Cervical dystonia is now recognized as a form of focal dystonia. Neck muscle contractions typically begin gradually, occasionally after minor trauma, and progress over years. This results in a variety of abnormal neck movements and postures. Cervical dystonia may remain mild in some individuals, but in most it becomes severe and disabling with remissions occurring only rarely. Botulinum toxin injections are the mainstay of treatment, with the muscles relaxing immediately and abnormal movements improving within days. Total TWSTRS scores improved by 79%, and severity subscores improved significantly, from 15.7 ± 2.1 to 7.7 ± 2.9 (paired t-test, p = 0.02). Pain and disability subscores improved from 25.5 ± 4.1 to 3.3 ± 3.1 (paired t-test, p = 0.002) and from 13.3 ± 4.9 to 3.3 ± 4.2 (paired t-test, p = 0.06), respectively.

Conclusions. Although it is too early to reach broad conclusions, this report of preliminary results confirms the efficacy of DBS of the GPI for cervical dystonia.
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Cervical dystonia, previously referred to as spasmodic torticollis, is now recognized as a form of focal dystonia. Neck muscle contractions typically begin gradually, occasionally after minor trauma, and progress over years. This results in a variety of abnormal neck movements and postures. Cervical dystonia may remain mild in some individuals, but in most it becomes severe and disabling with remissions occurring only rarely. Botulinum toxin injections are the mainstay of treatment, although only 63% of patients experience long-term sustained benefit. Thus, a significant proportion are left with disabling torticollis that is not responsive to medical therapy.

Cervical dystonia has been managed using stereotactic surgical procedures since the 1950s; these involve lesioning of a variety of targets (see Loher, et al., for recent review). In addition, deafferenting peripheral nerve and intradural root procedures have also been advocated. Some treatments require multiple surgical procedures or are associated with side effects such as dysphagia and aspiration. The peripheral denervating procedure is performed in a limited number of centers because of the extensive postoperative rehabilitation required. Because this is a peripheral nerve procedure, the torticollis often recurs as the nerves regenerate and thus the benefits of surgery wear off over time.

With the resurgence of interest in stereotactic surgery in the 1990s, it was observed that DBS applied in the GPI could eliminate drug-induced dyskinesia and improve dystonia in patients with PD. This prompted application of DBS to treat generalized dystonia in children and adults, and excellent results were reported. More recently, the use of pallidal DBS has also been reported in the treatment of adults with refractory cervical dystonia. Unfortunately these reports have several limitations: 1) small sample size, whereby seven publications report on a total of 16 patients (some patients are likely to have been reported twice); 2) combining results in focal cervical and generalized dystonias; 3) inconsistent use of objective and validated scales to assess the extent of the

Abbreviations used in this paper: BDI = Beck Depression Inventory; DBS = deep brain stimulation; GPI = globus pallidus internus; GPe = globus pallidus externus; IPG = implantable pulse generator; MR = magnetic resonance; PD = Parkinson disease; SF-36 = Short Form–36; TWSTRS = Toronto Western Spasmodic Torticollis Rating Scale.
condition, such as the TWSTRS® or cervical dystonia rating scale; in none of the studies were the neurologists performing the clinical assessments working in a blinded fashion. Reports with the aforementioned limitations are common when surgeons are asked to treat the most refractory cases as a last attempt to improve the patient’s quality of life and the procedures are never subjected to the scrutiny required of other treatments. Therefore, in 2002 we proposed a Canadian five-center feasibility study to address the shortcomings in the previous publications. Our aim was to determine whether bilateral pallidal DBS results in improvement in neck postures/movements and quality of life and to document the adverse effects of this treatment in patients with cervical dystonia. In this report, we describe the study design and protocol and focus on the preliminary results—the first three patients treated in the coordinating center. These data have been presented previously in abstract form.

CLINICAL MATERIAL AND METHODS

All patients who had suffered from refractory and isolated cervical dystonia for at least 5 years and in whom medical management had failed were considered for entry into the study. Only those who consented to undergo DBS surgery with all necessary follow up were enrolled. The primary outcome was the severity subscale of the TWSTRS®, as assessed by two neurologists in a blinded fashion. Secondary outcomes included the TWSTRS subscores for pain and disability, the SF-36 score to measure quality of life, results of the BDI, and adverse events. Videotapes and assessments were performed at baseline at least 3 months after the last botulinum toxin injection and at 1 year postoperatively. In addition, swallowing studies and neuropsychological assessments were performed preoperatively and at the 1-year follow-up visit. Patients wore a hospital gown and surgical cap for videotape recordings were then used to map the most posteroventral part of the GPe, first in the right brain and then in the left. The DBS electrodes (model 3387; Medtronic, Inc., Minneapolis, MN) were then placed with the 0 (lowest) lead at least 2 mm above the optic tract. At this site the electrodes spanned the entire GPi from ventral to dorsal borders, and the most dorsal DBS leads may have reached the ventral GPe. Electrodes were secured to the calvaria with a silk stitch and methylmethacrylate. Excess DBS wire was coiled in the subperiosteal space and attached to an external lead for postoperative testing. This testing was performed mainly to determine thresholds for side effects and to allow postoperative MR imaging to confirm adequate placement before insertion of the generator.

On Day 3 or 4 after placement of the DBS electrodes, the IPG (Kinetra; Medtronic, Inc.) was placed in a subclavicular pocket on the side to which the patient’s neck usually turned. A miniplate was fashioned and secured to the calvaria behind the ear to provide a tunnel under which the extension wires could pass and to prevent dislocation of the connector into the subcutaneous tissue of the neck (Fig. 2). These refinements were introduced to minimize the chance of lead breakage, because significant hardware complications have been described in patients with severe neck movements. Perioperative antibiotic drugs were administered at the time of surgery and for 24 hours postoperatively.

Programming of the DBS Implant

Programming was performed by movement disorder nurse clinicians 1 to 2 weeks after implantation. A similar protocol was used as that in patients with PD but settings were started at a wider pulse duration (90–210 μsec). Although we initially thought that treatment effects would occur in a delayed manner, this was not the case.

Postoperative Assessments and Follow-Up Review

Patients were seen by the treating neurologist and neurosurgeon at 3, 6, and 12 months postoperatively, specifically for assessment of adverse events. Adjustments in medications were made by the neurologist and patient as warranted. The TWSTRS disability and pain assessments, severity videotapes, BDI, and SF-36 questionnaire were administered by the nurse coordinator at each site at 6 and 12 months postoperatively. Videotapes and results of these assessments were sent to Calgary at the completion of the 12-month follow-up review. For this preliminary report, the scoring neurologists (O.S. and R.R.) were not blinded to the surgical status of the three patients.

Sample Size

A sample size of 10 patients was selected because this was deemed to be sufficient to detect a difference in the mean TWSTRS severity score of 4. This was estimated from published case reports, assuming a baseline severity score of 20 and a standard deviation of differences of 4. Using a paired t-test at a 5% two-sided level of significance, a 20% relative difference would be detected with 80% statistical power.

Statistical Analysis

Patients served as their own control; a paired t-test was used to compare outcomes from baseline to last follow-up visit. Results are reported as the mean ± standard deviation.

SUMMARY OF CASES

Case 3006

History. The first patient was a right-handed 63-year-old man with longstanding postural tremor in both hands. Fourteen years before surgery he had noticed the onset of neck turning toward the left. The most effective sensory trick for relieving the torticollis was touching the side of his face or pushing his head back against a high-backed chair. His neck movements gradually worsened over 5 years, at which time he started receiving injections.
of botulinum toxin and a trial of tetrabenazine. He experienced only minimal improvement after botulinum toxin injections and because pain was a major symptom he underwent C3–4 facet rhizotomy and trials of long-acting narcotic analgesic drugs. Microvascular decompression of the right 11th nerve and upper cervical roots failed to improve his neck movements. He also suffered from depression at various times and was treated for it by a psychiatrist. He was enrolled in the pallidal DBS study protocol 3 years after his last surgery and after also trying the Myobloc trial. At the time of consultation he was taking 12 mg lorazepam, 150 mg amitriptyline, 80 mg propranolol, and 50 mg rofecoxib daily and smoking medical marijuana to control his painful cervical dystonia.

**Examination.** On examination he exhibited mild dysarthria and his word finding ability was slow. Results of his general neurological examination were normal, aside from mild tremor with writing and performing the Archimedes spiral. His torticollis was characterized as mild retrocollis with a mild tilt, a moderate twist toward the left, and sagittal shift. Sensory tricks could normalize his neck position and he displayed mild left shoulder elevation as well. Results of swallowing studies were normal preoperatively, although the patient did complain of dry mouth and difficulty swallowing. On neuropsychological assessment mild short-term memory and executive dysfunction were identified, neither of which were severe enough to preclude surgery.

**Operation.** The patient underwent uneventful bilateral insertion of DBS electrodes in the GPi (Fig. 3), and he experienced an immediate improvement in pain and neck movements postoperatively with electrode placement alone. This benefit wore off 2 weeks later, and programming was initiated with monopolar stimulation of Pole 2 in the left brain and Pole 6 in the right. The parameters of 135 Hz, 150-μsec pulse width, and 2.8 to 3 V improved his head tremor, neck pain, and movements, although increasing the amplitude to 3.5 V made his toes curl. Final optimal programming was accomplished using monopolar Electrodes 2 and 6 and settings of 90-μsec pulse duration, 135 Hz, and 3.4 V.

**Postoperative Course.** At his 1-year follow-up visit the patient was taking only 200 mg amitriptyline and 120 mg propranolol a day, having discontinued lorazepam and marijuana. His TWSTRS severity, pain, and disability scores (with maximum scores of 30, 40, and 30, respectively) had dropped from 15, 29, and 19 preoperatively to 4, 0, and 6 postoperatively. His BDI score was 16 preoperatively and 6 at the 1-year follow-up visit (of a total score of 63). The SF-36 quality of life index improved from 82.5 to 124.4 (maximum score in a healthy individual is 146). Neuropsychological and swallowing assessments are pending.

**Case 3007**

**History and Examination.** The second patient was a right-handed 48-year-old man who was a sales represen-
tative and in whom gradual onset of head twist and tilt toward the left developed 5 years before consultation. There was no history of trauma and his family and medical history was unremarkable. No particular sensory trick was useful for relieving his torticollis, aside from pushing his head back onto a hard surface. He was treated with botulinum toxin for the first 3 years, which provided some improvement, but because its effects waned over time he was enrolled in a clinical trial of Myobloc, which did not provide benefit. He continued to work full time, and he did not report significant pain, although he did occasionally take nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs. He described the neck movements as the most disabling part of his condition, because his job required so much client contact. He was not depressed (BDI Score 5 of 63). Swallowing studies and neuropsychological testing preoperatively yielded normal to high average results. He did not take any medications. On examination the patient had laterocollis with mild rotation to the left and a tilt and prominent elevation of his left shoulder (Video Clip 1).

Sensory tricks could only partially relieve the neck movements.

**Operation.** This patient underwent uncomplicated placement of bilateral electrodes for DBS of the GPi. Electrode location was confirmed on postoperative MR images and an IPG was implanted 4 days later (no immediate benefit was seen). Programming was initiated 1 week later by trying the two lowest electrode poles (150-μsec pulse width, 135-Hz frequency). Because stimulation at these parameters resulted in paresthesia in the contralateral hand and face, slight speech disturbance, and tightening of the patient’s shoulder at amplitudes greater than 2 V, the programming was changed to use the most dorsal poles (3 and 7).
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Postoperative Course. At 3 months the patient was seen for a follow-up visit in the neurosurgery department, and because there was no clinical improvement it was suggested that in reprogramming an attempt should be made to use more ventral electrode poles. At this delayed time point after surgery, bipolar stimulation was initiated using the two lowest poles (0−/1+ and 4−/5+) at 180 Hz, with a 180-μsec pulse width. This allowed an amplitude of 3 V to be reached without side effects. It resulted in an immediate improvement, within minutes, in subjective tightness of the patient’s neck and shoulder muscles, followed by a gradual, visible straightening of his head over the ensuing few days. One week after these settings were initiated the patient returned to the clinic with his posture almost straight. At 6 months postoperatively his sternocleidomastoid muscle remained hypertrophied and his shoulder was slightly elevated, but his TWSTRS severity score had dropped from 14 preoperatively to 6 (Video Clip 2).

His pain and disability subscores improved from 121 and 10 before surgery to 4 and 0, respectively, at his 6-month follow-up visit. His BDI score remained low at 4, and his SF-36 score improved from 95.5 preoperatively to 125.8 at 6 months postoperatively.

Case 3010

History and Examination. The most recently treated patient was a 62-year-old woman with a 19-year history of neck turning to the right. This occurred after a fall sustained while she was renovating her home. She tried chiropractic manipulations for several years before seeking medical attention. She was enrolled in the initial botulinum toxin trial in 1988, responded quite well, and continued with this treatment for 5 to 6 years until it stopped working. She received trihexyphenidyl, lorazepam, and physical therapy for 6 more years before she enrolled in the Myobloc clinical trial. At the time of enrollment, the patient was taking 6 mg clonazepam, 2.5 mg baclofen, and 1200 mg gabapentin per day, in addition to a nonsteroidal antiinflammatory medication. On examination her torticollis was characterized as rotation to the right without anterocollis, retrocollis, or sagittal shift. She exhibited mild shoulder elevation, and sensory tricks could completely eliminate her abnormal movements.

Operation and Postoperative Course. Surgery was complicated by significant asymmetry in the right and left GP. During the first stereotactic microelectrode exploration, typical pallidal recordings and appropriate microstimulation effects were obtained only in the right brain, not in the left. Therefore, the DBS electrode was implanted only in the right GPi and the patient’s return to the operating room 2 weeks later was planned for reexploration and electrode implantation on the left side. The patient suffered shingles in the left V1 territory and therefore surgery on the second side was delayed for 8 weeks to allow the lesions to heal. Stereotactic MR imaging revealed evidence of a small, asymptomatic, chronic subdural hematoma on the left side. This was drained through the same burr hole prior to microelectrode exploration. The DBS electrode in the left GPi was implanted after typical recordings and stimulation effects. Three days later both electrodes were connected to the IPG, which placed subcutaneously in the right chest. The postoperative course was complicated by hypoventilation; therefore, her inpatient stay was extended by 4 to 5 days to allow her O2 saturation to remain above 90%.

Programming of the electrodes was initiated within 1 week by using monopolar stimulation (Poles 2 and 7), 210-μsec pulse duration, and 180 Hz at 2 V. One month after programming, her TWSTRS severity, pain, and disability scores had dropped from 18, 26.5, and 11 to 11, 0, and 2, respectively.

Summary of Preliminary Results

The total TWSTRS scores improved by 79%. Figure 4 shows individual subscores: severity subscores had improved significantly at the last follow-up review, from 15.7 ± 2.1 to 7.7 ± 2.9 (paired t-test, p = 0.02). Pain and disability subscores improved from 25.5 ± 4.1 to 3.3 ± 3.1 (paired t-test, p = 0.002) and 13.3 ± 4.9 to 3.3 ± 4.2 (paired t-test p = 0.06), respectively.

DISCUSSION

Preliminary results in the first three patients treated with DBS of the GPi are encouraging and indicate that cervical dystonia responds favorably to surgery. Although this study is similar to those previously reported,11,17,22,23,31 it raises several additional important points. First, rapid clin-
A clinical response was seen in all three patients, in whom an immediate sensation of muscles relaxing was followed within a few days by visible improvement in neck movements. This is contrary to the literature on generalized dystonia; most investigators have reported benefits occurring over several months.\textsuperscript{3,25,31,35} Second, a dissociation has been described; severity of neck movements was not as effectively treated as pain.\textsuperscript{26} We found similar improvements in all subscores of the TWSTRS. Third, the patient in Case 3007 underwent an inadvertent, double-blind test of ineffective followed by effective stimulation. Neither the patient nor the nurse clinician was aware that by using the most dorsal electrodes the GPi was probably not being treated and instead the ventral GPe was affected by the stimulation. The patient frankly admitted that he had given up on DBS helping his cervical dystonia. The treating neurologists had similarly started debating possible reasons why the results in the first patient were so different from those in the second. Nevertheless there is evidence in the literature on PD of the opposite effects of dorsal GPi or ventral GPe stimulation in comparison with ventral DBS of the GPI.\textsuperscript{21} Although we found no worsening of this patient’s dystonia with dorsal stimulation, he experienced no clinical change. When his implant was reprogrammed, although he was not expecting any improvement, a dramatic one ensued (Video Clips 1 and 2).

This unusual and inadvertent double-blind test demonstrates that although expectation or placebo effects do exist,\textsuperscript{8,9} they are not likely to be responsible for the benefits seen in this patient. In addition, the importance of electrode placement and programming in the GPI is emphasized. Dystonia is only improved by GPI stimulation, yet abnormal spontaneous firing rates have been reported in both GPI and GPe.\textsuperscript{37} Although the mechanism of efficacy of DBS is uncertain, we can state first that electrode placement is specific and critical, and second that the relatively faster time course for cervical dystonia improvement is different from that observed in generalized dystonia, implying a different mechanism of action.

The multicenter study for which we are reporting preliminary results is presently accruing patients and should be completed within 1 year. Although a limitation of this protocol is the lack of a control group, after polling colleagues in the Canadian Movement Disorders Group, we decided that a control or sham-stimulated group would not be acceptable to the majority of referring neurologists. Efficacy has to be shown first with neurologists performing the severity scoring in a blinded fashion. Adverse events have to be subjected to central review and sham stimulation could only be performed prior to effective programming, because patients would not easily agree to let an effective stimulator program be changed. This contributed to our decision not to propose a double-blind protocol.

CONCLUSIONS

Because most studies of DBS for torticollis involve small numbers of patients, a multicenter format was selected to speed patient accrual and to allow our results to be broadly generalized. Because each of the involved centers would likely be performing these surgeries regardless of whether there was a trial underway, our goal was to follow these patients prospectively according to the protocol to provide meaningful measures of outcome. Of the five centers involved, three used microelectrode recordings to guide DBS placement and two did not. For generalizability we also did not require a minimum severity score on TWSTRS for a patient to be included in the study. There was a chance that if we had selected patients with the most unresponsive, refractory disease they may have been less likely to respond to any form of treatment. Patients who experience a complication as a result of surgery such that programming cannot be performed (for example, stroke paralysis) will be withdrawn from the study and replaced so that the sample size of 10 patients will be analyzed “as per protocol.”

The final study results will be reported in two ways: results in all patients enrolled as per the “intention-to-treat” principle and separately report for the patients treated “as per protocol.” All complications will be fully disclosed. If our final results confirm the beneficial effects seen in these first three patients, in further trials we will aim to determine the effects of sham compared with effective stimulation to control for placebo effects, unilateral will be compared with bilateral stimulation, and we will possibly also study surgery compared with best medical management at an earlier stage of cervical dystonia.

Disclaimer

Devices used in this study were provided by Medtronic Canada; however, the company had no involvement in study design or data analysis.
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