The human prion diseases are a rare group of uniformly fatal neurodegenerative disorders characterized by a rapid decline in cognition and movement with features of cerebral and cerebellar dysfunction. Although they differ in their specific clinical and pathological manifestations (Table 1), the prion diseases have in common the accumulation of small, highly resilient proteins traditionally known as prions, which are capable of self-propagation via autocatalytic templating activity. Prions have been demonstrated to be resistant to conventional methods of decontamination. The presence of prion-contaminated instruments in the operating room can pose a serious risk to health care providers and patients. In the absence of strong evidence against the presence of a prion disease in a neurosurgical patient, cautionary measures should be taken to prevent iatrogenic transmission of prion-contaminated neurosurgical instruments.

http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2016.5.FOCUS15126

KEY WORDS: scrapie prion protein; transmissible spongiform encephalopathy; iatrogenic; neurosurgery; instrument decontamination

©AANS, 2016

ABBREVIATIONS BSE = bovine spongiform encephalopathy; CDC = Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; CJD = Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease; dCJD = CJD transmitted by commercially distributed cadaveric dura mater; EEG = electroencephalography; fCJD = familial CJD; FFI = familial fatal insomnia; GSS = Gerstmann-Schäffler-Scheinker syndrome; hGH = human growth hormone; iCJD = iatrogenic CJD; M = methionine; NIH = National Institutes of Health; PrP = prion protein; PrPc = cellular PrP; PrPSc = abnormal form of PrP; sCJD = sporadic CJD; sFFI = sporadic fatal insomnia; V = valine; vCJD = variant CJD; VPSPr = variably protease-sensitive prionopathy; WHO = World Health Organization.
ons via the surgical instruments, as neural tissue presents the highest infectious burden of the disease.8

Highly sensitive and specific diagnostic tests using cerebrospinal fluid and/or nasal brushings are becoming available at the National Prion Disease Pathology Surveillance Center (Cleveland, Ohio).70,71 Nevertheless, a definitive antemortem diagnosis of prion disease can only be made by tissue biopsy. The current difficulties in identifying prion-infected living patients constitute one of several challenges faced by institutions when determining whether specific prion decontamination measures should be taken during a neurosurgical procedure. In addition, the inability to identify patients who have been recently infected with the prion agent complicates responses to inadvertent exposures of surgical patients to potentially prion-contaminated instruments. This issue may arise when a patient who previously underwent a neurosurgical procedure receives a postoperative diagnosis of prion disease. Assessing the risk to those potentially exposed to contaminated instruments and making decisions related to informing such patients and preventing further exposures to the instruments can be difficult, time consuming, and costly. Fortunately, only a very small fraction of the total number of prion disease cases reported to date resulted from iatrogenic transmission following neurological surgery with contaminated instruments, although complete case ascertainment is very difficult.12,17 Preventing iatrogenic transmission of prions remains important primarily because prion diseases are invariably fatal. The social costs of iatrogenic transmission must also be considered; such costs include loss of public trust in medical personnel and institutions, investigative costs, and potential lawsuits.

This review seeks to provide an update on the existing information on the transmission of human prion diseases. A general background of the historical, epidemiological, pathobiological, and clinical aspects of the prion diseases...
is first provided, followed by descriptions of previous inci-
dents and corresponding recommendations by the Cen-
ters for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and World
Health Organization (WHO). This review is intended to
disseminate information on how iatrogenic transmission
of prion disease can be avoided in the hospital setting.

Human Prion Diseases: Historical
Perspective

Human prion disease first came to the attention of the
global scientific community during the 1950s when D.
Carleton Gajdusek, a US physician and medical research-
er, was called to investigate a “strange, encephalitis-like
disease” occurring predominately among women and
children of the Fore linguistic region of Papua New Guin-

ea.33,59 Oral history provided by the inhabitants of the re-

gion indicated that the first appearance of the disease may
have occurred around 1910.62 At the time of Gajdusek’s
initial report, a full epidemic had been recognized, with
more than 1000 cases identified in the first 10 months of
the opening of a native hospital for the treatment and study
of the disease.34 Kuru, as the disease was known among
the Fore, is a word used to designate the trembling associ-
ated with fear or cold. Gajdusek and his colleague V.
Zigas, a medical officer in the Fore tribe region of New
Guinea, lived among the Fore and investigated this major
medical problem.

In describing kuru to the (then) director of the National
Institutes of Health (NIH), Gajdusek wrote:

Emotional instability is certain; a tendency to excessive hilar-
ity, etc. is certain. The “mask-like” facies is rather a fixed
facies—but not “mask-like”. … The entire postural tremor
situation is most complex. It is not a true cerebellar, nor a true
parkinsonism tremor. It is, rather, a tremor and other types
of involuntary movements which are very irregular and difficult
to describe.… Toes are constantly gripping and searching
when a patient tries to stand unaided—or, if more advanced,
even when supported. Sudden loss of antigravity postural
support, given passively by an examiner to head or upper
extremities, suddenly sets off repetitive, irregular tremors or a
choreiform pattern of movement. Rigidity is minimal, if at all
present. It appears late. Instead, there is an increased tone to
the muscles that are associated with attempts at maintaining
posture and preventing the antigravity tremors which fight the
slightest instability of standing, sitting, lying, head posture,
etc. and which initiate as a startle response. If well and firmly
supported passively, even in late cases, this “intermittent
rigidity” subsides to complete relaxation.56

Gajdusek later noted the similarity of kuru to “het-
erofamilial degenerative disorders of the central nervous
system,”34 whereas Igor Klatzo, neuropathologist at NIH,
compared kuru to Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD), the lat-
ter being documented only 20 times and never observed in
children.59 In 1957, Klatzo wrote: “[kuru] seems to be defi-

nitely a new condition without anything similar described
in the literature. The closest condition that I can think of is
that described by Jacob and Creutzfeldt.” Klatzo detected
the vacuoles and the amyloidal kuru plaques in the brain of
individuals with kuru, and, in 1959, he published a paper
describing kuru’s histopathological features and the simi-
larities between kuru and CJD.51 In 1966, Gajdusek, Gibbs,
and Alpers experimentally transmitted kuru to chimp-
zees, confirming that kuru was an infectious condition.32,43

Two years later, CJD was shown to be transmissible.
Gibbs and Gajdusek proposed the name of “subacute
spongiform encephalopathy” for the entire class of scra-
pie-like diseases.42,43 The pathogenesis of the transmis-
sible spongiform encephalopathies, however, remained a
mystery, the solution to which would have an enormous
impact on medicine.

Kuru and Cannabalism

Epidemiological and clinical research conducted by Zi-
gas and Gajdusek revealed a complicated picture. Accord-
ing to their 1959 report,34 kuru had the following proper-
ties. 1) It was responsible for the death of approximately
1% of the population per year (up to 50% in certain areas).2) It mainly affected adult females, with up to 25% of cases
involving children. 3) It was restricted to the Fore people
and some of their tribal neighbors. 4) Its histopathologi-

cal hallmarks included neurological degeneration, myelin
degeneration, astroglial and microglial proliferation, most
predominately in the cerebellum and extrapramidal sys-
tem. 5) Cases of kuru had no identifiable relationship with
cases of (presumably viral) infectious meningoencephali-
tis or with any toxic ingestion.

Gajdusek himself postulated a genetic predisposition to
the spread of kuru; however, little evidence could be found
to support such a hypothesis. Currently, however, we un-
derstand that heterozygosity at codon 129 of the prion pro-
tein (PrP) gene (PRNP) is protective (see below).

Remarkably, the kuru-affected people of Papua New
Guinea were unique in their practice of “endocannaba-
lism.” As Alpers describes, the people of the Fore region
believed the “mortuary practice of consumption of the
dead and incorporation of the body of the dead person into
the bodies of living relatives, thus [helped] to free the spir-
it of the dead.” In fact, the whole body of the deceased kin
was eaten by female relatives and children of both sexes.
Adult males, which included boys above age 7, rarely took
part in this practice.61,62 The sex and age distribution of the
kuru epidemic could thus be satisfactorily explained by
the mortuary practices of the affected people. In fact, after
the abandonment of the practice by the early 1960s (fol-
lowing the urging of the Australian administration), there
was a sharp decline in cases that was characterized by the
long incubation period of the disease.4

The ensuing decades of clinical and basic research re-
vealed the etiologic agent of kuru to be a small, self-ag-
gregating protein unlike any infectious entity previously
identified in biology. The agent, now known as “prion,”
introduced to the scientific community principles of mo-

cular catalytic activity that would influence the fields
of molecular biology, genetics, virology, amyloidology,
and aging. Such was the impact of the elucidation of the
pathophysiology of kuru that D. Carleton Gajdusek was
awarded the Nobel Prize in Physiology or Medicine in
1976 for his work on the transmission of the disease. Stan-
ley Prusiner, whose work is described below, also received
the Nobel Prize in 1997 for his work on the molecular
identification and characterization of the prion protein.
The detailed findings of the investigation into kuru and
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the discovery and development of the concept of transmissible prion proteins were summarized by Dr. Gajdusek and Dr. Prusiner, respectively, in Fields’ *Fundamentals of Virology*, published in 1996.31,78

**Human Prion Diseases in the 21st Century**

The term “prion” was coined in 1982 by Stanley B. Prusiner to describe “proteinaceous infectious” particles responsible for scrapie in goats and sheep.77 Since their initial elucidation, much work has been done to understand and categorize these fatal neurodegenerative conditions. Prion diseases have different etiologies: they can be sporadically inherited, be genetically acquired, or arise sporadically, be genetically inherited, or acquired by infection.

The normal or cellular PrP (PrPC) is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored membrane glycoprotein that is largely expressed in neural and nonneural tissues and found primarily on the cell surface–plasma membrane of the central nervous system. PrPC is encoded by the PRNP gene on chromosome 20 and comprises 209 amino acids that fold to produce an α-helix–rich conformation that is soluble in buffers containing detergent and readily digestible by proteases (e.g., by the proteinase K).48,77,80 The function of PrPC is largely unknown.61,86

The abnormal or pathological form of PrP (PrPSc) is a β-sheet conformation with a C-terminal region that is partially resistant to proteolytic degradation.7,22,23,35 The conformational conversion of PrPC to PrPSc seems to occur in a reaction whereby α-helical structures of PrPC refold into a β-sheets structure using a preexisting PrPSc as a template.1,27,40,49 Although the accumulation of the proteinase K-resistant PrPSc, a gold standard marker for prion disease, may not always be observed with standard detection procedures in a few human prion diseases, a central pathogenic event is the accumulation of PrPSc that is partially resistant to proteases.84

Polymorphism at codon 129 of the PRNP gene, encoding for either methionine (M) or valine (V), has been demonstrated to play a role in host susceptibility to phenotypic expression of sporadic, familial, and acquired or iatrogenic forms of prion disease.23,23,24,77 Codon 129 M/V heterozygosity seems to be protective against human prion diseases,93 and several studies have indicated a prominence of homozygosity for either methionine (129 M/M) or valine (129 V/V) in individuals with prion disease.23,52,63 Although the biophysical interplay between codon 129 and PrPSc conversion is incompletely understood, the epidemiological association is of considerable clinical predictive value.

There is a great deal of phenotypic heterogeneity in the prion diseases. The clinicopathological phenotype in CJD and other prion diseases is also influenced by the different types of the pathological PrPSc, identified as Type 1 and Type 2.66,72,25

**Creutzfeldt-Jakob Disease**

The most common human prion disease is Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (CJD), with an estimated incidence of 1–1.5 cases per million people per year.8 Approximately 85% of all CJD cases are sporadic (sCJD) and considered to arise from somatic alteration in PrPC.25,60 A modern classification of sCJD into 5 distinct subtypes combines 2 types of PrPSc (Type 1 and Type 2) and 3 possible genotypes at codon 129 (129 M/M, 129 M/V, and 129 V/V). Each subtype of sCJD is characterized by a distinct clinical and histopathological phenotype.19,24 Genetic or familial CJD (fCJD) represents 5%–15% of all CJD cases and is associated with several pathogenic mutations in the PRNP gene. Patients with fCJD are usually younger than those with sCJD. Clinically, fCJD presents with rapidly progressive neurological and neuropsychiatric dysfunction, including dementia, visual abnormalities, muscle incoordination and myoclonus, and gait and speech abnormalities (Table 1). The rates of progression and symptoms at onset vary depending on the sCJD subtype. In about 85%–90% of cases, however, patients deteriorate rapidly, with death occurring within 12 months of the onset of illness.8 Variants of CJD (vCJD) was initially reported in 1996 as a small case series of CJD-like illnesses in the United Kingdom that was epidemiologically linked to an outbreak of bovine spongiform encephalopathy (BSE). Affected patients exhibited early-onset disease (median age 28 years) with prominent behavioral changes at clinical presentation followed by neurological abnormalities, dementia, and myoclonus later in the course of the illness.91 Epidemiological and laboratory studies indicated that the same prion agent was responsible for BSE and vCJD.46,64 Iatrogenic transmission of vCJD has been linked to blood products (3 clinical cases and 2 subclinical cases)3,8 rendering iatrogenic transmission of prion diseases a greater potential problem.

**Fatal Insomnia**

Sporadic fatal insomnia (sFI) and fatal familial insomnia (FFI) are characterized by bilateral symmetric degeneration of the thalamus with marked gliosis and absence of minimal spongiform degeneration.58 Patients predominantly exhibit severe sleep disturbances, often with intractable insomnia, and autonomic dysfunction, characterized by hyperhidrosis, hyperthermia, tachycardia, and hypertension.39 It is most commonly associated with PRNP gene mutation at codon 178 (FFI), but sporadic cases have been identified lacking such mutation (sFI).39,73

**Kuru**

The clinical picture of kuru, as described above, is considerably distinct from that of classic CJD. Cases occurring 50 years after participation in ritual cannibalism have been reported,24 suggesting that measuring the full risk of person-to-person transmissions of prion disease can be challenging due to possibly decades-long incubation periods.

**Gerstmann-Sträussler-Scheinker Syndrome**

Gerstmann-Sträussler-Scheinker syndrome (GSS) is a slowly progressive hereditary cerebellar syndrome associated with PRNP point mutations at different codons.60 The incidence of GSS is estimated at approximately 1–10 cases per 100 million people per year.29 Typically reported neurological symptoms include cerebellar ataxia, gait abnormalities, dementia, dysarthria, ocular dysmetria, and hyporeflexia or areflexia in the lower extremities. As with
sCJD, however, the different mutations confer different clinical and histopathological phenotypes.

**Varially Protease-Sensitive Prionopathy**

Varially protease-sensitive prionopathy (VPSPr) is a recently identified prion disease affecting an estimated 2–3 people per 100 million per year. Clinical onset is characterized by psychosis, mood changes, speech impairment, and dementia, whereas progressive motor dysfunction is usually observed at later stages of the disease. About 30% of the affected individuals have a family history of dementia. The median age of disease onset, and the disease duration, is 70 years and 2 years, respectively. In VPSPr, disease prevalence in association with the 3 codon 129 genotypes of the PRNP gene (i.e., M/M, M/V, and V/V) is different from that of sCJD, suggesting a different role of codon 129 as a risk factor in the 2 conditions (VPSPr: 62% V/V, 26% M/V, and 12% M/M; sCJD: 19% V/V, 11% M/V, and 70% M/M). Other features of VPSPr are different sensitivity of PrPSc to proteolytic digestion with proteinase K (hence “varially protease-sensitive”), and poor transmission of the disease to transgenic mice expressing the human PrPSc.

**Iatrogenic CJD: Historical Context**

Iatrogenic CJD (iCJD) refers to the transmission of prions via inadvertent medical exposure. The first documented case of iCJD occurred via infected corneal transplant, and was described by Duffy in 1974. Three earlier iatrogenic prion transmissions by surgical instruments were suggested by examination of case notes of CJD cases described in a report by Nevins et al. in 1960. A fourth case of iatrogenic CJD attributed to a contaminated neurosurgical instrument was described by el Hachimi and colleagues in 1977; the case involved a 46-year-old man who had undergone cranial surgery in the same department 3 days after a cortical biopsy had confirmed CJD in a 59-year-old woman. In 1977, Christoph Bernoulli realized that a cortical electrode probe used in an elderly patient had transmitted CJD to 2 younger patients undergoing subsequent epilepsy surgery. Subsequent reports demonstrated the transmission of CJD to the frontal lobes of chimpanzees with the same electrodes, even after scrupulous attempts to clean them.

More than 492 (personal communication to L.B.S., 2015) cases of iCJD have been identified worldwide (Table 2). The majority of such cases resulted from the administration of prion-contaminated human growth hormone (hGH) and cadaveric dura mater graft. Other sources of iatrogenic transmission of the disease are contaminated blood, corneas, and neurosurgical instrumentation. Because of the latter risk, Drs. Paul Brown and Michael Farrell recently proposed routine use of prion diagnostic testing on all patients admitted with symptoms of either dementia or cerebellar disease and containment of infectivity commensurate with the degree of potential risk.

**Cadaveric Dura Mater Transplantation**

The transmission of CJD by transplantation of commercially distributed cadaveric dura mater (dCJD) was first recognized in 1987. Since then, at least 238 dCJD cases (personal communication to L.B.S., 2015) have been identified worldwide, with over 60% occurring in Japan, reflecting primarily the frequent use of Lyodura. Lyodura was produced by the German manufacturer B. Braun Melsungen AG and was the major source of the outbreak. In 1987, the manufacturer reported that it changed its procedures to reduce the risk of prion contamination of its product. The mean incubation period for exposed dCJD patients has been estimated to be 12 years (range 1.2–30 years), although cases with even longer incubation periods are likely to occur. The predominant symptomatology has been atypical (slowly progressive and without characteristic electroencephalography [EEG] findings). In Japan, 2 groups of dCJD with distinct phenotypes and molecular features have been recently described.

**Human Growth Hormone Administration**

The treatment of short stature with pituitary-derived human growth hormone (hGH) began in the 1950s and has been associated with more than 238 iCJD cases to date (personal communication to L.B.S., 2015). The majority of hGH-CJD cases have occurred in France, specifically among patients who received hGH treatment between December 1983 and July 1985 (119 cases in 1170
exposed patients). In the UK and the US, the numbers of cases are fewer (75 and 31, respectively). Except for 1 case of CJD associated with commercially derived hGH, 30 of the 31 patients in the US cases received hGH treatment through the government-supported National Hormone and Pituitary Program. In this program, no CJD cases have been identified among recipients who began their hormone treatment after 1977, the year when a highly selective column chromatography step was included in the purification protocol.15 The mean incubation time for hGH-CJD patients worldwide has been estimated to be 17 years (range 5–42 years), and the associated clinical picture is predominantly cerebellar, with dementia occurring late in the development should it appear.

Blood Transfusion

The first convincing evidence for blood transfusion transmission of a human prion disease was reported for vCJD in 2003. As indicated in Table 2, 5 cases of vCJD infection, including 3 involving patients who became ill with vCJD, have been documented among recipients of blood products from donors who subsequently developed vCJD. (Table 2).26,50,76

Other Sources

Although the vast majority of iCJD cases have occurred because of contaminated hGH or dura mater graft, other identified sources include corneal transplantation85 and contaminated stereotactic EEG depth electrodes.14,44,46 In four cases, patients underwent corneal transplantation or EEG with grafts from or instruments previously used on patients in patients subsequently discovered to have died of confirmed CJD. The latency period for corneal transplant cases ranged from 18 months to 30 years, whereas the latency periods for the cases ascribed to the contaminated EEG depth electrodes were 16 months and 20 months.13,45

Transmission of Human Prions by Neurosurgical Instruments

Iatrogenic transmission of CJD via neurosurgical instrumentation is a worrisome, although rare, phenomenon. Four cases have been documented in the literature, with three having occurred in the UK and one in France during the 1950s.29,90 Exposed patients presented back to the hospital with onset of CJD between 1.4 and 2.2 years after their surgery. Although there have been no documented cases in the US, the unusual pathology of one CJD case in a US neurosurgeon has been reported to suggest iatrogenic rather than sporadic disease.54 In addition, several potential exposures have been described.9,85

In the 15-year period (1998–2012), 19 incidents of suspected CJD exposure via contaminated surgical instruments in the US were reported to the CDC. Two cases involved ophthalmological procedures, whereas 17 involved intracranial neurological operations that were performed as a diagnostic workup in most of the cases. Operative personnel were not aware that their patient was infected with the CJD agent, so no recommended CJD-related protocols for instrument tracking/decontamination were followed. The contaminated instruments were cycled through the normal decontamination process and reused on subsequent patients. Several hospitals reported having multiple neurosurgical instrument sets, and in most of these hospitals (11 of 19) the originally contaminated set could not be identified.

The potential nosocomial exposure to the CJD agent raises several concerns. Most important is the prevention of further exposure of patients to CJD. This requires identification of potentially contaminated instruments and their proper quarantine and sterilization. As demonstrated by these cases, instrument tracking can be very difficult when there are multiple instrument sets and when a large amount of time has passed since the initial neurosurgery. In some of the above-mentioned hospitals, the entire collection of sets had to be quarantined and decontaminated.9 Instruments used for neurosurgery in patients with dementia or cerebellar signs for whom there is not convincing evidence against a prion disease should be decontaminated using the sterilization protocols developed by WHO.

A second important consideration is patient notification. The hospital should try to identify the patients potentially exposed to the index instruments (another epidemiological obstacle) and decide if anyone, appropriately, should be notified. In this regard, several factors should be taken into account.9

Risk Assessment and Mitigation

Given the difficulty of tracking instruments and connecting them to patients, it is crucial to identify patients who have, or are at risk for having, a prion disease before they undergo surgical procedures. Successful implementation of the appropriate precautions can effectively eliminate the risk of subsequent iatrogenic events. Suggestions for mitigation are provided in the WHO Infection Control Guidelines for the Transmissible Spongiform Encephalopathies.88

According to these guidelines, prior to the operation, efforts should be made to minimize the extent of contamination. The WHO specifically suggests that all staff directly involved in the procedure or the reprocessing or disposal of the contaminated items be made aware of recommended precautions; operative and relevant staff must be given enough time to obtain suitable instrumentation and equipment; specific protocols are followed; and staff is appropriately trained for these protocols. It is also suggested that the procedure in question be scheduled for the end of the day (i.e., as the last case) to ensure adequate time for decontamination. These procedures may have a visible effect on the surgical instrument to which the procedure is applied.18

The WHO also lists basic protective measures to be taken within the operating room:88 1) involve a minimum number of health care personnel in the operating room; 2) use single-use equipment whenever possible; 3) cover all nondisposable equipment (Fig. 1); 4) maintain a “one-way flow” of instruments; 5) dispose of single-use items via incineration when possible; and 6) clean all work surface areas according to guidelines.

Prion Protein Resilience and Decontamination

The most commonly used methods for disinfection and sterilization may not be adequate to remove all prion in-
During preoperative workup, especially when the preprocedural differential diagnosis could include the suspicion of a prion disease. Although intensive, the recommended precautions can reduce the potential risk of nosocomial prion infections and minimize the negative consequences.
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