In 1935 Jaffe described a new bone lesion, which he named osteoid osteoma. This entity arose in spongy bone and was less than 2 cm in diameter; Jaffe was the first to identify and describe osteoid osteoma of the spine. In 1956, Jaffe and Lichtenstein independently proposed the term “benign osteoblastoma.” Osteoid osteomas and osteoblastomas are bone-producing lesions that are frequently localized in long bones and posterior elements of the vertebra. The most common presenting symptom is pain. Histologically, osteoid osteomas and osteoblastomas are similar. Findings on plain x-ray films and CT studies are similar in both tumor types, and include radiolucent nidus, matrix calcifications, and accompanying osteous sclerosis. Their most remarkable difference is that osteoblastoma has an unlimited growth potential with a capability of malignant transformation, and it is larger (usually > 2 cm). The usual site for both tumor types is the neural arch.

The purpose of this retrospective study is to analyze the natural history, presentation, treatment, results, and complications in osteoid osteomas and osteoblastomas of the spine.

Abbreviations used in this paper: CT = computerized tomography; MR = magnetic resonance; VB = vertebral body.
ments, with lumbar and thoracic levels the most common. Only two patients had tumor in the body of a cervical vertebra. The location of each type of tumor within the spine and within the VBs is given in Table 2.

The mean age of the patients at the onset of symptoms was 20 years for osteoid osteoma and 19 years for osteoblastoma. The duration of symptoms prior to diagnosis was 1 to 60 months (mean 15.7 months). Symptom duration in patients with osteoid osteoma was 22/1100614.9 months, and in patients with osteoblastoma it was 18.4/11006 16.1 months (mean standard deviation). The most common symptom was local pain in the area of the tumor. All patients reported continuous local pain, with only the five with osteoid osteoma noting an increase in pain at night. Eight patients reported increasing pain, with longer duration of symptoms. The descriptions of pain ranged from a dull throb to episodic sharp, stabbing pain to knifelike pain. Paravertebral muscle spasm and marked spinal stiffness were observed in 12 patients. Only one patient, who had an osteoblastoma in L-4 had scoliosis. Among the 11 patients with osteoblastoma, six experienced neurological deficits caused by extradural compression (two with paraparesis and four with monoparesis). None of the patients with osteoid osteoma had neurological deficits.

**Neuroimaging Findings**

All patients underwent x-ray and CT studies; MR imaging was performed in six patients. Diagnosis based on neuroimaging findings was often difficult initially when lesions were small. The plain x-ray films aided diagnosis when lesions were located in the spinous process or transverse process. For lesions primarily involving the lamina, pedicle, or VB, the diagnosis could be made with the aid of CT and MR studies. The diameters of osteoblastomas were 3 to 8 cm (mean 4 cm), and osteoid osteomas were 1.5 to 2 cm (mean 1.7 cm) in diameter. Although the peritumoral bone was normal in patients with osteoblastoma, a sclerotic rim was observed in osteoid osteomas.

**Surgical Procedures**

All patients underwent tumor resection. Fourteen patients were treated via a posterior approach with removal of the abnormal laminae, pedicle, transverse process, and facet joint. One patient with an osteoblastoma underwent a laminectomy and stabilization with posterior instrumentation. In another patient with an osteoblastoma invading

---

**TABLE 2**

### Distribution of lesions in patients with osteoid osteoma and osteoblastoma of the spine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Total No. of Patients</th>
<th>Osteoid Osteoma</th>
<th>Osteoblastoma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cervical</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracic</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*None of the osteoblastomas had a sclerotic rim, whereas all of the osteoid osteomas did. Complications arose in Case 4 (instrument failure necessitating revision) and in Case 13 (wrong level resected, necessitating reoperation). Abbreviation: FU = follow up.*

---

**TABLE 1**

### Summary of findings in 16 patients treated for osteoblastoma or osteoid osteoma of the spine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Age (yrs), Sex</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Symptoms &amp; Duration (mos)</th>
<th>Physical &amp; Neurological Findings</th>
<th>Localization (level)</th>
<th>Max Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Type of Op</th>
<th>FU (mos)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41, M</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>leg weakness (10)</td>
<td>paraparesis, cauda equina syndrome</td>
<td>lamina (T-10)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10, F</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>leg weakness (3)</td>
<td>monoparesis</td>
<td>lamina (T-6)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8, F</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>leg weakness (7)</td>
<td>monoparesis</td>
<td>pedicle (L-2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy, pedicle excision</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>14, M</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>leg weakness (1)</td>
<td>paraparesis</td>
<td>transverse process (T-5)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy, pedicle &amp; transverse process excision</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21, F</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>neck &amp; lt arm pain (60)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>VB (C5–6)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6, M</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>low-back &amp; lt leg pain (24)</td>
<td>scoliosis</td>
<td>lamina, facet joint (L-4)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>22, M</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>neck pain (6)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>lamina, facet joint (T1–2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>10, M</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>neck pain &amp; swelling (24)</td>
<td>dysarthria</td>
<td>VB (C-2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy, pedicle excision</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>41, F</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>leg weakness (5)</td>
<td>monoparesis</td>
<td>lamina (L-2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>laminectomy, facetectomy, pedicle excision</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7, M</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>leg weakness (2)</td>
<td>monoparesis</td>
<td>lamina (L-3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>29, M</td>
<td>osteoblastoma</td>
<td>low-back pain (24)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>lamina (L3–4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17, F</td>
<td>osteoid osteoma</td>
<td>neck pain (12)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>lamina (C2–3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20, F</td>
<td>osteoid osteoma</td>
<td>lt thoracic pain (12)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>lamina, facet joint (T4–5)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>16, M</td>
<td>osteoid osteoma</td>
<td>neck pain (2)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>pedicle (C-5)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>23, M</td>
<td>osteoid osteoma</td>
<td>low-back &amp; lt leg pain (24)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>facetectomy (L-4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>24, F</td>
<td>osteoid osteoma</td>
<td>thoracic pain (36)</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>pedicle (T-10)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>laminectomy</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*None of the osteoblastomas had a sclerotic rim, whereas all of the osteoid osteomas did. Complications arose in Case 4 (instrument failure necessitating revision) and in Case 13 (wrong level resected, necessitating reoperation). Abbreviation: FU = follow up.*
Osteoid osteomas and osteoblastomas of the spine

the C-2 VB, the tumor was resected via a retropharyngeal anterolateral approach and the patient underwent a posterior occipitocervical stabilization and bone grafting. One patient with a C-5 VB osteoblastoma underwent corpectomy and bone fusion. Tumor excision was complete in 15 patients. Postoperative radiation therapy was delivered to the thoracic spine of a patient with T-10 osteoblastoma after incomplete removal of the tumor. None of the other patients received adjuvant therapy after surgery.

Histological Findings

On histological examination osteoid osteoma consists of a small (< 1.5–2 cm), yellowish to red nidus of osteoid and woven bone with interconnected trabeculae, and a background and rim of highly vascularized, fibrous connective tissue. Varying degrees of sclerotic bone reaction may surround the lesion. Benign osteoblastoma is virtually indistinguishable from osteoid osteoma. The usual appearance included a fibrovascular stroma with numerous osteoblasts, osteoid tissue, well-formed woven bone, and giant cells. The osteoblasts were generally small and regular in shape. A typical case of osteoblastoma and its histological features is depicted in Figs. 1 and 2, and a case of osteoid osteoma and its histological features is depicted in Figs. 3 and 4.

Postoperative Outcome

The results of surgery have been satisfactory in all cases, with follow-up periods ranging from 2 months to 13 years (mean 3 years). Complete pain relief was achieved in 15 patients; the remaining patient described mild pain with activity. There has been no tumor recurrence except in one patient with osteoblastoma.

There were two complications: in one patient with a T-6 osteoid osteoma, the initial surgical procedure was performed at the wrong level and a second operation was required to remove osteoid osteoma from the facet joint. In the other patient, a 14-year-old boy with T-5 osteoblastoma, a revision surgery was necessary because of instrument failure. This patient had undergone posterior fixation with a hook and rod system after tumor removal. Two years postsurgery the upper hooks on one side had loosened and the rod was dislocated, necessitating removal of the instrument. Repeated MR imaging demonstrated no recurrence of osteoblastoma.

DISCUSSION

After the first descriptions of osteoid osteoma and osteoblastoma by Jaffe13,14 and Lichtenstein,18 these rather vascular, osteoid, and bone-forming tumors caught the attention of different authors. Jackson, et al.,12 published a review of 860 cases of osteoid osteoma and 184 cases of osteoblastoma collected from the English literature. The spine has been the location of 10% of all osteoid osteomas2,7,11,28 and 36% of osteoblastomas. These spine tumors arose mainly from posterior elements. The osteoid osteomas in our series occurred predominantly in the posterior elements, especially the lamina and pedicles. When neuroimaging permitted delineation, the majority of the tumors arose in the lamina and pedicles, and most of the others were found in the transverse and spinous processes. When present, VB involvement was very limited and was secondary to anterior extension of the tumor. Both of these tumor types tend to occur in the thoracic and lumbar spine,5,26 as demonstrated in this series. They also involve the posterior arch much more frequently than the VB. In addition, osteoblastomas of the spine may have soft-tissue masses, which initially may encroach on the spinal canal and later may surround the dural sac or adjacent nerve roots, or both. Osteoblastomas occur predominantly in patients younger than 20 years of age.8,14,18 The youngest reported patient was 2 years old and the oldest was 78 years old.11,23

Clinically, the pain of osteoblastoma is not as severe at night, nor is it relieved by aspirin, as was the pain of osteoid osteoma. When these lesions occur in the spine, two important manifestations are frequently evident: scoliosis and neurological involvement. The occurrence of scoliosis has been reported frequently,1,5,6,20,21,26 although we treated only one patient with this condition. The incidence of neurological deficits is significantly higher in patients with osteoblastoma than in those with osteoid osteoma.5,15,20,21 In our series, the incidence of significant neurological deficits is much higher in osteoblastomas (six of 11) than in osteoid osteomas. (In fact, none of the patients with osteoid osteoma in our series had neurological deficits.) Recovery from these deficits was complete. The presenting symptom of spinal osteoblastoma is a neurological deficit in approximately 25 to 50% of cases.6,26

In contrast to osteoid osteomas, plain x-ray films are usually sufficient to diagnose osteoblastomas, although CT scans and MR images are of great value for both diagnostic and therapeutic considerations in the spine. Preoperative CT scanning has been shown to be very helpful for precisely defining the location of the tumor and the extent of osseous involvement.10,23 The appearance of these tumors on MR images is generally nonspecific, but this imaging modality is surely the best to reveal the effects of tumor on the spinal canal and cord, as well as the extensive intra- and extraosseous reactive changes and the possible infiltration of adjacent soft tissues. The nidus usually has a low to intermediate signal intensity on T1-weighted and a low-to-high signal intensity on T2-weighted MR images.3,9,24 Technetium bone scanning is now accepted as the most accurate means for localizing the tumor.26 This modality demonstrates an intense focal accumulation of the bone-seeking agent.17,25 If the lesion is already discernible on x-ray films, the scintigram is unnecessary, as we have found in this series. We recommend considering routine preoperative testing with CT scanning and MR imaging for every patient.

As a result of their histological similarity, osteoid osteomas and osteoblastomas are frequently considered together when assessing methods of evaluation and treatment. This is especially true in the spine,1,5,16,26,27 where the overall incidence of these lesions is quite low. On histological examination, the lesions are similar,8,12 consisting of a discrete osteoid nidus that varies in consistency from soft and granular to densely sclerotic. When located in cancellous bone, a dense, sclerotic rim surrounds the tumor. The primary difference between the two lesions is the tendency of osteoblastoma to form a less sclerotic but more expansile mass.5,12,15

Osteoid osteoma is the lesion most closely related to os-
teoblastoma histologically, although the nidus characteristic of osteoid osteoma is not found in osteoblastoma. Also, the large vascular spaces and reactive giant cells commonly seen in osteoblastoma are rare in osteoid osteoma.21

None of the patients in our series had lesions in an “aggressive” subcategory, nor did they have malignant osteoblastoma.29–31 Such lesions have a very destructive appearance on neuroimaging, and are more cellular than the benign type described in this series, with swollen, plump osteoblasts observed on histological examination. Because of a high recurrence rate, the need for wide excision of these malignant tumors is clear.
Surgery is the most common treatment for this disease, and the prognosis after total resection is favorable. The recommended treatment for osteoid osteoma causing disabling pain and spinal deformity is excision. The most important determinant for successful removal of the tumor is its exact localization. Osteoid osteomas are most frequently treated because of the persistent pain associated with them, whereas osteoblastomas are treated both for...
pain and the increase in size, which leads to destruction of bone.

In this series tumor excision was intralesional in most cases. Most osteoblastomas were multilobular, with extension into the paraspinal areas, and their locations in cervical or lower lumbar regions (two cases each) did not allow en bloc resections. Therefore, extramarginal excisions could be performed in only three patients with osteoblastomas.

Osteoblastomas recur in approximately 9.8 to 15% of cases; recurrence is the result of incomplete resection. These lesions hardly ever undergo a malignant transformation into osteosarcoma and metastasize, which have no potential for malignant transformation.

Differentiation between these tumors and fibrous dysplasia, osteosarcoma, giant cell bone tumor, or aneurysmal bone cyst is necessary. It is relatively easy to distinguish osteoblastoma from these tumors histologically, and to exclude osteoid osteoma.

CONCLUSIONS

Primary osseous tumors of the spine are rare lesions that are much less frequently encountered than metastases, multiple myeloma, and lymphoma. The goal of surgery should be complete removal whenever possible. When tumor excision results in instability of the vertebral column, concurrent spinal stabilization and fusion should be performed. Instability may occur in large lesions involving the facet joints or pedicle, and with tumors invading the upper cervical spine. With the development of modern imaging methods, exact surgical planning has become possible.
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