The most common skull base schwannoma after vestibular schwannomas, trigeminal schwannomas account for up to 0.36% of all intracranial neoplasms and 8% of skull base schwannomas.11,17,22 They are most often histologically benign lesions, and their anatomical characteristics can pose challenges to surgical management. While a majority of these tumors develop in the gasserian ganglion within the middle fossa, trigeminal schwannomas can occur anywhere along the course of the trigeminal root, ganglion, and peripheral branches. As a result, they can be intradural, interdural, and extradural and can exist in the posterior fossa, middle fossa/McKeel’s cave, and extend along V1 into the orbit, V2 into the pterygopalatine fossa (PPF), and V3 into the infratemporal fossa. Given surrounding neurovascular structures, trigeminal schwannomas can also be intimately associated with adjacent cranial nerves (CNs; for example, the abducens nerve) and vascular structures (that is, the horizontal petrous segment of the internal carotid artery [ICA]).

Given the higher recurrence rates with near-total resection as compared with those following gross-total resection, the goal of surgery is considered to be complete resection. Stereotactic radiosurgery has also been shown to be effective in controlling tumor growth.7 Numerous microsurgical approaches ranging from ventral (for example, transmaxillary), anterolateral (for example, orbitozygomatic), lateral (for example, subtemporal, preauricular infratemporal fossa), to posterolateral (for example, retrosigmoid suprameatal, presigmoid) have been reported in the surgical management of this disease entity.1,3,17,21

In general, the reported rates of success from microsurgical removal have been favorable. Independent of location...
and surgical approach, gross-total resection rates as high as 82% have been reported. Functional outcomes have varied depending on the existence of preoperative symptoms, tumor size, and location. In larger reported series, either permanent or transient postoperative deterioration in neurological function, including trigeminal neuropathy, abducens nerve palsy, and trigeminal neuralgia, is experienced. These functional outcomes could be related to the anatomical disadvantage that characterizes laterally based skull base approaches. Hence, while the overall oncological and functional outcomes have improved with refinements in technique, the question of whether these outcomes can be improved arises.

Providing a ventral trajectory, endoscopic endonasal transpterygoid approaches to Meckel’s cave have been described in several publications. Working through an anteromedial window into this region, endoscopic approaches provide the theoretical benefit of panoramic access. While several series have reported the feasibility of endoscopic approaches to Meckel’s cave, there is no clear understanding of the relative advantages and disadvantages for this approach as regards the management of trigeminal schwannomas. In an effort to shed light on the endoscopic management of this pathology, we review our outcomes in this cohort of patients.

Methods

Study Design

After obtaining appropriate institutional review board approval for this study (Weill Cornell Medical College–NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital), we queried a prospectively acquired database of endoscopic endonasal skull base surgeries performed by the senior authors (T.H.S. and V.K.A.) at Weill Cornell Medical College, Sackler Brain and Spine Center, and NewYork-Presbyterian Hospital. We were interested in only those patients who had undergone pure endoscopic endonasal approaches for planned, single-stage gross-total resection of trigeminal schwannomas. Once patients were selected based on this criterion, we performed a retrospective chart review of hospital and office records for the remaining pre-, intra-, and postoperative treatment-related parameters. Particular attention was paid to pre- and postoperative CN deficits, including those related to CN V (and its main branches), the vidian nerve, and the nerves responsible for extraocular muscle movement. Of note, for patients whose imaging studies were available, volumetric analysis was performed to determine the extent of resection for tumor components within the middle cranial fossa, posterior fossa, and extracranial compartments, depending on the extent of the preoperative disease burden.

Surgical Technique

We have described our endoscopic endonasal techniques and, in particular, the transpterygoid approach in detail elsewhere. Briefly, after intravenous premedication with dexamethasone (10 mg) and diphenhydramine (50 mg), patients receive 0.25 ml of 10% intrathecal fluorescein (AK-Fluor, Akorn). Fluorescein is diluted with 10 ml of CSF withdrawn and administered over several minutes through either a lumbar puncture or a lumbar drain. The latter is generally used in patients in whom a high-flow CSF leak is expected. After rigid fixation with the head slightly extended and rotated to the right, surgery is commenced. Visualization is provided with 0°, 30°, and 45° rigid 4-mm endoscopes, a digital camera, and a 21-in color monitor (all three Karl Storz GmbH & Co.). Using a rigid 0° endoscope, we infiltrate the sphenopalatine foramen, uncinate process, vertical lamella of the ipsilateral middle turbinate, and bilaterial mucoperichondrial septal flaps using 1% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine to constrict branches of the sphenopalatine artery. A nasoseptal flap contralateral to the target lesion is harvested and stored in the nasopharynx. The flap’s width is maximized by harvested tissue along the floor of the hard palate toward the inferior turbinate, whereas extending the harvesting incisions anteriorly to the columella ensures an adequate length for reconstruction. Bilateral flaps can also be harvested if additional coverage is needed.

To fully expose the transmaxillary corridor, a complete ethmoidectomy and sphenoidotomy are performed first. The approach commences with an uncincotomy, a middle turbinate resection, and a wide maxillary antrostomy such that the infraorbital neurovascular bundle is visualized in the roof and the entire posterior maxillary sinus wall is exposed. An ethmoidectomy is then performed in a stepwise manner, which includes removal of the ethmoid bulla, suprabullar cells, and all the posterior ethmoid cells. The fovea ethmoidalis is identified and preserved. The sphenoid sinus is entered and widely enlarged. A wide sphenoid and ethmoid sinus cavity with identification of the lamina papyracea and skull base provides a panoramic view prior to exposing the PPF and pterygoid plates.

The sphenopalatine artery is then cauterized with an endoscopic bipolar probe and sectioned at its foramen. The vertical and orbital processes of the palate bone are then removed using a combination of the endoscopic drill, Kerrison punches, and bone curettes. Removal of the posterior maxillary wall exposes the ventral periosteal sheath of the PPF. To fully expose the lateral sphenoid, the contents of the PPF are retracted laterally to identify the vidian nerve at the junction of the medial pterygoid plate and the floor of the sphenoid sinus. Meckel’s cave is approached via a “supravidian” trajectory, which does not require sacrifice of this neurovascular bundle. The vidian nerve is exposed back to the junction of the paraclival and laceral segments of the ICA. Once this depth has been established, the pterygoid wedge (junction of the medial and lateral plates) is fully exposed. When viewed en face, toward the aspect of the pterygoid process, the pterygoid process can be drilled in a superior to inferior and medial to lateral fashion following V2 into the middle cranial fossa. This portion of the bony resection will provide access to the quadrangular space, which is bounded medially by the paraclival carotid and laterally by the maxillary nerve; the abducens nerve running along with V1 represents the superior limit of this space. The decision to
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Expose the paracaval and laceral segments of the carotid artery depends on the access required for safe resection. We typically perform this exposure if vascular manipulation is required to gain access to tumor posterior to the ICA. These segments can be safely exposed using a combination of endoscopic drills and dissectors.

Entry into the infratemporal fossa or lateral aspect of the middle fossa is necessary for V3 involvement. Within the maxillary sinus, the infraorbital neurovascular bundle demarcates the PPF (medial) from the infratemporal fossa (lateral). After removing the posterior maxillary wall (described above), we follow the lateral pterygoid plate posteriorly to identify the mandibular nerve as it exits the foramen ovale. This stage requires a subperiosteal dissection to separate the inferior head of the lateral pterygoid muscle from the pterygoid plates to avoid frustrating venous bleeding from the pterygoid venous plexus. Once the V3 trunks have been identified, both the medial and lateral pterygoid plates are resected to gain the lateral access necessary for resection.

Once the main divisions of the trigeminal nerve and Meckel’s cave have been identified, an incision is made in the periosteal dural layer to gain access to tumor within Meckel’s cave. Tumor is subsequently resected using standard microsurgical instruments and a tissue aspirator as needed. Based on the growth of the tumor, the trigeminal nerve is typically displaced superolaterally toward the medial temporal lobe, while the abducens nerve lies along the superior aspect of the tumor. The best way to avoid injuring the abducens nerve is not to cross the superior aspect of V2.2

Skull base reconstruction is individualized for each patient depending on the extent of CSF leakage encountered. While our techniques for a multilayer closure and flap harvest have been described elsewhere,12,14 the nuances of closing laterally placed defects should be discussed. Resection of trigeminal schwannomas, particularly those extending into the posterior fossa, can result in large, laterally placed defects that may be challenging to access and reconstruct. As Meckel’s cave exists within a separation of the two dural layers, oftentimes the meningeal dural layer is intact at the end of the resection, serving as a barrier against a high-flow CSF leak. As with all cranial base reconstructions, a multilayer closure represents the mainstay of our reconstruction. Most commonly, a fat graft is placed into the resection cavity, which is then covered with a nasoseptal flap harvested based on the contralateral sphenopalatine artery. It is imperative that the width and length of the flap are maximized during the initial portion of the operation to ensure that it will cover the cavity. Finally, although complete unroofing of the paracaval and petrous segments of the carotid artery is rare, the exposed vessel can be covered with fat or acellular debris bolstered with a tissue sealant. Limited lumbar drainage is used in cases of intraoperative high-volume CSF leaks for a period of 24–48 hours postoperatively to facilitate healing of this reconstruction.

Results

From a prospectively acquired database of 680 cases, we identified 4 patients who had undergone a purely endoscopic endonasal resection of trigeminal schwannoma. The cohort consisted of 2 males and 2 females ranging in age from 38 to 53 years, with a mean follow-up of 37 months (range 12–94 months). Varying degrees of trigeminal neuropathy involving one or two divisions were the most common form of presentation; two patients additionally presented with abducens nerve palsy (Table 1). With regard to the extent of disease presentation, one patient had involvement of Meckel’s cave with extension into the posterior fossa, whereas the remaining patients had disease limited to Meckel’s cave only. None of the cohort presented with extracranial disease extension.

All patients underwent resection via an endoscopic transpterygoid approach as described above. The posterior fossa was the only site where difficulty in performing a gross-total resection was experienced (Table 1); the only operative complications (palsy in CN VI and first division of CN V) occurred in this same case. The CN VI palsy was transient, improving 3 months postoperatively. No patient experienced a postoperative decrease in lacrimation from possible vidian nerve manipulation. Over a mean follow-up of 37 months, one incidence of progression was encountered 5 months postoperatively in the patient who had residual disease in the posterior fossa. This progression was treated with stereotactic radiosurgery. The remainder of the cohort had no evidence of radiographic disease on their most recent imaging studies. There were no CSF leaks.

Illustrative Cases

Note that a description of Case 4 is not included here since imaging studies and intraoperative images were not available.

Case 1

This 38-year-old man presented with left-sided V2/V3 numbness and masseter weakness and mild CN VI palsy. His preoperative imaging studies (Fig. 1 upper) demonstrated a trigeminal schwannoma restricted to Meckel’s cave. He was taken to the operating room for an endoscopic transpterygoid resection; intraoperative images are shown in Fig. 2 and Video 1.

Video 1. Operative video for Case 1: a left-sided transpterygoid approach for a trigeminal schwannoma and a right-sided transpterygoid approach for a trigeminal schwannoma restricted to Meckel’s cave, respectively. Copyright Theodore Schwartz. Published with permission. Click here to view with Media Player. Click here to view with Quicktime.

Postoperative imaging confirmed gross-total resection (Fig. 1 lower). The patient’s preoperative CN deficits improved to full function by his 3-month postoperative visit.

Case 2

This 42-year-old man presented with left-sided V1/V2 numbness and a hemisensory deficit. Preoperative imaging (Fig. 3 left) demonstrated a dumbbell-shaped trigeminal schwannoma involving both Meckel’s cave and the posterior fossa. The patient underwent an endoscopic...
transpterygoid resection. Postoperative imaging (Fig. 3 right) demonstrated subtotal resection with residual disease located in the cerebellopontine cistern within the posterior fossa. Nevertheless, the brainstem was decompressed and the hemisensory deficit was resolved. The patient suffered a transient CN VI palsy in addition to a corneal ulcer due to worsened neuropathy in the first division of CN V.

Case 3

This 53-year-old woman presented with right-sided masseter weakness in addition to V3 distribution numbness. Preoperative imaging (Fig. 4 upper) demonstrated a mass within Meckel’s cave. The patient underwent an endoscopic transpterygoid resection. Postoperative imaging demonstrated gross-total resection (Fig. 4 lower). The patient suffered no postoperative complications and eventually recovered from her preoperative trigeminal neuropathy.

Discussion

Despite their low incidence, it is evident that, with rare exceptions, trigeminal schwannomas are benign lesions for which total resection can offer a durable cure, whereas subtotal resection is linked to an increased risk of recurrence.4,15,19 Despite their biologically benign behavior, these tumors can pose significant surgical challenges given their different sites of origin and extension along the main root, ganglion, and major divisions of the trigeminal nerve. Additionally, because of the neurovascular density of the middle and posterior fossae, target lesions can be intimately associated with adjacent structures such as the petrous ICA or brainstem. Several classification systems have been proposed as a foundation for a systematic approach in selecting the optimal surgical strategy.5,6,11,21,22 The most apt system is one proposed by Wanibuchi et al. based on 4 anatomical categories of tumor: peripheral, ganglion, root, and dumbbell.21 The peripheral type refers to tumor along V1 in the orbit, V2 in the PPF and maxilla, and V3 into the infratemporal fossa. The ganglion type primarily lies within the middle cranial fossa, whereas the root type lies along the cisternal segment of the trigeminal nerve in the brainstem. The dumbbell category of schwannoma can involve the ganglion and peripheral branches or the ganglion and posterior fossa.

**TABLE 1: Overview of outcomes from endoscopic transpterygoid resection of trigeminal schwannomas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Presenting Symptom</th>
<th>Disease w/ in Posterior Fossa</th>
<th>Extent of Resection</th>
<th>% Tumor Vol Remaining</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Disease Status at Last FU</th>
<th>Duration of FU (mos)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>38, M</td>
<td>V2 numbness, CN VI palsy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40, M</td>
<td>V2 numbness</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>STR</td>
<td>52%†</td>
<td>CN VI palsy, V1 numbness</td>
<td>progression of posterior fossa disease</td>
<td>stable disease</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59, F</td>
<td>CN VI palsy</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53, F</td>
<td>V2/V3 numbness</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>GTR</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>NED</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FU = follow-up; GTR = gross-total resection; NED = no evidence of disease; STR = subtotal resection.
† Posterior fossa component.
Numerous surgical strategies based on tumor category have been reported by Wanibuchi et al.,21 Al-Mefty et al.,1 Samii et al.,17 and Goel et al.5 in the 4 largest series to date. Unfortunately, given differences in the classification systems used, lack of volumetric measurements, and inconsistency in reporting postoperative CN function, it is difficult to combine data to draw conclusions regarding the efficacy of lateral skull base approaches. An overview of the results is shown in Table 2. With the full spectrum of tumor classifications represented among the cohorts, gross-total resection rates of up to 83% were reported, with complication rates ranging between 1.9% and 8%. Unfortunately, according to the reports, it is difficult to assess risk factors for subtotal resection via lateral skull base approaches. In their analysis, Wanibuchi et al. found that the total removal of large, solid dumbbell-type tumors tends to be difficult.21 In an analysis of subtotal or partial resections, these authors did not differentiate between middle-posterior fossa and middle-peripheral type tumors among the dumbbell-type tumors. Furthermore, those tumors intraoperatively considered to be highly vascular, fibrous, and adherent to surrounding neurovascular structures were more likely to be subtotally resected. However, tumors that cannot be completely resected may be amenable to stereotactic radiosurgery, which has been shown to provide 5-year progression-free survival of 95% in selected patients.7

As regards iatrogenic CN injury, the trigeminal nerve and its branches along with CNs within the cavernous sinus were at highest risk in the lateral approaches. While many patients had preoperative trigeminal neuropathy, rates of 11.6%–33% of worsened function in the form of facial pain, hypesthesia, or motor root dysfunction have been reported. While the operative risk of injury is probably related to tumor size and preoperative dysfunction, the concern with lateral skull base approaches is the extent of nerve manipulation required to dissect tumor from the inferomedial surface along the various segments of the nerve. Additionally, surgical injury to the abducens nerve (8%), trochlear nerve (3.7%), and oculomotor nerve (1.9%) has been reported. The relative rates of injury to
the trochlear nerve and oculomotor nerve indicate that the size of the middle fossa–cavernous sinus tumor is the likely risk factor given that the trochlear nerve sits closer to V1 than the oculomotor nerve.

The complicated anatomical course of the abducens nerve places the nerve at varying degrees of risk depending on the category and size of tumor as well as the approach selected. The nerve’s path can be divided into the following segments: cisternal, petrosal, cavernous, and intraorbital.2 Within the posterior fossa, as the cisternal segment of CN VI exits the pontomedullary sulcus at the level of the vertebrobasilar junction and travels toward Dorello’s canal, the nerve lies inferior and medial to the trigeminal nerve. At the level of the petroclinoid ligament (Gruber’s ligament), the petrosal segment of the nerve enters Dorello’s canal directly medial to the trigeminal nerve root. As the nerve transitions to its cavernous segment, the nerve takes a slightly superior trajectory to the trigeminal nerve and eventually lies within the lateral compartment of the cavernous sinus medial and superior to V1 (the superior-most branch of the trigeminal nerve within the lateral cavernous sinus wall). As regards CN VI preservation, it is feasible that the lateral skull base approaches are well suited for middle-posterior fossa tumors approached prior to the nerve and for which sharp dissection can be performed when encountered. However, toward the cavernous sinus and anteriorly, CN VI transitions to a higher pathway where it is never really directly dissected via a transcranial approach unless the supratrochlear triangle in the lateral cavernous sinus wall is opened—placing CN IV at unnecessary risk.9 At this segment of CN IV, more medially based approaches would provide direct visualization.

Endoscopic endonasal transpterygoid approaches have been proposed as a viable option in accessing lesions within Meckel’s cave.8,10,18,20 Numerous reports have documented the management of a spectrum of middle fossa pathologies in this region—ranging from encephaloceles to perineural spread of adenoid cystic carcinoma. With the transposition of the PPF contents and a supravidian approach, V2 can be identified at the foramen rotundum toward the superior aspect of the pterygoid wedge and followed back to the gasserian ganglion. With resection of the pterygoid plates, V3 and its two trunks can be identified in the pterygomaxillary space of the infratemporal fossa and followed to the foramen ovale. The provided ventromedial approach places the trigeminal nerve at the lateral extent of the exposure, while the abducens nerve lies toward the superior aspect of the field. Specifically, as regards trigeminal schwannomas, the theoretical benefit would be reduced manipulation of the trigeminal nerve, translating into reduced rates of postoperative trigeminal neuropathy. Additionally, CN VI could be directly visualized, facilitating extracapsular dissection away from the nerve.

Because of the limited number of cases performed at any one center, a paucity of data directly address the role of endoscopic endonasal approaches for trigeminal schwannomas. Shin et al., in their series of endoscopically resected nonvestibular schwannomas, had 11 trigeminal schwannomas.18 Among this cohort, 4 patients had primarily middle fossa disease, 2 had combined middle-posterior fossa tumor, 3 had combined middle-peripheral disease, and 2 presented with isolated extracranial disease. Those patients with disease extending into the posterior fossa underwent staged resections combined with a retrosigmoid approach. In this reported series, the rate of gross-total resection was 63% with a postoperative trigeminal neuropathy (motor and/or sensory) rate of 45%. Additionally, 54% of patients reported dry eye postresection, and 9% experienced abducens nerve palsy.

When our study and Shin et al.’s are placed in the context of published reports of lateral skull base approaches, it appears that endoscopic approaches provide adequate access, as supported by the rates of gross-total resection. However, a ventral approach may have limited utility for posterior fossa disease given that the only subtotal resection we described was for a combined middle-posterior
## TABLE 2: Overview of reported outcomes from lateral skull base approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of Cases</th>
<th>Tumor Classification</th>
<th>Surgical Strategy</th>
<th>Extent of GTR</th>
<th>Complication Rate (excluding CN injury)</th>
<th>Postop Trigeminal Neuropathy</th>
<th>Postop CN Dysfunction†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Samii et al., 1995</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>middle fossa: 5, posterior fossa: 1, middle-posterior fossa: 5, middle-peripheral: 1</td>
<td>pterional, retrosigmoid, subtemporal-presigmoid, preauricular infratemporal fossa</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>CN VII: 8.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Mefty et al., 2002</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>middle-posterior fossa: 19, NR: 6</td>
<td>trans-zygomatic middle fossa, petrosal cranioorbitozygomatic/pterional</td>
<td>NR‡</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>CN VI: 8%; CN IV: 3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goel et al., 2003</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>middle fossa: 29, posterior fossa: 7, middle-posterior fossa: 30, peripheral only: 7</td>
<td>pterional, infratemporal fossa intradural approach retrosigmoid, lateral basal subtemporal, petrosal NR</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>1.4%§</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
<td>CN VI: 4.1%; CN IV: 2.7%; CN VII–VIII: 2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wanibuchi et al., 2012</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>middle fossa: 39, posterior fossa: 22, middle-posterior fossa: 30, middle-peripheral: 7</td>
<td>pericavernous lateral loop approach retrosigmoid, combined petrosal preauricular infratemporal fossa, preauricular infratemporal fossa</td>
<td>75.2%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>11.6%</td>
<td>CN III: 1.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NR = not reported.
† Postoperative dysfunction of CNs other than CN V.
‡ Recurrence rate 13%.
§ Mortality 2.7%.
fossa tumor, whereas Shin et al. required staged excisions to achieve a resection in this category. While the porus trigeminus can be opened further via a lateral skull base approach by sectioning the superior petrosal sinus, an expanded endonasal approach into the posterior fossa at this level would require skeletonization of the paracaval and laceral segments of the ICA as well as, perhaps, an infrapetrous approach. The limitation of the endoscopic approach in accessing posterior fossa disease is supported by the higher rate of CN V1 palsy in this tumor category. Otherwise, according to the data, endoscopic transpterygoid approaches provide safe access to Meckel’s cave and disease extending along V2 into the PPF and V3 into the infratemporal fossa without risking additional CN morbidity. Alternatively, stereotactic radiosurgery can be used to control residual disease in the posterior fossa if decompression of the brainstem is not required.

The reported rates of dry eye and corneal neurotrophic keratopathy require consideration given that either can be a devastating complication. The presumed mechanism of these complications would be attributable to either vidian nerve injury (if the vidian is transected) or a V1 neuropathy. In our and Shin et al.’s studies, corneal keratopathy was seen in patients with both V1 neuropathy and complaints of dry eye. While an endoscopic transpterygoid approach to Meckel’s cave is typically supravidian and does not require the nerve’s sacrifice, transposition of the PPF contents could theoretically result in vidian nerve injury. Given that an endoscopic approach directly places this nerve at risk, the serious threat of corneal keratopathy should be considered in those patients with either preoperative V1 neuropathy or tumor extending along this division.

Conclusions

Endoscopic endonasal approaches are growing in popularity in the management of skull base pathology. Guidelines for the application of endonasal surgery for resecting trigeminal schwannomas are lacking. Our results suggest that endoscopic transpterygoid approaches can help to achieve optimal resection rates with limited CN morbidity for tumors isolated to Meckel’s cave, with combined Meckel’s cave– peripheral extension, or primarily extracranial in location. Thus far, outcomes in the management of tumors with posterior fossa extension in patients with preoperative V1 neuropathy have been less than ideal. Unfortunately, because of the small number of patients in our study and described in the literature, it is difficult to ascertain the utility of endoscopic endonasal approaches in the management of trigeminal schwannomas. This is compounded by the low incidence and anatomical variability of these tumors. Future multiinstitutional studies with standardized outcome assessment will be necessary to determine the ideal surgical approach for each category of trigeminal schwannoma.
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