Preoperative endovascular embolization of meningiomas: update on therapeutic options
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In this review paper the authors analyze new therapeutic options for the embolization of meningiomas, as well as the future of meningioma treatment through recent relevant cohorts and articles. They investigate various embolic materials, types of meningiomas amenable to embolization, imaging techniques, and potential imaging biomarkers that could aid in the delivery of embolic materials. They also analyze perfusion status, complications, and new technical aspects of endovascular preoperative embolization of meningiomas. A literature search was performed in PubMed using the terms “meningioma” and “embolization” to investigate recent therapeutic options involving embolization in the treatment of meningioma. The authors looked at various cohorts, complications, materials, and timings of meningioma treatment. Liquid embolic materials are preferable to particle agents because particle embolization carries a higher risk of hemorrhage. Liquid agents maximize the effect of devascularization because of deeper penetration into the trunk and distal tumor vessels. The 3 main imaging techniques, MRI, CT, and angiography, can all be used in a complementary fashion to aid in analyzing and treating meningiomas. Intraarterial perfusion MRI and a new imaging modality for identifying biomarkers, susceptibility-weighted principles of echo shifting with a train of observations (SW-PRESTO), can relay information about perfusion status and degrees of ischemia in embolized meningiomas, and they could be very useful in the realm of therapeutics with embolic material delivery. Direct puncture is yet another therapeutic technique that would allow for more accurate embolization and less blood loss during resection.
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Meningiomas are highly vascularized tumors that arise from the leptomeninges. The term “meningioma” was first coined in 1922 by Harvey Cushing, who published the early works on meningioma surgery.4 These tumors most commonly occur extraaxially from arachnoid cell degeneration and dural fibroblasts. Given their origin from arachnoid cap cells, meningiomas occur mainly intradurally (Fig. 1). Virchow first described the classic histological features of the meningioma, known as “psammoma bodies,” as a sandlike collection of calcium.24 Although generally benign, meningiomas are associated with other sequelae, such as seizures, vision loss, and neurological deficits, based on their location.33 Roughly 25%–40% of patients have seizures, and roughly one-third of patients have visual changes.24 Treatment involves resection of the meningioma with the accompanying dura and bone, although research is currently being done to develop less invasive and more effective methods of resection to aid in therapeutics. Meningiomas parasitize vascular supply from extracranial and intracranial circulation. Average blood loss during surgery can be anywhere from 200 ml to 2.2 L, and patients may therefore require transfusion.6 Blood loss during meningioma resection poses a significant risk and is important in evaluating treatment options.24 Preoperative embolization has generally been accepted as an adjuvant therapy reported to make resection easier with few complications.26 A catheter is guided through the arterial system to the meningioma where an embolic agent is released to reduce blood supply to the tumor. This adjunct therapy was first described by Manelfe et al. in 1973.21 Since the inception of catheter embolization, there have been improvements in the catheters and materials used, which have improved therapeutic measures by reducing blood loss, surgical time, and the resulting sequelae. In this paper, we review recent relevant cohorts and analyze new therapeutic options for the embolization of meningiomas by examining embolic materials, imaging techniques, and potential imaging biomarkers that could aid in the delivery of embolic materials. We also analyze perfusion status, types of meningiomas amenable to embolization based on
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blood supply, complications, and new technical aspects of endovascular preoperative embolization of meningiomas.

Epidemiology of Meningiomas

Meningiomas are among the most common primary brain tumors, accounting for one-third of all central nervous system tumors. It is reported that there are 18,000 newly diagnosed cases each year with a prevalence of 138,000 cases in the United States alone. Studies estimate that more than 90% are WHO Grade I, 5% are Grade II, and 1%–3% are Grade III. The etiology is unknown; however, there is a correlation with prior radiation exposure and neurofibromatosis Type 2. It has been found that 50% of meningiomas do not have merlin gene function on chromosome 22. Meningiomas demonstrate a higher female predominance with a female-to-male ratio of 3 or 2 to 1. Olfactory groove lesions are the most common type of meningioma, followed by convexity, parasagittal, and sphenoid ridge meningiomas. Spinal meningiomas account for 10% of all cases.

Endovascular Embolization and Pathophysiology

Preoperative embolization is thought to reduce intraoperative complications by reducing operation time and blood loss. Embolization leads to devascularization of the lesion, which may induce necrosis and facilitate resection through tumor softening. Oka et al. demonstrated that patients with skull base meningiomas who underwent embolization of tumors smaller than 6 cm had significantly less blood loss. In tumors larger than 6 cm, there was no significant difference in blood loss between patients who had undergone embolization and those who had not, perhaps because larger meningiomas have collateral blood vessels in which embolization is unviable. It should be noted, however, that this paper only looked at preoperative embolization of skull base meningiomas and may not be applicable to all meningiomas. Skull base meningiomas are supplied by the internal carotid artery (ICA) and vertebrobasilar branches, which makes them challenging embolization targets. Regardless, the embolized group demonstrated a better clinical outcome than the nonembolized group. Contrary to the study by Oka et al., a retrospective study by Quiñones-Hinojosa et al. that only analyzed giant meningiomas greater than or equal to 5 cm in varying intracranial locations demonstrated efficacy and facilitation of gross-total resection by embolization.

Although highly efficacious, embolization does not always lead to complete obliteration of the tumor. Incomplete obliteration occurs due to multiple tumor feeders that share a blood supply with the brain parenchyma. Nevertheless, embolization helps facilitate surgical removal of a tumor by reducing bleeding volume and surgical time. Moreover, nimodipine, a dihydropyridine calcium channel blocker, administered before embolization may prevent vasospasm through vasodilation and may improve prognosis.

Blood Supply and Location of Meningiomas

Most meningiomas arise over the convexities (Fig. 1) or parasagittal areas, and 10% of meningiomas arise in the posterior fossa. Because meningiomas mainly arise from the dura, blood supply is usually from the meningeal arteries branching from the external carotid artery (ECA). The middle meningeal artery (MMA), the vessel that supplies the dura, is usually the main vessel that provides arterial blood to meningiomas. Meningiomas can recruit blood from nearby arteries or invade the dura. Olfactory groove meningiomas are supplied by the ethmoidal arteries as listed in Table 1. Embolization to this supply has the risk of affecting the ophthalmic artery and is therefore generally not performed. Instead, the surgical approach for these tumors is for the surgeon to coagulate the feeding vessels at the base before tumor resection. Similarly, embolization of the meningeal arteries from the vertebral artery or pial branches also carries risk and is not generally performed as often as embolization of ECA vessels.

Embolization seems to be better for cranial vault meningiomas than for skull base meningiomas.
nial vault meningiomas are supplied by the meningeal, superficial temporal, and temporal arteries, which are accessible by surgical means. Skull base meningiomas are supplied by the ICA and branches of the vertebrobasilar system, which are difficult to catheterize. This is perhaps why Oka et al. demonstrated that there was no significant difference in blood loss between embolized and nonembolized patients with skull base tumors larger than 6 cm. 27 However, embolization has been shown to be most beneficial when used in convexity lesions, which can lead to significant blood loss due to their multidirectional blood supply. 33 It is also useful when the blood supply to the tumor is located on the other side of the tumor in the surgeon’s line of sight, which may preclude the need for exposure of the contralateral side during resections involving the falx or parasagittal regions. 33 Embolization has the advantage of excellent penetration of particulate or liquid materials into smaller meningeal artery branches down to 100 mm, resulting in tumor devascularization from the inside and minimization of hemorrhage. For some convexity meningiomas, the meningeal supply may be cauterized after the craniotomy before tumor removal, which can aid in reducing blood loss and devascularizing the tumor. This approach does help with reducing blood loss but it may not be as effective, as it does not occlude the deep penetrating small vessel blood supply. Simply occluding the meningeal supply can often lead to increased flow through other collateral vessels. In cases in which a meningioma is associated with a vascular lesion, such as an aneurysm or arteriovenous malformation, preoperative embolization may be vital to the success of tumor resection. 33

**Embolic Materials**

**Particulate Embolic Agents**

An effective embolic agent should be able to cause permanent distal vascular occlusions resulting in significant tumor necrosis. Table 2 reports the most commonly used materials in the embolization of meningiomas. A large percentage of surgeons use polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) particles, which is a solid embolic agent. 30,33 PVA particles are a spongy material that is created when PVA is mixed with foaming substances and hardened with formaldehyde. 24 PVA particles expand in an aqueous solution due to their spongelike quality; moreover, they produce an inflammatory response that aids in thrombosis and occlusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1. Blood supply and location of meningiomas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Meningioma Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convexity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parasagittal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opticociliary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tentorium/cranius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior fossa (postero medial)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior fossa (lateral)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Falcine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraventricular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphenoid wing &amp; clinoidal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2. Various materials used for embolization of meningiomas by type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Particulate Material</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porous cellulosic beads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxypatite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen+PVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelfoam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum microcoils</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GDC = Guglielmi Detachable Coil.

**Microspheres**

Microspheres are another competing material, which, when suspended in contrast material, are flexible and compressible. These characteristics make microspheres easy to use without causing catheter blockage. 34 According to Bendszus et al., trisacryl gelatin microspheres are associated with lower operative blood loss than PVA [particles]. 1 However, Sluzewski et al. demonstrated that the use of 400-μm calibrated microspheres in the embolization of meningiomas had a comparable complication rate to using small PVA particles of 45–150 μm. 35 It was also demonstrated that the use of 400-μm microspheres had a complication rate comparable to that of larger PVA particles of 150 to 250 μm. The general consensus is that smaller embolization particles carry an increased risk for intratumoral hemorrhage but are also associated with a higher rate of postembolization tumor necrosis. 35,37

**Liquid Embolic Agents**

Liquid agents have been shown to have more operational and efficacious advantages over other particle agents. Studies have also suggested that particulate embolization has a higher risk of hemorrhage than liquid embolization. 38 Wang et al. reported that although embolization was successful in all patients and resulted in 50%–100% obliteration of the tumor mass on angiography, 2 of the 7 patients who were treated with particle embolization developed bleeding related to the embolization procedure. 38
None of the 5 patients treated with liquid embolic agents developed bleeding related to the procedure. Although a small sample size, this study demonstrated that particle embolization might carry a higher risk of hemorrhage than liquid agents. The mechanism is unclear; however, it is purported that tumor necrosis due to deep penetration in small venules via arteriovenous fistulas obstructs venous outflow. Liquid agents maximize devascularization because both the main and distal vessels are occluded simultaneously, which reduces the risk of bleeding from the collateral vessels. The use of liquid embolic material can also reduce procedure time if there is a single tumor feeder. Shortening the procedure time is important for patient safety. Using liquid embolic material also decreases the amount of contrast medium required for suspension, which is beneficial in neonatal patients. One potential shortcoming of liquid agents is the insufficient permeation into the meningioma when a microcatheter is in the wedge position.

Kominami et al. also demonstrated that a liquid agent, N-butyl cyanoacrylate (NBCA), has a lower risk of hemorrhage and ischemic complications compared with particle agents such as PVA. Komimestone et al. and Borg et al. corroborated that NBCA can be administered with a broader range of microcatheters and thus it confers superior distal navigation compared with particle agents. NBCA can enter meningioma vessels simultaneously, which helps to prevent intratumoral bleeding that is a common complication of solid embolic materials. Furthermore, NBCA can be delivered with a lower injection pressure than solid agents, which also helps to prevent hemorrhage. NBCA, when added with Lipiodol, is radiopaque and can aid in detecting the movement of embolic material into dangerous anastomoses. One potential limitation is that when the microcatheter is far from the meningioma, NBCA may occlude the vessel proximally with a risk of glue reflux; however, this occlusion is still beneficial and carries a lower risk of hemorrhage than distal PVA embolization. Other materials such as PVA particles can be considered if the microcatheter tip is not close enough to the meningioma.

Onyx (ev3, Inc.), an ethylene vinyl alcohol copolymer, is a newer liquid that has gained popularity for its successful use with arteriovenous malformations and fistulas. The slower precipitation of Onyx gives it some advantages over other liquid agents such as NBCA because it can permeate deeper within the tumor vessels. Furthermore, Onyx administration may be intermittently stopped for early detection of intracranial anastomoses and any evidence of nontargeted embolization. Although particulate agents are radioisoelectric and need contrast solution, cannot be used in direct puncture, and can dissolve over time, which may allow for revascularization.

**Imaging Techniques and Their Use for Preoperative Embolization**

There have been many improvements in imaging methods that have aided embolization therapeutics. MRI and CT are imaging tools that have high anatomical resolution, but are not accurate enough to detect the grade. Angiography can be useful during embolization of meningiomas and is useful in elucidating the vessels that feed the lesion (Fig. 2). MR images, CT scans, and cerebral angiography can all be used to aid in diagnosing, evaluating, and treating meningiomas: MRI for delineating anatomic anatomical relationships, CT for screening/evaluating anatomy, and angiography for analyzing vessels for embolization. Understanding how embolization is affected by various imaging modalities is critical for meningioma therapeutics, can aid with assessing the efficacy of embolization, and can help deliver embolic agents.

**Angiography**

Angiography can be used to assess the viability of preoperative embolization (Fig. 2). Digital subtraction angiography can be used to analyze meningioma vascularity and its relationship with surrounding vessels. Angiography can also determine the site of attachment, patency of sinuses, collateral circulation, and arterial encasement. Understanding how embolization may affect imaging modalities is critical for meningioma therapeutics. Although one may see MRI changes after embolization, such as a decrease in enhancement and reduced diffusion of the devascularized portion of the meningioma, there can be complications such as hemorrhage and necrosis that can obfuscate MRI findings. A meningioma generally appears as a “spoke-wheel” pattern of intratumoral arteries around a main vessel. Embolization is reserved for meningiomas with high vascularity on angiography findings.

Although safe, angiography is not without danger. Heiserman et al. reported a 1% incidence of neurological deficits related to angiography. However, a meta-analysis of cerebral angiography found only a 0.07% rate of neurologic deficit and a 0.6% rate of nonneurological complications. Mani and Eisenberg further demonstrated that the complication rate was lower for patients with tumors than for patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage or cerebrovascular occlusive disease.

**MRI**

Although MRI can be used to analyze the effects of

![FIG. 2. Cerebral pre- and postembolization ECA angiograms. Left: Angiogram depicting a meningioma with many feeding vessels. Right: Repeat angiogram after embolization depicting 90% obliteration of vasculature. Reproduced with permission from Teasdale et al: J Neurosurg 60:506–511, 1984.](image-url)
Embolization of meningiomas: update on therapeutic options

embolization, it may be challenging to assess efficacy due to contrast leakage, heterogeneous meningioma composition, and different grades of recanalization. MRI may underestimate the effect of embolization on the tumor. Nishiguchi et al. stated that evaluations using dynamic susceptibility perfusion-weighted imaging, diffusion-weighted imaging, and MR spectroscopy lack spatial resolution. Furthermore, embolization-related tumor changes cannot be seen on images based on the amount of cerebral blood volume or cerebrospinal fluid, which could increase due to compensatory vasodilatation. A new MRI sequence that demonstrates tumor composition and degree of ischemia after embolization is necessary. Recent analysis has demonstrated that T2-weighted MRI enables the examination of tumor hypoxia related to blood flow changes. Areas of decreased T2 signal are correlated with hypoxia; Nishiguchi et al. demonstrated a decrease in T2 signal after embolization in their meningioma model.

Saloner et al. reported that MR perfusion using intraarterial injections is a new, potentially useful technique in evaluating meningioma perfusion. In traditional MR perfusion with intravenous injections, the contrast is transported throughout the vasculature in the body; therefore, imaging of an area of interest is nonelective and would reflect perfusion from all arterial supplies. Intraarterial MR perfusion can help analyze the end organ and can be performed during catheterization for embolization. Assessing the perfusion status is crucial in determining whether the embolization is successful and can help to guide therapeutics.

Moreover, gradient recalled echo MRI is sensitive to the oxygenation state of meningioma lesions and thus blood perfusion status. A potentially useful imaging modality for identifying biomarkers is noncontrast-enhanced susceptibility-weighted principles of echo shifting with a train of observations (SW-PRESTO). This imaging technique can analyze the amount of necrosis of the meningioma by enhancing gradient recalled echo image contrast based on the differences between deoxygenated red blood cells and the background tissue. Although more study is needed, this 3D susceptibility-weighted imaging method is a new potential imaging modality that can aid in meningioma therapeutics by analyzing various degrees of ischemia in embolized meningiomas.

Interval Between Embolization and Resection

There is much controversy regarding the interval between the embolization procedure and subsequent resection surgery. Studies have reported broad ranging data that seem to corroborate 2 opposite conclusions. Currently, the average time until surgery after embolization ranges from 1.9 to 6.3 days. The range for surgery after embolization varies between 0 and 30 days. Ng et al. described a wide range of histological changes in 25 patients who underwent embolization with PVA particles (150–300 µm) at different intervals. The authors concluded that changing the interval between embolization and resection yielded no prognostic significance. Others have also reported no difference in tumor resection when the interval varied from several hours to 2.5 months. On the other hand, Wang et al. reported that waiting too long may increase the risk of tumor hemorrhage and may increase the chance that collaterals to the occluded area will be recreated. Earlier resection can also minimize other risks such as mass effect from edema or obstructive hydrocephalus. While there are no complications associated with a shorter interval, operating too soon may not allow for adequate occlusion of the blood supply, which may lead to longer operative time, smaller areas of necrosis and tumor softening, larger blood transfused volumes, and longer length of hospital stay. In contrast, Nania et al. analyzed 28 meningioma cases, and their experience dictates that patients should undergo surgery at least 7 days after embolization. A shorter interval between embolization and resection was correlated with a higher transfused blood volume and longer surgical time. Larger areas of necrosis were more prevalent in patients with a longer interval between embolization and resection. Some studies have also demonstrated that delaying surgery after embolization leads to less bleeding. Such benefits should be weighed against the risk associated with embolization in the days immediately after the procedure. There is an associated delayed reaction of embolization such as tumor mass effect that can lead to herniation or obstructive hydrocephalus. Nevertheless, Nania et al. purported that the ideal interval of at least 7 days maximizes tumor necrosis while minimizing blood loss and morbidity from other factors. Although there are no reported data analyzing various subtypes of meningiomas and preferred intervals, smaller meningiomas without significant edema may be more amenable to longer intervals between embolization and surgery. Perhaps the stark discrepancy in literature is a result of advancements in therapeutics and embolization over time.

Complications

Presurgical embolization is associated with a complication rate ranging from 0% to 9% (Table 3) and is often due to cranial nerve damage rather than to hemorrhage. Table 3 summarizes the complications related to embolization treatment of meningiomas from various cohorts. The overall success rate for embolization ranges from 91% to 100%, which is also summarized in Table 3. The less prevalent, albeit severe, complications include unintended ischemia, mass effect, hydrocephalus, edema, seizures, hemiparesis, glaucoma, syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and infection Intratumoral hemorrhage may have horrific consequences. Extravasation of blood into the tumor causes edema with mass effect that could lead to herniation. The potential for intraoperative bleeding is much worse for younger patients. Although the pathophysiology of hemorrhage is not fully understood, there are several hypothesized mechanisms: 1) collaterals receive increased flow leading to rupture; 2) penetration of embolic agents into the draining veins may impede outflow; 3) continuous injection of the embolic agent increases pressure in feeding arteries, leading to rupture; 4) strenuous manipulation of the catheter causes damage to vessels; and 5) forceful test injection.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Pathology Treated</th>
<th>Cohort Size</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Complication Rate</th>
<th>Success Rate</th>
<th>Notes</th>
<th>Embolic Agent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Borg et al., 2013</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>Mortality, postsurgical hemorrhage (n = 1); tumor hemorrhage (n = 2); 6th nerve palsy (n = 1); scalp necrosis (n = 1)</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
<td>10 cases of the original 117 were abandoned.</td>
<td>Glue/particle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probst et al., 1999</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Incomplete paresis of facial nerve (transient) (n = 1); hypesthesia of the sensory area of the 3rd trigeminal nerve (n = 1)</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>Embolization was performed w/ a fibrin glue preparation.</td>
<td>Fibrin glue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carli et al., 2010</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic (n = 10), ischemic (n = 1)</td>
<td>5.6%</td>
<td>94.4%</td>
<td>2 patients died, &amp; 5 had dependency.</td>
<td>Particle (PVA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bendzus et al., 2005</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>Ischemic event w/ neurological deficit (n = 6); hemorrhage (n = 6; 1 was lethal)</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>93.5%</td>
<td>From the ischemic complications, 2 had amaurosis &amp; 4 had hemiparesis.</td>
<td>Spherical particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosen et al., 2002</td>
<td>Cranial base meningioma</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>Transient worsening of neurological exam (n = 21); permanent neurological deficits/ morbidity (n = 15)</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>20 patients were not embolized due to neurological deficits/ lack of a suitable vessel for procedure. 4 of the patients w/ severe complications had a decline in cranial nerve function.</td>
<td>Particle (PVA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruber et al., 200012</td>
<td>Skull base meningioma</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Permanent disability (n = 2); death (n = 1)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>95.5%</td>
<td>Complications were related to thromboembolic events rather than to catheter embolization itself.</td>
<td>PVA particles or cyanoacrylates (NBCA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chun et al., 2002</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Uncomplicated groin hematoma from angiography (n = 1)</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td>PVA particles w/ or w/o GDCs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kai et al., 2002</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Intratumoral hemorrhage (n = 1); hearing loss (n = 1)</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>95.2%</td>
<td>There were no transient postembolization complications.</td>
<td>Particles made of cellulose porous beads or nonabsorbable particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sluzewski et al., 2013</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>No hemorrhagic or ischemic complications (n = 0)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Microspheres were used instead of PVA.</td>
<td>Microspheres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gruber et al., 200013</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Complications due to using outdated techniques (n = 3)</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>Total or subtotal angiographic devascularization of tumor 60.3% (n = 38); partial tumor embolization 39.7% (n = 25)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hishikawa et al., 2014</td>
<td>Meningioma</td>
<td>898 (n = 9)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>98.9%</td>
<td>Liquid, particle, &amp; coil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The success rate is defined as percentage of successful cases without complications, transient or not. Relevant cohorts with 50 or more patients were included in this table.
Identifying the bleeding accurately and providing the correct care are critical for the outcome.

**Future Directions of Therapeutics (Direct Puncture Embolization)**

Despite some of the reported benefits of transarterial embolization, a new approach has allowed for in situ administration. This new approach is known as intraoperative direct needle puncture, whereby neurosurgeons identify parent vessels and reduce blood loss with a more targeted approach. This may prove to be efficacious and may offer an alternative to current embolization methods. Embolization can be difficult using traditional approaches due to the tortuosity of the vessels and the varying angles at which they sprout. Direct needle puncture has proven to be efficacious with a mean tumor devascularization of 87%. Onyx serves as a good material for embolization by direct needle puncture because of its slower precipitation. Elhammady et al. reported that Onyx, via direct needle puncture, demonstrated effective intravascular penetration and was located completely inside the vascular spaces of the tumors on histological analysis. Direct puncture reduces the chance of affecting nontarget vessels. Transarterial embolization can lead to inadequate occlusion, which then necessitates direct catheterization of vessels and thus increases overall procedure time. Despite the benefits, direct needle puncture may increase the risk of embolization of venous vessels. More research is needed before conclusions can be extrapolated.

**Conclusions**

Surgical approaches that devascularize meningiomas and lead to tumor softening are becoming increasingly important in therapeutic techniques for treating these tumors. Although somewhat controversial, embolization has been shown to be efficacious in meningioma treatment and resection. Embolization is most beneficial when used on dangerous tumors that could lead to significant blood loss, such as giant convexity lesions with multidirectional blood supply, or when the blood supply to the tumor is located deep in the surgeon’s line of sight. It has been demonstrated that liquid embolic materials are preferable to particle agents because particle embolization carries a higher risk of hemorrhage. Liquid agents maximize the effect of devascularization because of deeper penetration into the trunk and distal tumor vessels. Unfortunately, there is still a lack of consensus on whether the interval between embolization and resection affects prognosis, and more data are needed. The 3 main imaging techniques, MRI, CT, and angiography, can all be used in a complementary fashion to aid in analyzing and treating meningiomas; however, angiography is currently the most useful tool for assessing tumor vascularity and usefulness of preoperative embolization. Intraarterial MR perfusion imaging and SW-PRESTO imaging biomarkers can relay information about perfusion status and degrees of ischemia in embolized meningiomas and could be very useful in the realm of therapeutic embolic material delivery. Direct puncture is yet another therapeutic technique that would allow for more accurate embolization and less blood loss during resection.
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