**A patient with myelomeningocele: is untethering necessary prior to scoliosis correction?**
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**Object.** Tethering of the spinal cord is thought to increase the chance of neurological injury when scoliosis correction is undertaken. All patients with myelomeningocele (MM) are radiographically tethered, and untethering procedures carry significant morbidity risks including worsening neurological function and wound complications. No guidelines exist as regards untethering in patients with MM prior to scoliosis correction surgery. The authors’ aim in this study was to evaluate their experience in patients with MM who were not untethered before scoliosis correction.

**Methods.** Seventeen patients with MM were retrospectively identified and 1) had no evidence of a clinically symptomatic tethered cord, 2) had undergone spinal fusion for scoliosis correction, and 3) had not been untethered for at least 1 year prior to surgery. The minimum follow-up after fusion was 2 years. Charts and radiographs were reviewed for neurological or shunt complications in the perioperative period.

**Results.** The average age of the patients was 12.4 years, and the following neurological levels were affected: T-12 and above, 7 patients; L-1/L-2, 6 patients; L-3, 2 patients; and L-4, 2 patients. All were radiographically tethered as confirmed on MR imaging. Fourteen of the patients (82%) had a ventriculoperitoneal shunt. The mean Cobb angle was corrected from 82° to 35°, for a 57% correction. All patients underwent neuromonitoring of their upper extremities, and some underwent lower extremity monitoring as well. Postoperatively, no patient experienced a new cranial nerve palsy, shunt malfunction, change in urological function, or upper extremity weakness/sensory loss. One patient had transient lower extremity weakness, which returned to baseline within 1 month of surgery.

**Conclusions.** The study results suggested that spinal cord untethering may be unnecessary in patients with MM who are undergoing scoliosis corrective surgery and do not present with clinical symptoms of a tethered cord, even though tethering is radiographically demonstrated. (DOI: 10.3171/2010.3.FOCUS1072)
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Scoliosis often develops in patients with myelomeningocele.3,16 Risk factors for the development of scoliosis in these patients include motor level, ambulatory status, and last intact laminar arch, with scoliosis developing in almost 100% of patients with a thoracic motor level.3,16 In addition, virtually all patients with MM radiographically demonstrate a tethered spinal cord, although only 10–30% exhibit symptoms.1,2,15 This occurs due to a difficulty in closing the dura mater around the neural placode to keep it bathed in CSF and prevent adherence to the dura.

A tethered spinal cord can predispose patients to neurological injury when they undergo surgical correction of scoliosis.5,12,17 Theoretically, this occurs due to excessive traction on a tethered spinal cord. Symptoms can include those attributed to shunt malfunction, cranial nerve palsies, upper extremity weakness, and worsening lower extremity function. However, untethering the spinal cord in patients with MM also carries some risk of morbidity including worsening neurological function and wound problems.24 No reports in the literature address whether untethering is necessary in an asymptomatic patient with MM who presents for scoliosis surgery. Practice patterns vary from institution to institution with some advocating untethering of all patients and others never untethering prior to scoliosis correction. In the present report, we describe our experience with patients with MM who did not undergo untethering prior to their scoliosis surgery.

**Methods**

After obtaining institutional review board approval we performed a retrospective review of all patients with MM who had undergone surgery for scoliosis correction at our institution. None of the patients had been untethered for 1 year prior to their surgical procedure. Patient charts and radiographs were reviewed for the major coronal Cobb angle, sagittal kyphosis and lordosis, sagittal and coronal balance, and presence of a shunt. Intraoperative and postoperative complications were noted with

Abbreviation used in this paper: MM = myelomeningocele.
particular attention devoted to shunt issues and neurological function including the brainstem, a change in urological function, and upper and/or lower extremity function.

Results

Patient Demographics

Seventeen patients—10 females and 7 males—with an MM who underwent surgery for scoliosis were identified. None of these patients presented with symptoms suggesting symptomatic tethered cord, and none had been tethered in the year preceding their scoliosis surgery. The average age was 12.4 years (range 10–17 years). The affected motor levels were thoracic (7 patients), L-1 or L-2 (6 patients), L-3 (2 patients), and L-4 (2 patients; Table 1). The major curve was lumbar in 6 patients (apex L-1/L-2 disc to L-4), thoracolumbar in 5 patients (apex T-12 to L-1), and thoracic in 6 patients (apex T-2 to T-11/T-12 disc). Fourteen patients underwent a T-2 to pelvis fusion. The remaining 3 patients underwent fusion of the following levels: T10–L4 (2 patients) and T11–L4 (1 patient).

Scoliosis Correction

The preoperative Cobb angle averaged 82° (range 56°–120°), and it measured 35° (range 20°–55°) at the last follow-up, for a 57% correction (Fig. 1). Maximal kyphosis averaged 50° (range 20°–110°) preoperatively, and improved to 33° (range 12°–66°) postoperatively (Table 2).

Neurological Complications

No patient experienced a shunt-related issue postoperatively. In addition, no new cranial nerve palsies, change in urological function, or upper extremity neurological deterioration occurred. One patient, whose MM motor level was at L-4, had moderate right quadriceps muscle weakness postoperatively, which improved to baseline function over 1 month. The etiology of the weakness was unclear as a postoperative CT was unremarkable. Although neurological complications were sparse, wound problems occurred in 4 (23%) of 17 patients, a rate similar to others reported in the literature.8,14

Discussion

Our results suggest that untethering the spinal cord in patients with MM who do not present with symptoms of a tethered cord may be unnecessary before surgical correction of their scoliosis. In our series of 17 patients, significant correction of scoliosis was achieved, with only 1 patient sustaining a temporary lower extremity deficit. No shunt problems, upper extremity weakness, or brainstem dysfunction was observed in the perioperative period. The main limitations of our study are its retrospective nature and relatively small sample size.

Virtually all patients with MM demonstrate radiographic tethering.5,13 Theoretically, the spinal column lengthening that occurs with scoliosis correction can result in neurological deterioration. Little has been published on whether patients should undergo untethering prior to correction of their scoliosis. Al-Holou et al.1 commented on prophylactic untethering in patients with MM undergoing scoliosis surgery. Similarly, in a subsection of their paper, Bowman et al.2 reported on 10 children with severe sco-
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TABLE 2: Deformity correction*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deformity</th>
<th>Cobb Angle (°)</th>
<th>Preop</th>
<th>Most Recent Follow-Up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>major coronal curve</td>
<td>82 (56–120)</td>
<td>35 (20–55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kyphosis</td>
<td>50 (20–110)</td>
<td>33 (12–66)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are presented as means with ranges in parentheses.

Scoliosis who “underwent untethering immediately prior to spinal fusion to prevent neurological decline secondary to possible spinal column lengthening.” At our institution we do not untether patients with MM who are undergoing scoliosis correction surgery, as long as they do not present with symptoms suggestive of a tethered cord.

Symptoms suggestive of a tethered cord in these patients include pain, weakness, gait abnormality, lower extremity hip and foot deformity, urological changes, and rapidly progressive scoliosis.2,6 After untethering, improvements are commonly seen in pain and often in weakness, gait, and urological changes.1,2 Results of untethering in patients with a rapidly progressive scoliosis appear to be best when the curve is < 40°–45° and in patients whose motor level is below T-12.2,7,10,11 Pierz et al.10 reported on 21 patients with MM and rapidly progressive scoliosis who underwent untethering. None of the patients with curves > 40° or with an affected thoracic level demonstrated any benefit. Reigel et al.11 examined 216 patients with MM who were untethered and found none of those with thoracic level MM stabilized.

Although none of our patients experienced shunt malfunction in the early postoperative period, this complication is potentially devastating in this population. In their series of 77 patients with MM undergoing scoliosis surgery, Geiger et al.4 described 4 patients who presented with acute postoperative shunt failure, with 1 death. The mechanism for acute shunt failure may include blood products entering the CSF and blocking the shunt, or shunt breakage from the change in alignment of the torso after scoliosis correction. Heavy narcotics for postoperative management should be used with caution to ensure adequate neurological assessment.

Conclusions

Virtually all patients with MM have a tethered spinal cord. Our results suggest that in a patient with MM who is asymptomatic, one may not need to untether the spinal cord prior to scoliosis surgery. These findings should be considered preliminary as the number of patients in our study was relatively small.
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