Various abnormalities of the craniocervical junction can result in ventral compression of the cervico-medullary junction and require anterior decompression, including resection of the C-1 arch and odontoidectomy. Several indications for anterior decompression and odontoidectomy include irreducible basilar invagination, rheumatoid pannus, platybasia with retroflexed odontoid processes, and neoplasms. Extended modifications to increase the operative corridor and exposure include the transmaxillary, extended “open-door” maxillotomy, transpalatal, and transmandibular approaches. With the advent of extended endoscopic transnasal skull base techniques, there has been increased interest in the last decade in the endoscopic transnasal transclival transodontoid approach to the craniovertebral junction. The endonasal route represents an attractive minimally invasive surgical alternative, especially in cases of irreducible basilar invagination in which the pathology is situated well above the palate line. Angled endoscopes and instrumentation can also be used for lower-lying pathology. By avoiding the oral cavity and subsequently using a transoral retractor, the endonasal route has the advantages of avoiding complications related to tongue swelling, tracheal swelling, prolonged intubation, velopharyngeal insufficiency, dysphagia, and dysphonia. Postoperative recovery is quicker, and hospital stays are shorter. In this report, the authors describe and illustrate their method of purely endoscopic endonasal transclival odontoidectomy for anterior decompression of the craniovertebral junction and describe various operative pearls and nuances of the technique for avoiding complications.

**Key words** endoscopic endonasal transclival transodontoid approach; transnasal odontoidectomy; basilar invagination; craniovertebral junction; endoscopic skull base surgery

©AANS, 2015
of a transoral retractor can result in postoperative tongue swelling, prolonged intubation, tracheal swelling, and poor feeding and, thus, can necessitate postoperative tracheostomy and gastrostomy. Craniofacial disassembly with maxillary, mandibular, and glossal splitting can be cosmetically unappealing and associated with increased morbidity and prolonged hospitalization.

The advent of endoscopic endonasal skull base techniques has enabled safe and effective access to the ventral skull base and craniovertebral junction. The endoscopic approach provides increased illumination that can be brought up close to the deep surgical target, and it provides a wider panoramic view. These advantages can reduce the need for a wide operative approach. Because the incision is made above the oropharynx and the oral cavity can be avoided without a transoral retractor or splitting of the soft palate, the endoscopic endonasal approach avoids the risk of tongue compression and subsequent swelling, tracheal swelling, velopharyngeal insufficiency, infection, and swallowing and breathing difficulties.

Postoperative recovery after endonasal skull base surgery is generally quicker, the hospital stays are shorter, and patients are fed orally and ambulate earlier in the postoperative period than patients who undergo transoral procedures.

The endoscopic endonasal approach for odontoidecotomy has been investigated in cadaveric studies and in several clinical studies. Although several variations in technique exist in the literature, the aims of this report are to describe our method of the purely endoscopic endonasal transclival transodontoid approach for anterior decompression of the craniovertebral junction and to describe various operative pearls and nuances of the technique.

### Preoperative Considerations

#### Neuroimaging

Careful review of radiographic images of the craniovertebral junction, including MR and CT images, is paramount before selecting an endoscopic endonasal approach. The relationship of the odontoid process relative to the Chamberlain line (a line that joins the back of the hard palate with the posterior lip of the foramen magnum on a lateral view of the craniocervical junction) is used to determine the extent of basilar invagination. Basilar invagination is present if > 3 mm of the odontoid is above this line. The palatine line (a line drawn along the plane of the hard palate toward the craniovertebral junction on a sagittal CT image) is very helpful in determining the feasibility of the endonasal approach. If the compressive lesion is above the palatine line, an endonasal approach is the preferred approach, because the surgical working corridor is above the plane of the hard palate.

However, if the lesion extends below the palatine line, an endonasal route is not necessarily contraindicated. Several authors have proposed various methods of predicting the inferior limit of the endonasal approach by drawing anatomical lines on sagittal CT scans. The nasopalatine line (Kassam line) was previously proposed to predict this inferior limit by drawing a line from the rhinion toward the posterior edge of the hard palate that continues toward the craniovertebral junction. However, the nasopalatine line seems to overestimate the inferior limit of the approach by approximately 6–12 mm. The trajectory and angle of this line are often too steep and are not reproducible in clinical settings, because the line does not take into account the soft tissue limitations of the nare. To provide an alternative, Aldana et al. described the nasoaxial line, which is drawn from the midpoint between the rhinion and the anterior nasal spine that extends toward the posterior edge of the hard palate and continues toward the craniovertebral junction. In their cadaveric study, the nasoaxial line was more accurate in predicting the inferior limit of the endonasal endoscopic approach than the nasopalatine line. Figure 1 shows the different anatomical lines drawn on several different patients to predict the inferior limit of the approach. In our practice, we generally use the palatine line and the nasoaxial line to determine the optimal surgical approach. If the lesion extends beyond the reach of the nasoaxial line, a transoral approach (which can be performed endoscopically as an endoscopic transoral approach) can be used alone or in combination with the endoscopic endonasal approach, if needed.

In addition, preoperative dynamic plain radiographs in flexion and extension views should be obtained to assess...
for preexisting instability at the craniocervical junction. Even if there is no instability present, most patients will require posterior occipitocervical stabilization and fusion because of iatrogenic instability after resection of the odontoid and involved ligaments.

**Cervical Traction**

Patients with basilar invagination, severe deformity, or cranial settling are admitted to the hospital and placed in cervical traction using Gardner-Wells tongs to determine if the deformity can be reduced. If reduction to an anatomical position sufficient to decompress the neural elements can be achieved, posterior occipitocervical stabilization and fusion are performed initially. In patients with rheumatoid arthritis, the compressive soft-tissue pannus will generally resolve after occipitocervical fusion. If, however, the basilar invagination is irreducible and/or there is persistent symptomatic ventral compression of the cervicomедullary junction by the odontoid process or soft-tissue pannus, an anterior odontoidectomy and decompression of the craniovertebral junction are warranted. In cases of irreducible basilar invagination, we generally prefer first to perform an endoscopic endonasal odontoidectomy, followed by posterior occipitocervical stabilization in a staged fashion (within 48 hours).

**Surgical Technique**

**Patient Positioning**

Before the induction of general anesthesia, the patient undergoes fiber-optic intubation, and motor function is assessed. The endotracheal tube is secured with the tube exiting the left lower corner of the mouth. Continuous blood pressure monitoring is performed with an arterial line. The patient is placed in the supine position with his or her head secured in a Mayfield 3-pin fixation system in the neutral position (Fig. 2). Gentle traction can be applied to the head holder to facilitate some decompression of the cervicomедullary junction. However, application of flexion or extension during positioning should be avoided, because it may result in worsening brainstem or spinal cord compression. The patient is secured to the table with adhesive tape to allow for safe tilting of the table during surgery, if needed. Intraoperative neuromonitoring of somatosensory evoked potentials, motor evoked potentials, and bilateral brainstem auditory evoked responses are used to assess intraoperative spinal cord and brainstem function.

Intraoperative fluoroscopy can be used, if needed, to verify the alignment of the craniocervical junction and to assess the extent of ventral decompression intraoperatively. We routinely use intraoperative stereotactic navigation using high-resolution CT angiography images, which provide better visual resolution of bony structures (hard palate, clivus, C-1 arch, odontoid) and neighboring vascular structures (the vertebrobasilar arterial system). If desired, MR images can be fused with the CT angiogram images for navigation.

The patient’s nose and nares are prepared with Betadine solution, followed by placement of Afrin-soaked cottonoid pledgets into the nasal cavity to promote vasoconstriction and decongestion of the nasal mucosa. The patient’s abdomen and right thigh are prepared for fat graft and/or fascia lata graft harvesting if needed at the time of reconstruction. We do not routinely use intraoperative lumbar drains. Intravenous cefuroxime and 10 mg of dexamethasone are administered at the start of the operation.

**Endoscopic Endonasal Approach: Initial Sinonasal Access**

We use a 2-surgeon (a neurosurgeon and an otolaryngologist), 3- to 4-hand approach via binostril access, and both surgeons stand on the patient’s right side. This dual-surgeon approach enables the use of bimanual microsurgical dissection techniques via binostril access. We typically start with a 30° high-definition 4-mm-diameter, 18-cm-length, rigid endoscope (Karl Storz), which we prefer over the 0° endoscope, because the additional 30° gives us a more versatile angled view in a multidirectional fashion by allowing us to rotate the endoscope toward the desired viewing target. This endoscope is particularly helpful for accessing a lower-lying odontoid that is positioned at or slightly below the level of the hard palate. In some instances, a 0° endoscope is more favorable, particularly in cases of severe cranial settling in which the odontoid process is well above the level of the hard palate.

The middle and inferior turbinates and nasal septal mucosa are infiltrated with 1% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine solution. The middle and inferior turbinates are then lateralized with a Goldman septum elevator to increase the endonasal working corridor bilaterally. We then perform a mucosa-sparing posterior septectomy to maximize the working corridor so that instruments introduced through both nostrils (binostril technique) can be triangulated at the surgical target (odontoid process). A right-sided pedicled nasoseptal flap (PNSF) based on the posterior septal branch of the sphenopalatine artery is elevated in a submucoperichondrial and submucoperios- teal fashion. The PNSF is then tucked into the ipsilateral middle meatus for protection, and the vascular pedicle is maintained in a safe location superior to the level of the choana. The same maneuver is repeated on the left side, and to prevent making the incisions directly opposite each other, care is taken to harvest a different-sized PNSF so that the septal incisions on both sides are offset. After elevating both PNSFs, the posterior bony septum is then resected. The size of the flaps and extent of the septectomy are determined largely by the expected size and location of the surgical defect and by the amount of space necessary for adequate triangulation of the instruments.

The surgical working corridor and access to the cranio-
vertebral junction is primarily through the choanae bilaterally. The eustachian tubes, which represent the lateral limits of the approach, are identified bilaterally. The eustachian tubes serve as useful landmarks for identifying the midline of the posterior pharyngeal wall centrally. The anatomical midline over the tubercle of C-1 is localized and confirmed with stereotactic image guidance. A longitudinal midline incision is made carefully over the posterior pharyngeal mucosal wall over the C-1 tubercle by using a shielded monopolar cautery (Fig. 3A). The incision is carried through the mucosa along the midline raphe between the pharyngeal muscles and through the anterior longitudinal ligament down to the bone of C-1. The monopolar cautery tip is slightly bent to the shape of a hockey stick and used to elevate the ligaments and mobilize the longus colli and longus capitis muscles laterally from the bone in a subperiosteal fashion. The incision is extended vertically so that exposure extends from the inferior clivus superiorly down to the C-2 vertebral body inferiorly. In some cases of platybasia, it may be necessary to perform a sphenoidotomy and extend the midline mucosal incision from the floor of the sphenoid sinus down to the inferior clivus. This process enables access to remove the floor of the sphenoid sinus to gain better access to the inferior clivus, especially if the odontoid process is situated in a retroclival position. The exposure of the bony elements is then widened by removal of the soft tissues with a tissue microdebrider and Tru-Cut rongeurs. It is important to clear all soft tissues off of the anterior arch of C-1 and the odontoid process before removing these bony elements (Fig. 3B). Care is taken to avoid injury to the carotid arteries when dissecting laterally. Intraoperative CT angiogram–based image guidance and intraoperative micro-Doppler probes are useful adjuncts for avoiding this injury.

**Endoscopic Endonasal Odontoidectomy and Ventral Decompression**

The anterior arch of C-1 is removed with a slightly curved endonasal high-speed cutting drill with self-irrigation (Fig. 4A). Additional irrigation with a double-barreled suction-irrigator is very helpful in clearing the surgical field of bone dust, cooling the drill tip, and rinsing tissue debris off of the endoscope lens. The arch of C-1 is drilled down by using the eggshell technique so that the remaining bone can be readily removed with angled curettes and Kerrison rongeurs. It is important that the extent of C-1 arch removal provides adequate access to the lateral edges and neck of the odontoid process. Care is taken to avoid drilling too laterally into the lateral masses of C-1.

Before performing the odontoidectomy, it is important to clearly define the edges of the odontoid process and to free them from any ligamentous attachments. The apical and alar ligaments can be detached from the odontoid with sharp straight and angled curettes. In patients with atlantoaxial instability, there may be a soft-tissue pannus situated in the atlantodental interval space that needs to be removed to better delineate the odontoid process. The center of the odontoid process is then hollowed out by using a high-speed endonasal drill with copious irrigation, leaving an eggshell-thin layer of outer cortical bone (Figs. 3C and 4B). The remaining eggshell-thin bone is then removed with angled dissectors (Rhoton 3 dissector), up-angled 4-0 or 5-0 curettes, and Kerrison rongeurs (Fig. 3D). To avoid upward retraction of the odontoid tip toward the clivus, it is important to not prematurely transect the odontoid at its base.

After removal of the C-1 arch and odontoid process, attention is now directed toward resecting the transverse
ligament, tectorial membrane, and any residual ligaments to remove any compressive pannus. The underlying compressive pathology is removed to decompress the dura mater of the craniovertebral junction. In some cases, ligamentous or inflammatory tissue, or the posterior cortex of the odontoid, may be adherent to the dura mater. In such cases, it is important to leave a small remnant of adherent tissue or small “islands” of adherent bone while adequately debulking the thecal sac. We typically look for reinflation of the thecal sac with pulsations of the underlying cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) to assess the adequacy of decompression (Fig. 3E). Image guidance is also helpful in determining the extent of ventral decompression. In some cases of severe basilar invagination in which the odontoid tip is situated in a retroclival position, it is necessary to drill off the inferior clivus to access the odontoid. The clival dura tends to be thinner and more vulnerable to iatrogenic durotomy than the craniovertebral junction dura. As such, we recommend a careful eggshell-drilling technique with a high-speed diamond bur to avoid dural tears and intraoperative CSF leakage. During ventral decompression of the cervicomedullary junction, the patient’s mean arterial pressure is kept at >85 mm Hg to safely maintain spinal cord perfusion.

Reconstruction and Closure

The surgical cavity is inspected carefully, and meticulous hemostasis is achieved to avoid any compressive postoperative hematomas. If there is no evidence of intraoperative CSF leakage, the thecal sac and surgical cavity are lined with Surgicel, and gentamicin-soaked Gelfoam pledgets are placed into the odontoidectomy dead space (Fig. 3F). It is generally not necessary to close the midline pharyngeal mucosal incision, because this area readily undergoes mucosalization. Alternatively, a free mucosal graft harvested from the middle turbinate or hard palate can be used as an onlay graft, if one chooses to do so. In the absence of an intraoperative CSF leak, the bilateral PNSFs are returned to their native positions and secured in place with absorbable sutures that are run in a quilting pattern. This mucosa-sparing septicotomy technique allows preservation of the mucosal integrity of the posterior nasal septum and salvages a reconstructive option for future usage.

If, however, an intraoperative CSF leak is present at the time of closure, it is necessary to repair the dural defect using a multilayered reconstruction with a vascularized PNSF. For small dural defects, we generally prefer to plug the durotomy with an autologous fat graft followed by a fascia lata onlay graft. The odontoidectomy dead space is packed gently with a fat graft to bolster the repair. Finally, 1 of the 2 PNSFs that were harvested earlier at the start of the surgery is rotated to cover the defect and buttressed with Surgicel, gentamicin-soaked Gelfoam pledgets and an expandable Merocel nasal tampon. The contralateral PNSF is returned to its native position and secured with an absorbable suture. Postoperative lumbar drainage is used for approximately 3 days at 5–10 ml/hour.

Postoperative Management

After closure, a flexible small-bore nasogastric feeding tube (Dobhoff tube) is placed under direct endoscopic visualization. Placement under direct visualization prevents passage of the tube into the surgical defect. The position of the tip within the stomach is confirmed with intraoperative C-arm fluoroscopic guidance. The tube is secured to the nasal septum and columella with a 2-0 silk stitch to prevent migration. The patient is kept intubated postoperatively until after the occipitocervical stabilization and fusion procedure, which is performed at a second stage within 48 hours. During this period of intubation, the patient is fed enterally via the Dobhoff tube. After extubation, the patient is started on clear fluids and later advanced to a mechanical soft diet, as tolerated, and the Dobhoff tube is removed. The patient is kept on intravenous antibiotics and transitioned to oral antibiotics until the nasal packing is removed in the office 10–12 days postoperatively. Postoperative CT and MRI scans are obtained to assess the adequacy of cervicomedullary junction decompression and to assess the fixation of the occipitocervical stabilization (Fig. 5).

Discussion

Traditionally, anterior surgery to the craniovertebral junction has been approached via microsurgical transoral approaches or extended modifications (e.g., an extended transmaxillary, maxillary split, transpalatal, or transmandibular approach). These approaches are considered the gold standard and offer direct midline access and a wide operative field for decompressing lesions that affect the ventral cervicomedullary junction. However, these approaches have risks of complications such as phonation dysfunction and velopharyngeal insufficiency resulting from palatal division, cosmetic deformity from disarticulation of the facial skeleton (transmaxillary, transmandibular), prolonged tongue swelling and intubation requiring tracheostomy, and swallowing difficulty requiring gastrostomy. In addition, exposure of the pharyngeal incision to the oral flora and saliva may increase the risk of bacterial infection. Also, the microsurgical field of view is limited by the aperture of the mouth when the transoral approach is used. Thus, patients with micromegadonta and/or macroglossia may not be feasible candidates for the transoral approach.

More recently in the last decade, the endoscopic endonasal approach to the craniovertebral junction has gained...
increased popularity as a minimally invasive alternative to the standard transoral approaches. Alfieri et al. demonstrated the feasibility of endoscopic endonasal odontoidectomy in cadaveric studies in 2002, and Kassam et al. reported the first successful clinical application of this approach in a 73-year-old woman with rheumatoid arthritis and cervicomedullary compression. The endoscope offers panoramic visualization as well as increased illumination to deeper surgical targets. The endonasal route is very suitable for cases of significant basilar invagination in which the odontoid process is well above the palatine line. These pathologies are more difficult to access with a standard transoral approach and may require transpalatal or transmaxillary extensions, which increases the risk of morbidity.

In the endonasal route, the higher position of the mucosal incision in the nasopharynx, rather than in the oropharynx, theoretically lessens the risk of bacterial contamination by avoiding the oral cavity. In addition, earlier extubation and oral feeding seem to be important advantages gained with the endonasal approach. In a recent report of 9 patients who underwent endoscopic endonasal odontoidectomy by Goldschlager et al., the mean times to extubation and oral feeding were on postoperative Days 0.3 and 1, respectively. There were no instances of breathing or swallowing complications or velopharyngeal insufficiency. In another report of 12 patients who underwent odontoidectomy via either the endonasal or transoral route by Ponce-Gomez et al., the transoral group had a higher rate of postoperative dysphagia and dysphonia, whereas the endonasal group had lower times to extubation and oral feeding, shorter hospital stays, and no complications. It has been postulated that lower rates of postoperative dysphagia after endonasal odontoidectomy may be related to limiting the pharyngeal incision above the palatine line, thereby avoiding injury to the high-density neural plexus in the oropharyngeal wall and musculature, which is involved in swallowing.

Some limitations of the endoscopic endonasal approach include the relatively smaller operative field and a longer and narrower working corridor. The endonasal working corridor is limited laterally by the Eustachian tubes, superiorly by the nasal bones and nasal soft tissues, and inferiorly by the soft and hard palates. If the compressive lesion is situated more inferiorly below the palatine line beyond the reach of the nasoaxial line, a transoral approach (endoscopic or microscopic) used alone or in conjunction with the endonasal approach may be warranted. The endoscopic endonasal technique requires a longer learning curve and experience in collaborating with otolaryngologists. The lack of depth perception with 2D endoscopes may be more evident in less-experienced endoscopic surgeons. Thus, the use of 3D endoscopes may speed up the learning curve. However, in our opinion, current 3D-endoscope technology does not surpass the picture quality and color clarity of current 2D-high-definition endoscope systems. The lack of 3D depth perception can be readily overcome with dynamic movement of the 2D endoscope and by using tactile anatomical cues. Although once thought to be difficult to repair with an endoscopic endonasal approach, the presence of an intraoperative CSF leakage can be readily repaired by using multilayered reconstruction with a vascularized PNSF.

Another extracranial endoscopic alternative is the endoscope transcervical approach, initially performed on 3 patients by Wolinsky et al. in 2007, which was followed up by a larger series of 15 patients in 2012. This endoscopic approach uses the same trajectory and retractor that are used for transcervical anterior odontoid screw fixation. It provides the advantage of avoiding bacterial contamination from exposure to the oral cavity and preserves the integrity of the pharyngeal mucosa. However, limitations include the longer working distance and the technical difficulty in performing this procedure in patients with a barrel-shaped chest or cervical kyphosis.

**Conclusions**

Anterior odontoidectomy and ventral decompression of the craniocervical junction can be achieved via 3 different operative corridors: transoral, endonasal, and transcervical (Fig. 6). The choice of the optimal surgical approach will be determined largely by the location of the pathology relative to the palatine line and the surgeon’s preference and experience. In some instances, combined approaches or extended modification of an existing approach may be necessary depending on the extent of pathology. It is important for craniovertebral junction surgeons to have knowledge of all the potential approaches in their armamentarium and to individualize treatment strategies for each patient.
FIG. 6. Schematic illustration showing the different trajectories and zones of exposure via the endonasal (A), transoral (B), and transcervical (C) approaches in a patient with basilar invagination.
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