Intraoperative mapping and monitoring of brain functions for the resection of low-grade gliomas: technical considerations
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Low-grade gliomas (LGGs) WHO Grade II are slow-growing intrinsic cerebral lesions that diffusely infiltrate the brain parenchyma along white matter tracts and almost invariably show a progression toward malignancy. The treatment of these tumors forces the neurosurgeon to face uncommon difficulties and is still a subject of debate. At the authors’ institution, resection is the first option in the treatment of LGGs. It requires the combined efforts of a multidisciplinary team of neurosurgeons, neuroradiologists, neuropsychologists, and neurophysiologists, who together contribute to the definition of the location, extension, and extent of functional involvement that a specific lesion has caused in a particular patient. In fact, each tumor induces specific modifications of the brain functional network, with high interindividual variability. This requires that each treatment plan is tailored to the characteristics of the tumor and of the patient. Consequently, surgery is performed according to functional and anatomical boundaries to achieve the maximal resection with maximal functional preservation. The identification of eloquent cerebral areas, which are involved in motor, language, memory, and visuospatial functions and have to be preserved during surgery, is performed through the intraoperative use of brain mapping techniques. The use of these techniques extends surgical indications and improves the extent of resection, while minimizing the postoperative morbidity and safeguarding the patient’s quality of life.

In this paper the authors present their paradigm for the surgical treatment of LGGs, focusing on the intraoperative neurophysiological monitoring protocol as well as on the brain mapping technique. They briefly discuss the results that have been obtained at their institution since 2005 as well as the main critical points they have encountered when using this approach. (DOI: 10.3171/2009.8.FOCUS09137)
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Resection of LGGs, here understood as Grade II gliomas according to the WHO classification,67,68 forces the neurosurgeon to face uncommon difficulties and is still a subject of considerable debate. First, these tumors, which comprise Grade II astrocytoma (fibrillary and protoplasmic), Grade II oligoastrocytoma, and Grade II oligodendrogloma, are relatively rare; according to the Central Brain Tumor Registry of the United States, the various histological classes of LGGs have incidences varying between 0.10 and 0.46 per 100,000 population, and a cumulative incidence of ~ 0.9 per 100,000 people. By comparison, high-grade gliomas (WHO Grade III or IV) have a cumulative incidence of 3.7 per 100,000 population.22 Second, the tumors mainly affect young people (median age at diagnosis 35 years) and especially males (male/female ratio 1.5) with active professional and social lives. Third, LGGs present predominantly with seizures.82,105 Clinical symptoms are usually subtle, and the neurological examination findings are negative.20 although these tumors tend to occur in eloquent areas.33 Lastly, some of these lesions may show an apparently slow pattern of growth. If the patients do not undergo appropriate serial MR imaging, it may be difficult to prove the tumor’s volumetric increase.76

Abbreviations used in this paper: CST = corticospinal tract; CUSA = cavitron ultrasonic surgical aspirator; DES = direct electrical stimulation; DT = diffusion tensor; ECoG = electrocorticography; EEG = electroencephalography; EMG = electromyography; fMR = functional MR; IFO = inferior frontooccipital fasciculus; ILF = inferior longitudinal fasciculus; LGG = low-grade glioma; MEP = motor evoked potential; SLF = superior longitudinal fasciculus; UNC = uncinate fasciculus.
In the recent past, the aforementioned characteristics have caused a large part of the neurosurgical community to consider LGGs as indolent tumors, deserving a cautious and conservative diagnostic and therapeutic approach (wait and see, open/needle biopsy) to preserve the functional integrity of the patient. Currently, however, there is growing evidence that Grade II gliomas are aggressive neoplasms, with a constant growth rate of ~4 mm/year, which diffusely infiltrate the brain parenchyma along white matter tracts, and which almost invariably show a progression toward malignancy. They also frequently induce pharmacoresistant seizures, especially large and/or insular tumors. Furthermore, larger lesions are associated with a greater risk of malignant progression and with shorter patient survival. Finally, although LGGs have produced overt neurological deficits in only a few cases, they frequently present with more subtle symptoms affecting complex neurological functions (memory, language, character, visuospatial orientation, and so on), which require specific testing performed by a neuropsychologist to be detected.

The rationale of the surgical treatment is based, first of all, on the ability to provide an adequate specimen for histological diagnosis and genetic and molecular analysis (1p/19q loss of heterozygosity, MGMT methylation), whose results have fundamental therapeutic and prognostic implications. Moreover, surgery may contribute to control the frequency of seizures or to decrease the burden of drugs, as well as to improve the neurological symptoms that are directly related to the mass effect of the tumor on the cortex or on the functional fiber bundles. Finally, as shown by the most recent contributions in the literature, the extent of the resection is able to influence the overall survival and the time to malignant transformation.

However, since the natural history of the tumor can be relatively long (with or without surgery), the conservation of simple and complex neurological functions of the patients is mandatory.

For these reasons, at our institution resection is the first option in the treatment of LGGs, with the goal to maximally resect the tumor mass, while at the same time minimize the postoperative morbidity. This allows the patient’s quality of life to be safeguarded and allows for prompt reintegration in professional and social lives, which is of primary importance considering the young age of the majority of these patients.

The identification of the eloquent cerebral areas, which are involved in motor, language, memory, and visuospatial functions and have to be preserved during surgery, is performed through the intraoperative use of brain mapping techniques.

In this paper we present our paradigm for the surgical treatment of LGGs, focusing on the intraoperative monitoring protocol as well as on the brain mapping technique. In the end, we briefly discuss the results that have been obtained at our institution since 2005 as well as the main critical situations we have encountered using this approach.

Methods

Patient Selection and Treatment Planning

First of all we would like to stress the point that not all the patients who are affected by an LGG need brain mapping and awake craniotomy. A careful evaluation and selection of the patient is fundamental to customize the intraoperative mapping to the individual anatomofunctional characteristics of the patient and of the lesion. This goal is achieved throughout an extensive preoperative neurological, neuropsychological, and neuroradiological evaluation.

The presence of neurological deficits has to be carefully reported and quantified. Mild deficits are usually related to direct mass effect or infiltration of the tumor on the cortex or on the subcortical white matter tracts. In this case the surgeon may need to adapt the intraoperative stimulation parameters (for example, increasing the current intensity), or to perform an initial debulking of the tumor, to restore the normal excitability of the functional areas, as well as the response to direct stimulation. Complete deficits are extremely rare in this kind of lesion because the slow growth of these tumors allows a functional reorganization of the corticosubcortical network, known as brain plasticity, with transfer of the function to another area of the network. The presence of a complete deficit may suggest the interruption of an essential subcortical fiber bundle, as well as an aggressive behavior of the tumor.

As mentioned above, a neuropsychological evaluation is mandatory when considering surgery for this type of lesion because it allows detection of the subtle neurological alterations that are typical to each patient, to tailor the intraoperative testing to the patient and, postoperatively, to finely assess the impact of surgery on the patient’s neurological functions. This examination should consist of as many tests as possible, to obtain an in-depth analysis of the cognitive functions and of the emotional characteristics of the patient, and particularly of his language, memory and visuospatial abilities. This allows the individualization of the tasks to the intellectual and functional status of the patient. It is important to include in the battery both qualitative and quantitative tests, and normative data must be available for the quantitative procedure. It is also important that a speech therapist and/or a neuropsychologist be involved in managing the patient’s assessments. Moreover, it is preferable that the same neuropsychologist performs the preoperative examination as well as the intraoperative testing. This enhances the coherence in the evaluation of the patient’s answers and increases the reliability of the mapping. Also, the presence of a well-known person in the operating room reassures the patient and allows him to focus on the tests.

The neuroradiological examination is composed of the usual MR imaging (T1-weighted, T2-weighted, FLAIR, and Gd-enhanced T1-weighted), and volumetric sequences, which are useful to measure the tumor volume and to determine its relationship with various structures, such as major vessels. Further MR imaging studies include MR spectroscopy, which provides information on the metabolic characteristics of the tu-
mor and allows for the design of a map of areas within the tumor in which the metabolism is more or less pronounced (multipixel MR spectroscopy map)\textsuperscript{45,52,72} and perfusion MR imaging studies, which may reveal areas of hyperperfusion, usually related to malignant transformation.\textsuperscript{43} At our institution, we also perform functional imaging, such as fMR imaging, and anatomical studies, such as DT imaging fiber tracking. We process fMR images with motor and language tasks, and use them mainly for the evaluation of the hemispheric dominance and for the perioperative surgical planning.\textsuperscript{13,58,114} The DT imaging fiber tracking maps give us a reconstruction of the subcortical fiber bundles involved in motor (CST), language (SLF, IFO, ILF, and UNC), and visuospatial functions, which run around or inside the tumor mass.\textsuperscript{3,6,11,19,26,51,59,84} These data are loaded into the neuronavigation system and help the surgeon in planning the resection, as well as in the performance of the cortical and subcortical mapping.\textsuperscript{61,100} It is worth mentioning that, although they actually reach a high level of accuracy in depicting the fiber bundles, tractography data are based on probabilistic measurements and, in the intraoperative setting, are affected by brain shift.\textsuperscript{52,64,83,89,91} For these reasons the information they provide is not sufficient to perform a safe and effective resection and must always be supported by the results of brain mapping.\textsuperscript{92} It is in fact the intraoperative mapping through DES which ultimately represents the gold standard for the identification and preservation of essential functional areas during surgery. These areas define the limit of resection, since their removal implies a high risk of permanent neurological deficits.\textsuperscript{5,7,10,12,32,35,40,106}

Once the preoperative workup is completed, which includes an anesthesiological examination\textsuperscript{29,30} each patient is offered an individualized surgical and monitoring strategy based on the site and the characteristics of the tumor, the results of the neuropsychological examination and of the functional and anatomical imaging,\textsuperscript{110} which can be summarized as follows: 1) lesions in the nondominant hemisphere, away from eloquent areas and without relationship with areas of activation according to fMR imaging: motor monitoring (optional); 2) lesions in the nondominant hemisphere, in central or precentral area or in relationship with the CST (for example, insular and temporomesial tumors) and small central lesions in the dominant hemisphere: motor mapping and monitoring (awake anesthesia optional); 3) lesions in the nondominant hemisphere, in the postcentral region: motor mapping and monitoring, visuospatial mapping (awake anesthesia recommended); and 4) lesions in the dominant hemisphere: motor mapping and monitoring, language mapping and/or visuospatial mapping for parietal lesions (awake anesthesia recommended).

From an anesthesiological point of view, besides the standard anesthesiological workup, the patient should be examined for his or her ability to be submitted to intraoperative awake monitoring when needed. It is recommended that preparation and selection of the patients be performed by anesthesiologists with expertise in awake surgery.\textsuperscript{29,30} At our institution, the only absolute contraindications to awake surgery, besides the lack of cooperation, are patient age > 65 years, severe obesity, difficult airways, and severe cardiovascular or respiratory diseases.

When the patient requires only motor mapping, surgery is performed with the patient intubated through the nose, which allows the positioning of tongue and pharyngeal electrodes, and light surgical anesthesia is maintained throughout the procedure. No muscle relaxants are used during surgery to allow for neurophysiological assessment. When language or visuospatial functions have to be tested during surgery, the patient receives a laryngeal mask, which is maintained until after the craniotomy and opening of the dura.\textsuperscript{44} At this point, the patient is awakened, while adequate analgesia is maintained, for functional monitoring. Emesis is a rare complication and can be controlled by the administration of antiemetics at the beginning of this phase.\textsuperscript{78} The anesthesiologist should be able to keep the patient awake for the entire time of subcortical mapping, which may require, particularly during long-lasting operations, to alternate time for rest with periods during which the patient is fully awake and responsive. Total intravenous anesthesia with propofol and remifentanil is used at our institution for performing these procedures.\textsuperscript{28,103} However, newer drugs, such as dexmedetomidine, are emerging as effective and safe in producing sedation without inducing respiratory depression or affecting the electrophysiological monitoring.\textsuperscript{99,107} Unfortunately, dexmedetomidine currently still lacks approval for use in Europe.

**Intraoperative Protocol**

The intraoperative mapping and monitoring protocol, which is described in this work, has been used in at our institution during the last 4 years in > 400 consecutive patients who underwent resection of gliomas located close or within motor, visuospatial, or language areas, or pathways. The majority of these cases were LGGs (79.5%, WHO Grade II), and the mean patient age was 36.4 years (range 16–68 years) (Table 1).

The major components of our neurophysiological protocol are EEG, ECoG, EMG, MEP, and DES techniques (Table 2). The protocol includes mapping and monitoring procedures.\textsuperscript{7,9,14,17,21,37,91,93,116}

**Neurophysiological Techniques**

**Electroencephalography/Electrocorticography.** At our institution, EEG activity is recorded bilaterally by 4 subdural needle electrodes, providing 4 bipolar leads. The EEG is registered to monitor brain activity when ECoG is not available, for example, at the beginning and end of surgery. Moreover, it allows assessment of brain activity away from the operating field, such as in the contralateral hemisphere.

The ECoG activity is recorded from a cortical region adjacent to the area being stimulated using subdural strip electrodes with 4–8 contacts, in a monopolar array, referred to a midfrontal electrode. Cerebral activity is recorded with a bandpass of 1.6–320 Hz, and displayed with a sensitivity of 50–100 µm/cm for EEG and 200–400 µm/cm for ECoG. Continuous ECoG recordings (Comet, Grass) are used during the entire duration of the...
procedure to monitor the brain basal electrical activity, to define the working current (detection of afterdischarges), and to monitor for the occurrence of afterdischarges, electrical seizures, or even clinical seizures during the resection (Fig. 1A). Because of this, EEG and ECoG recordings should be obtained during the entire duration of the operation. The occurrence of afterdischarges is quite common during these procedures, and the main objective of monitoring is to recognize those that occur in response to stimulation, to keep the reliability of the testing. Groups of ECoG spikes or electrical seizures occur in up to 30–40% of cases and can be related to the stimulation. In any case, when they appear, it is recommended that the cortex and the surgical cavity be irrigated with cold saline. In the majority of cases, this results in control and reversal of the situation. Clinical seizures occur in 8% of cases, and most of them are focal. The use of cold saline irrigation however, is able to control and totally revert the current due to the reduction of the mass effect exerted by the tumor mass on the surrounding functional parenchyma. In selected cases, ECoG can be used to detect the generation of spikes in specific areas of the cortex, either close or far away from the tumor mass, which are responsible for sustained electrical activity.

Electromyography. Continuous multichannel EMG recording (Comet, Grass) is used throughout the duration of the procedure. Several separate muscles (agonist and antagonist muscles) can be monitored, either in the contralateral or ipsilateral body. Motor responses are collected by pairs of subdermal hooked needle electrodes inserted into the contralateral muscles from face to foot. Each pair of electrodes records 2 different muscles in the same body segment, to sample as many muscles as possible (that is, a flexor and an extensor muscle in the resection, when cortical stimulation was applied to assess the integrity of the motor pathway. The current was subsequently reduced, and no seizure was elicited anymore. This stresses the point that, at the end of the resection, it may be necessary to reduce the intensity of the current due to the reduction of the mass effect exerted by the tumor mass on the surrounding functional parenchyma. In selected cases, ECoG can be used to detect the generation of spikes in specific areas of the cortex, either close or far away from the tumor mass, which are responsible for sustained electrical activity.

TABLE 1: Summary of clinical experience in 408 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Type of Monitoring</th>
<th>Deficits (no. of patients [%])</th>
<th>Immediate</th>
<th>Permanent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rolandic (nondominant)</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor mapping)</td>
<td>motor (32 [60.1])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1 [1.8])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rolandic (dominant)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor mapping)</td>
<td>motor (4 [100])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small lesions†</td>
<td>4 (all asleep)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor &amp; language mapping)</td>
<td>motor (6 [100]); language (5 [83.3])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0]);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>medium/large lesions</td>
<td>6 (all awake)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor &amp; language mapping)</td>
<td>motor (18 [78])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA (nondominant)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor mapping)</td>
<td>motor (18 [78])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMA (dominant)</td>
<td>31 (all awake)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor &amp; language mapping)</td>
<td>motor (26 [83.4]); language (26 [83.4])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1 [3.2]);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frontal (nondominant)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG (monitoring)</td>
<td>motor (0 [0])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frontal (dominant)</td>
<td>92 (all awake)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor &amp; language mapping)</td>
<td>motor (30 [32.6]); language (65 [70.6])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0]);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temporal (dominant)</td>
<td>101 (all awake)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor &amp; language mapping)</td>
<td>motor (2 [1.9]); language (92 [91])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0]);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parietal (nondominant)</td>
<td>12 (10 awake for involvement of 2nd branch of SLF)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor mapping; visuospatial mapping in awakened)</td>
<td>motor (3 [25]); visuospatial (2 [16.7])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parietal (dominant)</td>
<td>12 (all awake)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor, language &amp; visuospatial mapping)</td>
<td>motor (3 [25]); language (7 [58.3]); visuospatial (2 [16.7])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(0 [0]);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paralimbic (nondominant)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor mapping)</td>
<td>motor (4 [21.1])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1 [5.2])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paralimbic (dominant)</td>
<td>23 (all awake)</td>
<td>MEP, EEG, ECoG, DES (motor &amp; language mapping)</td>
<td>motor (2 [8.6]); language (17 [73.9])</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1 [4.3]);</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Patients are divided according to tumor location. The patient was awake in all cases in which the dominant SLF is involved. The type of monitoring used, and the occurrence of immediate (within 1 week) and permanent (after 3 months) deficits is reported. The overall mortality was 0.5% (2 patients: 1 case each of pulmonary embolism and infection). Abbreviation: SMA = supplementary motor area.
† Small lesions are defined as those that involved only the CST, as defined by DT imaging fiber tracking images; in these cases only motor mapping in asleep patients was performed.
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TABLE 2: Neurophysiological protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Aim</th>
<th>Modality</th>
<th>Rationale</th>
<th>Indication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EEG</td>
<td>monitoring of basal brain activity</td>
<td>bilateral recording, 4 bipolar leads</td>
<td>monitoring of brain areas not covered by ECoG &amp; of the contralateral hemisphere, seizure surveillance at beginning &amp; end of op; monitoring of level of anesthesia</td>
<td>each case undergoing cortical stimulation (MEP, DES)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECoG</td>
<td>direct monitoring of cortical activity</td>
<td>subdural strip/grid electrodes, adjacent to craniotomy site</td>
<td>detection of afterdischarges, electrical/clinical seizures related to stimulation, epileptogenic foci</td>
<td>each case undergoing cortical stimulation (MEP, DES), epilepsy op</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>monitoring/mapping of motor activity</td>
<td>subdermal hooked-needle electrodes, extensive insertion in several contra- &amp; ipsilateral muscles from face to foot</td>
<td>high sensitivity &amp; specificity in detecting subclinical responses to MEP/DES, allows the use of lower stimulation intensity</td>
<td>each case undergoing cortical &amp; subcortical electrical stimulation, MEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEP</td>
<td>real-time, continuous monitoring of motor activity</td>
<td>train of 5 technique, cortical strip electrodes (never transcranial)</td>
<td>complementary to direct bipolar stimulation, allows continuous monitoring of motor pathways when DES is not performed, can detect impending brain ischemia</td>
<td>identification of central sulcus &amp; monitoring of motor pathways</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DES</td>
<td>mapping of cortical &amp; subcortical functions</td>
<td>direct electrical bipolar stimulation</td>
<td>allows direct identification of the cortical &amp; subcortical functional areas</td>
<td>accurate &amp; reliable testing of motor, language, &amp; cognitive functions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Motor Evoked Potentials. Continuous monitoring of motor function is performed through MEP recording. The “train of five technique,” which was introduced for surgery in anesthetized patients, has been described as sensitive in detecting imminent lesions of the motor cortex and the pyramidal pathways. For this purpose, a strip electrode containing 4–8 electrodes is placed over the precentral gyrus. In awake patients, a single stimulus or a double-pulse stimulus (individual pulse width 0.3–0.5 msec, anodal constant current stimulation, interstimulus interval 4 msec, stimulation intensity close to motor threshold) is usually delivered. The muscle MEPs have to be recorded with either needle or, more conveniently in awake patients, with surface EMG electrodes. The MEP recording is usually alternated with direct cortical and subcortical motor mapping. Motor evoked potential monitoring is very useful because it provides real-time information on the integrity of the motor pathways during the resection of large parts of the tumor not closely related to the functional structures. In addition, MEPs provide warnings of impending brain ischemia due to critical vessel interruption, mostly in deep temporal or insular regions. Rarely, afterdischarges may occur also during MEP monitoring (Fig. 1C), and occasionally partial seizures may occur (Fig. 1D).

Direct Electrical Stimulation. Direct electrical stimulation for cortical and subcortical mapping is performed by using a bipolar hand-held stimulator, with 1-mm electrode tips, 5 mm apart, connected to an Ojemann Cortical Stimulator (Integra Neuroscience) or an Osiris stimulator (Inomed). The stimulator delivers biphasic square wave pulses, each phase lasting 1 msec, at 60 Hz in trains lasting 1 second for cortical mapping and 1–2 seconds for subcortical mapping. Subcortical mapping is alternated with the resection in a back and forth manner. Subcortical mapping is performed using the same current threshold applied for cortical mapping.

When mapping is performed under general anesthesia, the current intensity ranges between 5 and 15 mA, and the level of anesthesia, which strongly influences the excitability of the cortex, can be monitored using ECoG. In awake patients, a current intensity ranging between 2 and 8 mA is usually enough to evoke motor responses. In these patients, no electrodes are placed in the mouth, and the activity of the muscles in this region can be checked by using a bipolar hand-held stimulator, with 1-mm electrode tips, 5 mm apart, connected to an Ojemann Cortical Stimulator (Integra Neuroscience) or an Osiris stimulator (Inomed). The stimulator delivers biphasic square wave pulses, each phase lasting 1 msec, at 60 Hz in trains lasting 1 second for cortical mapping and 1–2 seconds for subcortical mapping. Subcortical mapping is alternated with the resection in a back and forth manner. Subcortical mapping is performed using the same current threshold applied for cortical mapping.

The purpose of the mapping procedure is to reliably test motor, language, and cognitive function. At the beginning of the mapping procedure, the initial concern is to define the stimulation parameters. A low frequency of 60 Hz is used to establish the working current. It
is advisable to start the procedure with the mapping of motor functions. Once determined, the same intensity of the stimulating current is used throughout the entire procedure in most cases, as well as for mapping cognitive and language functions. Initially, a low current intensity (2 mA) is used, and then progressively increased until a movement is induced. A stimulus duration of 1 or 2 seconds is usually enough to generate a motor response (Fig. 2A). At this point, it is good practice to stimulate the areas close to that in which the current induced the movement, to map them, and to check if the current is able to evoke motor responses also in these zones (Fig. 2B). If not, the current intensity may be increased and adjusted to evoke appreciable motor responses. It is also recommended to check the ECog if the applied current induces afterdischarges in the nearby brain areas. In fact, only the current that is immediately below the one inducing the afterdischarges has to be used for mapping. If afterdischarges are seen, the current should be set up at least 0.5 mA lower than the previous one. Since only the responses evoked in absence of afterdischarges are considered to be trustworthy, continuous ECog recording is used to check the appearance of afterdischarges during the mapping to keep the test reliable.

For language mapping, the initial test used is counting. The current is usually applied onto the premotor cortex related to the face, and the test is aimed to check if the current is able to stop the patient from counting. This has to be repeated several times, and the counting must be stopped at least 3 times to be reliable. If not, the current intensity is increased until this is produced. When the current is established, DES is applied to the entire exposed surface of the brain, and the occurrence of afterdischarges is checked using ECog. The duration of the stimulus is between 3 and 4 seconds. Only the current that is not inducing afterdischarges in the entire stimulated cortex is used for mapping. In case of afterdischarges, the current intensity is decreased by at least 0.5 mA.

It is important to keep the surface of the stimulated cortex moist and not to stop mapping after identifying only 1 eloquent site, but to search for possible redundancies; negative mapping does not protect but creates questionable stimulation reliability.

For subcortical mapping, either the same current used for cortical mapping or a current raised by 2 mA is applied, and the stimulus is continuously alternated with the resection (Fig. 3). Also during subcortical mapping, ECog is continuously monitored to look for the occurrence of afterdischarges and seizures to verify the reliability of the responses. The resection margin is usually kept at least 5 mm away from functional areas and may come very close to subcortical pathways.

Brain Mapping Techniques

Motor Mapping. Electromyography recording provides an excellent view of the entire contralateral body, at the same time reducing the risk to miss responses in segments that are difficult to inspect due to the position of the patient on the operating table, or to detect areas such
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as the mouth or the pharynx. In addition, even small amplitude responses, which do not evoke overt movements, can be detected (Fig. 3C). Occasionally, in patients under general anesthesia who are receiving a large number of antiepileptic medications, it might be difficult to evoke cortical motor responses. In these patients, MEP recording can be useful in identifying the location of the motor cortex and to plan the site of incision, allowing the resection to proceed. The same current intensity or one 2 mA higher is generally used for subcortical stimulation. Motor responses appear as focal (few muscles) when the tract is stimulated close to the surface, while they affect multiple muscle groups with deep stimulation. In addition, when the resection is approaching the deep portion of the tumor, subcortical stimulation allows small motor responses to be detected without overt muscle activity, which indicates that the resection is coming close to the motor pathway (Figs. 3 and 4). When these warning responses are identified, the resection should be performed particularly carefully, although it can proceed until more pronounced motor responses are identified, probably when the stimulator tip comes in direct contact with the CST. The identification of such warning signals is therefore particularly useful when performing a safe and effective resection.

The simultaneous use of CUSA and DES at the subcortical level near the pyramidal tract may lead to the abolition of previously evident motor responses. This abolition is generally reversible after turning the CUSA off. This interference with motor mapping may be interpreted as a transitory inhibition of axonal conduction. The clinical significance of this interference is relevant when CUSA and DES are used simultaneously, because it can decrease the sensitivity of the brain mapping technique, and it should be kept in mind by the surgeon when these tools are simultaneously used.18

Language and Visuospatial Mapping. DES is delivered while the patient performs a series of language tests. The tests we most often administer are counting, object naming, famous face naming, and verb generation. To identify malcompliance or interference not related to the stimulation (for example, nonconvulsive seizures), each stimulation should be applied before the presentation of the object starts. Each stimulation should then be followed by at least a task without stimulation, and 2 tasks are the standard. Being the duration of the stimulation longer than that for motor mapping (4 seconds vs 1–2 seconds), repetitive stimulations carry a risk of triggering afterdischarges or seizures.

A dedicated neuropsychologist, who is present in the operating room and available for the entire mapping procedure, evaluates the patient performance during the various tests, both at the cortical and subcortical level. When
a functional cortical or subcortical site related to the speech network is stimulated, the patient experiences a language disturbance, that is, he or she makes a mistake. Different kinds of mistakes may be encountered during the performance of the tests. These mistakes can occasionally occur without stimulation, or more frequently during the stimulation. It is important to check the ECoG and EEG during administration of each test for the occurrence of afterdischarges or electrical seizures. Only the mistakes made in absence of ECoG disturbances are reliable. In addition, a site can be defined as essential for language when it produces language disturbances at least 3 times in different nonconsecutive stimulations. Cortical language sites coding for object naming, verb generation, face naming, word or sentence comprehension, numbers, or colors can be identified in several regions in the frontal, temporal, or parietal lobe, with a distribution which differs according to the individual patient and the patient sex.

During subcortical language mapping, the patient is asked to perform object naming and verb generation tasks while the surgeon performs the resection, which is alternated with the stimulation. Each fiber tract is characterized by involvement in the semantic (IFO and UNC) or phonemic (SLF, ILF, and subcallosal fasciculus) loop and can be recognized at the subcortical level by the appearance of the language disturbances typical for each loop (for example, semantic paraphasias for IFO, phonemic paraphasias for SLF, and speech arrest for the subcallosal fasciculus). In the course of subcortical language mapping it is also possible to evoke motor responses, due to the stimulation of motor fibers belonging either to the premotor component of the face, which induces anarthria, or to the CST, which induces various type of muscle activation depending on the location and depthness of the stimulation (Fig. 5).

Visuospatial mapping is usually performed in patients with lesions located in the parietal lobe and, in case of dominant location, it is intermingled with language mapping (Fig. 6). The patients are usually asked to perform a line bisection task. Under DES, the patient is requested to look at the appearance of a line on a touch screen and to
bisect the line by touching its center with a pen. A deviation toward right or left of > 2 cm is usually considered pathological and is associated with an interference in the visuospatial function. The current intensity is the same as that for cortical motor mapping. One or two cortical sites are usually identified in all patients. The same procedure is also performed at the subcortical level by using the same current intensity or a current up to 2 mA higher the previous one. Subcortical visuospatial mapping can identify a small and discrete tract, usually running at the lateral mid border of the tumor, whose preservation, as well as that of the cortical sites, prevents the occurrence of neglect during the postoperative course.

Intraoperative Imaging Techniques

Intraoperative neuronavigation techniques, as mentioned above, are of great importance in our protocol. The neuronavigation system is loaded with morphological volumetric T1- and T2-weighted images, along with motor and language fMR and tractography images. Neuronavigation helps during surgery in localizing the tumor, and in defining the relationship between the tumor and the surrounding functional and anatomical structures, both at the cortical and the subcortical level. Functional and tractography data are also of valuable assistance as a guidance for the mapping procedure (Figs. 4–6). For an in-depth discussion of our intraoperative imaging protocol, please refer to a recent publication by our group.6

Results

Functional Results

Resection margins are usually kept 5 mm apart from essential cortical sites and are usually coincident with subcortical sites. When this is achieved, motor or language deficits develop in the immediate postoperative period in 72.8 and 65.4% of cases, respectively. When no subcortical sites are identified, this risk is very low (3–5%). In our experience, most of the deficits were transient and disappeared within 1 month after surgery. Overall, in the group of patients in which a subcortical functional site was identified during the resection, the likelihood of developing a permanent deficit was 3.8%, independent of histology and location. This percentage reached 7% in patients with a preexisting motor or language deficit. In contrast, when no subcortical sites were found at the time of surgery, the chance to induce permanent deficits was even lower (2%). These results further reinforce the concept that when a subcortical site is found, the surgeon is very close to the subcortical pathway. Therefore, when a subcortical response is reliably detected, the resection has to be stopped and should be continued in the adjac-
cent structures, because there is a high chance to damage functional structures.\textsuperscript{5,39,66} If no subcortical structures are found, the resection can be continued, because the chance to injure essential structures is low.

When we considered the results of the long-term postoperative neuropsychological evaluation, we found that 79.5\% of the patients had a long-term postoperative normal language, 18.6\% showed mild disturbances still compatible with normal daily life, and only 2.3\% showed a long-term impairment. Similar figures were observed for the resection of gliomas close to motor areas or pathways.

As a final remark, at 3 months after surgery a high percentage of patients (91.8\%) returned to work.

**Oncological Results**

From an oncological point of view, firstly we managed to have a large amount of material for the histological and molecular diagnosis. In addition, the first documented oncological result of a surgical approach performed with the aid of brain mapping techniques was the increase in the number of cases which were submitted to surgical treatment: in accordance with what has been previously reported in the literature, this percentage in our series increased from 11\% of cases, when mapping was not available, to 81\% when mapping was applied, with a significant decrease in the number of cases in which biopsy alone was performed.\textsuperscript{5,31,34,36,40} The second oncological result, already mentioned in the previous paragraph, is the decrease in the percentage of postoperative permanent deficits, which decreased from 33 to 2.3\%, either for language or motor function. A third important effect is the decrease in the incidence of seizures, particularly in patients with a long epileptic history and affected by insular tumors. Lastly, and most important, is the impact that these techniques have on the extent of resection. The use of brain mapping techniques increased the percentage of patients in whom a total and subtotal resection was achieved. In our series, the percentage of total and subtotal resections increased from 11\%, in the period in which no mapping was available,\textsuperscript{5} to 69.8\% in the era in which brain mapping techniques were applied. These figures are in accordance with the results of other groups.\textsuperscript{65,92,102,105}

**Discussion**

The purpose of brain mapping techniques is to identify and preserve the cortical and subcortical sites at the time of surgery that are essential in maintaining function. In our experience, motor or language disturbances associated with most LGGs can be induced either inside the tumor mass or at the tumor margins, because most of the essential sites, particularly at the subcortical level, are located within the tumor or adjacent to it. The resection was stopped when language, motor, visuospatial, cortical, or subcortical areas were encountered. This allowed us to have an extremely low percentage of postoperative permanent neurological deficits. In particular, the preservation of subcortical tracts seemed to be critical for the long-term patient integrity.\textsuperscript{5,6,10,35,39,40} The fact that most of the deficits were transient suggests that in these cases the resection was conducted close to the tracts, and that...
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The development of postoperative disturbances may have been due to edema or transient retraction injury, without permanent damage. The low incidence of postoperative deficits in patients in whom no subcortical tracts were identified was usually due to vascular damage and development of ischemic areas. The MEP monitoring can help in preventing the appearance of motor deficits due to vascular injury. In addition, our functional results were totally different from those obtained when DES was not applied. The analysis of patients with high-grade gliomas or LGGs who underwent surgery at our institution before the use of direct electrical stimulation, showed 23% of permanent language or motor deficits, in accordance with what has been previously reported in other series. In addition, brain mapping did not negatively affect our ability to perform an extensive resection in a large percentage of cases. On the contrary, the percentage of total and subtotal resections significantly improved in comparison with the time in which DES was not applied. Recent evidence from other groups has shown that a more extensive resection at the time of the initial diagnosis may be a favorable prognostic factor for this type of tumor, and has an influence on time to recurrence and malignant transformation.

Accordingly, an influence on patient survival has been documented as well. This stresses the point that the smaller the tumor, the better the patient outcome. As a consequence, delaying surgical intervention may increase the risk of malignant transformation. Altogether, these data support resection as the first choice of treatment for LGGs and show the relevance of cortical and subcortical stimulation as an extremely useful surgical adjunct during the removal of lesions involving eloquent areas.

Nevertheless, brain cortical and subcortical mapping is a demanding technique. Especially during awake surgery, it requires a close collaboration among the neurosurgeon, neuropsychologist, and neurophysiologist. The neuropsychologist and neurophysiologist should both be present in the operating room and work as a team to assist the surgeon in combining the neurophysiological information with the interpretation of the language disturbances, and to compare these data with the surgical anatomy. In addition, a well-trained anesthesiologist is essential, because he or she must titrate the sedation and the analgesics to keep the patient not only calm and pain free but also fully awake and able to reliably perform the tasks. Either excessive sedation or anxiety and pain, in fact, may
reduce the compliance of the patient and compromise the results of the tests. It is also worth mentioning that, in case of awake anesthesia, the patient needs to be prepared in advance to the awakening phase and to the performance of the tasks in the operating room. In fact, these events can be particularly stressful, and only patients who are properly instructed and motivated are able to tolerate the operative environment and to focus on the tests. During mapping, a high level of interaction among the operating team is key to obtaining an accurate evaluation of each task and a precise definition of the functional sites. Extensive training of each team member and a habit of working together are important to spare time and assist the surgeon with clear indications. Brain mapping can be a significantly time-consuming procedure, in particular when the results of mapping are unsatisfactory and additional or repeated testing is required. This may obviously result in supplementary burden for the patient and need of rest, with further prolongation of surgical times. Moreover, a tired patient is prone to performing poorly on the tests. If the patient’s compliance is compromised because of an especially lengthy procedure, it is recommended to stop surgery and to plan a second intervention in 2–3 months. This can also be scheduled in advance, based on the preoperative tumor size and characteristics.

Along with the aforementioned issues, brain mapping is intrinsically limited by the fact that only the functions that are specifically tested are preserved. If this is of relative importance for simple functions, such as motor function, it is particularly relevant for complex cognitive functions. As already mentioned, time strongly affects the quality of mapping and that means that only a limited number of well-selected tests can be administered to the patient. This should be kept in mind when dealing with large tumors located in the dominant hemisphere in areas densely filled with functional sites, such as the temporoparietal junction or the precentral area. In such regions, careful selection of the tasks and a systematic execution of the mapping are crucial to save the basic cognitive functions, but may not be able to investigate other superior functions, such as calculation, writing, reading, and second languages. Therefore, the surgeon has to plan preoperatively, according to tumor size and location, which information should be obtained through the mapping procedure, and to inform the patient about the possible limitations of each approach.

Another technical critical issue is the relationship between the intensity of the stimulating current and the distance from the functional site, in particular when subcortical mapping is performed. In the literature there are no available works studying the penetration distance of subcortical bipolar stimulation in the white matter, while the range of bipolar stimulation on the cortex has been observed to be ~2–10 mm. In our experience, when a response was induced at a subcortical level, we always performed an intensity-response curve to assess the maintenance of the response either at very low current intensity levels. This can help in estimating the distance between the point of stimulation and the functional tract. When we reached the functional fibers, we always stopped the resection, managing to preserve the function.
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