed into the aneurysm (Fig. 2C). Distal access was also lost at the same time. Attempts at regaining access, both anterograde, and retrograde through the posterior communicating artery (PCoA), were unsuccessful. A snare was also used in an attempt to pull the distal stent into the aneurysm to allow deployment of a new device, also unsuccessfully (Fig. 2D). The device was left in situ with the proximal PED in the aneurysm, and the patient was maintained on 81 mg of aspirin daily after receiving dual antiplatelet therapy for 1 year. The patient was clinically asymptomatic at the

---

**FIG. 1.** Case 1, a delayed PED prolapse. **A:** Angiogram showing a large right cavernous ICA aneurysm, measuring 20 × 14 mm with a 13-mm neck. **B and C:** Fluoroscopic (B) and angiographic (C) images showing the 4 × 20–mm Pipeline Flex deployed, with sufficient distal coverage but insufficient proximal neck coverage. **D:** Early stasis is observed in the aneurysm on an angiogram. **E and F:** At 6-month follow-up, the proximal end of the PED had migrated into the dome of the aneurysm as noted on angiography (E) and fluoroscopy (F). **G:** The aneurysm remained unchanged on CTA at the 12-month follow-up.

**FIG. 2.** Case 2, an acute PED prolapse. **A:** Angiogram showing a 21 × 29-mm right ICA aneurysm, with a 7.7-mm neck. **B:** A 4.25 × 30-mm PED was deployed, taking a tortuous path through the aneurysm as noted on fluoroscopy. **C:** Initially, the device was deployed with rapid stasis within the aneurysm, as observed on this angiogram. **D:** Roadmap angiographic image showing the proximal end of the device foreshortened into the aneurysm, with failed rescued attempts.
30-month follow-up, with no change in the aneurysm on follow-up CTA (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

Despite the many strengths of the PED in treating large and giant aneurysms, issues with device sizing, placement, and landing zones remain. Experienced neurointerventionalists and data support the existence of a learning curve in PED procedures. The diameters of the inflow and outflow vessels must be accurately determined for appropriate device selection. Oversizing may lead to PED elongation and loss of flow diversion effect. However, undersizing is perhaps a more grievous error, as it can lead to poor wall apposition and stent migration.

Chalouhi et al. first reported on spontaneous (proximal) migration of a PED in 2013. The device foreshortened by 1 cm into the aneurysm; the investigators managed to salvage it by accessing the distal ICA and deploying another PED. The phenomenon has since been described in several case reports and small series. While most of these PEDs were rescued, there are a few reports describing the potentially fatal consequences. In this paper we describe the first 2 cases in which the foreshortened PED has been left in situ without adverse effect.

In our series, this phenomenon only occurred in wide-necked large and giant aneurysms; in our combined experience of more than 400 PED treatments, such foreshortening into the aneurysm did not occur in other cases. While mild foreshortening without prolapse occurred in other cases, this was not reported in our database due to the lack of clinical consequences. In smaller aneurysms, device foreshortening can lead to incomplete aneurysm neck coverage without prolapse, which can be corrected by deploying a second device as distal access is usually maintained in those cases. Wide-necked large and giant aneurysms pose unique challenges to treatment with flow diversion. Due to the size of the lesion, traversing the aneurysm to gain access to the distal vessel can, in itself, be challenging. Next, during deployment, if the forward tension needed to initially advance the catheter is not reduced, the device can take a tortuous pathway through the aneurysm, shortening the length of the proximal landing zone as noted in Case 2.

Also, if forward tension is not applied in adequate amounts to deploy the device in a fully expanded fashion, the stent will be partially stretched within the body of the aneurysm with a natural tendency to foreshorten. Possible delayed or immediate foreshortening would depend on the dynamic interaction of static friction of the stent on the proximal and distal landing zones combating the stored energy of a potentially stretched stent within the body of the aneurysm. Unfortunately, with the PED, relatively lower radial force results in lower levels of static friction in the proximal and distal landing zones compared with a conventional laser-cut self-expanding stent. Even if the stent is deployed with the nominal diameter, eventual overexpansion of the stent within the body of the aneurysm and migration is also possible, given the dynamic energy of blood flow acting on the unopposed, freely hanging portion of the flow diverter within large and giant aneurysms.

Large and giant aneurysms also often require overlapping devices, increasing the risk of prolapse due to device separation. The risk is increased when the overlapping is less than 50%. In cases in which percutaneous transluminal angioplasty (PTA) is necessary to improve device apposition, the device can foreshorten on both ends, leading to prolapse into the aneurysm. These challenges are compounded by increased tortuosity, as seen in the Case 1.

Some of these challenges could be mitigated by deploying lengthy portions of overlapping PED constructs in the proximal and distal landing zones to combat migration. A high degree of stent overlap can help avoid separation of components from foreshortening. Unfortunately, this solution increases the cost of treatment and potentially increases the thromboembolic complication rate with increased amount of metallic implant and perforator coverage. However, complications are not completely avoidable as giant aneurysms are often not isolated in their pathology, and can arise from multiple dysplastic segments, making device sizing difficult as well. An error in any step during the treatment of large and giant aneurysms can lead to PED migration or foreshortening, leading to prolapse, which can sometimes be rescued by a few techniques.

**Causes**

Our study size and incidence rate are insufficient to
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Illustrative Case 1 occurred in a delayed fashion, whereas Case 2 occurred intraprocedurally.

Case 1 was likely a result of the so-called “watermelon seed effect,” wherein a size mismatch between the 2 ends of the stent causes a force to be transmitted from the “squeezed” end to the other, with eventual stent migration. Previously, laser-cut stents were noted to prolapse when deployed in tortuous segments. In this case, a short proximal landing zone (< 5 mm) and vessel tortuosity contributed to the migration and prolapse.

Case 2 involved an acute, intraprocedural prolapse due to a short landing zone, which led to easy, inadvertent foreshortening of the device by the microcatheter during recapture. As described by Kan et al., another cause was the use of PTA that led to foreshortening of the proximal portion of the distal PED, leading to the construct disconnection in the aneurysm.

Based on our experience of these 3 cases and that of others, it appears that short device length, short landing zone relative to the aneurysm neck, large aneurysm size, multiple devices, and the use of PTA are important risk factors for this phenomenon. However, due to the relative rarity of such cases, it is hard to statistically determine their effect size.

Prevention

Ideally, the best way to deal with foreshortening is to be vigilant during the procedure and to prevent it. In general, long devices are recommended in larger aneurysms to provide ample proximal and distal coverage. In tenuous cases, one may consider pulling the distal wire into the PED as opposed to re-advancing the microcatheter through the stent, so as not to “bump” and foreshorten the stent. However, care must be taken while pulling the distal wire back into the device so the PED is not foreshortened distally. If access can be maintained, deployment of a second device is an easy remedy. Coils are often used as an adjunct to enhance embolization of giant aneurysms with PED; they may additionally function as a scaffold or to provide support for the PED to prevent migration/foreshortening. We have also had experience using self-expanding stents to augment the wall apposition and outward radial force of flow diverters at either end in cases of giant aneurysms, which may also prevent migration. As one of the causes discussed is a short landing zone, we recommend generous neck coverage proximally and distally as a way to prevent foreshortening. As the general recommendations are for at least 6 mm on each side, we recommend being even more generous and opting for 6–10 mm of coverage, by use of multiple devices if necessary. To avoid the “watermelon seed effect,” we recommend spending time to carefully select the devices necessary, with use of cone-beam CT images and luminal reconstruction techniques (including centerline approximation) that can help select device size.

Regaining Distal Access

The cases described in our series presented a challenge in regaining distal access to the parent vessel. Once the PED has migrated, especially out of the parent artery lumen and into the aneurysm, crossing the PED with a wire is very difficult. We recommend a few rescue techniques that have been successful for such cases. The key determinant in this challenge is whether the foreshortening occurred on the proximal or distal end of the PED, as the former is far more challenging. When the distal end foreshortens, one can usually still regain distal access. However, when the proximal end prolapses into the aneurysm, the only viable options are transcirculation rescue or technically challenging anterograde reaccess. The techniques used in previous reports of prolapsed PED are summarized in Table 2.

Retrograde Access

“Retrograde access” or “transcirculation rescue” refers to crossing of the distal device by accessing the vessel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Aneurysm Size</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Proximal/Distal End</th>
<th>Foreshortening</th>
<th>Remedy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hauck et al., 2010</td>
<td>23 × 21 mm</td>
<td>Lt cavernous ICA</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Trans-PCoA to deliver 5 overlapping PEDs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalouhi et al., 2013</td>
<td>18 × 13 mm</td>
<td>Rt cavernous ICA</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Second overlapping PED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chalouhi et al., 2013</td>
<td>12.7 × 11.6 mm</td>
<td>Lt M1</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Hemiplegia, occluded M, (no remedy)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navarro et al., 2014</td>
<td>28 × 23 mm</td>
<td>Lt supracilindoid ICA</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Coiling of aneurysm &amp; ICA (parent vessel sacrifice)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Large”</td>
<td>Rt cavernous ICA</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Second overlapping PED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16.5 mm</td>
<td>Lt cavernous ICA</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Foreshortening proximally, second overlapping PED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bowers et al., 2015</td>
<td>3 × 2.2 cm</td>
<td>Rt ICA terminus</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Trapping, bypass, &amp; surgical extraction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowley et al., 2014</td>
<td>2.8 × 2 cm</td>
<td>Lt supracilindoid ICA</td>
<td>Distal</td>
<td>Balloon anchoring technique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kan et al., 2015</td>
<td>3 × 2 cm</td>
<td>Lt cavernous ICA</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Trans-ACoA to deliver 2 overlapping PEDs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Galdámez et al., 2017</td>
<td>14 mm</td>
<td>Lt supracilindoid ICA</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Balloon realignment technique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACoA = anterior communicating artery; M1 = M segment of the middle cerebral artery; SCA = superior cerebellar artery.

Cases involving rescue for migration alone were omitted.

* Distal end prolapsed into aneurysm, but the proximal end also foreshortened.

TABLE 2. Published cases of PED prolapse into aneurysms
in retrograde fashion, via the anterior or posterior communicating arteries. The wire in the aneurysm is then locked by a snare-loop\(^7\) so that the microcatheter can regain access to the distal vessel through “flossing.” Additional devices can then be deployed.

**Sacrifice/Bypass**

Finally, if the device misdeployment cannot be remedied, an option is to sacrifice the involved vessel, with flow restoration via bypass if needed. Excision of the device, even if not addressing the PED directly, can be quite difficult.\(^4,10,12\) In Case 1, this was declined by the patient; in Case 2, the patient had already failed a BTO and ipsilateral extracranial-intracranial bypass, so sacrifice was not an option. To have this salvage strategy as an option, it is important to assess collateral circulation and to perform a BTO prior to treatment of these very large and giant aneurysms.

**Timing of Rescue**

As either retrograde rescue or anterograde re-access are technically challenging, and given the contrast and fluoroscopy time already spent on the initial procedure, it may be reasonable to attempt the rescue in a separate setting if simple attempts to regain access cannot be accomplished in the same setting. It appears to be safe and preferred given our own experience and the experience reported in the literature (Table 2). However, as a dislodged PED may acutely alter flow dynamics into the aneurysm that could precipitate rupture,\(^2\) this approach should be considered with caution and based on the unique situation.

**Outcomes**

Ultimately, in 2 of the 3 cases in this series, the PED was left in situ. To our knowledge, this is the first report of the outcome of prolapsed PEDs left in situ. One patient was maintained on dual antiplatelet therapy, and the other on 81 mg of aspirin monotherapy. At 1- and 3-year follow-ups, both patients were doing well clinically with no rupture, thrombosis, or thromboembolic events, as well as no change in their aneurysms. However, it is important to note several limitations to these outcomes. The patient in Case 1 was not fully asymptomatic, and suffered diplopia likely related to mass effect or pulsation from the aneurysm, although tolerable. We considered this outcome to be unfavorable but not unreasonable, with a lower threshold for leaving the device in situ in a cavernous aneurysm compared with an intradural aneurysm. While the patients presented in these 2 cases did reasonably well from leaving the device in situ, the outcome is far from ideal. Suffice it to say that it is important to exhaust all rescue options when feasible, as others have reported poor outcomes precipitated by this same phenomenon of aneurysm prolapse (Table 2).\(^6,28\)

**Conclusions**

The PED is safe and revolutionary in the treatment of large and giant aneurysms, but carries its own unique risks of stent migration or foreshortening that can lead to device prolapse into the aneurysm. With experience, vigilance, and knowledge of rescue techniques, this complication can be deftly avoided or remedied. When a failed construct must be left in situ, it may be surprisingly well tolerated.
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