The vertebrae are the most common sites for hematogenously acquired osteomyelitis. Most patients with PVDO can be treated conservatively with long-term antibiotic therapy. Surgical debridement is usually reserved for patients in whom medical management of the disease has failed, those with neurological compromise, unstable mechanical deformity, epidural abscess, or intractable pain. The decision whether to intercede surgically is often colored by the medical comorbidities endemic in this patient population. The patient’s overall constitution is particularly important in these cases, given the extensive surgery often needed to stabilize the spine after debridement. Because hardware placed for fusion operations in noninfected patients has been shown to increase postoperative infection rates, many practitioners have expressed understandable concern about the placement of instrumentation in an infected patient. Historically, many have preferred to prescribe bed rest and prolonged external bracing rather than placing internal constructs. Others have advocated a staged operation with a period of antibiotic therapy bridging the debridement and instrumentation procedures. The desire to effect more rapid mobilization has led to a progressively more aggressive strategy with regard to placement of instrumentation. In recent series excellent outcomes have been demonstrated for single-stage procedures in which hardware placement is performed within and adjacent to debrided areas, and these studies have not shown significantly increased rates of infection recurrence.
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The vertebral column is the most common site for hematogenous osteomyelitis. Most patients with PVDO can be treated conservatively with long-term antibiotic therapy. Surgical debridement is usually reserved for patients in whom medical therapy has failed, and in those with neurological compromise, mechanical instability, epidural abscess, or intractable pain. Surgical management has evolved to include single-stage operations for debridement and stabilization as well as more aggressive reconstruction strategies with respect to instrumentation. A review of the literature demonstrates excellent outcomes with single-stage operations and placement of hardware wherever it is required. Using this method, the authors have treated 16 patients without a single incidence of recurrent infection or hardware failure after almost 2 years of follow up.

### Surgical Intervention

Surgical intervention is indicated for pyogenic vertebral discitis and osteomyelitis in patients in whom medical therapy has failed, and in those with neurological compromise, mechanical instability, epidural abscess, or intractable pain. Surgical management has evolved to include single-stage operations for debridement and stabilization as well as more aggressive reconstruction strategies with respect to instrumentation. A review of the literature demonstrates excellent outcomes with single-stage operations and placement of hardware wherever it is required. Using this method, the authors have treated 16 patients without a single incidence of recurrent infection or hardware failure after almost 2 years of follow up.
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### SPINAL INFECTIONS

#### Common Pathogens

In North America, spinal infections are typically the result of methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* that spreads hematogenously in individuals with medical conditions that predispose them to infections. Gram-negative rods account for another large percentage and are the most prevalent infecting organisms in the intravenous drug using population. Brucellar vertebral osteomyelitis is common in countries where unpasteurized milk is consumed, and even in first world countries, tuberculous vertebral osteomyelitis comprises up to 40% of the cases in some series.

#### Clinical Presentation and Evaluation

Back pain is frequently the only presenting symptom, which means that many cases of PVDO go undiagnosed for prolonged periods of time. Fever and an elevated white blood cell count are usually not present, and the diagnosis is often made when symptoms of back pain result in radiographic evaluation. When PVDO is suspected, the serum ESR and CRP level should be assayed because elevated values on these tests are more than 80% sensitive for this diagnosis. The majority of patients with spinal infections can be treated effectively with a 6- to 8-week course of antibiotic drugs and careful monitoring of neurological status, with treatment tailored to the causative organism.

Blood cultures are positive for bacteria in 50 to 72% of these cases. When blood cultures are unrevealing, a computerized tomography–guided biopsy procedure should be the first option chosen, because this will yield...
an organism between 52 and 68% of the time, and this yield is not greatly increased in specimens obtained during open procedures.\textsuperscript{2,21}

**Medical Management and Follow-Up Protocol**

At our institution, patients with PVDO receive a 6- to 8-week course of intravenously delivered antibiotic medications, which after a time can be converted to an oral regimen in selected cases. Once an antibiotic regimen is started, patients are assessed with weekly serum ESR and CRP testing and monitored for evidence of neurological decline. The best data regarding ESR and CRP responses to antibiotic therapy are found in pediatric series of acute nonsurgically treated PVDO. In such cases, the ESR should begin to decrease in the 2nd week and to normalize within 3 to 5 weeks, whereas CRP levels should respond more rapidly, decreasing in the first couple of days and normalizing in 1 to 2 weeks.\textsuperscript{30,37}

In adults, ESR trends are confounded by comorbid medical conditions and the nonspecific elevation in the rate that often occurs with age. In one study of PVDO in adults, 50% of patients who failed to demonstrate a significant decrease in the ESR after 1 month still achieved clinical cures with conservative management.\textsuperscript{5} Nevertheless, the ESR is a useful tool in the management of adult PVDO, and the authors of most studies on this disease view a 50 to 66% reduction in the ESR as compatible with eradication of infection.\textsuperscript{4,32} There are no published series of medically managed PVDO in adults in whom systematic follow-up review was performed using CRP testing.

**Surgical Intervention**

Most authors advocate surgical debridement in cases of failure of medical therapy, neurological compromise, or unstable mechanical deformity.\textsuperscript{11,13,14,24,28,31} The presence of an epidural abscess independent of a neurological deficit is a relatively strong indication to operate, although treatment of epidural abscesses with antibiotic drugs alone can be successful in selected cases.\textsuperscript{10,18,27,29} The vast majority of PVDO cases involve the anterior and/or middle spinal column. Although an epidural abscess with no significant structural deformity can be treated with simple laminectomy and evacuation, the majority of cases require an approach that allows extensive anterior debridement and reconstruction, followed by placement of a stabilization construct. This presents a conflict between the desire to place adequate instrumentation and concern over placement of a foreign body in an infected individual. These issues must be viewed in the context of the consequences of subjecting patients who are often medically ill to a second operation, prolonged periods of immobility, and weeks to months of external bracing.

Authors of retrospective series have reported outcomes obtained using strategies that reflect a continuum of treatment rationales. Investigators have advocated anterior stabilization with allograft or autograft combined with bed rest and bracing, or anterior stabilization with no instrumentation followed by a period of treatment with antibiotic drugs and a second stage for placement of a posterior construct. More recently, the trend has been to perform aggressive debridement with anterior, posterior, or circumferential stabilization at the time of the initial surgery. Some authors have also advocated removal of hardware after there is evidence of fusion to avoid the possibility of reinfection from instrumentation colonized by bacteria. Although there are no prospective data to establish the relative risks and benefits of single-stage procedures, the numerous retrospective series described later and in Table 1 have shown excellent outcomes with the single-stage approach.

**Literature Review**

In most series in which single-stage procedures for anterior spinal infections have been described, anterior debridement with placement of allograft or autograft has been used, combined with placement of a posterior stabilizing construct. This approach is based on the premise that instrumentation placed posteriorly involves a second operating field that is not (at least directly) contaminated. The first report in which this strategy was used was published by Fountain\textsuperscript{13} in 1979. Fountain presented a mixed series of patients, one of whom had destructive PVDO and who was treated with anterior corpectomy and fusion as well as posterior stabilization with Harrington rods. Although the details pertaining to the follow-up review in this individual are lacking, the fusion was apparently successful and the patient was out of bed within 14 days of the procedure.

Abramovitz, et al.,\textsuperscript{1} reported a mixed series of surgically treated spinal infections in which three patients received anterior decompression and fusion with posterior placement of Harrington rods. After a follow-up duration of 1 to 9 months, two patients were ambulatory, with early evidence of bone fusion, and one had died. No other relevant follow-up data were reported.

The first series describing the consistent placement of posterior instrumentation at the time of debridement was published in 1988 by Redfern, et al.\textsuperscript{26} These authors reported on six patients with PVDO who underwent posterior placement of a Banks–Dervin rod in the same operation as a debridement procedure. Two of these patients also received anterior placement of an autologous rib strut graft. Although one patient died of pneumonia 25 days postsurgery and one died of unrelated cardiac causes 6 months later, the remaining four had “excellent” outcomes. There was one case of “troublesome” delayed wound healing but no evidence of recurrent infection.

In 1993, Graziano and Sidhu\textsuperscript{14} reported results obtained in seven patients with PVDO of the thoracic and lumbar spine who were treated with anterior corpectomy and placement of an anterior nonvascularized autogenous strut graft. Six of these patients were stabilized with a posterior or fixation construct at the same surgery. The results were excellent, with no recurrence of infection, no pseudarthroses, and evidence of strut graft incorporation in all patients after a mean 25-month follow-up duration.

In 1996, Rath, et al.,\textsuperscript{25} reported on a series of 43 patients with thoracic or lumbar PVDO who were treated entirely via a posterior approach. Eighteen of these patients underwent a single-stage procedure with anterior placement of autologous bone graft and posterior fixation with a transpedicular screw/rod construct. Twenty-one underwent a two-stage procedure consisting of an initial debridement, placement of gentamicin-impregnated methyl methacrylate chains in the debridement cavity, and posterior fixation, followed by an anterior fusion and removal of the
methyl methacrylate implants 2 to 3 weeks later. In the interim, patients were placed on bed rest and received intravenously administered antibiotic drugs. The authors reported no difference in outcome or morbidity rates between the two groups. There was one nonfusion in the single-stage group and there was one recurrence in the two-stage group. The recurrence occurred in one of the two patients in whom methyl methacrylate had been implanted to bridge osseous defects.

In 1997, Dietze, et al., reported the first series in which instrumentation was placed anteriorly, adjacent to the area of debridement. Of 20 patients with surgically treated spinal infections, 15 received instrumentation. There were four cases involving the cervical spine that were treated with anterior debridement, simultaneous arthrodesis, and placement of anterior Caspar vertebral body plates; and there were 11 cases involving the thoracic and lumbar levels that were treated with anterior debridement, arthrodesis, and posterior fixation for which either hook/rod or pedicle screw/rod constructs were used. All operations were single-stage procedures except in five of the lumbar cases, in which there was a 3- to 5-day respite between anterior decompression and arthrodesis with posterior fixation.

At the time of the report, the authors had provided follow-up care for a mean of 16 months, during which time three patients were lost to follow-up review and one patient who had multiple medical comorbidities died of septic shock. The authors reported surgical complications in four patients, including one superficial wound infection, one deep wound infection, one pseudarthrosis from a telescoped cervical interbody fibular allograft, and one dislodged lumbar interbody humeral graft that had to be replaced surgically. There were no recurrent spinal infections during the follow-up period.

In 1997, Carragee reported on a series of 17 consecutive patients with thoracic and lumbar PVDO who were followed for 2 years after anterior debridement and posterior fixation. There were eight “significant” postoperative complications, including two instrumentation failures and one wound dehiscence, and four patients died of medical complications. There were no recurrent infections, and ESRs returned to normal levels in 15 of the 17 patients. In two patients with “inflammatory arthritis,” ESRs were halved during the follow-up period but remained in the supernormal range.

In 1998, Safran, et al., reported on a series of 10 patients with thoracic and lumbar PVDO who were treated with single-stage anterior corpectomy and arthrodesis. There was one repeated operation to replace an extruded anterior bone graft. After a mean follow-up duration of 30 months, all patients were ambulatory, had attained a bone fusion, and displayed no evidence of recurrent infection.

In 1999, Rezai, et al., reported on a series of 57 consecutive patients with PVDO of the cervical, thoracic, or lumbar spine, 37 of whom were treated surgically. Of these, 26 underwent an anterior approach, receiving autograft or allograft, with 14 undergoing placement of anterior instrumentation alone and four undergoing anterior and posterior instrumentation. Four patients who underwent a posterior approach received fixation with hook/rod constructs. Patients were followed for a mean duration of 36 months, with none lost to follow up. Patients were treated with external bracing for 3 to 6 months postsurgery. Two patients experienced wound dehiscence that eventually healed; one patient had a superficial infection at the halo pin site. Two patients with cervical lesions who received grafts without instrumentation required a repeated operation to secure extruded bone grafts. One patient required a repeated operation to replace a screw, and another, who was noncompliant with antibiotic therapy, underwent repeated surgery for graft collapse. There was one patient who suffered a recurrent cervical infection but who nonetheless attained a solid fusion. This patient was successfully treated with abscess drainage, removal of the hardware, and another course of antibiotic medications.

In 2001, Przybylski and Sharan presented a series of 17 consecutive patients with PVDO who were treated surgically. Two patients had cervical disease, one thoracic, and 14 lumbar. All patients underwent single-stage anterior debridement and fixation, although the patient with cervical disease was the only one who received anterior instrumentation. Patients were followed for 12 to 44 months and wore external bracing for 2 to 3 months.

There were two deaths in the immediate postoperative period, one caused by pneumonia and multiorgan failure and the other by myocardial infarction. There was
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**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Ant Instr</th>
<th>Pst Fix</th>
<th>Superf WI</th>
<th>Deep WI</th>
<th>Recurrent PVDO</th>
<th>Hdw/Graft Rev</th>
<th>Graft Extr</th>
<th>Rate (%)</th>
<th>Deaths, Med Causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Redfern, et al., 1988</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graziano &amp; Sidhu, 1993</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rath, et al., 1996</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carragee, 1997</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietze, et al., 1997</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safran, et al., 1998</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rezai, et al., 1999</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Przybylski, et al., 2001</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hec, et al., 2002</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liljenqvist, et al., 2003</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Ant = anterior; extr = extrusion; fix = fixation; instr = instrumentation; med = medical; NA = not applicable; pst = posterior; recur = recurrent; rev = revision; superf = superficial; WI = wound infection.
one wound dehiscence that healed by secondary intention without surgical debridement; there was one late superficial infection of a drain site that was treated with intravenous antibiotic medications. After surgical treatment of a thoracolumbar lesion, a paraspinal abscess and a new cervical PVDO developed 17 and 28 months, respectively, after the initial operation in one patient. His instrumentation was removed, a solid fusion was found, and results of the intraoperative cultures were negative. Another patient had a late deep wound infection that was treated with drainage, local wound care, and intravenously administered antibiotic drugs. Her hardware was removed several months later. Levels of CRP had normalized in all of the surviving patients after 3 months, except in three with “chronic” PVDO.

In 2002, Hee, et al.,15 reported on a series of 21 patients treated via anterior approaches for 11 lumbar lesions, nine thoracic lesions, and one cervical lesion. Anterior fusion was performed in all cases, with five patients receiving a titanium mesh cage packed with autograft instead of a bone strut graft. Anterior stabilization instrumentation was used in two patients and posterior instrumentation and fusion were performed in 10 others by using hook/rod or pedicle screw/rod constructs. Two of these posterior fusions were delayed for 1 to 2 weeks. All patients wore rigid external braces until fusion was demonstrated on x-ray films; patients were followed for a mean duration of 67 months.

Three patients died of septic shock in the immediate perioperative period. In two patients recurrent PVDO with progressive kyphosis developed, requiring a repeated operation; in one patient a dislodged hook was found; and in another a pseudarthrosis and wound infection developed. The authors found that, compared with patients who received posterior fixation, those who did not experienced faster procedures and less blood loss, but had higher complication rates and were twice as slow to attain fusion. Patients who received titanium cages spent less time in braces and had shorter hospital stays and lower complication rates.

In 2003, Liljenqvist, et al.,17 reported on a series of 20 patients with thoracic or lumbar PVDO who all underwent single-stage operations consisting of anterior debridement and reconstruction in which an expandable titanium cage was used, along with posterior fixation in which a pedicle screw/rod construct was used. All patients were followed for a mean duration of 26 months with serial radiographs and serum CRP testing. Eight patients were mobilized with no external support, whereas 12 patients wore a brace for 3 to 6 months.

Three patients died during the follow-up period, two of sepsis in the postoperative period and one of a myocardial infarction that occurred after discharge. There was one common iliac vein injury that required intraoperative repair. There were two repeated operations for hardware malpositioning that had produced neurological symptoms. There were four superficial wound infections requiring surgical debridement but no deep wound infections or cases of recurrent PVDO. The CRP values had completely normalized in 11 of 20 at follow-up review, and ranged from 0.7 to 2.3 mg/dl in the remaining nine.

In 2003, Shad, et al.,34 presented a series of five patients with a small cervical PVDO, all of whom were treated with anterior corpectomy and plate fixation. In four of the five patients the causative pathogen was S. aureus. When the authors removed and cultured the hardware after successful fusion and “cure,” S. epidermidis grew in each case.

Current Management Protocols

The majority of spinal infections can be managed medically without surgical intervention. A diagnosis is made based on the results of radiographic studies and is confirmed by elevated serum ESRs and CRP levels. Identification of the pathogenic organism is accomplished using blood cultures or computerized tomography–guided biopsy sampling. Surgery is reserved for patients with neurological compromise, failed medical management, unstable mechanical deformity, epidural abscess, or intractable pain.

In reviewing our own series of patients who were surgically treated for PVDO over the last 3 years, we have identified 16 consecutive patients who underwent single-stage placement of both anterior and posterior instrumentation after debridement. Two of these patients died in the perioperative period, and four were lost to follow up. The remaining patients were followed with weekly ESR and CRP testing during antibiotic treatment, and they underwent ESR and CRP assessments 1 month after termination of antibiotic therapy. After 1 to 2 years of follow-up review, all ESRs and CRP values were normal or close to normal, and all patients demonstrated stable constructs on dynamic plain x-ray films. There were no hardware revisions and no recurrences.

CONCLUSIONS

A definitive recommendation regarding the optimal surgical strategy for PVDO is not possible given the retrospective nature of the literature and the inherent heterogeneity among case series. Still, it would appear that single-stage operations do not predispose patients to recurrent infection, at least not during a follow-up duration of 1 to 2 years. Although no study has been conducted to examine systematically the benefits of early mobilization in this population, it is logical to assume lower rates of complications such as pneumonia and deep venous thrombosis in patients undergoing single-stage operations.

There are no obvious advantages to rigorous avoidance of hardware placement into debridement cavities. Indeed, the sporadic cases of extrusion of anteriorly placed grafts reported in series in which only posterior hardware was placed indicates that anterior plate placement or fixation should be used if possible. Interestingly, in rare cases in which reexploration is performed for recurrent infection, a solid arthrodesis is often observed. This may result from the enhanced inflammatory response produced by an infectious process that is active during the initial phase of bone healing.16

Complete eradication of infection should be verified by postoperative normalization of ESRs and CRP levels. Trends in these values are greatly affected by concomitant medical conditions and the inflammatory response to surgery. Follow-up magnetic resonance imaging may be useful as well, but interpretation of these images is made difficult by the presence of enhancing noninfected granulation tissue and artifacts from the hardware. The benefits of hardware removal after fusion are unclear, and the one
small series in which hardware colonization by a skin flora that was not the original pathogen was reported does not support the systematic removal of hardware after debridement and stabilization for PVDO.
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