Several surgical routes have been described for the craniovertebral junction (CVJ) region because of its unique anatomy and vital surrounding structures. The transoral approach with microscopic assistance has been the standard procedure to perform an odontoidectomy, in accordance with the etiology of the disease, the mechanism of compression, and whether the bone could or could not be reduced. Odontoidectomy is necessary when there is a nonreducible bony compression of the spinal cord or soft-tissue pannus, causing severe ventral compression and resulting in progressive myelopathy. Without cord contusion or progressive myelopathy, posterior decompression and fusion will lead to reduction over time.

The risk of bacterial contamination, prolonged postoperative intubation, nasogastric tube feeding, tongue swelling, and nasopharyngeal incompetence after transoral surgery have led researchers to identify alternative routes to reach this region. The possibility of performing an odontoidectomy through the nose is strictly related to how high the C1–2 junction is in relation to...
the nasal bones and the hard palate. Cadaveric studies have demonstrated its feasibility.\(^1\),\(^2\),\(^22\) In 2005, Kassam et al.\(^3\) documented an expanded endoscopic endonasal approach (EEA), which has since been used, modified, and reported by several authors\(^9\),\(^11\),\(^12\),\(^16\),\(^18\),\(^34\),\(^36\).

We present our experience with craniofacial pathological entities that required posterior instrumentation and odontoidectomy. Endoscopic endonasal odontoidectomy (EEO) and transoral microscopic odontoidectomy (TMO) are described, analyzed, and compared.

**Methods**

Our senior author (J.B.C.) performed all the surgeries at the National Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery in Mexico City between January 2009 and January 2013. All of the patients provided a medical history. A thin-cut CT angiography (CTA) study of the cervical spine was taken, providing a detailed evaluation of the vascular and bony structures of the atlas and axis. Magnetic resonance imaging was used to evaluate neural compression, and CTA and MRI were used for neuronavigation. Patient information including demographic data, clinical outcome, surgical approach, operative details, reconstruction, and complications were collected.

Twenty-seven cases of CVJ instability were documented between 2009 and 2013. Fifteen patients required posterior instrumentation; 12 of the cases required odontoidectomy and posterior instrumentation and were included in this study. Seven surgeries were performed using a transoral microscopic approach (TMA) and 5 using EEA. The basilar invagination (BI) was measured using the Chamberlain line (values ≥ 6 mm were considered positive). Atlantoaxial subluxation (AAS) was diagnosed using the anterior atlantodental interval (values > 5 mm were considered positive) and the posterior atlantodental interval (values < 14 mm were considered positive).

We performed descriptive statistics analysis with IBM SPSS Statistics software version 19.0. The continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U-test or t-test, according to the distribution after performing the normality tests (Kolmogorov and Shapiro-Wilk test). The categorical variables were compared using the Pearson chi-square test, the Fisher exact test, or the Kruskal-Wallis test, depending on the variables. The statistical significance was set at \(p \leq 0.05\).

**Surgical Techniques**

The EEA was used in the cases in which the odontoid process was above the nasopalatine line;\(^7\) a straight line starting from the inferior midpoint of the nasal bone and ending at the lowest point on the odontoid or C-2 while remaining tangential to, but not crossing, the hard palate\(^6\) (Fig. 1D). The TMA was used when the dens was below the nasopalatine line. All of the cases were performed using the neuronavigation system (VectorVision 2, Brainlab), C-arm fluoroscope, and with the aid of electrophysiological monitoring in which the somatosensory evoked potentials were used. Patients were intubated by a neuroanesthesiologist using an armored oral endotracheal tube. Ten minutes before surgery was started, 1 g of ceftriaxone and 600 mg of clindamycin were administered. The patient was positioned supine, with the head fixed to a Mayfield head holder; the central face area was prepared and draped in sterile fashion.

**Endoscopic Endonasal Odontoidectomy**

We use a Karl Storz 0° 18 cm × 4 mm endoscope attached to a high-definition camera with an irrigating sheath. The head is placed with a slight flexion and tilted toward the surgeon. The nostrils of the patient faced right-handed operators. Endoscopic and navigation monitors are placed cephalic to the patient. We use a binocular approach. No endoscopic holder was used; an assistant holds the camera and irrigates when needed. Partial sphenoidectomy is done and the posterior septum is detached from the vomer. The midline mucosa and fascia are incised along the raphe using monopolar electrocautery. The longus capitis and longus colli muscles are reflected laterally. The carotid canals, pterygoid canal, and vidian nerve are identified with navigation assistance, and then the fossa of Rosenmüller is visualized.

Neuronavigation is important for localizing the lower clivus and anterior arch of C-1. We began exposing the anterior arch of C-1 and the lower clivus depending on the BI, when preservation of the anterior arch of the atlas was done if feasible. The arch of C-1 was displaced with a dissector, the odontoid was drilled out with an angulated high-speed drill until a very thin layer of bone was left, and then the Kerrison rongeur was used to take the thin layer of bone. In some cases of BI we had to drill out the most inferior part of the clivus and the arch of C-1 to get to the tip of the odontoid. The extent of the resection was confirmed with neuronavigation and fluoroscopy. The surgical defect was covered with Gelfoam and fibrin glue (Video 1).

**Video 1.** Video showing the EEA. Copyright Juan Barges-Coll. Published with permission. Click here to view with Media Player. Click here to view with Quicktime.

**Transoral Microscopic Odontoidectomy**

The patients are positioned supine with the head in extension. Two retractors are placed to move the tongue and the endotracheal tube away. The midline is identified using neuronavigation; mucosa and muscles are incised along the raphe using monopolar electrocautery. We start drilling the anterior arch of C-1 or the lower clivus to expose the odontoid process, and when feasible preservation of the anterior arch is done. The next step is to detach the apical ligaments of the dens with an angle curette and Kerrison rongeurs. Finally, we drill out the dens with a 6-mm ball diamond tool. When the soft tissue (pannus) is encountered, we remove it partially until the dura mater is visualized and preserved. Fluoroscopy and neuronavigation are used to confirm the extent of the decompression. At the end of the operation a nasogastric tube is placed (Video 2).

**Video 2.** Video showing the TMA. Copyright Juan Barges-Coll. Published with permission. Click here to view with Media Player. Click here to view with Quicktime.
Results

Twenty-seven cases of CVJ instability were documented between 2009 and 2013. Fifteen patients required posterior instrumentation; only 12 of the cases required odontoidectomy and posterior instrumentation and were included in this study. One already had occipital-cervical instrumentation and required an odontoidectomy. An EEA was performed in 5 cases and a TMA in 7 cases. Complete odontoid resection with adequate anterior decompression and fixation was successfully obtained in all patients (Figs. 1 and 2). Adequate anterior decompression was achieved when CSF was observed anterior to the cervical medulla or brainstem in the MRI studies.

The demographic data of the patients are shown in Table 1. Basilar invagination was demonstrated using the Chamberlain line (mean 11 mm) in 4 cases from the EEO group and in 2 cases from the TMO group (mean 6 mm).

The most common diagnosis was AAS, which was associated with rheumatoid arthritis in 4 cases and Chiari malformation in 2 cases. Odontoidectomy with anterior arch preservation was performed in 7 cases with both techniques. When the preservation of the anterior arch was thought to be not feasible after the CTA and MRI analyses, we performed an Oc–C2 fixation technique (Table 2). Seven cases were fixated using lateral mass and translaminar screws (C1–2) via a posterior approach in the same surgical time (Fig. 2), before the odontoidec- tomy was performed. Four cases were fixated with occipital-cervical instrumentation (Oc–C2). One case had undergone a previous fixation (Oc–C4) in another hospital.

The endoscopic approach required a longer surgical time (EEO 238 minutes vs TMO 141 minutes) (p \(\leq 0.02\)), involved more bleeding (EEO 572 ml vs TMO 91 ml) (p \(\leq 0.01\)), and fewer postoperative stay days (EEO 2.8 days vs TMO 6.5 days) (p \(\leq 0.01\)). All patients from the EEO group were extubated postoperatively and started on fluids 12 hours after surgery. In the TMO group, 6 patients remained intubated for 24 hours and 1 for 48 hours; oral feeding started with fluids 72 hours after surgery. Time to extubation and oral feeding was significantly shorter in the EEA group (p \(\leq 0.001\) vs p \(\leq 0.009\)). We had no complications in the endoscopic group. Two patients from the TMA group presented with postoperative dysphonia, 1 patient experienced dysphagia, and 1 patient had a minor CSF leak that was repaired intraperatively with a fat graft and a fibrin sealant. The dysphonia and dysphagia resolved 2 weeks after the surgery.

In regard to outcome, 9 patients had recovery of neurological function according to evaluation with the American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) scale at least 6 months after surgery, with improvement of motor function and reduction of neck pain. Three patients had re-duction of neck pain without motor function improvement (Table 1).

Discussion

The transoral corridor is the mainstay route used for CVJ pathological entities because it is safe, fast, and efficacious.\(^9\) The EEA works as an alternative for CVJ lesions located above the level of the atlas rim, and based on our experience, it could be considered as the standard...
procedure in cases with BI. Very high BI can become problematic with the transoral approach; visualization of the tip of the odontoid becomes difficult and the endonasal approach may be more direct.

The major risk when treating the anterior portion of the CVJ is the vertebral artery, which is 24 mm lateral to C-1, as stated by Crockard. The only visual obstruction is the venous bleeding during the inferior third clivectomy, which is easily controlled using fibrin sealant. The visual anatomy of the CVJ via endonasal and transoral approaches is similar, with a different angle of attack; the direction to reach the odontoid is straight ahead using the EEA and angled upward with the transoral approach. There is a shorter distance to the surgical target when using EEA, as demonstrated by Baird et al., and the views between the endoscope and microscope are different; we believe that the movements in such a small working area are more fluent and faster with an endoscope. Drilling is more comfortable because the surgeon holds the drill closely with the desired angle, without obstructing the view and with better illumination.

The advantages of EEA are a smaller incision in the nasopharynx, which is in theory less contaminated than the more caudal transoral route. With EEA, a middle turbinectomy or removal of the posterior portion of the nasal septum could enlarge the surgical corridor without causing postoperative respiratory problems. Mouth retractors are not necessary and prolonged compression of the tongue or split of the soft palate are avoided. Unlike the transoral approaches, there is no need for a nasogastric tube postoperatively, and the risk of upper airway swelling is minor.

Serious complications documented in previous case reports and clinical series are infrequent. However, maintaining the integrity of the dura mater is crucial. Magrini et al. reported a CSF leak repaired with a fat graft and replacing the mucosal flap; Wu et al. repaired 1 of their 3 cases with fat graft and fibrin glue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>BI (mm)</th>
<th>Op Time (mins)</th>
<th>Blood Loss (ml)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>LOS (days)</th>
<th>ASIA Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEO</td>
<td>RA + BI</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RA + BI</td>
<td>52, F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CM + AAS</td>
<td>28, M</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>C, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RA + BI + AAS</td>
<td>49, F</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>D, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAS + hypoplasia of basiocciput</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMO</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>18, F</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>21, F</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RA + AAS + BI</td>
<td>42, F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>dysphonia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>C, E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>16, M</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>intraop CSF leak</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>D, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>42, M</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>D, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CM + AAS + BI</td>
<td>29, F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>dysphagia/dysphonia</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>51, F</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>C, D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CM = Chiari malformation; LOS = length of hospital stay; RA = rheumatoid arthritis.
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TABLE 2: Fixation and C-1 anterior arch preservation in 12 patients with odontoidectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Fixation</th>
<th>Preservation of C-1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>RA + BI</td>
<td>C1–2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>RA + BI</td>
<td>C1–2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CM + AAS + BI</td>
<td>Oc–C2</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>RA + BI + AAS</td>
<td>Oc–C2</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAS + hypoplasia of basiocciput</td>
<td>Oc–C2</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>C1–2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>C1–2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RA + AAS + BI</td>
<td>Oc–C2</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>C1–2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>C1–2</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CM + AAS + BI</td>
<td>Oc–C2</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>AAS</td>
<td>Oc–C2</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Oc = occiput.

In our series we had 1 CSF leak in the TMO group that was repaired with fat graft and fibrin glue. There have not been persistent CSF leaks or late infectious complications reported in the literature with the EEO. The EEA provides a shorter route to the surgical field and the close-up view allows better drilling precision, which in our opinion prevents dural tears. In previous reports only 3 cases of velopharyngeal insufficiency (VPI) have been detailed, which resolved during the follow-up, and there was 1 death attributed to pulmonary embolism 2 weeks after surgery. In our series, we did not document VPI and there were no deaths.

Basilar invagination favors complete EEA resection of the odontoid process; however, it is essential in the preoperative analysis to project the nasopalatine line in the CT. De Almeida et al. demonstrated that the nasopalatine line infers accurately the most inferior limit of endoscopic endonasal dissection (8.9 mm above the base of the C-2 vertebral body). We could achieve complete odontoidectomy in the 12 cases, and the trajectory using the nasopalatine line was accurate for the EEA group. Nevertheless, accurate neuronavigation is an important adjunct for achieving a complete odontoidectomy. Hickman et al. reported a pediatric endoscopic odontoidectomy that required a second intervention, and they explained that the main factor was the lack of accuracy of the navigation system because of the loosening of the head pins in the thin skull attributable to osteogenesis imperfecta. Gempt et al. performed a second surgery for a laterally and caudally expanded resection 5 days after the first surgery, due to persistent symptoms and brainstem compression. They agreed with the importance of neuronavigation because there are no clear landmarks for the lateral extent of the resection; however, the lateral exposure is limited by the eustachian tubes initially and by the atlantooccipital articulation deep in the field.

Partial anterior C-1 ring preservation may provide better occipitocervical stability. The preservation of the anterior arch of C-1 provides support in the coronal plane and acts as a physiological cross-link limiting lateral displacement for the lateral masses of C-1 caused by the wedging of C-1 between the occiput and C-2. When feasible, odontoidectomy with preservation of the anterior arch of the atlas was done (Table 2), so in conjunction with C1–2 arthrodesis, odontoidectomy stabilizes the occipital-atlantoaxial segments while conserving more cervical mobility compared with an occipital-cervical fusion. Wright described the C-2 translaminar screw fixation connected to C-1 lateral mass screws, offering rigid fixation without the technical difficulties of C-2 pars instrumentation and eliminating the risk of vascular injury (vertebral artery). In our cases, C1–2 arthrodesis was performed using C-1 lateral mass and C-2 translaminar screws, which is easier, safer, and faster than other techniques, with good biomechanical results.

Arguably, because of the learning curve of the surgery, time and blood loss were higher with EEA. We hypothesize that acquiring more experience with the expanded EEA could significantly reduce the surgical time. The higher estimated blood loss in EEA could be attributable to the longer drilling time as well as to the availability of fibrin sealants, which is dependent on the economic status of the patient. The time to extubation and feeding was significantly shorter for the EEA group, confirming the data reported by Komotar et al. in their study, shortening the hospital stay in comparison with the TMO group, which considerably reduces the hospital costs for the patient. The clinical outcome is related to the chronicity of the myelopathy and the severity of the preoperative neurological deficit. Clinical improvement was similar for both approaches because both provide adequate brainstem or spinal cord decompression; however, it seems that complications might be reduced with the EEA.

Other alternatives had been reported to improve some of the disadvantages of the TMA. Using the endoscope in the transoral approach prevents splitting of the soft palate; however, compression of the tongue, airway swelling, VPI, and damage to the mouth and teeth are not prevented. Robot-assisted transoral odontoidectomy may decrease the tongue retraction, lessen damage to the mouth and teeth, improve safety, and avoid difficulties during the pharyngeal dissection, and, in the case of CSF leakage, reapproximation of the dura could be done successfully with the da Vinci Surgical System. On the other hand, the endoscopic transcervical route provides a sterile surgical field and fewer retraction complications, but is limited to CVJ pathological entities beneath the lower clivus. However, anatomically the EEA has better advantages than the transoral endoscopic, robot-assisted, and transcervical approaches in lesions above the nasopalatine line. The use of the da Vinci Surgical System looks promising once the proper instrumentation has been developed for endoscopic endonasal techniques. Even though there is a selection bias based on the anatomy of the lesion (position of the dens in relation to the nasopalatine line), our initial experience favors the endoscopic endonasal route for the following reasons: a
better view, safer drilling, less bacterial contamination, no tongue or airway swelling, no need of a nasogastric tube, no complications, shorter time to extubation and oral feeding, and a shorter hospital stay. Although EEO has already proved to be safe, effective, and with lower morbidity than the transoral route, experience with EEA from other centers is necessary for evaluating the effectiveness of this approach further.

Conclusions

Previous studies9–12,14,16,17,22,25,30,31,34 have demonstrated the effectiveness and feasibility of an odontoidectomy performed using an EEA and suggest the possibility of less invasiveness than with the oral or cervical approaches. In our small series there is no evidence of different neurological functional outcomes between the approaches. There is a reduction in the complication rates related to the surgical route that favors EEA.
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