Intraoperative stimulation techniques for functional pathway preservation and glioma resection

NADER SANAI, M.D., AND MITCHEL S. BERGER, M.D.

Brain Tumor Research Center, Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, California

Although a primary tenet of neurosurgical oncology is that survival can improve with greater tumor resection, this principle must be tempered by the potential for functional loss following a radical removal. Preoperative planning with functional and physiological imaging paradigms, combined with intraoperative strategies such as cortical and subcortical stimulation mapping, can effectively reduce the risks associated with operating in eloquent territory. In addition to identifying critical motor pathways, these techniques can be adapted to identify language function reliably. The authors review the technical nuances of intraoperative mapping for low- and high-grade gliomas, demonstrating their efficacy in optimizing resection even in patients with negative mapping data. Collectively, these surgical strategies represent the cornerstone for operating on gliomas in and around functional pathways. (DOI: 10.3171/2009.12.FOCUS09266)

KEY WORDS • **language mapping** • **motor tract** •
cortical stimulation • **extent of resection**

CENTRAL nervous system tumors are a major cause of morbidity and death, with ~ 18,000 new cases of primary intracranial tumors diagnosed each year in the US. This represents ~ 2% of all tumors found in adults in this country. More than half of these are HGGs. These lesions are extremely aggressive and the vast majority of patients invariably have tumor recurrence, with the median survival time ranging from 1 to 3 years after initial diagnosis. Despite facing a better prognosis when compared with higher-grade glial tumors, 50–75% of patients harboring LGGs eventually die of their disease. Median survival times have been reported to range between 5 and 10 years, and estimates of 10-year survival rates range from 5 to 50%.

Although a primary tenet of neurosurgical oncology is that survival can improve with greater tumor resection, this principle must be tempered by the potential for functional loss following a radical removal. Current neurosurgical innovations aim to improve our anatomical, physiological, and functional understanding of the surgical region of interest to prevent potential neurological morbidity during resection. Emerging imaging technologies, as well as state-of-the-art intraoperative techniques, can facilitate a greater extent of resection while minimizing

the associated morbidity profile. Specifically, the value of mapping motor and language pathways is well established for the safe resection of intrinsic tumors.

Interestingly, controversy persists regarding prognostic factors and treatment options for both low- and high-grade hemispheric gliomas. Among the various tumor- and treatment-related parameters—including tumor volume, neurological status, timing of surgical intervention, and the use of adjuvant therapy—patient age and tumor histological characteristics have been identified as primary predictors of patient prognosis. However, location of the tumor in an eloquent area (Fig. 1) has recently emerged as another critical factor affecting outcome, particularly as it relates to tumor extent of resection.¹⁰ Importantly, despite significant advances in operative technique and preoperative planning, the effect of glioma extent of resection in prolonging tumor-free progression and/or survival remains unclear. Although the value of glioma resection in obtaining tissue diagnosis and decompressing mass effect are unquestionable, a lack of Class I evidence prevents similar certainty in assessing the influence of extent of resection. Even though LGGs and HGGs are distinct in their biological features, clinical behaviors, and outcomes, understanding the effect of surgery remains equally important for both. This is also true for lesions in areas of eloquence, where the proximity of critical pathways, often related to language and motor function, can present a significant challenge to standard operative strategies.

Abbreviations used in this paper: CS = cortical stimulation; DT = diffusion tensor; fMR = functional MR; HGG = high-grade glioma; LGG = low-grade glioma.

Fig. 1. Illustration of eloquent cortical and subcortical sites in the supratentorial compartment. (Reprinted by permission. Originally published in Chang et al.: Preoperative prognostic classification system for hemispheric low-grade gliomas in adults. *J Neurosurg* 109:817–824, 2008.)

Evolution of Cortical Mapping Strategies

Direct CS has been used in neurosurgery since 1930, first by Foerster,²⁶ and then later by Penfield and colleagues.^{72–74} In recent years, the technique of intraoperative CS has been adopted for the identification and preservation of language function and motor pathways. Stimulation depolarizes a very focal area of cortex, which in turn evokes certain responses. Although the mechanism of stimulation effects on language are poorly understood, the principle is based on the depolarization of local neurons and also of passing pathways, inducing local excitation or inhibition, as well as possible diffusion to more distant areas by way of orthodromic or antidromic propagation.⁸⁵ Studies in which optical imaging of bipolar CS was used in monkey and human cortex have shown precise local changes, within 2–3 mm, after the activation of cortical tissue.^{30,31} With the advent of the bipolar probe,

avoidance of local diffusion and more precise mapping have been enabled with an accuracy estimated to be ~ 5 mm.³⁰

Language mapping techniques were historically developed in the context of epilepsy surgery, in which large craniotomies exposed the brain well beyond the region of surgical interest to localize multiple cortical regions containing stimulation-induced language and motor function (that is, “positive” sites) prior to resection. Until recently, it has been thought that such positive site controls must be established during language mapping before any other cortical area could be safely resected. Using this tactic, awake craniotomies traditionally identify positive language sites in 95–100% of the operative exposures. Brain tumor surgery, however, is now evolving toward a different standard of language mapping, in which smaller, tailored craniotomies often expose no positive sites, and tumor resection is therefore directed by the localization of cortical regions that when tested contained no stimulation-induced language or motor function (that is, “negative” sites). This “negative mapping” strategy represents a paradigm shift in language mapping technique by eliminating the neurosurgeon’s reliance on the positive site control in the operative exposure, thereby allowing for minimal cortical exposure overlying the tumor, less extensive intraoperative mapping, and a more time-efficient neurosurgical procedure.

Variability in Cortical Language Localization

Prediction of cortical language sites based on classic anatomical criteria is inadequate in light of the significant individual variability of cortical organization,^{35,64,66,70} the distortion of cerebral topography from tumor mass effect, and the possibility of functional reorganization through plasticity mechanisms.^{71,95,121} A consistent finding of language stimulation studies has been the identification of significant individual variability among patients.⁶⁴ Speech arrest is variably located and can go well beyond the classic anatomical boundaries of the Broca area for motor speech. It typically involves an area contiguous with the face-motor cortex, and yet in some cases is seen several centimeters from the sylvian fissure. This variability has also been suggested by studies designed to predict the location of speech arrest preoperatively, based on the type of frontal opercular anatomy⁸³ or by using functional neuroimaging.^{15,43,94,105,111–113} Similarly, for temporal lobe language sites, one study of temporal lobe resections assisted by subdural grids demonstrated that the distance from the temporal pole to the area of language function varied from 3 to 9 cm.¹⁴ Functional imaging studies have also corroborated such variability.²⁵ Furthermore, because functional tissue can be located within the tumor nidus,¹⁰² the standard surgical principle of debulking tumor from within to avoid neurological deficits is not always safe. Consequently, the use of intraoperative cortical and subcortical stimulation to detect functional regions and pathways accurately is essential for safely removing dominant-hemisphere gliomas to the greatest extent possible.

Preservation of Functional Pathways by Using Intraoperative Stimulation Mapping

Intraoperative CS has yielded critical data regarding essential language sites, which seem to be organized in discrete mosaics that occupy a much smaller area of cortex than described by traditional language maps.^{65,67,69} Interestingly, the majority of these language sites are surrounded by cortex that, when stimulated, produce no language errors.⁶⁸ In the temporal lobe, identification of speech areas within the superior and middle temporal gyri has been documented within 3 cm of the temporal lobe tip.⁶⁴ In this region, the distance of the resection margin from the nearest language site is the most important variable in predicting the improvement of preoperative language deficits. Accordingly, if the distance to the resection margin from the nearest language site is > 1 cm, significantly fewer permanent language deficits occur.²⁹ Strict adherence to this principle when operating in any region of the dominant hemisphere can substantially reduce the risk of inadvertently resecting functional tissue.

The Role of Functional Imaging for Eloquent Tissue Localization

Because the need to preserve cortical language function must be balanced with the goal of maximal tumor resection, intraoperative language mapping is advocated by some as the rule, rather than the exception.¹⁰⁸ The greatest risk of tumor recurrence is located within 2 cm of the contrast-enhancing rim on imaging studies,^{37,117} supporting the concept that the resection should ideally go beyond the gross tumor margin apparent on preoperative imaging. However, because of the infiltrating nature of gliomas, it is more than likely that a portion of the mass will occupy, or be continuous with, functional tissue. Again, this emphasizes the need for CS mapping to avoid injuring these critical areas, particularly language pathways. Although it was classically thought that patients who were neurologically intact or minimally affected preoperatively had their functional pathways either displaced or obliterated by infiltrative tumors, we now know that normally functioning language, motor, or sensory tissue can blend with tumor.¹⁰² Therefore, it is not only patients with tumors located within the frontal operculum who benefit from intraoperative language mapping, but also those with lesions in proximity to this region, because there is significant variability in this region's anatomical and functional organization.^{23,83}

Functional imaging has advanced considerably in both technology and availability, raising the question of whether it may supplant intraoperative CS mapping. Devices such as fMR imaging, PET, and magnetoencephalography units may aid in the preoperative planning of the resection strategy, but these techniques remain too imprecise for complex functions such as language mapping: their sensitivity (PET, 75%; fMR imaging, 81%) and specificity (PET, 81%; fMR imaging, 53%) are suboptimal.^{25,34} These modalities highlight language-associated areas of indeterminate significance,⁷ and they do not offer real-time information intraoperatively. To this end, MR

neuronavigational techniques not only facilitate greater resection, but embedding of DT imaging–based tractography can prevent inadvertent resection of adjacent subcortical pathways.^{107,120} In a recent study of 238 patients with glioma who were randomized to DT imaging–based imaging versus traditional MR neuronavigation with DT imaging, postoperative motor deterioration occurred in 32.8% of control cases, whereas it occurred in only 15.3% of the study cases. Although the use of DT imaging–based tractography has not been shown to impact patient survival directly, the literature highlights the utility of this technology in maximizing tumor resection while minimizing morbidity. Nevertheless, for the identification of functional language pathways and guidance of safe tumor removal, these diagnostic imaging tools are still only supplements, not substitutes, for direct intraoperative stimulation mapping.

Current Intraoperative Language and Motor Mapping Techniques

In general, a limited craniotomy should expose the tumor and up to 2 cm of surrounding brain. Using bipolar electrodes, cortical mapping is started at a low stimulus (1.5 mA) and increased to a maximum of 6 mA, if necessary. A constant-current generator delivers biphasic square wave pulses (each phase, 1.25 msec) in 4-second trains at 60 Hz across 1-mm bipolar electrodes separated by 5 mm. Stimulation sites (~ 10–20 per patient) can be marked with sterile numbered tickets. Throughout motor and language mapping, continuous electrocorticography should be used to monitor afterdischarge potentials, and therefore eliminate the chance that speech or naming errors are caused by subclinical seizure activity.

Awake CS and Impact of Language Mapping

Speech arrest is based on blocking number counting without simultaneous motor response in the mouth or pharynx. Dysarthria can be distinguished from speech arrest by the absence of perceived or visible involuntary muscle contraction affecting speech. For naming or reading sites, CS is applied for 3 seconds at sequential cortical sites during a slide presentation of line drawings or words, respectively. All tested language sites should be repeatedly stimulated at least 3 times. A positive essential site can be defined as an inability to name objects or read words in 66% or more of the testing per site. In all cases, a 1-cm margin of tissue should be measured and preserved around each positive language site to protect functional tissue from the resection.⁴⁹ The extent of resection is directed by targeting contrast-enhancing regions for high-grade lesions and T2-hyperintense areas for low-grade lesions. Some groups advocate the use of language mapping along subcortical white matter pathways as well.^{19,21}

Despite the considerable evidence supporting the use of intraoperative CS mapping of language function, the efficacy of this technique in preserving functional outcome following aggressive glioma resection remains poorly understood. Nevertheless, it is important to define the long-term neurological effects after using this tech-

Fig. 2. Negative language map indicating the percentage of negative stimulations per square centimeter of the dominant cerebral hemisphere. (Reprinted by permission. Adapted with permission from Fig. 2 in Sanai et al.: Functional outcome after language mapping for glioma resection. *N Engl J Med* 358:18–27, 2008. Copyright © 2008, Massachusetts Medical Society. All rights reserved.)

nique for large, dominant-hemisphere gliomas to advocate its use accurately.⁸⁸

Our experience with 250 consecutive patients with dominant-hemisphere glioma (WHO Grades II–IV) suggests that functional language outcome following awake mapping can be favorable, even in the case of an aggressive resection.⁸⁹ Overall, 159 of these 250 patients (63.6%) had intact speech preoperatively. At 1 week postoperatively, 194 (77.6%) remained at their baseline language function, whereas 21 (8.4%) worsened and 35 (14.0%) had new speech deficits. However, by 6 months, 52 (92.8%) of 56 patients with new or worsened language deficits returned to baseline or better, and the remaining 4 (7.1%) were left with a permanent deficit. Interestingly, among these patients, any additional language deficit incurred as a result of the surgery had either improved by 3 months or not all (Fig. 1). Thus, using language mapping, only 1.6% (4 of 243 survivors) of all glioma patients develop a permanent postoperative language deficit. One explanation for this favorable postoperative language profile may be our strict adherence to the “one-centimeter rule,” first described by Haglund et al.,²⁹ which demonstrated that, for temporal lobe tumors, a resection margin of 1 cm or more from a language site significantly reduces postoperative language deficits.

Cortical and Subcortical Motor Mapping Techniques

For patients with gliomas that are located within or adjacent to the rolandic cortex, and thus the descending motor tracts, stimulation mapping of cortical and subcortical motor pathways enables the surgeon to identify these descending motor pathways during tumor removal

and to achieve an acceptable rate of permanent morbidity in these high-risk functional areas.^{8,20,47} In a recent study, new immediate postoperative motor deficits were documented in 59.3% of patients in whom a subcortical motor tract was identified intraoperatively and in 10.9% of those in whom subcortical tracts were not observed. However, permanent deficits were observed in 6.5% and 3.5%, respectively.⁸ In another study of subcortical motor pathways in 294 patients who underwent surgery for hemispheric gliomas, 14 patients (4.8%) had a persistent motor deficit after 3 months. Interestingly, patients whose subcortical pathways were identified intraoperatively were more prone to develop an additional transient or permanent motor deficit (27.5 vs 13.1%).⁴⁷ In another study with an 87% gross- or subtotal resection rate, the overall neurological morbidity was 5% after using cortical motor mapping.²⁰ Thus, collectively the recent literature suggests that intraoperative cortical and subcortical motor mapping can safely identify corridors for resection, as well as define the limits of tumor resection.

Tailored Craniotomies and the Value of Negative Mapping

In contrast to the classic mapping principles practiced in epilepsy surgery, where 95–100% of operative fields contain a positive language site, a paradigm shift is emerging in brain tumor language mapping, where positive language sites are not always found prior to resection (Fig. 2). In our practice, because of our use of tailored cortical exposures, < 58% of patients have essential language sites localized within the operative field. Our experience suggests that it is safe to use a minimal exposure of the tumor and resect based on a negative language map, rather

Fig. 3. Chart showing absolute number of LGG studies in the neurosurgical literature from 1990 to 2009 that statistically examined the effect of extent of resection on patient survival.

than rely on a wide craniotomy to find positive language sites well beyond the lesion. However, language mapping techniques such as this are generally more successful and safer at high-volume neurosurgical centers.

Negative language mapping, however, does not necessarily guarantee the absence of eloquent sites (Fig. 2). Despite negative brain mapping, permanent postoperative neurological deficits have been reported.¹⁰⁸ In our experience with 250 consecutive patients with dominant-hemisphere glioma, all 4 of our patients with permanent postoperative neurological deficits had no positive sites detected prior to their resections. Other cases of unexpected postoperative deficits have also been attributed to progressive tumor infiltration into functional areas.³ Furthermore, both intraoperative stimulation and functional imaging techniques have provided evidence for redistribution of functional neural networks in cases of stroke,^{11,95,118} congenital malformations,^{54,56} brain injury,²⁷ and tumor progression.^{24,95,121} Not surprisingly, it has been hypothesized that brain infiltration by gliomas leads to reshaping or local reorganization of functional networks as well as neosynaptogenesis.^{18,109} This would explain the frequent lack of clinical deficit despite glioma growth into eloquent brain areas,^{17,24,95} as well as the transient nature of many postoperative deficits. In the case of language function located in the dominant insula, the brain's capacity for compensation of functional loss has also been associated with recruitment of the left superior temporal gyrus and left putamen.¹⁷

Assessing the Value of Glioma Extent of Resection

Microsurgical resection remains a critical therapeutic modality for all gliomas.^{4,28,45,122} However, there remains no general consensus in the literature regarding the efficacy of extent of resection in improving patient outcome.^{32,36,60,78,81,87,92} With the exception of WHO Grade

I tumors, gliomas are difficult to cure with surgery alone, and the majority of patients will experience some form of tumor recurrence. Patients with glioblastomas have median survival rates of 12.2–18.2 months,³³ whereas those with anaplastic astrocytomas can expect to survive 41 months, on average.⁴⁶ Low-grade gliomas carry a better prognosis, although the vast majority of patients eventually die of their disease and 5-year survival percentages range from 42 to 92% in the literature.^{51,52,59,76,84,96,97,123}

For all gliomas, the identification of universally applicable prognostic factors and treatment options remains a great challenge. Among the many tumor- and treatment-related parameters, only patient age and tumor histological characteristics have been identified as reliable predictors of patient prognosis, although tumor location in an eloquent area and a patient's functional status can also be statistically significant. Surprisingly, despite significant advances in brain tumor imaging and intraoperative technology during the last 15 years, the effect of glioma resection in extending tumor-free progression and patient survival remains unknown.

Although LGGs and HGGs are distinct in their biology, clinical behavior, and outcome, understanding the efficacy of surgery remains equally important for each. With this in mind, an examination of the modern neurosurgical literature (1990 to present) reveals clues as to the role of extent of resection in outcome for glioma patients (Fig. 3).

Extent of Resection Studies for LGG

In the last 2 decades, mounting evidence in the literature suggests that a more extensive resection of an LGG is associated with a more favorable life expectancy (Fig. 3). In addition to providing longer overall survival, more aggressive resections for LGG can also influence the risk of malignant transformation, raising the possibility that a surgical intervention can alter the natural history of the

disease.⁸⁷ These associations are evident not only within the population with general hemispheric LGGs,^{9,103} but also for those with specific LGGs limited to specific eloquent subregions, such as insular LGGs.^{90,100} An overall review of the modern neurosurgical literature reveals 23 studies^{2,12,40,42,44,52,55,57,59,61,63,75,76,84,86,90,93,96,98,103,115,119,123} since 1990 that have applied statistical analysis to examine the efficacy of extent of resection in improving survival and delaying tumor progression among patients with LGG. Six of these studies included volumetric analysis of extent of resection.^{12,44,90,98,103,115} Of the nonvolumetric studies, 14 demonstrated evidence supporting extent of resection as a statistically significant predictor of either 5-year survival or 5-year progression-free survival. These studies were published between 1990 and 2009, and most commonly used a combination of multivariate and univariate analyses to determine statistical significance. Interestingly, of the 3 nonvolumetric studies that did not support extent of resection as a predictor of patient outcome, none of these reports evaluated progression-free survival, but instead focused solely on 5-year survival.

Extent of Resection Studies for HGG

Twenty-nine studies^{1,5,6,13,16,22,38,39,41,45,46,48–50,53,58,62,77,79,80,82,91,99,101,104,106,110,114,116} since 1990 have applied statistical analysis to examine the efficacy of extent of resection in improving survival and delaying tumor progression among patients with HGG. Four of these studies included volumetric analysis of extent of resection.^{45,46,49,79} Of the nonvolumetric studies, 16 demonstrated evidence supporting extent of resection as a statistically significant predictor of either time to tumor progression or overall survival. Although some of these reports showed extent of resection to have a significant effect on both tumor progression and overall survival, every study showed a survival benefit. Ten studies, however, demonstrated no significant benefit based on extent of resection. Notably, the distribution of adjuvant chemotherapy and radiation treatment was comparable among all extent of resection studies for HGG. Echoing the nonvolumetric study results, half of all HGG volumetric studies showed a significant survival advantage with greater extent of resection.

Conclusions

Intraoperative stimulation for cortical and subcortical mapping is a reliable, robust method to maximize resection and minimize morbidity, even when removing gliomas within or near adjacent functional pathways. Unlike motor function, speech and language are variably distributed and widely represented, thus emphasizing the utility of language mapping in this particular patient population. Gliomas located in eloquent territories can displace predicted fiber pathways in unpredictable conformations. The combination of advanced imaging paradigms, such as neuronavigational DT imaging–based tractography, with intraoperative mapping techniques can best assure preservation of critical function. Using this approach, and in conjunction with standardized neuroanesthesia and neuromonitoring, the postoperative motor and language resolution profiles following glioma resec-

tion may be predictable. Specifically, in our experience, any additional language deficit incurred as a result of the surgery will improve by 3 months or not all. Our experience also emphasizes the value of negative language mapping in a patient with a tailored cortical exposure. Although the value of extent of resection remains less clear, the available literature for both low-grade and high-grade hemispheric gliomas demonstrates mounting evidence that a more extensive resection is associated with a more favorable life expectancy for patients with both LGG and HGG. This objective should be cautiously pursued for all gliomas, even those in an eloquent location.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflict of interest concerning the materials or methods used in this study or the findings specified in this paper.

Author contributions to the study and manuscript preparation include the following. Conception and design: N Sanai, MS Berger. Drafting the article: N Sanai, MS Berger.

References

1. Barker FG II, Prados MD, Chang SM, Gutin PH, Lamborn KR, Larson DA, et al: Radiation response and survival time in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. *J Neurosurg* **84**:442–448, 1996
2. Bauman G, Pahapill P, Macdonald D, Fisher B, Leighton C, Cairncross G: Low grade glioma: a measuring radiographic response to radiotherapy. *Can J Neurol Sci* **26**:18–22, 1999
3. Berger MS: Lesions in functional (“eloquent”) cortex and subcortical white matter. *Clin Neurosurg* **41**:444–463, 1994
4. Black P: Management of malignant glioma: role of surgery in relation to multimodality therapy. *J Neurovirol* **4**:227–236, 1998
5. Brown PD, Maurer MJ, Rummans TA, Pollock BE, Ballman KV, Sloan JA, et al: A prospective study of quality of life in adults with newly diagnosed high-grade gliomas: the impact of the extent of resection on quality of life and survival. *Neurosurgery* **57**:495–504, 2005
6. Buckner JC, Schomberg PJ, McGinnis WL, Cascino TL, Scheithauer BW, O’Fallon JR, et al: A phase III study of radiation therapy plus carmustine with or without recombinant interferon-alpha in the treatment of patients with newly diagnosed high-grade glioma. *Cancer* **92**:420–433, 2001
7. Carpentier A, Pugh KR, Westerveld M, Studholme C, Skrinjar O, Thompson JL, et al: Functional MRI of language processing: dependence on input modality and temporal lobe epilepsy. *Epilepsia* **42**:1241–1254, 2001
8. Carrabba G, Fava E, Giussani C, Acerbi F, Portaluri F, Songa V, et al: Cortical and subcortical motor mapping in rolandic and perirolandic glioma surgery: impact on postoperative morbidity and extent of resection. *J Neurosurg Sci* **51**:45–51, 2007
9. Chaichana KL, McGirt MJ, Latterra J, Olivi A, Quinones-Hiñojosa A: Recurrence and malignant degeneration after resection of adult hemispheric low-grade gliomas. *J Neurosurg* **112**:10–17, 2010
10. Chang EF, Smith JS, Chang SM, Lamborn KR, Prados MD, Butowski N, et al: Preoperative prognostic classification system for hemispheric low-grade gliomas in adults. *J Neurosurg* **109**:817–824, 2008
11. Chollet F, DiPiero V, Wise RJ, Brooks DJ, Dolan RJ, Frackowiak RS: The functional anatomy of motor recovery after stroke in humans: a study with positron emission tomography. *Ann Neurol* **29**:63–71, 1991

12. Claus EB, Horlacher A, Hsu L, Schwartz RB, Dello-Iacono D, Talos F, et al: Survival rates in patients with low-grade glioma after intraoperative magnetic resonance image guidance. **Cancer** **103**:1227–1233, 2005
13. Curran WJ Jr, Scott CB, Horton J, Nelson JS, Weinstein AS, Nelson DF, et al: Does extent of surgery influence outcome for astrocytoma with atypical or anaplastic foci (AAF)? A report from three Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) trials. **J Neurooncol** **12**:219–227, 1992
14. Davies KG, Maxwell RE, Jennum P, Dhuna A, Beniak TE, Destafney E, et al: Language function following subdural grid-directed temporal lobectomy. **Acta Neurol Scand** **90**:201–206, 1994
15. Dehaene S, Dupoux E, Mehler J, Cohen L, Paulesu E, Perani D, et al: Anatomical variability in the cortical representation of first and second language. **Neuroreport** **8**:3809–3815, 1997
16. Dinapoli RP, Brown LD, Arusell RM, Earle JD, O'Fallon JR, Buckner JC, et al: Phase III comparative evaluation of PCNU and carmustine combined with radiation therapy for high-grade glioma. **J Clin Oncol** **11**:1316–1321, 1993
17. Duffau H, Bauchet L, Lehericy S, Capelle L: Functional compensation of the left dominant insula for language. **Neuroreport** **12**:2159–2163, 2001
18. Duffau H, Capelle L, Denvil D, Sichez N, Gatignol P, Lopes M, et al: Functional recovery after surgical resection of low grade gliomas in eloquent brain: hypothesis of brain compensation. **J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry** **74**:901–907, 2003
19. Duffau H, Capelle L, Denvil D, Sichez N, Gatignol P, Taillandier L, et al: Usefulness of intraoperative electrical subcortical mapping during surgery for low-grade gliomas located within eloquent brain regions: functional results in a consecutive series of 103 patients. **J Neurosurg** **98**:764–778, 2003
20. Duffau H, Capelle L, Sichez J, Faillot T, Abdennour L, Law Koune JD, et al: Intra-operative direct electrical stimulations of the central nervous system: the Salpêtrière experience with 60 patients. **Acta Neurochir (Wien)** **141**:1157–1167, 1999
21. Duffau H, Capelle L, Sichez N, Denvil D, Lopes M, Sichez JP, et al: Intraoperative mapping of the subcortical language pathways using direct stimulations. An anatomo-functional study. **Brain** **125**:199–214, 2002
22. Duncan GG, Goodman GB, Ludgate CM, Rheume DE: The treatment of adult supratentorial high grade astrocytomas. **J Neurooncol** **13**:63–72, 1992
23. Ebeling U, Steinmetz H, Huang YX, Kahn T: Topography and identification of the inferior precentral sulcus in MR imaging. **AJR Am J Roentgenol** **153**:1051–1056, 1989
24. Fandino J, Kollias SS, Wieser HG, Valavanis A, Yonekawa Y: Intraoperative validation of functional magnetic resonance imaging and cortical reorganization patterns in patients with brain tumors involving the primary motor cortex. **J Neurosurg** **91**:238–250, 1999
25. FitzGerald DB, Cosgrove GR, Ronner S, Jiang H, Buchbinder BR, Belliveau JW, et al: Location of language in the cortex: a comparison between functional MR imaging and electrocortical stimulation. **AJNR Am J Neuroradiol** **18**:1529–1539, 1997
26. Foerster O: The cerebral cortex in man. **Lancet** **2**:309–312, 1931
27. Grady MS, Jane JA, Steward O: Synaptic reorganization within the human central nervous system following injury. **J Neurosurg** **71**:534–537, 1989
28. Guthrie BL, Laws ER Jr: Supratentorial low-grade gliomas. **Neurosurg Clin N Am** **1**:37–48, 1990
29. Haglund MM, Berger MS, Shamseldin M, Lettich E, Ojemann GA: Cortical localization of temporal lobe language sites in patients with gliomas. **Neurosurgery** **34**:567–576, 1994
30. Haglund MM, Ojemann GA, Blasdel GG: Optical imaging of bipolar cortical stimulation. **J Neurosurg** **78**:785–793, 1993
31. Haglund MM, Ojemann GA, Hochman DW: Optical imaging of epileptiform and functional activity in human cerebral cortex. **Nature** **358**:668–671, 1992
32. Hart MG, Grant R, Metcalfe SE: Biopsy versus resection for high grade glioma. **Cochrane Database Syst Rev** **2**:CD002034, 2000
33. Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Weller M, et al: MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. **N Engl J Med** **352**:997–1003, 2005
34. Herholz K, Reulen HJ, von Stockhausen HM, Thiel A, Ilmberger J, Kessler J, et al: Preoperative activation and intraoperative stimulation of language-related areas in patients with glioma. **Neurosurgery** **41**:1253–1262, 1997
35. Herholz K, Thiel A, Wienhard K, Pietrzyk U, von Stockhausen HM, Karbe H, et al: Individual functional anatomy of verb generation. **Neuroimage** **3**:185–194, 1996
36. Hess KR: Extent of resection as a prognostic variable in the treatment of gliomas. **J Neurooncol** **42**:227–231, 1999
37. Hochberg FH, Pruitt A: Assumptions in the radiotherapy of glioblastoma. **Neurology** **30**:907–911, 1980
38. Höllerhage HG, Zunkeller M, Becker M, Dietz H: Influence of type and extent of surgery on early results and survival time in glioblastoma multiforme. **Acta Neurochir (Wien)** **113**:31–37, 1991
39. Huber A, Beran H, Becherer A, Prosenc N, Witzmann A: [Supratentorial glioma: analysis of clinical and temporal parameters in 163 cases.] **Neurochirurgia (Stuttg)** **36**:189–193, 1993 (Ger)
40. Ito S, Chandler KL, Prados MD, Lamborn K, Wynne J, Malec MK, et al: Proliferative potential and prognostic evaluation of low-grade astrocytomas. **J Neurooncol** **19**:1–9, 1994
41. Jeremic B, Grujicic D, Antunovic V, Djuric L, Stojanovic M, Shibamoto Y: Influence of extent of surgery and tumor location on treatment outcome of patients with glioblastoma multiforme treated with combined modality approach. **J Neurooncol** **21**:177–185, 1994
42. Johannesen TB, Langmark F, Lote K: Progress in long-term survival in adult patients with supratentorial low-grade gliomas: a population-based study of 993 patients in whom tumors were diagnosed between 1970 and 1993. **J Neurosurg** **99**:854–862, 2003
43. Josse G, Hervé PY, Crivello F, Mazoyer B, Tzourio-Mazoyer N: Hemispheric specialization for language: brain volume matters. **Brain Res** **1068**:184–193, 2006
44. Karim AB, Maat B, Hatlevoll R, Menten J, Rutten EH, Thomas DG, et al: A randomized trial on dose-response in radiation therapy of low-grade cerebral glioma: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) Study 22844. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** **36**:549–556, 1996
45. Keles GE, Anderson B, Berger MS: The effect of extent of resection on time to tumor progression and survival in patients with glioblastoma multiforme of the cerebral hemisphere. **Surg Neurol** **52**:371–379, 1999
46. Keles GE, Chang EF, Lamborn KR, Tihan T, Chang CJ, Chang SM, et al: Volumetric extent of resection and residual contrast enhancement on initial surgery as predictors of outcome in adult patients with hemispheric anaplastic astrocytoma. **J Neurosurg** **105**:34–40, 2006
47. Keles GE, Lundin DA, Lamborn KR, Chang EF, Ojemann G, Berger MS: Intraoperative subcortical stimulation mapping for hemispherical perirolandic gliomas located within or adjacent to the descending motor pathways: evaluation of morbidity and assessment of functional outcome in 294 patients. **J Neurosurg** **100**:369–375, 2004
48. Kowalczuk A, Macdonald RL, Amidei C, Dohrmann G III, Erickson RK, Hekmatpanah J, et al: Quantitative imaging study of extent of surgical resection and prognosis of malignant astrocytomas. **Neurosurgery** **41**:1028–1038, 1997

49. Lacroix M, Abi-Said D, Fourney DR, Gokaslan ZL, Shi W, DeMonte F, et al: A multivariate analysis of 416 patients with glioblastoma multiforme: prognosis, extent of resection, and survival. **J Neurosurg** **95**:190–198, 2001
50. Lamborn KR, Chang SM, Prados MD: Prognostic factors for survival of patients with glioblastoma: recursive partitioning analysis. **Neuro Oncol** **6**:227–235, 2004
51. Laws ER Jr, Taylor WF, Clifton MB, Okazaki H: Neurosurgical management of low-grade astrocytoma of the cerebral hemispheres. **J Neurosurg** **61**:665–673, 1984
52. Leighton C, Fisher B, Bauman G, Depiero S, Stitt L, MacDonald D, et al: Supratentorial low-grade glioma in adults: an analysis of prognostic factors and timing of radiation. **J Clin Oncol** **15**:1294–1301, 1997
53. Levin VA, Yung WK, Bruner J, Kyritsis A, Leeds N, Gleason MJ, et al: Phase II study of accelerated fractionation radiation therapy with carboplatin followed by PCV chemotherapy for the treatment of anaplastic gliomas. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** **53**:58–66, 2002
54. Lewine JD, Astur RS, Davis LE, Knight JE, Maclin EL, Orrison WW Jr: Cortical organization in adulthood is modified by neonatal infarct: a case study. **Radiology** **190**:93–96, 1994
55. Lote K, Egeland T, Hager B, Stenwig B, Skullerud K, Berg-Johnsen J, et al: Survival, prognostic factors, and therapeutic efficacy in low-grade glioma: a retrospective study in 379 patients. **J Clin Oncol** **15**:3129–3140, 1997
56. Maldjian J, Atlas SW, Howard RS II, Greenstein E, Alsop D, Detre JA, et al: Functional magnetic resonance imaging of regional brain activity in patients with intracerebral arteriovenous malformations before surgical or endovascular therapy. **J Neurosurg** **84**:477–483, 1996
57. McGirt MJ, Chaichana KL, Attenello FJ, Weingart JD, Than K, Burger PC, et al: Extent of surgical resection is independently associated with survival in patients with hemispheric infiltrating low-grade gliomas. **Neurosurgery** **63**:700–708, 2008
58. McGirt MJ, Chaichana KL, Gathinji M, Attenello FJ, Than K, Olivi A, et al: Independent association of extent of resection with survival in patients with malignant brain astrocytoma. **J Neurosurg** **110**:156–162, 2009
59. Nakamura M, Konishi N, Tsunoda S, Nakase H, Tsuzuki T, Aoki H, et al: Analysis of prognostic and survival factors related to treatment of low-grade astrocytomas in adults. **Oncology** **58**:108–116, 2000
60. Nazzaro JM, Neuwelt EA: The role of surgery in the management of supratentorial intermediate and high-grade astrocytomas in adults. **J Neurosurg** **73**:331–344, 1990
61. Nicolato A, Gerosa MA, Fina P, Iuzzolino P, Giorgiutti F, Bricolo A: Prognostic factors in low-grade supratentorial astrocytomas: a uni-multivariate statistical analysis in 76 surgically treated adult patients. **Surg Neurol** **44**:208–223, 1995
62. Nitta T, Sato K: Prognostic implications of the extent of surgical resection in patients with intracranial malignant gliomas. **Cancer** **75**:2727–2731, 1995
63. North CA, North RB, Epstein JA, Piantadosi S, Wharam MD: Low-grade cerebral astrocytomas. Survival and quality of life after radiation therapy. **Cancer** **66**:6–14, 1990
64. Ojemann G, Ojemann J, Lettich E, Berger M: Cortical language localization in left, dominant hemisphere. An electrical stimulation mapping investigation in 117 patients. **J Neurosurg** **71**:316–326, 1989
65. Ojemann GA: Cortical organization of language. **J Neurosci** **11**:2281–2287, 1991
66. Ojemann GA: Individual variability in cortical localization of language. **J Neurosurg** **50**:164–169, 1979
67. Ojemann GA: Models of the brain organization for higher integrative functions derived with electrical stimulation techniques. **Hum Neurobiol** **1**:243–249, 1982
68. Ojemann GA: Organization of language cortex derived from investigations during neurosurgery. **Semin Neurosci** **2**:297–305, 1990
69. Ojemann GA, Creutzfeldt OD: Nervous System V, Part 2, in Plum F (ed): **Handbook of Physiology**. Bethesda, MD: American Physiological Society Press, 1987, pp 675–700
70. Ojemann GA, Whitaker HA: Language localization and variability. **Brain Lang** **6**:239–260, 1978
71. Ojemann JG, Miller JW, Silbergeld DL: Preserved function in brain invaded by tumor. **Neurosurgery** **39**:253–259, 1996
72. Penfield W, Boldrey E: Somatic motor and sensory representation in the cerebral cortex of man as studied by electrical stimulation. **Brain** **60**:389–443, 1937
73. Penfield W, Erickson TC: **Epilepsy and Cerebral Localization. A Study of the Mechanism, Treatment, and Prevention of Epileptic Seizures**. Springfield, IL: Charles C Thomas, 1941
74. Penfield W, Rasmussen T: **Secondary Sensory and Motor Representation**. New York: Macmillan, 1950
75. Peraud A, Ansari H, Bise K, Reulen HJ: Clinical outcome of supratentorial astrocytoma WHO grade II. **Acta Neurochir (Wien)** **140**:1213–1222, 1998
76. Philippon JH, Clemenceau SH, Fauchon FH, Foncin JF: Supratentorial low-grade astrocytomas in adults. **Neurosurgery** **32**:554–559, 1993
77. Phillips TL, Levin VA, Ahn DK, Gutin PH, Davis RL, Wilson CB, et al: Evaluation of bromodeoxyuridine in glioblastoma multiforme: a Northern California Cancer Center Phase II study. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** **21**:709–714, 1991
78. Pierga JY, Hoang-Xuan K, Feuvret L, Simon JM, Cornu P, Baillet F, et al: Treatment of malignant gliomas in the elderly. **J Neurooncol** **43**:187–193, 1999
79. Pope WB, Sayre J, Perlina A, Villablanca JP, Mischel PS, Cloughesy TF: MR imaging correlates of survival in patients with high-grade gliomas. **AJNR Am J Neuroradiol** **26**:2466–2474, 2005
80. Prados MD, Gutin PH, Phillips TL, Wara WM, Larson DA, Sneed PK, et al: Highly anaplastic astrocytoma: a review of 357 patients treated between 1977 and 1989. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** **23**:3–8, 1992
81. Proescholdt MA, Macher C, Woertgen C, Brawanski A: Level of evidence in the literature concerning brain tumor resection. **Clin Neurol Neurosurg** **107**:95–98, 2005
82. Puduvalli VK, Hashmi M, McAllister LD, Levin VA, Hess KR, Prados M, et al: Anaplastic oligodendrogliomas: prognostic factors for tumor recurrence and survival. **Oncology** **65**:259–266, 2003
83. Quinones-Hinojosa A, Ojemann SG, Sanai N, Dillon WP, Berger MS: Preoperative correlation of intraoperative cortical mapping with magnetic resonance imaging landmarks to predict localization of the Broca area. **J Neurosurg** **99**:311–318, 2003
84. Rajan B, Pickuth D, Ashley S, Traish D, Monro P, Elyan S, et al: The management of histologically unverified presumed cerebral gliomas with radiotherapy. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** **28**:405–413, 1994
85. Ranck JB Jr: Which elements are excited in electrical stimulation of mammalian central nervous system: a review. **Brain Res** **98**:417–440, 1975
86. Rezvan A, Christine D, Christian H, Olga Z, Lutz E, Marius H, et al: Long-term outcome and survival of surgically treated supratentorial low-grade glioma in adult patients. **Acta Neurochir (Wien)** **151**:1359–1365, 2009
87. Sanai N, Berger MS: Glioma extent of resection and its impact on patient outcome. **Neurosurgery** **62**:753–266, 2008
88. Sanai N, Berger MS: Mapping the horizon: techniques to optimize tumor resection before and during surgery. **Clin Neurosurg** **55**:14–19, 2008
89. Sanai N, Mirzadeh Z, Berger MS: Functional outcome after language mapping for glioma resection. **N Engl J Med** **358**:18–27, 2008

90. Sanai N, Polley MY, Berger MS: Insular glioma resection: assessment of patient morbidity, survival, and tumor progression. Clinical article. **J Neurosurg** 112:1–9, 2010
91. Sandberg-Wollheim M, Malmström P, Strömblad LG, Anderson H, Borgström S, Brun A, et al: A randomized study of chemotherapy with procarbazine, vincristine, and lomustine with and without radiation therapy for astrocytoma grades 3 and/or 4. **Cancer** 68:22–29, 1991
92. Sawaya R: Extent of resection in malignant gliomas: a critical summary. **J Neurooncol** 42:303–305, 1999
93. Scerrati M, Roselli R, Iacoangeli M, Pompucci A, Rossi GF: Prognostic factors in low grade (WHO grade II) gliomas of the cerebral hemispheres: the role of surgery. **J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry** 61:291–296, 1996
94. Seghier ML, Lazeyras F, Pegna AJ, Annoni JM, Zimine I, Mayer E, et al: Variability of fMRI activation during a phonological and semantic language task in healthy subjects. **Hum Brain Mapp** 23:140–155, 2004
95. Seitz RJ, Huang Y, Knorr U, Tellmann L, Herzog H, Freund HJ: Large-scale plasticity of the human motor cortex. **Neuroreport** 6:742–744, 1995
96. Shaw E, Arusell R, Scheithauer B, O'Fallon J, O'Neill B, Dinapoli R, et al: Prospective randomized trial of low- versus high-dose radiation therapy in adults with supratentorial low-grade glioma: initial report of a North Central Cancer Treatment Group/Radiation Therapy Oncology Group/Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group study. **J Clin Oncol** 20:2267–2276, 2002
97. Shaw EG, Daumas-Duport C, Scheithauer BW, Gilbertson DT, O'Fallon JR, Earle JD, et al: Radiation therapy in the management of low-grade supratentorial astrocytomas. **J Neurosurg** 70:853–861, 1989
98. Shibamoto Y, Kitakabu Y, Takahashi M, Yamashita J, Oda Y, Kikuchi H, et al: Supratentorial low-grade astrocytoma. Correlation of computed tomography findings with effect of radiation therapy and prognostic variables. **Cancer** 72:190–195, 1993
99. Shibamoto Y, Yamashita J, Takahashi M, Yamasaki T, Kikuchi H, Abe M: Supratentorial malignant glioma: an analysis of radiation therapy in 178 cases. **Radiother Oncol** 18:9–17, 1990
100. Simon M, Neuloh G, von Lehe M, Meyer B, Schramm J: Insular gliomas: the case for surgical management. **J Neurosurg** 110:685–695, 2009
101. Simpson JR, Horton J, Scott C, Curran WJ, Rubin P, Fischbach J, et al: Influence of location and extent of surgical resection on survival of patients with glioblastoma multiforme: results of three consecutive Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG) clinical trials. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** 26:239–244, 1993
102. Skirboll SS, Ojemann GA, Berger MS, Lettich E, Winn HR: Functional cortex and subcortical white matter located within gliomas. **Neurosurgery** 38:678–685, 1996
103. Smith JS, Chang EF, Lamborn KR, Chang SM, Prados MD, Cha S, et al: Role of extent of resection in the long-term outcome of low-grade hemispheric gliomas. **J Clin Oncol** 26:1338–1345, 2008
104. Stark AM, Nabavi A, Mehdorn HM, Blömer U: Glioblastoma multiforme—report of 267 cases treated at a single institution. **Surg Neurol** 63:162–169, 2005
105. Steinmetz H, Seitz RJ: Functional anatomy of language processing: neuroimaging and the problem of individual variability. **Neuropsychologia** 29:1149–1161, 1991
106. Stummer W, Reulen HJ, Meinel T, Pichlmeier U, Schumacher W, Tonn JC, et al: Extent of resection and survival in glioblastoma multiforme: identification of and adjustment for bias. **Neurosurgery** 62:564–576, 2008
107. Talos IF, Zou KH, Kikinis R, Jolesz FA: Volumetric assessment of tumor infiltration of adjacent white matter based on anatomic MRI and diffusion tensor tractography. **Acad Radiol** 14:431–436, 2007
108. Taylor MD, Bernstein M: Awake craniotomy with brain mapping as the routine surgical approach to treating patients with supratentorial intraaxial tumors: a prospective trial of 200 cases. **J Neurosurg** 90:35–41, 1999
109. Thiel A, Herholz K, Koyuncu A, Ghaemi M, Kracht LW, Habedank B, et al: Plasticity of language networks in patients with brain tumors: a positron emission tomography activation study. **Ann Neurol** 50:620–629, 2001
110. Tortosa A, Viñolas N, Villà S, Verger E, Gil JM, Brell M, et al: Prognostic implication of clinical, radiologic, and pathologic features in patients with anaplastic gliomas. **Cancer** 97:1063–1071, 2003
111. Turkeltaub PE, Eden GF, Jones KM, Zeffiro TA: Meta-analysis of the functional neuroanatomy of single-word reading: method and validation. **Neuroimage** 16:765–780, 2002
112. Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Josse G, Crivello F, Mazoyer B: Inter-individual variability in the hemispheric organization for speech. **Neuroimage** 21:422–435, 2004
113. Tzourio N, Crivello F, Mellet E, Nkanga-Ngila B, Mazoyer B: Functional anatomy of dominance for speech comprehension in left handers vs right handers. **Neuroimage** 8:1–16, 1998
114. Ushio Y, Kochi M, Hamada J, Kai Y, Nakamura H: Effect of surgical removal on survival and quality of life in patients with supratentorial glioblastoma. **Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)** 45:454–461, 2005
115. van Veelen ML, Avezaat CJ, Kros JM, van Putten W, Vecht C: Supratentorial low grade astrocytoma: prognostic factors, dedifferentiation, and the issue of early versus late surgery. **J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry** 64:581–587, 1998
116. Vecht CJ, Avezaat CJ, van Putten WL, Eijkenboom WM, Stefanko SZ: The influence of the extent of surgery on the neurological function and survival in malignant glioma. A retrospective analysis in 243 patients. **J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry** 53:466–471, 1990
117. Wallner KE, Galicich JH, Krol G, Arbit E, Malkin MG: Patterns of failure following treatment for glioblastoma multiforme and anaplastic astrocytoma. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** 16:1405–1409, 1989
118. Weder B, Seitz RJ: Deficient cerebral activation pattern in stroke recovery. **Neuroreport** 5:457–460, 1994
119. Whitten AC, Bloom HJ: Low grade glioma of the cerebral hemispheres in adults: a retrospective analysis of 88 cases. **Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys** 18:783–786, 1990
120. Wu JS, Zhou LF, Tang WJ, Mao Y, Hu J, Song YY, et al: Clinical evaluation and follow-up outcome of diffusion tensor imaging-based functional neuronavigation: a prospective, controlled study in patients with gliomas involving pyramidal tracts. **Neurosurgery** 61:935–949, 2007
121. Wunderlich G, Knorr U, Herzog H, Kiwit JC, Freund HJ, Seitz RJ: Precentral glioma location determines the displacement of cortical hand representation. **Neurosurgery** 42:18–27, 1998
122. Yaşargil MG, Kadri PA, Yaşargil DC: Microsurgery for malignant gliomas. **J Neurooncol** 69:67–81, 2004
123. Yeh SA, Ho JT, Lui CC, Huang YJ, Hsiung CY, Huang EY: Treatment outcomes and prognostic factors in patients with supratentorial low-grade gliomas. **Br J Radiol** 78:230–235, 2005

Manuscript submitted November 13, 2009.

Accepted December 9, 2009.

Address correspondence to: Nader Sanai, M.D., Department of Neurological Surgery, University of California, San Francisco, 505 Parnassus Avenue, M779, Box 0112, San Francisco, California 94143. email: Nader.Sanai@bnaneuro.net.