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An explosion in the development of new diagnostic modalities, surgical techniques, and adjuvant treatment strategies has changed the concept of glioma therapy remarkably in the last 30 years. The CT scanning introduced by Hounsfield in 1973 and the nuclear MR imaging workers in 1978 and described in detail by Doyle, et al., represent the “gold standard” for diagnosis and follow up of brain tumors. The use of the operating microscope has become a standard part of the technique in most intracranial procedures since the 1960s. Adjuvant therapy concepts, such as postoperative radiotherapy and nitrosourea chemotherapy became an additional treatment option in the 1960s and 1970s. Nevertheless, despite these remarkable advances, brain glioma remains a serious disease that is rarely cured. Although the mortality rate in patients with meningioma and in those with brain abscesses has been tremendously reduced since the pre-CT era, evidence of this is lacking for patients with intracranial gliomas.

To evaluate what influence the introduction of the new diagnostic and therapeutic modalities had on the prognosis of patients with glioma as a distinct population, the authors retrospectively analyzed all patients who underwent surgery at a single institution between 1965 and 1974 (Group I) and 1986 and 1995 (Group II). There were no major differences in symptomatology, tumor localization, and number of surgical procedures. The mean time until tumor diagnosis was significantly shorter in Group II (Group I, 48 weeks; Group II, 19.5 weeks). Also, the mean time from initial symptoms to surgery was significantly shorter for high-grade gliomas in Group II (Group I, 16.3 weeks; Group II, 11.7 weeks). For high- as well as low-grade gliomas, there was a clear reduction of the perioperative morbidity and mortality rates in Group II. Nevertheless, for the postoperative duration of survival, no significant differences were demonstrated for high- or low-grade gliomas. Based on the results of this study, the perioperative morbidity and mortality rate as well as the time from diagnosis to treatment have been remarkably reduced within the last 30 years. Nevertheless, the overall prognosis for patients with gliomas has not changed from the 1970s until today. Thus, the introduction of modern diagnostic modalities and surgical procedures has not improved the outcome in patients with glioma. Further research to improve the treatment of this disease is urgently needed.
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**Clinical Material and Methods**

**Patient Population and Inclusion Criteria**

A list of all patients with intracranial gliomas who underwent surgery at the Neurosurgical Department of the Ernst Moritz Arndt University in Greifswald, Germany between 1965 and 1974 (Group I) and between 1986 and 1995 (Group II) was derived from the hospital’s surgical...
records. These time periods were selected because a 10-year interval appeared appropriate to include a sufficient number of patients. In 1975, very few operations were performed due to reconstruction of the hospital. Thus, the period 1965 to 1974 was selected. To allow a direct comparison between times with and without MR imaging diagnostics, the second time period consisted of 1986 to 1995, because an MR imaging unit was introduced at Greifswald in early 1991 after the reunification of Germany.

Inclusion criteria were as follows: minimum patient age of 18 years and histologically proven intracranial astrocytic, oligodendroglial, mixed glial tumor or glioblastoma according to the World Health Organization classification published by Kleihues, et al., in 1993. Patients with incomplete data sets regarding symptomatology, medical history, diagnostic modalities, type of surgery, applied adjuvant therapies, or pre- and postoperative functional status were excluded from the study.

Data Evaluation

Clinical data were obtained from the neurosurgical inpatient and postoperative follow-up records, and from documents of the referring institutions and other departments participating in the postoperative treatment as well as from the records of the general practitioners involved. Additionally, the time of death was determined through an inquiry at the National Cancer Registry (Gemeinsames Krebsregister, Berlin, Germany). The histological diagnosis was reevaluated according to the World Health Organization classification scheme from 1993 and adjusted if indicated. Initial symptomatology, tumor location, kind of surgery, complications, tumor recurrence, and time of death were obtained. Special attention was given to the time interval from the onset of symptoms to diagnosis and surgical treatment, to the applied diagnostic procedures, to the application of the various adjuvant therapies, and to the postoperative duration of survival. The postoperative quality of life was assessed with the help of the KPS. A score of 70 or higher was defined as satisfactory quality of life. Because in a retrospective analysis the exact assessment of the KPS is almost impossible, the assessment was limited to a score of 70 or more or a score less than 70. This was evaluated at discharge, at 3 and 6 months, and at 1 year after surgery.

Statistical Analysis

The features of the two patient groups were compared using the Student two-sample t-test and the chi-square test. Paired t-tests were used to compare differences between values in the same group. Survival curves were calculated by the life table (Kaplan–Meier) method to account for varying periods of follow up.

Results

Overall Results

A total of 88 patients met the inclusion criteria in Group I (patients treated between 1965 and 1974), and a total of 249 patients were included in this study in Group II (those treated between 1986 and 1995). In both groups, more than 70% of all tumors consisted of glioblastomas or anaplastic astrocytomas (Fig. 1A) and were located in the
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frontoparietal lobes (Fig. 1B). In both groups, mental syndrome and headache, followed by paresis and seizures, were the most common symptoms at initial presentation (Fig. 1C).

**High-Grade Gliomas**

In 73 patients in Group I and 183 in Group II, the histological diagnosis of their tumors was Grade III or Grade IV glioma. The mean age of patients with high-grade gliomas was 45.7 years (range 21–69 years) in Group I and 51.7 years (range 18–73 years) in Group II. Group I consisted of 69.9% men, and Group II was made up of 56.3% men.

**Duration of Symptoms**

The time from initial (most likely specific) symptoms (paresis, hypesthesia, seizures corresponding to the localization of the tumor) to surgery was 16.33 ± 13.1 weeks in Group I and 11.7 ± 11.6 weeks in Group II (Fig. 2A). This difference was highly significant according to the t-test (p < 0.01).

**Diagnostic and Surgical Procedures, Adjuvant Therapies**

The frequency with which the diagnostic modalities were used is given in Fig. 2B. The differences between Groups I and II were significant according to the chi-square test (p < 0.05).

A partial resection of the tumor mass was the most frequent procedure in both groups, with 74% in Group I and 75.4% in Group II (Fig. 2C). An open biopsy procedure was performed in 9.6% of patients in Group I and 16.4% in Group II, and a complete resection of the tumor mass was accomplished in 16.4% of the patients in Group I and in 8.2% in Group II. Adjuvant therapies such as postoperative chemotherapy and radiation treatment were used with similar frequency in both groups (Fig. 2D). There were no significant differences according to the chi-square test. In eight patients (11%) in Group I and in 23 (12.6%) in Group II, subsequent surgery for recurrent tumor growth was performed.

**Perioperative Morbidity and Mortality Rates**

There was no significant difference in the number of
perioperative surgical complications, with 15% in Group I and 13.6% in Group II, as shown in Table 1. Nevertheless, with respect to the perioperative mortality (defined as death within 4 weeks postsurgery) there was a statistically significant reduction, from 17.8% in Group I to 8.2% in Group II (Fig. 3A).

Postoperative Duration of Survival and Quality of Life

In Group I 82.2% of the patients and in Group II 91.8% survived at 4 weeks postsurgery. At 6 months postsurgery, 56% of Group I and 55.1% of Group II patients remained alive. At 2 years postsurgery, 14.1 and 17% of patients in Groups I and II, respectively, survived. Data are shown according to the Kaplan–Meier method (Fig. 3B). The slight differences observed were not statistically significant.

At discharge, 71.2% of the patients in Group I and 68.7% of those in Group II had a KPS score of 70 or higher. At 3, 6, and 12 months postsurgery, this percentage was 58.5, 63.2, and 66.6%, respectively, for Group I and 75.9, 71.4, and 73.8%, respectively, in Group II. In all, the number of patients alive with a KPS score of 70 or higher was slightly larger in Group II at 3, 6, and 12 months postsurgery; however, this difference did not reach significance according to the chi-square test.

Low-Grade Gliomas

Gliomas in 15 patients in Group I and 66 in Group II were histologically diagnosed as Grade I or Grade II. The mean age for patients with low-grade gliomas was 39.7 years (range 24–59 years) in Group I and 42.2 years (range 18–65 years) in Group II. Group I consisted of 53.3% men, and Group II was made up of 40.9% men.

Duration of Symptoms

The time from initial (most likely specific) symptoms (paresis, hypesthesia, seizures corresponding to the localization of the tumor) to surgery was 52.7 ± 59 weeks in Group I and 74.2 ± 147.8 weeks in Group II, with median values of 35.6 and 19 weeks, respectively (Fig. 2A). There was no significant difference between both groups.

Diagnostic and Surgical Procedures, Adjuvant Therapies

The frequency with which the diagnostic modalities were used is given in Fig. 2B. According to the chi-square test, the differences between Groups I and II were significant for all modalities except electroencephalography and brain scintigraphy (p < 0.05).

Again, a partial tumor resection was the most frequent procedure in both groups; 53.3% in Group I and 60.6% in Group II (Fig. 2C). An open biopsy was performed in 20% of patients in Group I and in 24.2% in Group II, and complete resection of the tumor mass was accomplished in 26.7% of the patients in Group I and in 15.2% in Group II. Adjuvant therapies were used with similar frequency in both groups, with a tendency toward more frequent application of radiotherapy and less frequent application of chemotherapy in Group II (Fig. 2D). There were no significant differences according to the chi-square test.

In four patients (33.3%) in Group I and 16 (24.2%) in Group II, subsequent surgery for recurrent tumor growth was performed.

Perioperative Morbidity and Mortality Rates

There was a clear difference in the number of perioperative surgical complications, with 20.1% in Group I and 4.5% in Group II, as shown in Table 1. Nevertheless, this difference did not reach statistical significance according to the Fisher test (p = 0.07). With respect to perioperative mortality, defined as death within 4 weeks postsurgery, there was a statistically significant reduction from 26.7% in Group I to 6.1% in Group II (Fig. 3A).

Postoperative Duration of Survival and Quality of Life

In Group I 73.3% of the patients and in Group II 93.9% survived at 4 weeks postsurgery. At 6 months postsurgery, 57.1% of Group I and 79.3% of Group II patients remained alive. At 1 and 2 years postsurgery, 50 and 75.9%, respectively, in Group I as well as 28.6 and 55.2% of the patients in Group II survived. As demonstrated in Fig. 3B,

FIG. 3. A: Bar graph showing mortality levels within 4 weeks postsurgery. There was a statistically significant reduction of the mortality level for high- and low-grade gliomas between Group I and Group II. B: Kaplan–Meier curves showing postoperative duration of survival for low- and high-grade gliomas. p = percentages given as decimals.
there was a much higher percentage of surviving patients in Group II up to 4 years postsurgery. Nevertheless, this difference did not reach statistical significance at 25, 50, 100, and 150 weeks postsurgery.

At discharge, 66.7% of the patients in Group I and 87.1% of those in Group II had a KPS score of 70 or higher. At 3, 6, and 12 months postsurgery, this percentage was 95.4, 100, and 100%, respectively, for Group I and 87.1, 85.3, and 84.4%, respectively, in Group II. The percentage of patients alive with a KPS score of 70 or higher was not significantly different between the groups.

**Discussion**

Although there have been notable technical advances in the diagnosis of and the surgical approach to brain tumors, including CT and MR imaging,28 for diagnosis and stereotactic surgery, intraoperative cortical sensory and motor mapping, computer-assisted laser resection, and neuronavigation for surgery,5,13,14,20,31 the consequences of these new modalities on the extent of resection and on the prognosis of patients with glioma remain under intense discussion.21,29 The goal of our study was to evaluate whether changes in diagnostic modalities and surgical procedures within the last 30 years has indeed had an impact on the prognosis of patients with glioma in a distinct patient population. In this study we report results in 337 patients with gliomas who underwent surgery either between 1965 and 1974 or between 1986 and 1995 at a single institution.

Based on the data in this study, the time between initial symptoms and surgery has been reduced for malignant as well as benign glial tumors from the 1970s to the mid-1990s. Most likely, this can be attributed to the ubiquitous availability of newly introduced diagnostic methods such as CT and MR imaging. In addition, the reduced rates of perioperative morbidity and mortality (see next paragraph) most likely also aids the decision to perform surgery, and more and more patients with low-grade gliomas undergo tumor resection.

In addition to the skill and experience of the surgeon, there are several factors that affect the incidence of complications in brain tumor surgery. Variables such as tumor location, extent of resection, previous treatment, tumor characteristics (size and histological features), the patients’ preoperative neurologic and physical status, age, and the availability of monitoring and intraoperative navigational devices can all influence the surgical outcome.3,25,27 In our study, a significant reduction in perioperative mortality was found for high-grade as well as low-grade gliomas in Group II. Also, the number of perioperative complications was smaller in Group II for all gliomas, although this difference did not reach statistical significance. Thus, modern diagnostic and surgical procedures have most likely contributed to the reduction of the perioperative morbidity and mortality rates in gliomas.

In this study we failed to demonstrate any significant difference in the long-term survival for high-grade or for low-grade gliomas. Historically, the median duration of survival has been less than 1 year in patients with glioblastoma multiforme26 and less than 2 years in those with anaplastic astrocytomas.2 For low-grade gliomas, exact data are missing because the diagnosis is often made incidentally. Nevertheless, particularly for oligodendrogliomas, some authors report significantly better patient survival with the use of diagnostic CT scanning than was seen before this modality was available. In 1994, Celli et al.7 reported significantly better survival rate for patients treated in the modern era (1977–1986), when CT scanning was available, compared with those treated before. Shimizu et al.28 demonstrated a 5-year survival rate of 76% in patients who underwent CT scanning compared with 41% in the group of patients who did not. These data indicate that patients with oligodendrogliomas diagnosed in the CT/MR imaging era may have a longer survival duration than those who underwent surgery earlier. In contrast, Leonardi and Lumenta5 concluded from their data that, despite new diagnostic and therapeutic tools, no prolongation of survival duration for oligodendrogliomas was seen in the CT/MR imaging era. Our study supports the latter observation because no improvement of the long-term survival duration was demonstrated. Nevertheless, particularly for low-grade gliomas, the number of patients in Group I is very small. Also, a trend toward better survival of these patients in Group II is notable. Thus, no final conclusion can be drawn from the present data for low-grade gliomas.

In all, our study demonstrates that the optimal treatment of gliomas still has not been discovered. Since the introduction of the current standard diagnostic modalities such as CT and MR imaging and surgical procedures such as neuronavigation and microsurgery, no great impact of these changes on the prognosis of gliomas could be found. Nevertheless, the time from initial symptoms to surgery and the perioperative morbidity and mortality rates have clearly been reduced since the 1970s. Further research to find the optimal therapy for gliomas has to be conducted. The further development of new surgical procedures could contribute to improvement in glioma prognosis9,22,23. Attempts to prolong the median survival duration of cancer patients also must focus on developing new chemotherapeutic agents, radiotherapy methods, and immunotherapies.
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