A comparison of lumboperitoneal and ventriculoperitoneal shunting for idiopathic intracranial hypertension: an analysis of economic impact and complications using the Nationwide Inpatient Sample
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**Object.** Complications following lumboperitoneal (LP) shunting have been reported in 18% to 85% of cases. The need for multiple revision surgeries, development of iatrogenic Chiari malformation, and frequent wound complications have prompted many to abandon this procedure altogether for the treatment of idiopathic benign intracranial hypertension (pseudotumor cerebri), in favor of ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunting. A direct comparison of the complication rates and health care charges between first-choice LP versus VP shunting is presented.

**Methods.** The Nationwide Inpatient Sample database was queried for all patients with the diagnosis of benign intracranial hypertension (International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, code 348.2) from 2005 to 2009. These data were stratified by operative intervention, with demographic and hospitalization charge data generated for each case.

**Results.** A weighted sample of 4480 patients was identified as having the diagnosis of idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH), with 2505 undergoing first-time VP shunt placement and 1754 undergoing initial LP shunt placement. Revision surgery occurred in 3.9% of admissions (n = 98) for VP shunts and in 7.0% of admissions (n = 123) for LP shunts (p < 0.0001). Ventriculoperitoneal shunts were placed at teaching institutions in 83.8% of cases, compared with only 77.3% of first-time LP shunts (p < 0.0001). Mean hospital length of stay (LOS) significantly differed between primary VP (3 days) and primary LP shunt procedures (4 days, p < 0.0001). The summed charges for the revisions of 92 VP shunts ($3,453,956) and those of the 6 VP shunt removals ($272,484) totaled $3,726,352 over 5 years for the study population. The summed charges for revision of 70 LP shunts ($2,229,430) and those of the 53 LP shunt removals ($3,125,569) totaled $5,408,679 over 5 years for the study population.

**Conclusions.** The presented results appear to call into question the selection of LP shunt placement as primary treatment for IIH, as this procedure is associated with a significantly greater likelihood of need for shunt revision, increased LOS, and greater overall charges to the health care system.

(http://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2014.8.FOCUS14436)
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In patients with idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH) who are managed surgically, the default treatment has historically been placement of a lumboperitoneal (LP) shunt. Investigation is warranted to determine the demographics, outcomes, and health care charges of LP shunts as compared with traditional ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunting. Despite the lack of prospective randomized studies, LP shunting is historically the first-line CSF diversion procedure for patients with IIH.28 The incidence of IIH ranges from 1 to 3 patients per 100,000 people per year.22 The annual cost of treating IIH in the US has been cited as $444 million.7 A previous study by the senior author (A.N.) noted the overall cost of implanted ventricular shunts to be a $1 billion problem.19 The delivery of health care in the new marketplace will focus on patient outcomes as well as economic impact. Neurosurgeons will be forced to illustrate that interventions directly help the patient in an economically responsible fashion. Neurosurgeons must remain in the forefront of surgical interventions and must do so in a patient-centered but economically viable way. This study specifically uses the Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) database to illustrate morbidity and the national estimates of health care charges related to LP versus VP shunting, specifically for IIH.
Methods

Database Description

The NIS, the largest publicly available database detailing all-payer US inpatient care, contains data from 5 to 8 million hospital stays from approximately 1000 hospitals participating in the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project. Data collected by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality is intended to approximate a 20% stratified sample of US community hospitals. The Clinical Classifications Software coding system was developed by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality as a tool for clustering 14,000 diagnosis codes and 3900 procedure codes from The International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9), into a manageable number of clinically meaningful categories.

Data Extraction

Having previously compiled the NIS database for the years 2005 to 2009, inclusive of data from 25,569 hospitals, onto a single server loaded with statistical analysis software (IBM SPSS Statistics version 20, and JMP version 9), a query was initiated for all patients admitted under the diagnosis of benign intracranial hypertension (ICD-9 code 348.2). Categorical variables and comorbidities extracted included sex, age > 65 years, death during hospitalization, obesity, incidence of wound infection, diagnosis of meningitis, hospital location (rural vs urban), hospital teaching status, nonroutine (not home) discharge, and total length of stay (LOS). Routine discharge by definition was considered the expected discharge home or to self care.

Entries in the NIS database can be associated with up to 15 separate ICD-9 or Clinical Classifications Software codes for primary procedure/diagnosis. The data set was subsequently sorted by the following primary codes: 0234 for initial placement of a VP shunt, 0242 for replacement of a VP shunt, 0243 for removal of a VP shunt, 0371 for initial placement of an LP shunt, 0397 for revision of an LP shunt, and 0398 for removal of an LP shunt. To identify those cases of primary LP or VP shunt placement occurring after the revision or complete removal of a catheter system of the opposing category, all secondary codes were queried and compared with the primary diagnosis indicated for that encounter. The incidence of comorbidity was then approximated by the incidence of the complication against the entire subgroup (LP or VP shunting).

Total inpatient charges (TOTCHG), an NIS-coded variable, was identified in each case and cumulative charges were calculated for individual procedure codes by summing individual values. Length of stay, similarly coded under “LOS_X” in the database, was compared for all categorical variables and procedure codes. Nonroutine discharge was identified by NIS code > 1 in the category “DISPUNIFORM,” with in-hospital death identified by “DIED.” Charges were attributed to the shunting procedure when it was deemed the primary code. The NIS database does not allow for specific patient outcomes at the individual level. Revision rates and removal rates were extrapolated back to index procedures as representative of estimated revision and removal rates over the 4-year period.

Statistical Analysis

Demographic, patient, and hospital factors underwent univariate analysis utilizing the Pearson chi-square test, followed by multivariate binary logistic regression, generating probability values, odds ratios (ORs), and the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). To investigate intergroup differences, nonparametric (Kruskal-Wallis) testing was used to determine statistical significance, with a p value < 0.05 considered significant.

Results

Four thousand four hundred eighty patients, identified as having the diagnosis of IIH according to the corresponding ICD-9 code, underwent CSF diversion from 2005 to 2009. Two thousand five hundred five patients underwent first-time VP shunting, whereas 1754 underwent first-time LP shunting. Statistical trends are listed in Table 1. Data regarding sex was unavailable for 25 patients in the primary VP shunt group and 19 patients in the primary LP shunt group. Two hundred thirty-seven (9.6%) of 2505 patients with VP shunts were male whereas 2243 (90.4%) were female. One hundred ninety-five patients (11.2%) with LP shunts were male, whereas 1540 (88.8%) were female. The difference between groups was not statistically significant but did show a trend (p = 0.09). Age did not show a significant difference (p = 0.17) between the VP and LP shunt groups with 34/2505 (1.4%) and 15/1754 (0.9%) greater than 65 years of age, respectively. The rate of meningitis was similar between the two groups as well (p = 0.49); 20 (0.8%) of 2505 patients with VP shunts developed meningitis compared with 10 (0.6%) of 1754 patients with LP shunts. There were no wound infections in either series. Seven hundred thirty-six patients (29.4%) with VP shunts were obese, compared with 480 (27.4%) of the patients with LP shunts, which was not statistically significant (p = 0.15). In the VP shunt group, 93.5% had a routine discharge compared with 94.8% (1663/1754) in the LP shunt group, which trended toward a significant difference (p = 0.067).

A total of 5 patients (0.1%) died during hospitalization, all of them in the LP shunt group (5/1754, 0.3%), which was a statistically significant difference between groups (p = 0.01). VP shunts were inserted at teaching institutions in 83.8% of cases (2100/2505), versus only 77.3% (1355/1754) first-time LP shunts (p < 0.0001). Sixty-five (2.6%) VP shunts were placed in a rural hospital, compared with 4 (0.2%) of 1754 LP shunts, which was significantly different (p < 0.0001). A description of each of these variables can be found in Table 2.

Revision or removal surgery occurred in 3.9% of admissions (98/2505) for VP shunts and in 7.0% of admissions (123/1754) for LP shunts (p < 0.0001; Table 2). Mean hospital LOS also differed significantly between primary VP (3.4 days) and primary LP shunt procedures (4 days; p < 0.0001). The LOS ranged from 0 to 45 days for patients with a primary VP shunt and 0 to 38 days for patients with a primary LP shunt. There were no statisti-
cally significant differences in LOS for VP or LP shunt revisions or removals (p = 0.89 and 0.97, respectively).
Mean charge for placement of a single primary VP shunt was $37,708, compared with $32,617 for placement of a primary LP shunt. The average charge for VP shunt revision was $37,543, and $45,414 for VP shunt removal. The mean charge for LP shunt revision was $32,849, and for LP shunt removal was $58,973. The summed charges for revision of 92 VP shunts ($3,453,956) and for 6 VP shunt removals ($67,927) totaled $3,726,352 over 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>VP Shunt</th>
<th>LP Shunt</th>
<th>p Value</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>total no. of patients</td>
<td>2505</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>females</td>
<td>2243/2480 (90.4%)</td>
<td>1540/1735 (88.8%)</td>
<td>0.0852</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age &gt;65 yrs</td>
<td>34 (1.4%)</td>
<td>15 (0.9%)</td>
<td>0.1719</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>meningitis</td>
<td>20 (0.8%)</td>
<td>10 (0.6%)</td>
<td>0.4924</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>obesity</td>
<td>736 (29.4%)</td>
<td>480 (27.4%)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>routine discharge</td>
<td>2341 (93.5%)</td>
<td>1663 (94.8%)</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>need for revision</td>
<td>98 (3.9%)</td>
<td>123 (7.0%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4–0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean LOS (days)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for primary procedure</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3–0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for revision</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for removal</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>teaching hospital</td>
<td>2100 (83.8%)</td>
<td>1355 (77.3%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3–1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rural location</td>
<td>65 (2.6%)</td>
<td>4 (0.2%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>4.2–32.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Length of stay in the VP shunt group ranged from 0 to 45 days, versus 0–38 days in the LP shunt group.

Mean charge for placement of a single primary VP shunt was $37,708, compared with $32,617 for placement of a primary LP shunt. The average charge for VP shunt revision was $37,543, and $45,414 for VP shunt removal. The mean charge for LP shunt revision was $32,849, and for LP shunt removal was $58,973. The summed charges for revision of 92 VP shunts ($3,453,956) and for 6 VP shunt removals ($67,927) totaled $3,726,352 over 5
years for the study population. The summed charges for revision of 70 LP shunts ($2,229,430) and those for 53 LP shunt removals ($3,125,569) totaled $5,408,679 over 5 years for the study population. The mean charge for patients with a complication of meningitis in the VP group was $69,820, and $32,995 for the LP shunt cohort. Charge analysis data can be found in Table 3.

**Discussion**

The reality is that the treatment of IIH by CSF diversion is evolving, with both patient outcomes and economic implications as driving forces for change. In IIH, VP shunt placement has been proven as superior to LP shunting with clinical outcomes related to LOS, death, and revision surgery. Our study proves an economic benefit as well.

**Disease Process and Treatment**

Pseudotumor cerebri (or IIH) is a substantial economic problem that will only grow in the future. It is typically found in young women of a reproductive age and is linked to obesity. There has been documented resolution of symptoms after gastric banding procedures. From 1988 to 2002, the incidence of CSF shunting for IIH increased 350%. The CSF shunting rate has increased at the same relative rate as morbid obesity. It is estimated that by 2030 all 50 states will have obesity rates of at least 44%, and at least half of the population will be obese in 39 states.

CSF drainage is needed in the minority of patients with IIH who undergo unsuccessful conservative management. CSF drainage is an effective tool in treating IIH, as 95% of the patients demonstrate headache improvement in the immediate postoperative period. Eighty-three percent of patients undergoing LP shunt placement with the use of electromagnetic stereotactic image guidance experienced headache improvement at 3 years. Improved visual outcomes were also noted in a meta-analysis of VP shunt (38.7%) and LP shunt (44.6%) placement, but these rates were lower than those after optic nerve sheath decompression (80%).

**TABLE 3: Charge analysis in patients undergoing CSF diversion for IIH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>No. of Procedures</th>
<th>Mean Charges per Procedure ($)</th>
<th>Summed Charges ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VP shunt placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td>2505</td>
<td>37,708</td>
<td>94,458,540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revision</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>37,543</td>
<td>3,453,956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>removal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45,414</td>
<td>272,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revision or removal</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>38,024</td>
<td>3,726,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LP shunt placement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>primary</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>32,617</td>
<td>57,210,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revision</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32,849</td>
<td>2,229,430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>removal</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>58,973</td>
<td>3,125,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>revision or removal</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>43,973</td>
<td>5,408,679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The critical morbidity associated with shunting is the need for revision or additional surgery. Complication rates for additional surgery can range as high as 85.7%. The rate of revision surgery secondary to shunt malfunction or infection in the literature ranges from 38% to 85.7%, with an overall rate of 52%. The consensus is that CSF manipulation is a reasonable intervention for improving visual outcome and headaches.

**Comparison of CSF Diversion**

Our patient series continues to suggest that VP shunting is superior to LP shunting in patients with IIH. Patients with VP shunts underwent fewer revisions. Revision surgery occurred in 3.9% of admissions for VP shunts and in 7.0% of admissions for LP shunts (p < 0.0001). Patients with VP shunts had shorter average LOSs in the hospital compared with LP shunts (3 days compared with 4 days; p < 0.001). VP shunt placements were also more likely to be performed at teaching institutions compared with LP shunts (p < 0.0001).

This finding was similar to a retrospective finding in a study by McGirt et al. in 2004. These investigators reviewed shunt data over a 30-year period, illustrating lower rates of obstruction and revision in their VP shunt series as compared with an LP shunt series. According to their data, LP shunts were associated with a 3-fold increase in the risk of obstruction and a 2.5-fold increased risk of revision when compared with VP shunts. Overall, CSF drainage was successful, with 95% of patients receiving symptomatic relief from headaches.

The effectiveness and safety of VP shunts in the presence of IIH has been greatly increased with the advent of image guidance. Typical and historical aversion to the placement of a VP shunt is secondary to the standard slit-type ventricles observed with the disease. Image guidance has allowed the procedure to be performed safely with great accuracy, with some series achieving 100% placement of a VP shunt using image guidance with only 1 pass of the catheter needed on each patient. Initially, image guidance did require the morbidity and risks associated with cranial pinning including local trauma, hemodynamic considerations, and stress hormone release.

However, with the development of next-generation image guidance, pinning is avoided, providing the benefits of guidance without the morbidity of cranial pinning.

Overall, VP shunting with image guidance has been shown to be superior to the freehand technique. Concern still resides with surgeon experience and operative time. Using rigid cranial fixation image guidance, Sampath et al. illustrated that by using VP shunting with image guidance, time in the operative room was significantly increased but actual operative time was similar to published series using the freehand technique. All shunts were placed with ideal end points in 1 pass. The placement of VP shunts with image guidance shows increased accuracy and decreased proximal failures. In 2013, Wilson et al. published a retrospective cohort study, in which 12% of shunts placed by freehand for hydrocephalus, for all causes, developed a proximal shunt failure. Overall there was a 16% failure rate with freehand shunt placement in this study. Only 4% of the patients undergoing
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VP shunt placement with stereotactic guidance suffered a proximal failure, with a 10% overall failure rate. Increased importance of specific proximal placement is needed in the IIH patient subset. Given the small size of the ventricles, image guidance can be used to ensure good positioning and decrease the risk of proximal failure, improving the overall outcome of patients with IIH undergoing VP shunting.

In our series, there were significantly more LP shunt removals, which is likely multifactorial. The advent of adjustable VP shunt valves allows for a clinical period of change and intervention without full removal of the shunt catheter; this technology is generally not available in LP shunting. In fact, Lee et al. in 2014 noted significantly lower rates of VP shunt revision in patients with programmable shunts placed after subarachnoid hemorrhage. In evaluating 94 patients, 7% of patients with programmable devices underwent VP shunt revision, whereas 21% of patients with nonprogrammable shunts underwent revision between 2006 and 2013. Although complex, there were statistically significant decreases in overall complications, revision rates, overdrainage rates, and underdrainage rates in patients with VP programmable valves compared with nonprogrammable VP valves for shunting of all causes. In IIH, it is also possible that LP shunts represent a subset of patients considered to be marginal cases of IIH, whereas VP shunts, given their cranial nature, represent more definitive cases. Clinical information regarding patients and VP shunt selection type is not available in the NIS database.

Five deaths occurred in the LP shunt group, an unexpected outcome given the generally young population. Exact data extraction regarding each individual patient is unavailable given the constraints of the database. It can only be hypothesized that the generally overweight population is more predisposed to medical comorbidities associated with hospital stay and increased LOS, but we found no statistically significant difference in obesity rates between the VP and LP shunt cohorts. The 5 deaths also call into question iatrogenic hindbrain herniation. Case reports have indicated death from hindbrain herniation following LP shunting. In the pediatric population, as many as 70% of patients with LP shunts for all causes developed hindbrain herniation, ranging from 2 mm to 21 mm, but only 5% of the study population required intervention.

Furthermore, VP shunting has been determined to be economically effective in the treatment of IIH. The summed health care charges for revision of 92 VP shunts over the 5-year period was $3,453,956, and the summed charges of 6 VP shunt removals was $272,484, with the cumulative charges for additional surgery for VP shunts totaling $3,726,352 over 5 years. The summed charges for revision of 70 LP shunts over the 5 year period was $2,229,430, and the summed charges of 53 LP shunt removals was $3,125,569, with the cumulative charges for additional surgery for LP shunts totaling $5,408,679 over 5 years.

Specifically, the average charge for LP shunt removal was $58,973, while the average charge for VP shunt removal was $45,414. LP shunts are more prone to migration in failure presentation than VP shunts. Karabatsou et al. in 2004 noted that 85.7% of patients with IIH and LP shunts needed shunt revision: 21 patients underwent 63 revisions, with 15 cases of abdominal migration, which in theory can be more complex and require a multidisciplinary approach. This fact, combined with the unique risk of iatrogenic hindbrain herniation at presentation requiring removal of LP shunts, likely plays a substantial role in the increased charges associated with LP shunt removal. Indeed, for simple revision, the difference in charges was mitigated, with $37,543 for VP shunt revision and $32,829 for LP shunt revision.

New Treatment Considerations

New treatment algorithms have developed for intervention in IIH involving the placement of transverse sinus stents. This modality has been proven to improve intracranial pressure in patients with a specific venogenic cause to IIH development. Within the literature, focal venous stenosis is present among 30%–93% of patients with IIH. Patients are considered candidates for stent placement with focal stenosis typically presenting in the transverse or sigmoid sinus. This procedure is technically feasible and highly effective, with a review noting improvement in headaches of as much as 88% and resolution of papilledema in 97% of patients. Complication rates were noted to be 6%, including a 2% incidence of subdural hematoma. This new treatment modality looks to be a valuable tool in the specific subset of patients with IIH and focal venous stenosis.

In a cost analysis by Ahmed et al., this modality was deemed cost neutral to shunt placement, with a mean cost of $15,797 for an initial CSF shunt and $13,863 for an initial stenting procedure. However, shunting had a statistically significantly higher rate of failure requiring an additional procedure. Over a 12-year period, only 13% of the stenting group needed additional stent procedures, whereas 55% of the shunting group required an additional shunt procedure. This, however, does not fully take into account the added superiority of image guidance techniques now available.

Limitations of the Study

It is important to recognize that our analysis represents a component of economic evaluation between the two shunting modalities and an estimate of revision and removal rates. The cost related to outpatient follow-up in the clinic, shunt reprogramming for VP shunts with adjustable valves, and imaging coordination with outpatient imaging centers is also not captured. This missing information represents a very real and tangible economic impact that may increase the cost of VP shunt placement (an increase in return visits for programmable valve manipulation).

In our review we were limited by information provided by retrospective review, the limitations found in the NIS database. The NIS database does not provide clinical information about specific cases of IIH. It lacks evaluation of long-term data of the posthospitalization course, follow-up, or procedures performed outside of hospitals.
future considerations

This study’s results are applicable for demonstrating the superiority of VP shunting in terms of average LOS, revision, and charges. The results do not advocate for the unilateral decision to perform VP shunting over LP shunting in all scenarios. Clinical expertise, surgeon comfort, and availability of technology all help to formulate clinical decision making on a patient-by-patient basis. This study also did not evaluate and cannot be interpreted to show a benefit in relief of symptoms (headache, visual deficit) and quality of life improvements in the two study arms.

Investigation moving forward must focus on the clinical decision-making process as well as patient- and provider-specific demographics regarding the decision to place VP shunts as compared with LP shunts. Careful patient selection and subgroup analysis may be needed to develop guidelines. Information from this study questions the historical practice of first-choice LP shunting for IIH and lays the foundation for future investigation with randomized controlled trial evaluation to determine the most economically and outcome-favorable decision model for CSF diversion in patients with IHH.

Conclusions

In our patient series, VP shunts have been proven to be superior to LP shunts secondary to IHH in terms of revision surgery and average hospital LOS. The proliferation of image guidance technology allows accurate VP shunt placement in slit ventricle syndromes. Our study directly adds an economic benefit and advantage to the primary placement of VP shunts.
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