Minimally invasive direct repair of lumbar spondylolysis with a pedicle screw and hook construct

JOSEPH C. NOGGLE, B.S., 1 DANIEL M. SCIUBBA, M.D., 1 AMER F. SAMDANI, M.D., 2 D. GREG ANDERSON, M.D., 3 RANDAL R. BETZ, M.D., 2 AND JAHANGIR ASGHAR, M.D. 2

1 Department of Neurosurgery, Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland; 2 Shriners Hospital; and 3 Rothman Institute, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Object. Lumbar spondylolysis occurs in approximately 6% of the population and presents with localized mechanical back pain, often in young athletes. Surgical treatment may involve decompression, lumbar intersegmental fusion, or direct repair of pars defects. Although such open procedures may effectively resolve symptoms, minimal-access approaches may additionally decrease collateral damage to soft tissues, allowing young, active patients to resume athletic activities sooner. In this study, the authors review their experience repairing bilateral lumbar spondylolyses with screw and hook constructs placed via a minimal-access approach.

Methods. Five consecutive pediatric patients with bilateral L-5 spondylolysis were treated. Bilateral incisions (2.5 cm) were made over L-5. Exposure was maintained with bilateral expandable tubular retractor systems. Pedicle screws were placed in the L-5 pedicles and attached to hooks under the L-5 laminae. A direct repair was performed at the pars defect. Clinical characteristics, operative variables, and postoperative outcomes were collected.

Results. All 5 patients underwent surgery; 4 were male (80%) and 1 was female (20%), and the mean age was 15.8 years (range 15-17 years). The mean estimated blood loss and duration of surgery were 37 ml (range 15-75 ml) and 1.94 hours (range 1-3 hours), respectively. Postoperative hospital stays ranged from 1 to 3 days (mean 1.8 days). The only complication occurred in 1 patient who experienced minor superficial wound breakdown. All patients have experienced resolution of symptoms at this preliminary stage, which has continued over an 8-month follow-up period.

Conclusions. Lumbar spondylolysis can be adequately and safely treated via minimal-access surgical repair of the pars interarticularis by using pedicle screws and rod-hook constructs. This approach may decrease the collateral soft tissue damage common to open dissections, and may be ideal for young, active surgical candidates.
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UMBAR spondylolysis classically presents with mechanical back pain, and occurs in approximately 6% of the population. 6,8,12 Traditional treatments involve conservative monitoring combined with activity modification or surgical intervention when nonoperative management is not successful. Surgical treatment for the disease can be grouped into 3 major categories, which include lumbar decompression, intersegmental fusion, and the direct repair of pars defects. 12

Direct surgical repair of pars defects is well documented as an effective treatment in young patients who do not present with instability or severe disc degeneration. 1,3,7,16,20 Direct repair may be accomplished with favorable biomechanical and clinical outcomes by techniques including the Buck method and pedicle screw and hook constructs. 1,3,7,20 In addition, young, active adults are common candidates for such procedures, 7,10,12,20 and thus may additionally benefit from the avoidance of approach-related damage to back muscles and ligamentous structures that may occur during exposure for standard fusion procedures. For this reason, minimally invasive approaches may be especially relevant.

In this paper we describe the repair of lumbar pars defects in children, which was completed using a minimally invasive approach involving a pedicle screw and laminar hook construct. We highlight the technical aspects of the procedure and clinical outcomes in these patients.

Methods

Data Collection

Retrospective review of pediatric patients with spondylolysis was conducted at a single institution over a 1-year period (April 2007–April 2008). Patients treated with sur-
gical repair of the pars interarticularis via bilateral pedicle screws and rod and hook constructs were identified and evaluated. Data pertaining to preoperative characteristics, operative management, and postoperative outcomes were collected.

The patients’ course consisted of clinical and radiographic evaluation prior to surgery. Operative management involved placement of bilateral pedicle screws and rod and hook constructs via bilateral 2.5-cm skin incisions at L-5 and minimal-access exposure. Pedicle screws were positioned under fluoroscopy by using cannulated screws and Jamshidi needle wires. Offset laminar hooks were then placed under the L-5 laminae bilaterally and connected to the L-5 pedicle screws by using rods, which were 3.5–5 mm in length (Fig. 1). Roughly 2.1 mg of rhBMP-2 (MedtronicSofamor Danek) was placed on each side within the pars defect before closure to facilitate bone repair.

Case Illustration

History and Examination. This 16-year-old male athlete presented to our clinic with axial low-back pain localized over the L-5 vertebra. The patient had undergone 9 months of nonoperative treatment with minimal resolution of symptoms. After extensive workup and the completion of CT and MR imaging studies, bilateral L-5 spondylolysis (Fig. 2) was diagnosed in this patient. Following informed consent, which included use of rhBMP-2 considered “off-label” by the Food and Drug Administration, the decision was made to perform surgical repair of the bilateral L-5 pars interarticularis.

Operation. Following intubation, the patient was placed prone on a Jackson table with his knees and hips flexed. Fluoroscopy was used to obtain anteroposterior images of the L-5 vertebral body, and marks were placed on the skin to localize the superior boundary of the L-5 pedicles, the inferior boundary of the L5-S1 disc, and the lateral aspects of the L-5 pedicles.

After sterile preparation and draping, 2 separate 2.5-cm skin incisions were made based at the lateral aspect of the pedicles, from the superior boundary of the L-5 pedicle to the inferior boundary of the L-5 lamina. Cobb elevators and self-retaining retractors were used to expose the fascia, while Bovie cautery and pickups were used to open the fascia widely. Blunt finger dissections were used to split the paraspinal muscles in an avascular plane in a superior-inferior direction, and the transverse processes, facets, and pars were palpated. Initial dilators were docked at the level of the pars and confirmed with fluoroscopy. Subsequent dilators were passed over the initial dilators, and expandable

![Fig. 1. Photographs of a model of the pedicle screw and laminar hook construct from posterior (A), inferior (B), and right oblique (C) views. Red lines demarcate the bilateral pars interarticularis defects.](image)

![Fig. 2. Illustrative case. Left: Preoperative sagittal CT reconstruction showing spondylolysis at the L5–S1 level. Right: Preoperative axial CT scan showing the pars defect at L-5.](image)
minimal-access retractor systems (Pipeline, DePuy) were placed bilaterally. The remaining muscle was dissected using bipolar cautery to achieve hemostasis, while the facet capsules were carefully preserved. During exposure, the transverse processes, L5-S1 interlaminar windows, L-5 pars, superior facets, and inferior facets were all successfully identified under direct vision.

Pars defects were located bilaterally at the neck of the superior articular facet at the level of the joint capsule. Fibrous tissue within such defects was scraped out completely in a bilateral fashion, and small troughs were drilled to place rhBMP-2. Bilateral cannulated pedicle screws (7 × 50 mm) were then placed at L-5 by using Jamshidi needle wires and fluoroscopy for guidance. Laminar hooks connected to short rods were then placed at L-5 (Fig. 3). Once both hooks were seated, the lengths of the attached rods were measured for equality, and a rod holder was used to help drive the rod down into the screws. The laminar hooks and pedicle screw constructs were then compressed and tightened. The wound was then irrigated, and rhBMP-2 was placed bilaterally along the pars defects under the rods.

**Postoperative Course.** The total time of surgery was approximately 3 hours, with an estimated blood loss of 15 ml. The patient displayed no perioperative complications, and spent 2 days in the hospital following surgery. He was released home with the recommendation for outpatient physical therapy and lifting restrictions until 3 months postoperatively. With 6 months of follow-up, his postoperative course has been uneventful, with no major complications and complete resolution of pain (Fig. 4).

**Results**

Five pediatric patients were identified, 4 of them male (80%) and 1 of them female (20%), with an average age of 15.8 years (range 15–17 years). The diagnosis in all patients was spondylolysis specific to the L-5 vertebra, and they all presented with axial low-back pain. All patients were symptomatic with the pars defects, to which they were potentially predisposed by their active lifestyles: 2 were farmers (40%), and 3 were athletes (60%) (Fig. 5).

All patients were initially treated with nonoperative management for at least 6 months, including physical therapy and activity modification. Eventually, each patient underwent surgical repair of the pars interarticularis with a pedicle screw and rod and hook construct via minimal-access exposure. The mean intraoperative estimated blood loss and duration of surgery were 37 ml (range 15–75 ml).
and 1.94 hours (range 1–3 hours), respectively. Postoperative hospital stays ranged from 1 to 3 days (mean 1.8 days).

All patients were followed for > 6 months (mean 7.2 months, range 6–9 months). Four patients (80%) were treated postoperatively with physical therapy and removed from all activity restrictions after 1 month. One patient (20%) chose not to attend physical therapy and was removed from all activity restrictions after 3 months. No patient suffered major complications; however, 1 patient (20%) experienced minor superficial wound breakdown. All patients experienced resolution of symptoms with treatment (Table 1). In addition, all patients have demonstrated fusion on CT scans obtained at 6 months after surgery (Fig. 6).

**TABLE 1**

Demographic data in 230 patients with degenerative lumbar spine disease*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>LMD 1-Level</th>
<th>LMD 2-Level</th>
<th>Laminectomy 1-Level</th>
<th>Laminectomy 2-Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no. of patients</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sex (M/F)</td>
<td>75/66</td>
<td>9/5</td>
<td>26/23</td>
<td>15/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>age in yrs</td>
<td>48.3 ± 13.1</td>
<td>43.4 ± 13.9</td>
<td>58.0 ± 15.7</td>
<td>66.0 ± 13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>22–85</td>
<td>18–65</td>
<td>28–90</td>
<td>19–82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disc level (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2–3</td>
<td>2 (1.4)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 (2.0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3–4</td>
<td>8 (5.6)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10 (20.4)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4–5</td>
<td>55 (39)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30 (61.2)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5–S1</td>
<td>76 (54)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8 (16.4)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2–3, L3–4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3–4, L4–5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 (7.1)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11 (42.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4–5, L5–S1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>13 (92.9)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>12 (46.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4–5, L1–2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NA = not applicable; SD = standard deviation.

Fig. 5. Illustrative case. Preoperative oblique radiographs obtained in a 16-year-old boy with bilateral L-5 spondylolytic defects (circled areas). This patient previously had demonstrated increased radionuclide uptake on bone scans bilaterally at L-5, and continued to be symptomatic despite 2 years of nonoperative treatment, including extensive bracing.

**Discussion**

Pars defects are not uncommon in the population, presenting with symptoms of mechanical back pain, the majority of which can be managed conservatively. 6,8,12 Although numerous techniques have been described to address such disorders surgically, minimal-access procedures may have the additional benefit of decreasing collateral damage to soft tissues, notably muscle, allowing young, active patients to resume athletic activities. In this manuscript, we describe the technique of repairing bilateral lumbar pars defects with screw and hook constructs that are placed via minimal-access retractor systems.

In this series, 5 patients with L-5 spondylosis underwent surgical repair of the pars interarticularis via bilateral pedicle screws and rod and hook constructs. The average length of surgery was 1.94 hours, estimated blood loss was 37 ml, and the average length of hospital stay was 1.8 days. Four patients were allowed to return to normal activity after 1 month, and the other patient did after 3 months. At last follow-up evaluation, all patients demonstrated complete resolution of symptoms.

Despite the fact that conservative measures (rest, bracing, physical therapy, restriction of activity, pain medication) are successful for most patients with lumbar pars defects, surgical treatments are indicated for those patients who do not respond. Internal fixation was first proposed by Buck 2 in 1970, and he described direct repair by placing a screw through the pars interarticularis. 3 Due to complications with healing of the defect and hardware failure, Nicol and Scott 16 proposed a wiring technique to stabilize the posterior arch, which has led to an increased rate of fusion. 6 In 1984, as described by multiple authors, 6,8,14,18,22 Morsher introduced the use of the laminar hook to allow compression of the posterior arch and bone graft, and evolution of his original concept later involved use of segmental pedicle screw and hook fixation.

This current concept of pedicle screw and hook fixation is beneficial in that it is extremely rigid and thus obviates the need for postoperative immobilization. Deguchi et al. 7 have demonstrated biomechanically that such a construct
minimizes micromotion across the defect. Second, pedicle screw insertion, unlike the placement of pars interarticularis screws, is a familiar technique to most surgeons. Finally, by avoiding segmental instrumentation, no loss of lumbar motion segments occurs, as happens with fusion procedures.

However, despite the potential benefits, open placement of such systems may be associated with collateral damage to soft tissue. In patients undergoing repeat posterior lumbar surgery via standard dissection, Kawaguchi et al. observed late back muscle injury > 10 months after the original operation. Following histological and histochemical evaluation of the tissue, they concluded that increased retraction time and pressure were related to enhanced muscle damage. Various authors have sought to reduce approach-related injury in lumbar spine procedures through minimally invasive, muscle-splitting approaches. Patients undergoing such procedures have demonstrated improved functional outcomes. For example, Rahman et al. report earlier postoperative mobility in patients experiencing minimally invasive versus open lumbar laminectomies. Chao et al. have sought to corroborate such data by demonstrating worse postoperative trauma resulting from open procedures. Specifically, high concentrations of interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and creatine kinase were obtained in blood samples of patients undergoing open versus minimal-access surgeries.

Conclusions

In young, active patients in whom nonoperative treatment for lumbar spondylolysis fails, direct repair of the pars defect with screw and hook construct can provide significant relief without the need for segmental fusion. With use of minimal-access approaches, additional benefit may result from decreased tissue dissection, reduced blood loss, and shorter recovery time.
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