Microendoscopic decompression for cervical spondylotic myelopathy
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Object. Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) is a common cervical degenerative disease that affects the elderly population. Spinal cord decompression is achieved through various anterior and posterior approaches including anterior cervical decompression and fusion, laminectomy, laminoplasty, and combined approaches. The authors describe another option, minimally invasive endoscopically assisted decompression of stenosis (MEDS), which obviates the need for muscle dissection and disruption of the posterior tension band, a cause of postlaminectomy kyphosis.

Methods. The authors conducted a retrospective study of 10 patients with CSM who underwent MEDS from January 2002 through July 2012. Data were collected on demographics, preoperative and postoperative Nurick scores, postoperative Odom scores, and preoperative and postoperative Cobb angles.

Results. The mean patient age (± SD) was 67 ± 7.7 years; 8 patients were male. The average number of disc levels operated on was 2.2 (range 1–4). The mean Nurick score was 1.6 ± 0.7 preoperatively and improved to 0.3 ± 0.7 postoperatively (p < 0.0005). The postoperative Odom scores indicated excellent outcomes for 4 patients, good for 3, fair for 2, and poor for 1. The average preoperative focal Cobb angle at the disc levels operated on was −0.43° ± 1.9°. The average Cobb angle at the last follow-up visit was 0.25° ± 1.6° (p = 0.6). The average follow-up time was 18.9 ± 32.1 months. There were no intraoperative or postoperative complications.

Conclusions. For selected patients with CSM, whose pathologic changes are primarily posterior and who have acceptable preoperative lordosis, MEDS is an alternative to open laminectomy and laminoplasty.
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Among elderly persons, CSM is the most common cervical spinal cord disorder.8 These patients often experience gait dystaxia and problems with fine motor skills and dexterity. They might also have signs reflecting upper motor neuron disease.8 Although intervention is controversial during the early stages of the disease when symptoms are absent or minimal,21 surgical intervention is often pursued as symptoms progress.5,19,24

The goal of surgical intervention is primarily spinal cord decompression. If the patient has coexisting kyphotic deformity or instability, fusion is also required. Decompression is achieved through anterior, posterior, or combined approaches. Anterior approaches include anterior cervical discectomy and corpectomies;13,20 posterior approaches involve laminectomies with or without fusions and laminoplasties.9,14,22,24

Multilevel laminectomies are associated with increased risk for postlaminectomy kyphosis20,24 (6%–47%) because of the potential destabilizing effects after removal of the spinous process and the supraspinous and interspinous ligaments (posterior tension band) during the laminectomy. Laminoplasty minimizes the amount of posterior tension band removed and, hence, minimizes the risk for postoperative kyphosis. The comparative outcomes, however, are still a matter of controversy.14

Minimally invasive techniques for operating on the spine have evolved; the goal is to achieve the same outcomes as open surgeries but through smaller incisions and, more importantly, with less muscle dissection. Avoiding muscle trauma and devascularization lowers rates of wound infections, blood loss, postoperative pain, and hospitalization time.7 We have used a minimally invasive technique to treat certain cases of cervical spondylotic myelopathy. The patients are primarily those who have acceptable preoperative effective cervical lordosis,6 whose preoperative flexion–extension radiographs do not indicate abnor-
mal motion, and whose radiographs do not show segmental listhesis. The technique involves a unilateral paramedian approach through small incisions, with minimal muscle dissection, through which decompressive single or multi-level bilateral hemilaminotomies are achieved with total preservation of the posterior tension band.

Methods

Data Collection

After obtaining institutional review board approval from Northwestern University and the University of Chicago, we retrospectively reviewed the charts and radiographs of 10 patients who had undergone MEDS for CSM from January 2002 through July 2012. These patients were identified among 248 patients with CSM who had been treated with other approaches during the same time. Outcomes were measured by using preoperative and postoperative Nurick scores and postoperative Odom scores and comparing preoperative and postoperative Cobb angle measurements at the levels operated on. The angle was measured between the superior endplate of the rostrally decompressed level and the inferior endplate of the caudally decompressed level. We used this technique primarily for patients without preoperative cervical kyphosis (neutral or lordotic), indicated by their effective cervical lordosis.

Statistical Analyses

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD; categorical variation is expressed as a percentage. Statistical analyses were performed by using the standard Student t-test (Microsoft Excel 2010) when appropriate.

Surgical Technique

After the patient has been intubated and general anesthesia has been induced, the patient is placed in a 3-point pin Mayfield headrest and positioned sitting. With fluoroscopic guidance, a 2.0- to 2.5-cm incision is then marked 1.5 cm lateral to the midline at the level of interest. After the incision is made, the fascia is incised under direct vision. Dissection to the lateral mass/facet is then performed by using Metz scissors under fluoroscopic guidance. This dissection enables easy, tension-free insertion of sequential dilators to dilate the paraspinal muscles, docking at the facet at all times. An appropriately sized working channel is introduced and attached to an extension arm attached to the table. The working channel (tubular retractor) (Fig. 1) is then angled at about 30° to 45° to achieve ipsilateral and contralateral spinal cord decompression. Whenever possible, the ligamentum flavum is kept intact to help protect the dura. The working channel is then angled to the contralateral side, and contralateral decompression is achieved by drilling the base of the spinous process and ventral surface of the contralateral lamina to the contralateral pedicle. The ligamentum flavum can then be removed. Care is taken to not put any pressure whatsoever on the thecal sac during drilling and decompression (Figs. 3 and 4).

Further decompression at other levels is conducted by angulating the working channel cranially or caudally as needed. After achieving hemostasis, the working channel is removed carefully and the fascia is approximated. The subcutaneous layer is then approximated, the skin is sutured in a subcuticular fashion, and skin glue (Derma-bond, Johnson & Johnson) is applied.

Results

Among the 10 patients, the mean age was 67 ± 7.7
years, 8 were male, and 4 had radiculopathy in addition to myelopathy and received foraminotomies at the corresponding symptomatic levels. The average disc level operated on was 2.2 (range 1–4) (Table 1). The mean Nurick score was 1.6 ± 0.7 preoperatively and improved to 0.3 ± 0.7 postoperatively (p = 0.0005). Postoperative Odom scores indicated excellent outcomes for 4 patients, good outcomes for 3, fair outcomes for 2, and poor outcome for 1. Radiographically, the mean preoperative focal Cobb angle at the disc level operated on was −0.43° ± 1.9°. The mean Cobb angle at the last follow-up visit was 0.25° ± 1.6° (p = 0.6) (Table 2). The mean blood loss was 32.3 ± 12.5 ml, and the mean hospitalization time was 1.6 ± 0.5 days. The average follow-up time for the patients was 18.9 ± 32.1 months. None of the 10 patients experienced intraoperative or postoperative complications.

**Discussion**

While achieving the same goals of traditional open surgeries, minimally invasive surgery techniques obviate stripping and dissection of the paraspinal muscles. This avoidance of muscle trauma, atrophy, and ischemia leads to faster patient recovery, less intraoperative blood loss, lower rates of postoperative wound infections, and lower cost, as has been demonstrated by multiple studies, especially in the lumbar spine. For treatment of cervical radiculopathy, minimally invasive laminoforaminotomy via microscope or endoscope has been gaining popularity.

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy is an increasingly prevalent problem that affects elderly persons. In patients with spondylotic changes, spinal cord compression results from disc osteophyte spurs anteriorly, hypertrophied facets, and thickening of the ligamentum flavum posteriorly. Regardless whether fusions are performed, decompression has been included as part of the surgical strategy. Laminectomies, especially at multiple levels, have been associated with development of postoperative kyphosis, which adversely affects outcomes. Efforts to avoid this outcome include supplemental fusion or laminoplasty (a technique in which the posterior tension band is not disrupted).

We propose an alternative minimally invasive technique, MEDS, which avoids the resection and disruption of the spinous process and the interspinous and supraspinous ligaments (the posterior tension band). This technique should decrease the chances of postlaminectomy kyphosis, especially after multilevel decompression. This technique also obviates the need for posterior fusion and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>age in yrs*</td>
<td>67 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% male</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disc level operated on*</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood loss (ml)*</td>
<td>32.3 ± 12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hospitalization in days*</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are presented as the mean ± SD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Measurement (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nurick score</td>
<td>Preop: 1.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postop: 0.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobb angle*</td>
<td>Preop: −0.43° ± 1.9°</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Postop: 0.25° ± 1.6°</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* At levels operated on. Negative value reflects lordotic angle.
the resultant loss of motion and risk for adjacent segment degeneration and disease.23

In CSM patients who have appropriate effective cervical lordosis and no abnormal motion (according to dynamic preoperative imaging), decompression can be technically successful through MEDS. To date only 1 study, conducted by Minamide et al.,12 has explored the clinical outcomes of microendoscopic decompression surgery for cervical myelopathy. Their retrospective study analyzed 51 patients who underwent MEDS for CSM. The average patient age was 62.9 years, and average follow-up time was 20.3 months. The outcome measures were Japanese Orthopaedic Association scores, 36-Item Short Form Health Survey responses, and visual analog scale scores for axial pain. The Japanese Orthopaedic Association score was 10.1 preoperatively and improved to 13.6 postoperatively. All 36-Item Short Form Survey subcategories improved postoperatively. The visual analog scale score was 46 preoperatively and improved to 15 postoperatively. Radiographically, the average lordotic Cobb angle at the levels operated on was 6.7º preoperatively and remained the same at the last follow-up visit. Among the 51 patients, 4 complications occurred: 1 dural tear that was repaired intraoperatively, 2 postoperative C-5 palsies, and 1 compressive epidural hematoma that caused quadriplegia and required operative evacuation. The average hospital stay for the 51 patients was 8.6 days.

We demonstrated similar improved outcomes, on average, for the 10 CSM patients in our study. No postoperative worsening of the Cobb angle occurred, no complications occurred, and all patients were discharged within 48 hours.

Additionally, MEDS enables performance of foraminotomies, through the same working channel, for patients with mixed myelopathy and radiculopathy symptoms. The destabilizing effect of adding a foraminotomy to the partial laminectomy during MEDS is less than that of performing a foraminotomy after a total laminectomy during an open procedure26 (unpublished data from finite element modeling studies). Biomechanical finite element modeling studies have demonstrated that motion in the lumbar spine during flexion, extension, and right and left axial rotation is increased more after traditional laminectomy than after minimally invasive laminectomy.1,15

Another advantage of MEDS is its ability to be performed at noncontiguous levels with smaller incisions and without unnecessary muscle dissection and exposures.

Of note, although MEDS carries the benefits of other minimally invasive techniques and avoids disruption of the posterior tension band, it is applicable to only certain CSM patients: those who have acceptable cervical lordosis, those for whom dynamic imaging does not indicate instability, and those who do not have segmental listheses. For patients who have primarily anterior pathologic changes, kyphotic deformities, or instability, other corrective or reconstructive instrumented techniques (anterior, posterior, or a combination) should be pursued. This selection process is reflected by the fact that only 10 of the 248 patients treated for cervical spondylotic myelopathy over 10 years were candidates for MEDS. This paper should be carefully interpreted as describing a new technique for carefully selected patients with CSM. Future studies comparing this technique with other dorsal-approach surgeries for CSM, such as laminectomies and laminoplasties, should provide more substantial evidence of efficacy if better and validated outcome measures (such as the Neck Disability Index and modified Japanese Orthopaedic Association scores) are used.

Conclusions

MEDS can be used instead of open laminectomy and laminoplasty for CSM patients with acceptable preoperative lordosis and no abnormal motion. More definitive comparative studies are needed to demonstrate the efficacy and complication profiles of MEDS, open dorsal decompressions, and laminoplasty procedures for treatment of CSM.
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