Meaningful quality measurement and public reporting have the potential to facilitate targeted outcome improvement, practice-based learning, shared decision-making, and effective resource utilization. Recent developments in national quality reporting programs, such as the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR) reporting option, have enhanced the ability of specialty groups to develop relevant quality measures of the care they deliver. QCDRs will complete the collection and submission of Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) quality measures data on behalf of individual eligible professionals. The National Neurosurgery Quality and Outcomes Database (N2QOD) offers 21 non-PQRS measures, initially focused on spine procedures, which are the first specialty-specific measures for neurosurgery. Securing QCDR status for N2QOD is a tremendously important accomplishment for our specialty. This program will ensure that data collected through our registries and used for PQRS is meaningful for neurosurgeons, related spine care practitioners, their patients, and other stakeholders. The 2015 N2QOD QCDR is further evidence of neurosurgery’s commitment to substantively advancing the health care quality paradigm. The following manuscript outlines the measures now approved for use in the 2015 N2QOD QCDR. Measure specifications (measure type and descriptions, related measures, if any, as well as relevant National Quality Strategy domain[s]) along with rationale are provided for each measure.
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Fortunately, recent developments in national quality reporting programs, such as the CMS Qualified Clinical Data Registry (QCDR) reporting option, have significantly enhanced and streamlined the ability of specialty groups to develop and report relevant measures of health care quality. The QCDR reporting mechanism was introduced for the Physician Quality Reporting System (PQRS) in 2014. A QCDR is a CMS-approved entity that collects medical and/or clinical data for the purpose of patient and disease tracking to foster improvement in the quality of care provided to patients. A QCDR completes the collection and submission of PQRS quality-measures data on behalf of individual eligible professionals (EPs). Individual EPs who satisfactorily participate in the 2015 PQRS through a QCDR may avoid the 2017 negative payment adjustment (−2.0%). A QCDR is different from a CMS qualified registry in that it is not limited to measures within PQRS, but rather it allows for specialty societies and other groups to develop novel measures that are relevant. To be considered a QCDR for purposes of PQRS, an entity must self-nominate and successfully complete a qualification process. These programs amplify the power of clinical registries, leveraging the specialty’s efforts to accurately document care, patient experiences, and outcomes, and to use these to define and demonstrate value as it relates to the specialty.

In November 2014, the NeuroPoint Alliance (NPA), a 501-c6 organization established by the American Association of Neurological Surgeons to collect, analyze, and report on nationwide clinical data from neurosurgical practices using online technologies, began the process of developing quality measures specific to the practice of neurological surgery. A multidisciplinary team comprising health care policy experts, clinician-scientists, quality scientists, medical administrators, and epidemiologists surveyed exiting PQRS-approved measures, examined the performance of various measures used in our existing registry projects (such as the National Neurosurgery Quality and Outcomes Database [N2QOD]) and outlined gaps in existing quality reporting related to neurological diseases. With treatment of spinal disorders accounting for almost 70% of neurosurgical care, the NPA determined this to be neurosurgery’s greatest short-term opportunity in measure development. Furthermore, the treatment of degenerative spine disease has been identified by many health care stakeholders as a target area for improving health care value due to the high cost and rapidly expanding application of spinal therapies.

In January 2015, the NPA submitted 21 preliminary measures to CMS for provisional approval. Initial approval was granted, and from February through March 2015, these measures underwent further internal review (NPA) and external review (CMS contractors) prior to final submission. On March 31, 2014, 21 non-PQRS (i.e., novel) measures were submitted to CMS along with a data validation plan. On April 2, 2015, the N2QOD became an approved QCDR in the PQRS for the 2015 program year.

The N2QOD QCDR offers 21 non-PQRS measures, initially focused on spine procedures, which are the first specialty-specific measures for neurosurgery. Securing QCDR status for N2QOD is a tremendously important accomplishment for our specialty. This program will ensure that data collected through our registries and used for PQRS is meaningful for neurosurgeons, related spine-care practitioners, their patients and other stakeholders. The 2015 N2QOD QCDR is further evidence of neurosurgery’s commitment to substantively advancing the health care quality paradigm.

The N2QOD is designed to address the need for high-quality outcomes data related to care of patients with neurosurgical and spine disorders. N2QOD provides United States surgeons, practice groups, and hospital systems the ability to comprehensively analyze and report on the quality of their care. Analyses of data collected through the N2QOD have already helped demonstrate the effectiveness of neurosurgical care and identify important care improvement opportunities.

**Participating in the N2QOD QCDR**

The N2QOD QCDR is open to all participating N2QOD registry physicians. Eligible professionals (EPs) interested in participating in the N2QOD QCDR who are not currently participating in the registry can enroll by completing the steps for N2QOD participation listed on the NPA website. These steps include review of the N2QOD program by the local institutional review board or quality improvement office, completing participation, business associate, and data use agreements, and completing N2QOD registration and training.

Once enrolled in the N2QOD registry, EPs and groups can sign up and participate in the N2QOD QCDR through the NPA-QCDR registration process. Registration includes completion of the NPA-QCDR Provider Consent Form and NPA Data Use Addendum, which authorize NPA to submit PQRS data to CMS on the EP’s behalf. The Provider Consent Form requires each EP to provide his or her Tax Identification Number (TIN) and National Provider Identification (NPI) number and to attest to their Medicare eligibility and that the TIN/NPI numbers are correct. The NPA Data Use Addendum specifies the terms of QCDR participation through the N2QOD QCDR, data submission, public reporting of QCDR data, audit and data validation requirements, and the process for notifying CMS if inaccuracies are found in the CMS submission.

Additionally, EPs must fill out the registration forms in the N2QOD QCDR module indicating their scope of participation (lumbar only, cervical only, or both lumbar and cervical), and confirming their selected QCDR measures for reporting. For 2015, individual EPs using a QCDR to satisfy PQRS reporting requirements must submit data on at least 9 measures across at least 3 National Quality Strategy (NQS) domains and include 2 outcome measures. The N2QOD QCDR offers a set of 9 Core Measures that meet these QCDR requirements and is already embedded within the N2QOD spine modules. EPs and groups have the option of substituting a measure within the core or including more than the minimum 9 measures.

Following registration in the N2QOD QCDR, EPs and centers will need to complete N2QOD QCDR training which details patient enrollment, measures selection, performance rate scoring, audits, as well as interim and final
surgeon reporting. EPs can begin entering their PQRS data in the NQOD QCDR after training has been conducted. On a monthly basis, EPs will receive interim feedback reports tracking their progress for meeting the PQRS measures. A final report is provided to EPs at the end of the reporting period.

Although this paper focuses on the 2015 PQRS program, which allows for individual reporting under QCDR, beginning in 2016, physicians will be able to use QCDR reporting at both the individual and group level. More details of the group reporting option will be made available on the NPA website in late 2015.

It is important for neurosurgeons to note the following reporting differences between “standard” NQOD registry participation, and participation in the NQOD with the QCDR option.

1) NQOD participants currently provide clinical data using a sampling methodology. Sampling methodologies can be quite powerful and yield accurate, representative results when properly applied. Briefly, the first 6 eligible patients per week meeting enrollment criteria and scheduled to undergo lumbar/cervical spine surgery are identified. Once 6 patients have answered the baseline questionnaires and they have undergone their surgery, the target has been reached and no additional patients are required for enrollment in the registry. A rotating 6-day cycle is used to ensure that enrollment will not always commence on the same operative weekdays. This method allows for a representative sampling of patient experiences from the individual sites and has been employed by other national registry projects.

2) In contrast, the CMS QCDR reporting requirements require data capture from 50% of all relevant patients. In the context of the NQOD, this would include 50% of all eligible patients as defined by the registry inclusion/exclusion criteria. Therefore, depending on the case composition for each individual practitioner or practice group, QCDR participation in the context of the NQOD may result in an increased reporting burden compared with that required in the registry alone.

As the QCDR is approved for use by CMS as a “stand-alone” PQRS vehicle, it is likely that participation in this program will ultimately be made available to non–NQOD participating EPs. Use of the QCDR independent of the standard registry may allow individuals/groups with limited resources and a focused desire to satisfy PQRS requirements (as opposed to broader registry goals such as targeted quality improvement) at a reduced reporting burden.

However, it should also be noted that the NPA is noticing a trend among many groups to increase their data reporting beyond that required by the sampling methodology. In fact, at least 4 centers are in the process of transitioning to 100% capture of all eligible patients. Total data capture, which is employed by other national registry programs including the Society of Thoracic Surgeons National Database, reduces error related to imperfections in the sampling process and allows for a more comprehensive approach to quality assessment/improvement. More complete data capture is also being facilitated by a variety of novel technologies and methods now being developed by NPA in cooperation with other groups. Furthermore, it is highly likely that private groups will ultimately adopt quality data standards consistent with those promoted by CMS. In this respect, NQOD participants who use the NQOD QCDR option may be preparing themselves to successfully navigate emerging trends in quality reporting, which will unquestionably include 1) more relevant, specialty specific measures and 2) more complete capture of relevant patient data.

Neurosurgeons at 10 clinical centers have enrolled in the NQOD QCDR to date, with approximately 40 surgeons anticipated for the 2015 program year. More information is available on the NPA website: http://www.neuropoint.org/NPA%20N2QOD%20Physician%20Quality%20Reporting%20System.html.

The 2015 NQOD Measures

The following section outlines the individual measures now approved for use in the 2015 NQOD QCDR. Measure specifications (related measures, if any, relevant National Quality Strategy [NQS] domain[s], measure type, and descriptions) along with rationale are provided in each individual descriptions. Appendix 1 contains the denominator exclusions and exceptions for all 21 measures.

NQOD Spine Care Measure 1: Spine Pain Assessment

**NQS Domain:** Person and Caregiver-Centered Experience Outcomes

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non–PQRS; PQRS 131, NQF 420, and modification of PQRS 109

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Outcome

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with documentation of a pain assessment through discussion with the patient including the use of a standardized back or neck pain tool(s) at baseline and 3 months following index therapy for treatment of spine-related pain symptoms and documentation of follow-up plan.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older with documentation of a pain assessment through discussion with the patient including the use of a standardized back or neck pain tool(s) at baseline and 3 months following index therapy for treatment of spine-related pain symptoms and documentation of follow-up plan.

**Rationale**

Spine-related pain is a highly prevalent and disabling condition. Approximately one-quarter of adults in the United States reported at least 1 full day of low-back pain over a 3-month span, and low-back pain accounts for 2.3%–2.8% of all physician visits. Low-back pain alone represents the most expensive cause of work-related disability in the United States.103 A recent analysis of 4970 patients enrolled in the NQOD Spine Registry found significant levels of baseline spine pain in patients scheduled to undergo elective spine surgery (average pain score 6.5 on a scale of 1–10).8 Significant improvements in back pain have been reported following surgery for a variety of lumbar spine conditions.103,106–122 Further, these stud-
ies have established the minimum clinically important change in back pain scores following surgery, representing a threshold to distinguish meaningful patient improvements.103,106–108,122 Given the prevalence and debilitating nature of spine-related pain, accurate assessment of patients’ spine discomfort before and after therapy is essential to assess the impact of interventions and make appropriate plans for continuing care.

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 2: Extremity (Radicular) Pain Assessment**

**NQS Domain:** Person and Caregiver-Centered Experience Outcomes

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; PQRS 131, modification of PQRS 109

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Outcome

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with documentation of a pain assessment through discussion with the patient including the use of a standardized leg or arm pain tool(s) at baseline and 3 months following index therapy for treatment of spine-related pain symptoms and documentation of follow-up plan.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older with documentation of a pain assessment through discussion with the patient including the use of a standardized leg or arm pain tool(s) at baseline and 3 months following index therapy for treatment of spine related pain symptoms and documentation of follow-up plan.

**Rationale**

Extremity pain related to spinal disorders (i.e., radicular pain) is a highly prevalent and disabling condition. Lumbosacral radicular pain alone has been estimated to have an annual prevalence of 10%–25% in the general population.148 A recent analysis of 4970 patients enrolled in the NQOD Spine Registry found significant levels of patient-reported baseline functional impairment in patients scheduled to undergo elective spine surgery (average disability index 50 [severe disability]).3 Improvements in disability scores following spine surgery have been demonstrated in a number of conditions.102,106–108,122,150,151 One multicenter study investigated the outcomes of treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis, which represents the most common indication for surgery in patients over 65 years old.151 In an as-treated analysis of 654 patients with 4-year follow-up, functional disability was found to be significantly reduced in patients who underwent surgery compared to those treated without surgery.151 Given the prevalence, socioeconomic impact, and relative severity of spine-related functional impairment, accurate assessment of patients’ functional status before and after therapy is essential to assess the impact of interventions and make appropriate plans for continuing care.

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 4: Quality-of-Life Assessment for Spine Intervention**

**NQS Domain:** Person and Caregiver-Centered Experience Outcomes

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Outcome

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine therapy (s) who completed baseline and 3-month follow-up (patient-reported) functional outcome assessment.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine therapy (s) who completed baseline and 3-month follow-up (patient-reported) functional outcome assessment.

**Rationale**

Degenerative spine disease is recognized as a leading cause of disability in society,135 and low-back pain is the most expensive cause of work-related disability in the United States.35 Measures of spine-related patient disability have been established and validated.9 A recent analysis of 4970 patients enrolled in the NQOD Spine Registry found significant levels of patient-reported baseline functional impairment in patients scheduled to undergo elective spine surgery (average disability index 50 [severe disability]).3 Improvements in disability scores following spine surgery have been demonstrated in a number of conditions.102,106–108,122,150,151 Given the prevalence, socioeconomic impact, and relative severity of spine-related functional impairment, accurate assessment of patients’ functional status before and after therapy is essential to assess the impact of interventions and make appropriate plans for continuing care.

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 3: Functional Outcome Assessment for Spine Intervention**

**NQS Domain:** Person and Caregiver-Centered Experience Outcomes

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; PQRS 220, PQRS 223, PQRS 182, PQRS 109, PQRS 217, PQRS 218, PQRS 219 and NQF 0422, 0423, and 0424 modification

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Outcome

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine therapy (s) who completed baseline and 3-month follow-up (patient-reported) quality-of-life assessment.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine therapy (s) who completed baseline and 3-month follow-up (patient-reported) quality-of-life assessment.

**Rationale**

Patient-reported quality of life is increasingly recognized as an important tool to allow clinicians to assess the effectiveness of various therapies, particularly when combined with traditional clinical measures of health.46,92 Impaired quality of life is commonly caused by spinal disorders, and routine use of quality-of-life instruments along with other patient-reported outcomes tools has been
recommended in association with spine therapies. A recent analysis of 4970 patients enrolled in the NQOD Spine Registry found significantly diminished levels of baseline patient-reported quality of life (average baseline EQ-5D score 0.54 on a scale of 0–1, where 0 is the worst) in patients scheduled to undergo elective spine surgery. Improvements in quality-of-life measures following spine surgery have been demonstrated in a number of conditions. One multicenter study investigated the outcomes of treatment for lumbar spinal stenosis, which represents the most common indication for surgery in patients over 65 years old. In an as-treated analysis of 654 patients with 4-year follow-up, quality of life was found to be significantly improved in patients who underwent surgery compared to those treated without surgery. Given the prevalence, and relative severity of spine-related impairment of quality of life, accurate assessment of patients’ self-reported quality of life before and after therapy is essential to assess the impact of interventions and make appropriate plans for continuing care.

NQOD Spine Care Measure 5: Patient Satisfaction With Spine Care

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 5: Patient Satisfaction With Spine Care**

**NQS Domain:** Person and Caregiver-Centered Experience Outcomes

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 304

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Outcome

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine therapy (s) who completed 3-month follow-up (patient-reported) satisfaction with care assessment.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine therapy(-ies) who completed 3-month follow-up (patient-reported) satisfaction with care assessment.

**Rationale**

Patient satisfaction represents a subjective assessment of a patient’s overall health care experience, and it has emerged as a common outcome measure following spine surgery. In part due to its ease of assessment, both health care organizations and third-party payers have used patient satisfaction as a proxy for quality of care. Further, the Joint Commission on Accreditation of Healthcare Organizations has identified patient satisfaction as an important measure and suggests that it be used for accreditation purposes. A recent analysis of 4970 patients enrolled in the NQOD Spine Registry found significant improvements in patient-reported satisfaction after elective spine surgery, although almost 20% of patients reported less than satisfactory experiences. While there is some evidence that patient satisfaction may not be a valid means of assessing quality health care, other studies have found positive correlations between patient satisfaction and measures of pain and disability. Given the increased interest in patient satisfaction, studies have more recently sought to determine what factors contribute to these scores. At least 2 such studies have now found that 1 important factor in improving patient satisfaction following surgery is accurately establishing realistic patient expectations prior to surgery. Given the increasing relevance of satisfaction metrics in advancing patient-centered measures of health care services, along with improvement opportunities identified in a large national clinical data program, accurate assessment of patients’ self-reported satisfaction with care before and after therapy is essential to assess the impact of interventions and make appropriate plans for continuing individual care as well as to improve the systemic aspects of care.

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 6: Spine-Related Procedure Site Infection**

**NQS Domain:** Effective Clinical Care

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; NQF 0130, PQRS 357, modification of PQRS 165

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Outcome

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older who had a surgical-site infection (SSI) within 30 days of the index spine procedure.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older who had an SSI within 30 days of the index spine procedure.

**Rationale**

Surgical-site infection (SSI) following spine surgery is associated with significant morbidity and economic burden that can require extended hospital stays, long-term intravenous antibiotic therapy, increased pain requirements, and delayed return to activity and work. Care processes that influence the incidence of spinal SSI span the first 3 major phases of care. In the preoperative phase, certain high-risk modifiable risk factors, such as diabetes, smoking, steroid and opioid use, and obesity, should be identified and corrected. Additionally, identification of active preexisting infections and routine patient decontamination are key elements. In the intraoperative phase, impeccable aseptic technique, the timing and selection of antibiotic prophylaxis, and minimizing blood transfusions are key processes. In the postoperative phase, aseptic wound care and early detection of wound inflammation or breakdown contribute to prevention of delayed contamination and subsequent infection.

The 30-day surveillance window was chosen based on common patient presentations for spinal SSI. The most common spinal infectious microorganisms are Staphylococcus species resulting in non-indolent infections that present with wound swelling, tenderness, erythema, drainage, or dehiscence within this time frame. Furthermore, all patients in the registry receive active follow-up at the 3-month time frame, including assessment for SSI, with documented data completeness of 98.1% with follow-up of 85% of patients at that time point. In summary, tracking rates of SSI in spinal surgery is essential to help determine causes of and to reduce the incidence of spine-related SSI.
NQOD Spine Care Measure 7: Complication Following Spine-Related Procedure

**NQS Domain:** Effective Clinical Care  
**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of NQF 0705

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Outcome  
**Description:** Proportion of patients undergoing spine-related procedures who have a complication (specifically, deep venous thrombosis [DVT], pulmonary embolism [PE], myocardial infarction [MI], stroke, urinary tract infection [UTI], or unexpected new neurological deficit) in the 30-day postprocedure period.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients undergoing spine-related procedures who have a complication (specifically, DVT, PE, MI, stroke, UTI, or unexpected new neurological deficit) in the 30-day postprocedure period.

**Rationale**

Although overall complication rates for elective spine surgery are low, certain potentially preventable complications of spine surgery, namely DVT, PE, MI, stroke, UTI, and unexpected neurological deficit, are associated with significant morbidity and economic burden resulting in functional impairment, increased resource utilization, and delayed return to activity and work. In the analysis of the NQOD Spine Registry found a 2.2% incidence of major adverse events within the first 30 days after elective spine surgery. The prevalence of spine-related procedures (perhaps over 300,000 patients per year) translates into a significant opportunity to improve care and increase national health care value by tracking postsurgical complications.

Care processes that influence the incidence of these complications span the first 3 major phases of care. In the preoperative phase, certain high-risk modifiable risk factors, mainly insulin-dependent diabetes, smoking, and long-term steroid use, should be identified and mitigated. In the intraoperative phase, attention to physiological parameters, use of neuromonitoring adjuncts, judicious use of autologous blood transfusions, and shorter surgical times all reduce the likelihood of complications. In the postoperative phase, appropriate mechanical and chemical prophylaxis for venous thrombosis, timely removal of urinary catheters, meticulous blood glucose control, appropriate mobilization of patients, and close neurological monitoring can reduce the incidence of these events. Implementation of most of these factors is nonuniform and often varies by physician within a given institution, leading to variability in complication rates and types.

In summary, although overall mortality rates for elective spine surgery are low, the prevalence of these procedures (perhaps over 300,000 patients per year) translates into a significant opportunity to improve care and increase national health care value by tracking immediate postsurgical deaths.

NQOD Spine Care Measure 8: Hospital Mortality Following Spine Procedure

**NQS Domain:** Effective Clinical Care  
**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 345

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process  
**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine procedure who die, including all deaths occurring during the hospitalization in which the spine procedure was performed, even if after 30 days.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine procedure who die, including all deaths occurring during the hospitalization in which the spine procedure was performed, even if after 30 days.

**Rationale**

Mortality is the most important negative outcome associated with any surgical procedure. Mortality after elective spine surgery is rare, as demonstrated by a recent analysis of the NQOD Spine Registry, which found a 0.3% overall perioperative mortality rate following elective spine surgery. Mortality is impacted by processes that span the first 3 major phases of care. In the preoperative phase, certain high-risk modifiable risk factors, long-term opioid use, smoking, uncontrolled diabetes, should be identified and mitigated. In the intraoperative phase, careful hemostasis and reduced blood loss, judicious use of autologous blood transfusions, and shorter surgical times all reduce the likelihood of mortality. In the postoperative phase, appropriate mechanical and chemical prophylaxis for venous thrombosis, appropriate mobilization of patients, close neurological monitoring, and timely resumption of cardiac and cerebrovascular prophylactic medications (antithrombotic and anticoagulants) can also reduce mortality. Intraoperative or postoperative cerebrovascular accidents (CVAs) or MIs dramatically increase perioperative mortality rates and surgical risk. Further perioperative surveillance measures to reduce CVAs directly impact mortality. Implementation of most of these factors is nonuniform and often varies by physician within a given institution, leading to variability in complication rates and types.

In summary, although overall mortality rates for elective spine surgery are low, the prevalence of these procedures (perhaps over 300,000 patients per year) translates into a significant opportunity to improve care and increase national health care value by tracking immediate postsurgical deaths.

NQOD Spine Care Measure 9: Referral for Post–Acute Care Rehabilitation Following Spine Procedure

**NQS Domain:** Effective Clinical Care  
**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 36

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process  
**Description:** Percentage of patients undergoing spine procedure who are prescribed physical therapy in the 3-month period following the index procedure.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients undergoing spine procedure who are prescribed physical therapy in the 3-month period following the index procedure.

---

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients undergoing spine procedure who are prescribed physical therapy in the 3-month period following the index procedure.

---

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients undergoing spine procedure who are prescribed physical therapy in the 3-month period following the index procedure.
Rationale

Post-acute inpatient rehabilitation can be an important adjunct to surgical therapies for spinal disorders. Use of rehabilitation services after spine-related surgeries have been shown to improve both back and leg pain and improve overall back-related functional status compared with results for patients who receive no rehabilitation. Physical therapy, including gait training, has also been shown to improve mobility and reduce the incidence of complications such as DVT and PE. The time frame during which these rehabilitation programs have shown to have proven efficacy span the period from immediately postoperatively with inpatient rehabilitation services to programs starting 4 to 6 weeks postsurgery. Accurate assessment of postsurgical physical therapy assignment is essential to assess the impact of these interventions, better understand overall resource utilization in spine care, and assist in the planning of continuing care.

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 10: Unplanned Reoperation Following Spine Procedure Within the 30-Day Postoperative Period**

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 11: Unplanned Readmission Following Spine Procedure Within the 30-Day Postoperative Period**

**Rationale**

Unplanned reoperations after spine surgery delay recovery and can impact the functional outcomes of our patients, while contributing to rising health care costs. It is thus important to measure and report their rate after spinal procedures. Reoperations are among the most common complications of spine surgery. Several studies have used our proposed measure to quantify this phenomenon.

A recent analysis of the NQOD Spine Registry for 4970 patients who underwent lumbar spine surgery from 2012 to 2014 revealed an overall 90-day reoperation rate of 2.3%. In addition, a study of the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program (ACS NSQIP) registry (2006–2011) revealed an overall 30-day reoperation rate of 3.2% for spine surgery patients. In a different study of the same population, reoperation was the second most common unexpected postoperative outcome and accounted for almost one-third of all adverse events. In addition, spine pathologies are diverse, and reoperation rates vary by procedure, and comorbidity. Analysis of single level lumbar discectomy data (2010–2012) from the SPORT trial (242 patients) demonstrated a 1-year reoperation rate of 7%. Patients who underwent the same procedure and were registered in ACS NSQIP experienced 30-day reoperation rates of 2%. There is an increasing body of literature on the association of reoperations with worse patient functional outcomes. Retrospective review of a prospective multicenter thoracolumbar spinal deformity database (2010–2012) revealed that reoperation within 30 days was associated with higher mean leg pain score (3.8 ± 3.2 vs 3.3 ± 2.9, p = 0.0026), higher Oswestry Disability Index (ODI) score (34.2 ± 21.2 vs 25.7 ± 19.2, p = 0.04), and lower SRS-22 scores (3.2 ± 1 vs 3.6 ± 1.1, p = 0.04). In addition, a retrospective study of 149 patients undergoing surgery for spinal deformity found that reoperation in the first 2 years following surgery was associated with significantly reduced scores on measures of health-related quality of life. In summary, reoperations are common in spine surgery, and the existing literature extensively references use of reoperation metrics similar to our proposed measure. The prevalence of unplanned reoperations and their impact on functional outcomes and quality of life make them a clear target for quality improvement.
missions varied by pathology and operation, with 2.4% of patients with disc herniation, 3.4% of patients with spondylolisthesis, and 4.9% of patients with spinal stenosis requiring readmission within 30 days.\(^9\) This reflects the fact that spine surgery encompasses a variety of different pathologies and procedures, and rates of readmission vary between these different entities. Subgroup analysis of 2011 ACS NSQIP data also revealed differences in unplanned readmission rates between diagnoses, with 3.5% of patients with disc herniation being readmitted within 30 days as compared with 6.4% of patients with acquired spondylolisthesis.\(^6\) A study of 197 patients with primary and 164 patients with metastatic tumors of the spine revealed unplanned readmission rates of 6.1% and 16.8%, respectively.\(^12\)

Readmissions are often associated with poor outcomes and increased hospitalization costs. Analysis of 185,954 Medicare patients undergoing spine surgery from 2005–2007 revealed that readmissions account for a substantial proportion (20%–50%) of variation in cost between hospitals, even after accounting for spinal fusions.\(^12\)

In summary, readmissions clearly represent a large driver of cost in some settings and are often the result of wound-site complications. Thus, readmission rates are important to measure for surgical quality improvement efforts by providers, payers, and administrators.

### NQOD Spine Care Measure 12: Selection of Prophylactic Antibiotic Prior to Spine Procedure

**NQS Domain:** Patient Safety  
**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 21, NQF 0268  
**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process  
**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing an index spine-related procedure with the indications for prophylactic antibiotics who had an order for antimicrobial prophylaxis.

**Denominator:** NQOD QCDR Patients, See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing an index spine-related procedure with the indications for prophylactic antibiotics who had an order for antimicrobial prophylaxis.

**Rationale**

Surgical-site infection is a potentially preventable cause of increased morbidity and mortality for spine patients. From a policy perspective, these complications contribute to mounting health care costs. Wound infection was found to be the most common precipitating event (38.6%) of 30-day readmissions in the 2012 ACS NSQIP data for 15,668 patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery.\(^11\) The National Healthcare Safety Network (2006–2007) demonstrated an SSI rate of 2.8%–9.7% for spine surgery.\(^13\) Given the magnitude and the potential impact of postoperative infections on spine patients, establishing process measures to, in part, prevent these complications is of paramount importance.

Preoperative prophylactic antibiotics are central in preventing postoperative infections, and their use is an ideal quality improvement target. A meta-analysis of 6 random-ized trials evaluating prophylactic antibiotic efficacy in spine surgery demonstrated that their use resulted in significantly reduced postoperative infection rates (OR 0.37, 95% CI 0.17–0.78, \(p < 0.01\)). The majority of these trials used a cephalosporin (such as ceftazolin) or \(\beta\)-lactam antibiotic (such as oxacillin), though one trial used vancomycin and gentamicin.\(^16\) The most common pathogens causing postoperative infections in spinal surgery are *Staphylococcus aureus*, coagulase-negative staphylococci, \(\beta\)-hemolytic streptococci, and gram-negative bacilli. Cefazolin is the current agent of choice for prophylaxis in spine surgery, given its activity against *Staphylococcus* species and \(\beta\)-hemolytic *Streptococcus*.\(^18\) Vancomycin and clindamycin are common choices in patients who have adverse reactions or allergies to cephalosporins and \(\beta\)-lactam antibiotics.

However, resistance is increasingly a problem for first- and second-generation cephalosporins and \(\beta\)-lactam antibiotics. A study of 7529 patients undergoing any spine surgery was reported to the CDC NHSN database.\(^1\) In this sample the most common pathogen of postoperative spine infections was *S. aureus* (45.2%), followed by *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (31.4%). Methicillin-resistant organisms were present in 34.3% of cases, and gram-negative organisms (61.6% cefazolin resistant) were found in 30.5% of cases. This could reflect selection bias, since reported infections may predominantly represent resistant organisms in an institution that routinely uses preoperative antibiotics. Appropriate prophylactic antibiotics should be tailored to institutional patterns of antimicrobial resistance.

In summary, given the current evidence for efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis in the prevention of postoperative infections in spine surgery, ensuring their use would likely improve surgical outcomes. Routine antibiotic prophylaxis in this patient population therefore constitutes an important quality-improvement metric. For most procedures, cefazolin is the drug of choice for prophylaxis due to its proven efficacy. It has a desirable duration of action and spectrum of activity against organisms commonly encountered in surgery, reasonable safety, and low cost. However, vancomycin or clindamycin may be effectively used in patients with serious allergy or adverse reactions to \(\beta\)-lactams.

### NQOD Spine Care Measure 13: Discontinuation of Prophylactic Parenteral Antibiotics Following Spine Procedure

**NQS Domain:** Patient Safety  
**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 22, PQRS 45, NQF 0271  
**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process  
**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine procedures with the indications for prophylactic parenteral antibiotics and who received a prophylactic parenteral antibiotic, who have an order for discontinuation of prophylactic parenteral antibiotics within 24 hours of procedure end time.

**Denominator:** NQOD patients who received prophylactic antibiotics, QCDR patients, See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing index spine procedures with the indications
for prophylactic parenteral antibiotics and who received a prophylactic parenteral antibiotic, who have an order for discontinuation of prophylactic parenteral antibiotics within 24 hours of procedure end time.

Rationale

Although appropriate use of prophylactic antibiotics can prevent long-term complications after spine surgery and decrease cost, excessive utilization can contribute to the development of pan-resistant microbes, with potential catastrophic impact on health care outcomes and cost.

Published data question the efficacy of prophylactic antibiotic administration extending past the first 24 hours postoperatively. A meta-analysis of 28 randomized trials comparing single- and multiple-dose regimens failed to demonstrate any advantage for the latter.53 From 1597 patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery between 1999 and 2004, 0.8% developed a postoperative infection after receiving multiple doses of prophylactic antibiotics, whereas 0.4% had a similar complication after a single dose. This difference was not significant. However, culture results demonstrated increased prevalence of resistant organisms (83%) in the multiple-dose group compared with the single-dose group (0%).63 Similarly, another study of 284 patients undergoing lumbar spine surgery without instrumentation compared prolonged and limited prophylactic antibiotic regimens and did not identify a difference in the rate of postoperative infections (2.8% in the prolonged regimen vs 1.4% in the limited group). Two of the patients in the multiple-dose group went on to develop Clostridium difficile colitis.62

Prolonged antibiotic use has also shown no benefit in other surgical subspecialties and is associated with increased risk of secondary infections. In a retrospective review of 201 cases of C. difficile colitis, 55% of the cases were related to prolonged perioperative antibiotic prophylaxis.61 A study of 114 postoperative ICU patients found that bacteremia (17% vs 3%) and line infections (15% vs 2%) were more common in patients receiving more than 4 days of prophylactic antibiotics compared with those receiving 1 day of antibiotics. Additionally the authors noted an excess hospitalization cost of $40,000 for patients with prolonged regimens, during the study period.59 Lastly, continued antibiotic use increases the risk of allergic reactions, and drug interactions.39

In summary, the shortest effective duration of antimicrobial administration to prevent postoperative infection is not known. However, evidence is mounting that prolonged postoperative antimicrobial administration is not necessary for most spinal procedures. Prophylactic antibiotics should be discontinued within 24 hours after the operation to prevent patient-level complications, contain health care costs, and protect the community from the development of resistant bacterial strains. Therefore, tracking the appropriate discontinuation of prophylactic antibiotics is a crucial quality measure for spine surgery.

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 14: Medicine Reconciliation Following Spine-Related Procedure**

*PQRS No.: NQF No.: PQRS 46*

*Measure Type (Process/Outcome): Process*

*Description:* Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing spine-related procedures, discharged from operative facility, and seen within 30 days following discharge in the office by the physician, prescribing practitioner, registered nurse, who had a reconciliation of the discharge medications with the current medication list documented in the outpatient medical record.

*Denominator: NQOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1*

*Numerator: Number of patients aged 18 years and older undergoing spine-related procedures, discharged from operative facility, and seen within 30 days following discharge in the office by the physician, prescribing practitioner, registered nurse, who had a reconciliation of the discharge medications with the current medication list documented in the outpatient medical record.*

**Rationale**

Incomplete or inaccurate medication reconciliation is widespread45,142 and has been associated with adverse events, including drug interactions,154 dangerous starting or cessation of medications for chronic conditions,14,59,139 and avoidable hospital readmission.68 Hospitalization puts patients at high risk for medication errors after discharge at least in part because medication records are often incomplete.14,75 Medication reconciliation postdischarge is, therefore, a critical component of care coordination. Postdischarge medication reconciliation is an important opportunity to catch potentially harmful omissions or changes in prescribed medications, particularly in elderly patients who are prescribed a greater quantity and variety of medications.77 Although the magnitude of the effect of medication reconciliation alone on patient outcomes is not well studied, there is agreement among experts that potential benefits outweigh the harm.30,59,72,112 Postdischarge medication reconciliation is an effective tool to reduce preventable adverse drug events associated with injury or death77,112 and minimize duplication and complexity of a medication regimen to support adherence,153 and it has the potential to reduce emergency department visits,71 hospital readmission rates,68,71 and morbidity.42 Postdischarge medication reconciliation is recommended by the Joint Commission patient safety goals,90 the American Geriatric Society,30 and the Society of Hospital Medicine,73 and measurement of postdischarge medication reconciliation is a priority area of the National Quality Forum and the National Priorities Partnership.96

**NQOD Spine Care Measure 15: Risk Assessment for Elective Spine Procedure**

*NQS Domain: Communication and Care Coordination*

*PQRS No./NQF No.: Non-PQRS; PQRS 182, modification of PQRS 358*

*Measure Type (Process/Outcome): Process*

*Description:* Percentage of patients who underwent elective therapy(-ies) for spine-related disorders with documentation of risk factor assessment by their treatment team
prior to therapy and who received personal discussion of those documented risks with the health care provider.

Denominator: N\textsuperscript{2}QOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

Numerator: Number of patients who underwent elective therapy(ies) for spine-related disorders with documentation of risk factor assessment by their treatment team prior to therapy and who received personal discussion of those documented risks with the health care provider.

Rationale

Preoperative risk assessment and communication between surgeons and patients is critical for effective informed consent and shared decision making in surgical care. Shared decision making is considered an integral component of patient-centered care, especially for preference-sensitive issues.\textsuperscript{11,58} Evidence suggests that there is room for improving the informed consent and shared decision-making process.\textsuperscript{5,71,130} Use of a risk calculator helps improve the quality of the informed consent and shared decision-making process by providing a personalized, customized, and empirically based estimate of a patient's risk of postoperative complications. Moreover, evidence suggests that sharing numeric estimates of patient-specific risk may enhance patients' trust in providers.

The ACS NSQIP now offers a risk calculator that can be used for operations in many surgical subspecialties including spine surgery.\textsuperscript{16} ACS NSQIP data have been used to identify a number of predictors of postoperative complications and mortality following spine surgery.\textsuperscript{126} The international spine study group demonstrated the feasibility of using a multicenter prospective database to identify predictors of surgical complications and health-related quality of life following spinal deformity surgery.\textsuperscript{76,128,136,137} Others have also developed models for predicting postoperative medical complications.\textsuperscript{78} A recent analysis of the N\textsuperscript{2}QOD Spine Registry found that certain covariates were strongly associated with patient outcomes following elective spine surgery. Among the most important variables were patient educational status, occupation, diagnosis, baseline patient-reported outcomes, and smoking status.\textsuperscript{104}

N\textsuperscript{2}QOD Spine Care Measure 16: Depression and Anxiety Assessment Prior to Spine-Related Therapies

**NQS Domain:** Communication and Care Coordination

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with documentation of depression and/or anxiety assessment through discussion with the patient including the use of a standardized assessment tool prior to index therapy(ies) for treatment of spine-related pain symptoms.

**Denominator:** N\textsuperscript{2}QOD QCDR patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older with documentation of depression and/or anxiety assessment through discussion with the patient including the use of a standardized assessment tool prior to index therapy(ies) for treatment of spine-related pain symptoms.

Rationale

Preoperative psychological screening is emerging as an important method to predict outcomes following elective spine surgery and potentially identify modifiable conditions to improve spine care outcomes. Depression and anxiety are prevalent in patients undergoing spine surgery. A recent analysis of the N\textsuperscript{2}QOD Spine Registry found that 12.8% and 21.3% of patients undergoing elective spine surgery identified themselves as anxious or depressed, respectively. Furthermore, baseline depression and anxiety were strongly associated with patient outcomes following elective spine surgery. There is evidence that depression and anxiety predict outcomes, including return to work,\textsuperscript{103} medical complications,\textsuperscript{78} functional recovery,\textsuperscript{29,133} and quality of life.\textsuperscript{90} Screening may aid in appropriate patient selection. In one large prospective study, depressive symptoms predicted functional improvement after nonsurgical treatment of chronic low-back pain.\textsuperscript{53} Screening may also guide interventions aimed at treating depression and anxiety that can in turn improve outcomes after spine surgery. In one study, patients whose depression improved after spine surgery had improved outcomes resembling those of nondepressed patients.\textsuperscript{134} Despite the evidence for screening, only a minority of spine surgeons currently screen for psychological factors,\textsuperscript{160} suggesting that there is an opportunity to improve outcomes by encouraging screening.

N\textsuperscript{2}QOD Spine Care Measure 17: Narcotic Pain Medicine Management Following Elective Spine Procedure

**NQS Domain:** Communication and Care Coordination

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 180

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older who underwent elective therapies for spine-related pain who were assessed for narcotic use/requirements at the time of discharge.

The performance measure was met if the patient 1) was not receiving narcotics postdischarge or 2) was receiving narcotics for pain for less than 2 weeks postdischarge or 3) was expected to require narcotics for more than 2 weeks after the index procedure and a narcotic use management plan was documented.

**Denominator:** N\textsuperscript{2}QOD QCDR Patients, See Appendix 1

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older who underwent elective therapies for spine-related pain who were assessed for narcotic use/requirements at the time of discharge.

The performance measure was met if the patient 1) was not receiving narcotics post-discharge or 2) was receiving narcotics for pain for less than 2 weeks postdischarge or 3) was expected to require narcotics for more than 2 weeks after the index procedure and a narcotic use management plan was documented.

Rationale

Narcotic medications are an important part of postoperative pain management in patients undergoing spinal
surgery. However, long-term use of narcotics should be avoided due to adverse effects, the risk of opioid dependence, and diminished effectiveness in treating pain.\(^{25,27}\) Chronic opioid therapy places patients at risk for intolerable adverse effects, aberrant drug-related behaviors, opioid dependence, and failure to make progress toward therapeutic goals. Furthermore, total pain relief with chronic opioid therapy is rare. Trials suggest that improvement averages less than 2 to 3 points on a 0–10 scale.\(^{41,63}\) Monitoring length and dose of narcotic pain medication for patients undergoing spinal procedures is integral to appropriate management. Preoperative opioid use is strongly associated with persistent opioid use after surgery, making it feasible to predict which patients will require long-term narcotic management.\(^{6,76}\) In cases of chronic opioid therapy, it is important for clinicians to discuss a management plan prior to initiating a course of treatment and on an ongoing basis while patients are on therapy, with plans varying based on patient needs and risks.\(^{27,40}\)

**N°QOD Spine Care Measure 18: Smoking Assessment and Cessation Coincident With Spine-Related Therapies**

**NQS Domain:** Community and Population Health  
**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 226, modification of NQF 0028  
**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process  
**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older who were assessed for tobacco use prior to spine-related therapy(-ies) and who received cessation counseling intervention if identified as a tobacco user.

**Denominator:** N°QOD QCDR patients. See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older who were assessed for tobacco use prior to spine-related therapy(-ies) and who received cessation counseling intervention if identified as a tobacco user.

**Rationale**

There is a growing body of evidence regarding the negative impact of cigarette smoking on outcomes following spine surgery. Smoking, nicotine exposure, and tissue hypoxemia have been identified to have deleterious effects on wound healing, general spine and bone health, and bony fusion.\(^{32,56,97,110,111,132}\) Clinically, smoking has been shown to increase the risk of pseudarthrosis (nonunion), SSI, reoperation, and overall patient dissatisfaction.\(^{2,20,22,23,118,156}\) These negative effects have been observed not only for fusion operations, but also simple laminectomy, and across all age groups.

Interventions targeting smoking cessation have been shown to decrease these complications as well as those associated with general perioperative risk from non-spine surgery.\(^{44,91}\) Furthermore, cessation of smoking has been shown to decrease spine pain even in medically managed patients.\(^{12}\)

A recent analysis of the N°QOD database revealed that 17% of patients undergoing elective spine surgery identified themselves as active smokers. An analysis of the same database identified smoking as a significant driver of post-surgery outcomes.\(^{99}\) Smoking assessments and cessation interventions hold the potential to significantly improve outcomes following elective spine surgery.

**N°QOD Spine Care Measure 19: Body Mass Assessment and Follow-Up Coincident With Spine-Related Therapies**

**NQS Domain:** Community and Population Health  
**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 128, modification of NQF 0421  
**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process  
**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older with a weight and height recorded at the time of initial evaluation and/or treatment of spine-related disorder documented in the medical record and a documented follow-up plan (baseline), if the most recent body mass index (BMI), calculated as kg/m\(^2\), is outside of normal parameters (BMI \(\geq 23\) and \(< 30\) for patients 65 years and older; BMI \(\geq 18.5\) and \(< 25\) for patients 18–64 years of age).

If the most recent documented BMI is outside of normal parameters, then a follow-up plan must be documented during the encounter or during the 6 months immediately preceding the current encounter. The documented follow-up plan must be based on the most recent documented BMI outside of normal parameters (example: “Patient referred to nutrition counseling for BMI above normal parameters”). If more than 1 BMI is reported during the measure period, the most recent BMI will be used to determine if the performance has been met.

**Denominator:** N°QOD QCDR patients. See Appendix 1  
**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older with a weight and height recorded at time of initial evaluation and/or treatment of spine-related disorder documented in the medical record and documentation of a follow-up plan (baseline) if the most recent BMI is outside of normal parameters.

**Rationale**

Obesity, defined as a BMI greater than or equal to 30 kg/m\(^2\), has a prevalence of approximately 34% in the United States.\(^{99}\) It has long been recognized that obese patients are at increased risk for complications related to nearly all types of surgery.\(^{109}\) Patients suffering from obesity may be more likely to present to a spine surgeon for potential treatment, as obesity is a significant risk factor for spine disease.\(^{111}\) Spinal surgery in the obese population has also been found to be associated with higher risk for many adverse outcomes.\(^{21,38,82,84,119}\) These outcomes include higher volumes of blood loss during surgery, greater length of hospital stay, and higher incidence of inadvertent durotomy, as well as higher rates of reoperation. Outside of immediate perioperative complications, obese patients have been found to have a higher rate of persistent and new symptoms (specifically, radiculopathy and spinal neurological deficits) following surgery as compared with a non-obese population.\(^{115}\)

In summary, obesity has also been shown to influence incidence of spinal disorders and also outcomes after spinal procedures. Effective co-management of obesity is integral to appropriate treatment of most spinal conditions.
N2QOD Spine Care Measure 20: Unhealthy Alcohol Use Assessment Coincident With Spine Care

**NQS Domain:** Community and Population Health

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of PQRS 173

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process

**Description:** Percentage of patients aged 18 years and older being treated for spine-related disorders who were assessed for unhealthy alcohol use prior to index therapy(-ies) for treatment of spine-related pain symptoms.

**Denominator:** N2QOD QCDR patients. See Appendix I

**Numerator:** Number of patients aged 18 years and older being treated for spine-related disorders who were assessed for unhealthy alcohol use prior to index therapy(-ies) for treatment of spine-related pain symptoms.

**Rationale**

Alcohol consumption is ubiquitous in the United States, and variability in the quantity of consumption is significant. The lifetime prevalence of any type of alcohol use disorder is in the range of 8%–18%. Alcohol abuse has been associated with increased rates of postoperative complications across most major surgical procedures. These complications include postoperative wound complications (including bleeding and infections) and various cardiopulmonary complications. Preoperative intervention for patients consuming excessive alcohol on a daily basis and abstinence before surgery has been shown to abate some of these risks.

Although few analyses point to a direct association between outcomes of spine care and alcohol consumption, a negative correlation between chronic heavy alcohol consumption and bone mineral density (including that of the spine) has been identified. This evidence indirectly supports the benefit of screening for alcohol use prior to prescribing spine surgery.

Screening for unhealthy alcohol use can identify patients whose habits may put them at risk for adverse health outcomes due to their alcohol use. While this measure does not require counseling for those patients to be found at risk, brief counseling interventions have shown to be effective in reducing alcohol use. It would be expected that if a provider found his or her patient to be at risk after screening that intervention would be provided.

A systematic method of assessing for unhealthy alcohol use should be used. Please refer to the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism publication *Helping Patients Who Drink Too Much: A Clinician’s Guide* for additional information regarding systematic screening methods.

N2QOD Spine Care Measure 21: Participation in a Systematic National Database for Spine Care Interventions

**NQS Domain:** Community and Population Health

**PQRS No./NQF No.:** Non-PQRS; modification of NQF 0456

**Measure Type (Process/Outcome):** Process

**Description:** Participation in a multicenter spine care data collection and feedback program that provides benchmarking of the physician’s data relative to national programs and uses structural, process, and outcome measures.

**Denominator:** Participant in the QCDR with submission of 1 or more cases

**Numerator:** Participation in the N2QOD QCDR with submission of 20 or more cases in at least 1 multicenter spine-care data collection and feedback program that provides benchmarking of the physician’s data relative to national programs and uses structural, process, and outcome measures (Met = 1, Else = 0).

**Rationale**

Participation in a clinical database registry allows physicians to monitor clinical performance, detect infrequent complications, and build the robust clinical research infrastructure necessary to advance the science surrounding quality in managing spinal conditions. Alcohol abuse has been associated with increased rates of postoperative complications across most major surgical procedures. These complications include postoperative wound complications (including bleeding and infections) and various cardiopulmonary complications. Preoperative intervention for patients consuming excessive alcohol on a daily basis and abstinence before surgery has been shown to abate some of these risks.

Although few analyses point to a direct association between outcomes of spine care and alcohol consumption, a negative correlation between chronic heavy alcohol consumption and bone mineral density (including that of the spine) has been identified. This evidence indirectly supports the benefit of screening for alcohol use prior to prescribing spine surgery.

Screening for unhealthy alcohol use can identify patients whose habits may put them at risk for adverse health outcomes due to their alcohol use. While this measure does not require counseling for those patients to be found at risk, brief counseling interventions have shown to be effective in reducing alcohol use. It would be expected that if a provider found his or her patient to be at risk after screening that intervention would be provided.

A systematic method of assessing for unhealthy alcohol use should be used. Please refer to the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism publication *Helping Patients Who Drink Too Much: A Clinician’s Guide* for additional information regarding systematic screening methods.

Conclusions

Regulatory pressures have created a complex network of quality requirements to be met by physicians and practices. The common denominator of all these initiatives is that to avoid penalties, physicians must meet existing “generic” standards quality standards, which in the case of neurosurgery and many other medical specialties, are not pertinent to everyday clinical practice. Recent developments in national quality reporting programs, such as QCDR, have enhanced the ability of specialty groups to develop truly relevant measures of health care quality. The N2QOD became an approved QCDR in the PQRS for the 2015 program year, offering 21 non-PQRS measures, initially focused on spine procedures, which are the first specialty-specific measures for neurosurgery. Securing QCDR status for N2QOD is a tremendously important accomplishment for our specialty. This program will ensure that data collected through our registries and used for PQRS is meaningful for neurosurgeons, their patients, and other stakeholders. The 2015 N2QOD QCDR is further evidence of neurosurgery’s commitment to substantively advancing the health care quality paradigm. With the added incentive of using our registry to fulfill PQRS requirements, we anticipate that the volume of collected data should grow exponentially, thereby increasing the quality improvement and research value of the registry.
Acknowledgments
A portion of this work was supported through a grant from the Neurosurgery Research and Education Foundation (NREF).

References
55. Institute for Healthcare Improvement: Reconcile Medications at All Transition Points. (http://www.ihi.org/resources/Pages/ChangesReconcileMedicationsatAllTransitionPoints.aspx) [Accessed October 15, 2015]


151. Weinstein JN, Tosteson TD, Lurie JD, Tosteson A, Blood E, Herkowitz H, et al: Surgical versus nonoperative treat-

225 Baldwin Rd., Charlotte, NC 28204. email: tony.asher@cnsa.com

Disclosures
Dr. Knightly reports being on the Board of Directors of Neuro-Point Alliance.

Author Contributions
Conception and design: Asher, Parker, McGirt, Devin. Acquisition of data: Bekelis. Analysis and interpretation of data: Asher. Drafting the article: Asher, Parker, Groman. Critically revising the article: McGirt, Bekelis, Holland, Davies, Devin, Atkins, Knightly, Zyung. Reviewed submitted version of manuscript: Asher, Parker, Davies, Devin.

Supplemental Information
Online Content

Correspondence
Anthony L. Asher, Carolina Neurosurgery & Spine Associates, 225 Baldwin Rd., Charlotte, NC 28204. email: tony.asher@cnsa.com