RHEUMATOID arthritis (RA) is a systemic inflammatory disease that predominantly affects adult women (2 to 4 times as frequently as men). Although this autoimmune condition involves mainly bone, joints, and ligaments, extra-articular involvement has been described in nearly every organ, including the lungs, eyes, skin, and vessels.

The cervical spine is involved in up to 86% of patients with RA, especially those with inadequate treatment or severe disease forms. The most common site affected by RA is the atlantoaxial joint, potentially resulting in atlantoaxial instability, with cervical pain and neurological deficits. The second most common site of involvement is the subaxial cervical spine, often with subluxation, resulting in nerve root or spinal cord compression.

In this paper, the authors review the most commonly used plain radiographic criteria to diagnose cervical instabilities seen with RA. Finally, we discuss the advantages and disadvantages of cervical CT and MRI in the setting of cervical involvement in RA.
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In some cases, atlantoaxial instability can progress and result in vertical migration of the odontoid into the cranial cavity—also known as cranial settling. Many other terms are found in the medical literature and used as synonyms for cranial settling, including basilar impression or invagination, vertical subluxation, atlantoaxial impaction, and superior migration of the odontoid.\textsuperscript{15}

Lastly, cervical involvement in RA patients can also affect the subaxial cervical spine, defined as the segments from C-3 to C-7. The most common form of presentation is subaxial subluxation (SAS), with pain, radiculopathy or even myelopathy secondary to canal stenosis. Multilevel subluxations can produce a “staircase” deformity, associated with severe systemic RA.\textsuperscript{18,22,27}

In this paper, we review and discuss the limitations and benefits of each radiological method used to diagnose cervical instability, as well as the criteria used to classify the most common forms of cervical spine involvement in RA.

**Overview of Imaging Modalities for Diagnosing Cervical Instabilities**

Routine plain radiographs are recommended for screening cervical instabilities in patients with RA, because there is a high prevalence of involvement and this imaging modality is widely available and relatively inexpensive.\textsuperscript{27,38,44} The standard plain radiographic screening views include upright anteroposterior, lateral, and flexion-extension views and an open-mouth view for odontoid visualization.\textsuperscript{27,38,44} Bone alignment, quality, and deformities can easily be assessed with plain radiographs, but plain radiographs are limited in their ability to visualize bony erosions, the craniovertebral and cervicothoracic junctions (due to superimposition of the cranial base structures and the glenohumeral joints), and soft tissue abnormalities such as pannus and spinal cord compression. If any cervical spine disease is suspected or confirmed with plain radiographs or the patient has any neurological symptom or important cervical pain, then CT and/or MRI examination of the cervical spine is indicated.\textsuperscript{2,18,26,40}

A CT scan with multiplanar reconstruction is the method of choice for detailed bony evaluation (including visualization of erosions, anatomy, and the presence of ankylosis and pseudarthrosis). For this reason, CT is important for surgical planning. However, soft tissue evaluation is limited in this imaging modality, and its ability to visualize the spinal cord and the nerve roots is poor.\textsuperscript{18,35}

Finally, MRI is the most sensitive modality for detection of cervical spine involvement in RA and should be performed in all patients with anomalies detected or suspected on plain radiographs.\textsuperscript{45} A cervical MRI study should also be performed in all patients with myelopathy or radiculopathy. The benefits of MRI will be discussed further below.\textsuperscript{36,13,21,36}

In Table 1 we presented a summary of the advantages and disadvantages of each imaging modality used to evaluate cervical instabilities in the setting of RA.

**Plain Radiographs**

**Screening for AAS and Cranial Settling**

The classical diagnostic measurements for AAS are based on plain radiographs. Of note, most of these criteria were published before the advent of modern CT and MRI, which can clearly visualize subluxation of the facet joints and all the bony landmarks of the craniocervical junction.\textsuperscript{3,9,12,24,29,30} However, the craniocervical relationships proposed in the plain radiography era are still used for the initial evaluation, avoiding the cost of routine CT or MRI. Some of these plain radiographic criteria are presented below.

**Anterior Atlantodental Interval**

The normal value of the anterior atlantodental interval (ADI)—the distance from the posterior border of the anterior tubercle of the atlas to the dens—is less than 3 mm in healthy adults\textsuperscript{68} (Fig. 1). As the ADI increases, the chance of spinal cord compression progressively increases. Some authors report that when the anterior ADI exceeds 8 mm, surgery is recommended, as this value suggests total rupture of the transverse and alar ligaments.\textsuperscript{5} However, most no longer use the anterior ADI for evaluating patients with RA, as the posterior ADI has been found to be a better predictor of paralysis and recovery.

**Posterior Atlantodental Interval**

The posterior ADI—the distance from the posterior border of the dens to the anterior aspect of the posterior arch of C-1—evaluates the maximum amount of space available for the upper cervical spinal cord. This has been found to be a better predictor of the neurological risk and recovery in the setting of atlantoaxial subluxation (AAS) than the ADI\textsuperscript{5} (Fig. 1). The posterior ADI represents the anteroposterior diameter of the spinal canal at this level. In the cervical spine, the cord itself occupies 10 mm of the canal diameter. In addition, it requires 1 mm for the dura and 1 mm for the CSF anterior to the cord, and the same posteriorly, for a total of 14 mm. Therefore, if the available space is less than 14 mm, the cord becomes compressed.

The posterior ADI and anterior ADI should both be measured on images obtained in flexion and extension. Boden et al. found that patients with a posterior ADI greater than 14 mm had a higher rate of neurological recovery after fusion and stabilization, whereas a posterior ADI less than 10 mm was associated with worse clinical outcome.\textsuperscript{5}

Neither the anterior ADI nor the posterior ADI can evaluate cord compression by soft tissues, such as a pannus formation in the retro-odontoid region. For this reason, spinal cord compression can occur even when the plain radiographic measurements are in the normative range.

**Lateral Displacement of the Atlas Over the Axis**

The open-mouth view is useful for evaluating lateral AAS. Rotatory AAS should be suspected when there is asymmetry or lateral displacement of the atlas on the axis by more than 2 mm in an open-mouth view.\textsuperscript{1} It should also be suspected when there is asymmetrical collapse of the lateral atlas mass.\textsuperscript{1} Lateral displacement can also occur with fractures of the dens. A CT scan should be performed to confirm the diagnosis.

**Cranial Settling**

Cranial settling is also known as basilar impression, at-
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The diagnosis of cranial settling based on plain radiographs is sometimes a challenge for radiologists and physicians, as osseous structures of the cranial base are superimposed upon the landmarks, especially in the upper cervical spine. Furthermore, erosion of the dens can make it difficult, if not impossible, to identify its tip. Finally, although the terms “basilar invagination” and “basilar impression” are used synonymously by many authors, the former term may be better used when referring to a congenital craniocervical junction anomaly, whereas basilar impression is more accepted for the description of secondary causes of cranial settling, as occurs in RA.

Below, we list some of the many plain radiographic criteria to diagnose basilar impression that have been described in the literature and their original dates of publication (see also Figs. 1–6).

**TABLE 1: Summary of the advantages and disadvantages of each imaging modality used in the evaluation of cervical instabilities in the setting of RA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plain radiographs</td>
<td>Lower cost; Widely available; Screening of asymptomatic patients; Low radiation dose; Good for evaluation spinal alignment; Flexion &amp; extension allow visualization of occult instabilities</td>
<td>Poor anatomical detail, especially at craniocervical &amp; cervicothoracic junction; Poor soft tissue visualization; Poor visualization of bone erosions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT w/ multiplanar reconstruction</td>
<td>Widely available; Gold standard for bone evaluation; Good for evaluation of ankylosis &amp; pseudarthrosis; Useful for surgical planning; Flexion &amp; extension allow visualization of occult instabilities</td>
<td>Higher cost compared to plain radiographs; Higher dose of radiation (relative contraindication during pregnancy); Risks w/ intravenous injection of iodinated contrast; Requires sedation for young or claustrophobic patients; Poor evaluation of soft tissues &amp; spinal cord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Gold standard for soft tissue &amp; spinal cord evaluation; Most sensitive &amp; specific for cervical instabilities; Flexion &amp; extension allow visualization of occult instabilities; Best for evaluation of patients w/ neurological deficits</td>
<td>Highest cost of all imaging modalities; Requires sedation for young or claustrophobic patients; Risk w/ intravenous injection of gadolinium, especially in patients w/ kidney diseases (nephrogenic systemic fibrosis); May be contraindicated in patients w/ implanted pacemakers, stimulators, &amp; incompatible pumps, clips, pins, &amp; plates</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**FIG. 1.** Lateral plain radiograph showing the anterior atlantodental interval (designated in this image by ADI) and the posterior atlantodental interval (designated in this image by PDI) as well as the Ranawat index, the distance from the center of the C-2 pedicle to the transverse axis of C-2.
method is the simplest one, as the relationship does not change in flexion, extension, or neutral views.

Riew et al. evaluated the reliability and sensitivity of the diagnosis of basilar invagination in 131 cervical radiographs obtained in patients with RA, according to the criteria proposed by Clark et al., McRae and Barnum, Chamberlain, McGregor, Redlund-Johnell and Pettersson, Ranawat et al., Fischgold and Metzger, and Wackenheim. As a final conclusion, no single plain radiographic criteria had sensitivity and a negative predictive value greater than 90% as well as a reasonable specificity and acceptable positive predictive value. Therefore, they suggested that the results of screening for basilar impression should be considered positive when at least one of 3 following criteria are positive: the Clark station, the Redlund-Johnell criterion, or the Ranawat criterion. The use of the combined criteria improved the sensitivity to 94% and the negative predictive value to 91%. If at least one of the 3 is positive, a CT scan or an MRI should be performed. Figures 1–4 depict the various plain radiographic measurements for assessing the upper cervical spine.

Screening for SAS

Subaxial subluxation (SAS) commonly occurs in RA patients after degeneration of the ligamentous structures, such as the facet joints, the intervertebral disc, and interspinous ligaments. Anterior SAS is much more common than posterior SAS. Subaxial subluxation can be an isolated finding involving one or multiple levels, but not uncommonly, it is associated with atlantoaxial subluxation (AAS). White et al. proposed that biomechanical instability for SAS occurs when there is more than 3.5 mm of horizontal displacement of one vertebra in relation to an adjacent vertebra measured on lateral radiographs. However, some authors report that even 2 mm of anterior subluxation increases the risk of cervical spinal cord injury. As proposed by Yurube et al., the diagnosis of SAS should be considered when an irreducible translation of more than 2 mm is documented, and severe SAS occurs when there is more than 4 mm of translation. Some
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authors report that the clinical outcome of patients with SAS is worse than those with AAS, generally with late neurological deterioration even after surgery.25

Similar to the spinal canal at the atlantoaxial level, the subaxial spinal canal sagittal diameter must have at least 14 mm to avoid cord compression. The normal diameter measured on lateral radiographs from C-3 to C-7 is 14–23 mm, and the diameter of the canal is a better predictor of neurological impairment than the degree of subluxation between the vertebrae.10,12

CT With Multiplanar Reconstruction

The best radiological modality for evaluating bone anatomy is 3D CT with multiplanar reconstruction. The reformatted sagittal CT scan can precisely document the position of the odontoid with respect to the foramen magnum, the degree of atlantoaxial dislocation, and the relationships among the upper cervical spine joints.14 In addition, CT allows for accurate visualization of bony erosions, ankylosis, pseudarthrosis, and vertebral collapse. Rotational instabilities are also well visualized, especially with 3D reconstructions. CT is also helpful in planning the best surgical technique to be used in each case and assessing the size of the implants to be used. It is used to determine the type of fixation that can be used, such as C-1 posterior arch versus lateral mass screws or C-2 pars, pedicle, or laminar screws.16

Another use of CT is, in combination with angiography, to evaluate the vertebral artery anatomy. This test can be extremely important for some surgical techniques, such as C-2 pedicle screw fixation.

A contrast-enhanced CT scan can be useful to diagnose inflammatory soft tissue proliferation in patients unable to undergo MRI (contraindications for MRI may include MRI-incompatible aneurysm clips, incompatible body implants, wires or plates used for bone synthesis, some heart valves and some implanted electrodes).9

Dynamic CT scans can demonstrate occult instabilities, especially in the craniocervical and cervicothoracic regions that are poorly visualized by flexion-extension plain radiographs due to superimposed bony structures.3,31

Younes et al. performed a study on the prevalence of cervical spine instabilities in RA patients according to the radiological modality used.42 A total of 40 patients with RA and at least 2 years of disease underwent standard radiography, CT, and MRI. Spinal involvement was found in 29 patients (72.5%), and the authors reported that although MRI was the best modality to diagnose C1–2 pannus, dens erosion, and neurological impact of RA, CT was the best technique to visualize atypical rotational or lateral AAS. This study confirms the advantages of both radiological studies in the diagnosis of cervical RA involvement and the complementary value of both for a complete evaluation.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

MRI is the modality of choice for early diagnosis of cervical involvement, because it has high sensitivity in detecting inflammatory changes in the joints—synovial changes and pannus formation—even before instability develops.36 MRI can provide information about the soft tissues, including the neural tissue (spinal cord and nerve roots) and the contents of the epidural space, and it is the modality of choice in evaluating spinal cord compression. The triplanar images obtained with MRI can also precisely document the craniocervical relationships, with direct visualization of facet subluxations, joint destruction, and dens dislocation.34
A cervical MRI with contrast enhancement should be performed in all patients with neurological deficits or abnormalities detected on plain radiographs. MRI is also recommended to evaluate the cervicomedullary angle: patients with a measurement of less than 135° for this angle had the diagnosis of cranial settling and myelopathy in one study. Dynamic MRI can also be particularly useful in patients with RA. Images obtained in flexion can demonstrate clinically significant narrowing of the subarachnoid space at the atlantoaxial level and below, when images obtained in the neutral position show adequate space. In a 1998 study by Allmann et al., narrowing that was not apparent in images obtained in the neutral position was identified on flexion images in 12% of cases. Similarly, cord compression not evident in the neutral position was identified in extension images in 12% of cases. Flexion and extension MRI can be performed in patients with clinical signs of myelopathy or cervical pain but without radiological changes in neutral MRI and flexion and extension plain radiographs.

In addition to the utility of MRI for diagnosis, MRI changes can be useful for prognosis: TI-weighted spinal cord signal changes are associated with poor clinical status and also poor final postoperative outcome.

Another potential benefit of this imaging modality is in the evaluation of pannus regression after surgical treatment. Since pannus formation is probably secondary to articular hypermobility, fusion of the affected joint can result in pannus regression (especially in cases with contrast enhancement).

Finally, MRI can evidence cervical stenosis even when there is no evident SAS. Patients with RA can present with subaxial stenosis due to inflammatory tissue in the anterior or posterior canal space, documented with contrast-enhanced MRI. This tissue is considered to be a form of pannus, probably secondary to inflammation and excessive hypermobility due to joint destruction.

Conclusions

In patients without significant clinical symptoms, screening with plain radiographs is recommended. Knowledge of the craniocervical relationships based on plain radiographs is critical to diagnose cervical instabilities, especially atlantoaxial subluxation and basilar impression. MRI is the best imaging modality to diagnose cervical involvement in RA. It can identify spinal cord compression as well as inflammatory changes. CT scan can provide detailed bone anatomy evaluation, which can be extremely useful for surgical planning. Dynamic CT and MRI can diagnose occult instabilities and provide additional information for treatment. Multimodality radiological evaluation is necessary for an accurate diagnosis as well as treatment planning.
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