The first anterior cervical fusion was performed by Bailey and Badgley in the early 1950s. Cloward,6,7 Smith and Robinson,22 and others offered early contributions to the improvement of techniques of cervical fusion. These pioneers encountered high rates of pseudarthrosis and kyphosis in multilevel anterior procedures. This led to the development of an anterior internal cervical fixation device by Bohler in 1964, which was the foundation of the numerous plates available today.3

During the last 20 years ACPs have evolved. There have been improvements in fusion rates in patients undergoing multilevel anterior cervical fusion as well as in patients undergoing single-level fusion. Anterior cervical fusion has been shown to contribute to earlier patient mobilization, a decreased need for cervical collars postoperatively, an increased loading force applied to the graft, a decreased incidence of graft dislodgment, and an improved ability to fix spinal deformities.1,2,4,5,8–10,12,14,17,21,23

The proliferation of ACPs in the last two decades has warranted a need for a classification scheme, which was organized by Haid and colleagues in 2002.11 In this classification system, ACPs are first divided into two categories: unrestricted backout and restricted backout. Unrestricted backout plates, such as Orozco and Caspar plates, are the earliest examples of ACPs and require the use of bicortical nonlocked bone screws. Restricted backout plates require the use of unicortical, locked bone screws and are further separated into the categories of constrained and semiconstrained. Constrained plates have locked bone screws that do not allow motion at the plate–screw interface. Examples of constrained ACPs include the CSLP (Synthes), Orion (Medtronic Sofamor Danek), and Atlantis fixed (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) plates. On the other hand, semiconstrained plates have locked bone screws that allow motion of the construct. The semiconstrained plates are again classified as either rotational or translational. In the rotational category the locked bone screws are able to rotate at the plate–screw interface. Examples of rotational plates include Codman (Codman), Blackstone (Blackstone Medical), Peak (Depuy Acromed), Aline (Surgical Dynamics, Inc.), AcuFix (Spinal Concepts), Atlantis, and Zephir (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) plates. The other category of semiconstrained plates, translational plates, have locked bone screws that allow translation and rotation at the plate–screw interface. Examples of the translational plates include ABC (Aesculap), DOC (Depuy Acromed), and Premier (Medtronic Sofamor Danek) plates. Rotational and translational plates are generally referred to as dynamic plates.

In this paper the evolution of ACPs will be reviewed. New generations of plates have been developed in an attempt to resolve the problems faced when using their predecessors. These problems will be discussed in this paper.
EARLY ANTERIOR CERVICAL PLATES

Unrestricted Backout Plates

In 1970 Orozco and Llovet were the first to report their use of a plate produced by the ASIF (Fig. 1). These authors used H-shaped ASIF plates, which were the predecessors of the current plate made by Synthes Spine. In the early 1980s, Caspar popularized anterior cervical plating in collaboration with Aesculap, Inc. (Fig. 2). Orozco and Caspar plates were both unrestricted backout plates. In this construct, the screw angulation was determined by the patient's needs and the surgeon's preference. These constructs did not have a fixed-moment arm and, furthermore, had limited fixation at the screw–plate interface. This led to greater exposure of the graft to compressive forces, allowing for a higher chance of fusion. The unrestricted backout plates had several disadvantages such as the demand for a bicortical screw purchase, which was technically demanding. Overpenetration could result in spinal cord injury, and underpenetration could result in construct failure and screw pullout. Furthermore, the cumbersome and difficult task of fluoroscopy was necessary to visualize the lower cervical regions. The Orozco and Caspar plates were nonrigid; that is, motion was allowed at the plate–screw interface.

NEWER GENERATIONS OF ACPS

Restricted Backout Plates

Constrained Systems. At the same time the Caspar plate was being developed, Raveh produced a titanium-coated hollow-screw reconstruction plate at the University of Berne. By inserting an expansion bolt into the lath, the screw was rigidly affixed to the plate, avoiding the need for a bicortical purchase. In Switzerland, Morscher modified the Orozco plate for use with unicortical, locking screws; this was reported in 1986. The system was introduced in US in 1991 by Synthes (Fig. 3). The Synthes CSLP did not require a bicortical purchase because a titanium expansion screw was used to affix the screw rigidly to the plate. The advantage of the unicortical screw purchase was that intraoperative fluoroscopy was not necessary and, thus, operative time was reduced. In addition, the locking screw helped prevent screw backout. Another difference between the Caspar plate and the Synthes plate was that there was a fixed angle of entry for the screw and plate in the latter. The Synthes screw lengths were available in a limited range. Because the average diameter of an adult vertebral body ranges between 21 and 22 mm, the risk of overpenetration past the posterior aspect of the vertebral body was rare. In the Synthes system the rostral screw was oriented 12° cephalad, whereas the caudal screw was placed perpendicularly. One disadvantage of the original Synthes plate was that it was wide and diffi-
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cult to contour. To resolve this problem in new Synthes plate the curvature radius was reduced from 25 to 15 mm.

The Orion plating system, which was developed after the Synthes plate, offered a variable screw length (10–26 mm) (Fig. 4). This allowed a unicortical or bicortical purchase to be achieved. One feature of the Orion plate was the prebent lordosis, which provided a better bone–plate interface. With the Orion plate, a drill guide that locked to the plate was used to ensure that the screws had a fixed angle. The angle of the screws used with this system was 15° cephalad and caudal and 6° medial. In theory this construct prevented screw pullout. In the Orion system a tapered screw, which had a core diameter of 2.4 mm and a thread diameter of 4 mm, was used. The advantage of the tapered screw lay in the distribution of stress throughout the length of the screw; this decreased the risk of screw breakage. The Orion system had some disadvantages, however. For instance, some investigators found a high incidence of pseudarthrosis (12%) in patients who underwent one-level anterior cervical discectomy and fusion. Furthermore, many surgeons believe that this plating system was too rigid and that, therefore, the plate absorbed the stress on the construct. This lack of stress may inhibit fusion.

Semiconstrained, Rotational Systems. The next generation of anterior cervical plating systems was the dynamic plates, which are also referred to as semiconstrained plates. This means that there were locked bone screws that allow motion of the construct. Dynamic plates have been divided into rotational plates, which allow rotation at the plate–screw interface, and translational plates, which allow axial translation and rotation. Examples of rotational semiconstrained plates are the Codman, Blackstone, Peak, Aline, Acufix, Deltaloc (Alphatec Manufacturing, Inc.), Zephir, and Atlantis (hybrid and variable) plates.

The Codman plate contained a cam lock to restrict backout (Fig. 5). This system was different from previous plates in that it allowed for variability in screw direction. The screws for this system were tapered to spread the stress along the length of the screw. This reduced the likelihood of screw breakage. The rotational screw–plate interface in the Codman system was designed in a way to increase the load on the graft, therefore increasing the rate of graft fusion. Although good results have been achieved using the Codman plate for short and intermediate segment fixation, treatment failure has occurred in patients with multilevel corpectomies or unstable spines without posterior fixation. Codman’s newest ACP is SLIM-LOC. The company claims that this is the smallest ACP available on the market. The profile of the plate is 2.1 mm. This system comes with a choice of screws that range from self-tapping to self-drilling screws.

Restricted Backout Plates

Semiconstrained, Translational Devices. Translational plates were designed to provide translation and rotation at the screw–plate interface. Movement at the screw–plate interface was planned to avoid stress shielding so that, theoretically, fusion rates would increase and time to fusion would diminish. This concept follows the Wolff law, which suggests that loading alters bone integrity and bone healing; that is, bone heals more optimally when exposed to a compressive load. Examples of translational devices include ABC, DOC, and Premier plates. Dupuy Acromed developed the first translational system, which was referred to as the DOC rod (Fig. 6). This plate was designed so that the cephalad screws would be able to slide along a rail, whereas the caudal screws would remain rigid. Using the DOC plate the extent of axial deformation could be controlled by a cross-fixator that allows the implant to control the amount of settling (controlled dynamism). The newer version of this plate, which is now referred to as the DOC plate, is a rigid implant in which a two-piece locking expansion screw system is used. This plate allows for the intraoperative visibility of the bone graft through its specially designed “graft site window.” The preset screw trajectories are designed to create a 15° superior and inferior screw path along with a 5° medial trajectory.

The ABC plating system designed by Aesculap is both a translational and rotational system (Fig. 7). The Premier plate, manufactured by Medtronic Sofamor Danek, allows for a translational motion of the screw that is similar to that seen when using the DOC plate. Screws first translate in a slot and may then rotate after maximum translation.

Multiconstruct Systems

The Atlantis ACP system is one of the newest plate sys-
tems and can be used with either a variable-angle screw or a fixed-angle screw. In other words, a construct can be created that is rigid, rotational, or a “hybrid” (combining technologies).

In the fixed Atlantis construct two fixed-angle screws that allow no rotation or translation are used. The screws are angled at 12° cephalad and caudal and 6° medially. The variable Atlantis system is similar to the Codman plate system in that it includes two sets of variable angle screws and allows for rotational motion for both the superior and inferior sets of screws.

The hybrid Atlantis system includes fixed-angle and variable-angle screws. The advantage of the hybrid system is that compressive forces can promote bone graft and fusion. Haid and colleagues have found this Atlantis construct to be useful in performing cervical corpectomies and discectomies. Furthermore, this group has found that the fusion rates and clinical outcome, achieved using the Atlantis system match or exceed the results obtained using other plates.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Over the past 20 years, although the basic design of ACPs has stayed the same, several important features have been added to the newer generation of plates. Although all the current cervical plates provide appropriate fusion success, it is important to know which plate fits the patient’s needs. Newer types of plates, such as semi-constrained rotational and translational systems and multiconstruct systems, still need to be studied in detail. The development of newer ACPs will depend on what we have learned from the shortcomings of the plates available today.

**Disclaimer**

The authors have no financial interest in any of the manufacturers of ACPs mentioned in this paper.

**References**

Anterior cervical plates: a historical perspective


Manuscript received November 17, 2003. Accepted in final form December 11, 2003.

Address reprint requests to: Gregory R. Trost, M.D., Department of Neurological Surgery, University of Wisconsin Hospital and Clinics, K4/822 Clinical Science Center, 600 Highland Avenue, Madison, Wisconsin 53792. email: trost@neurosurg.wisc.edu.