Spinal ependymomas are very rare tumors, with approximately 227 intradural spinal ependymomas diagnosed each year in the US. Of the intradural ependymomas, approximately 50% are intramedullary, above the conus, and the remaining 50% occur in association with the terminal filum, cauda equina, and conus medullaris. In the lumbosacral region, ependymomas account for 90% of intradural tumors. Additionally, ependymomas can also sometimes occur extradurally around or in the sacrum.

Spinal ependymomas are most common in the fourth decade of life and are more common in male patients. Sonneland, et al., reported a 1.7:1.0 male/female ratio and a mean age at onset of 36.4 years (range 6–82 years) in the largest series (77 patients) of myxopapillary ependymomas reported in the literature. All of the tumors were intradural in this series, with the majority occurring in the lumbosacral region.

Bimodal peaks have been suggested for extraspinal ependymomas in the sacral region, with one peak at less than 8 years of age and one in the fourth decade of life. Others have suggested that extraspinal ependymomas occur in younger patients compared with intraspinal ependymomas. There appears to be no significant sex predominance for extradural ependymomas. The prognosis for extradural ependymomas does not appear to be as good. Much depends on extradural tumor location, however; the outlook is better for dorsal sacral tumors than presacral tumors.
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OVERVIEW

Tumor Locations and Features

Spinal ependymomas can originate from either the ependymal lining of the central canal of the spinal cord, ependymal cell clusters in the terminal filament, or subcutaneous tissues over the sacrum. These two tumor locations produce different management concerns. Intradural ependymomas, especially those in the lumbosacral region, are now recognized for their potential to spread throughout the central nervous system (CNS), whereas extradural tumors elicit more concern for their association with extraneural metastases.

Supporting this conclusion, the authors have reviewed the literature regarding both of these distinct tumors and have summarized recommendations for the management of intra- and extradural lumbosacral ependymomas. For both tumors, it appears that gross-total resection is the treatment of choice when feasible. The role of radiation therapy has not been adequately studied for either tumor location, but most clinicians use this modality in patients with subtotal resection of intradural ependymomas, local recurrence, or CNS dissemination. Data supporting the use of radiation therapy for extradural ependymomas are lacking. There does not appear to be a significant role for chemotherapy in either tumor location.

Conclusions. Despite the risk for local recurrence and CNS dissemination, the prognosis for intradural lumbosacral ependymomas is good, with a greater than 90% 10-year patient survival in most series. The prognosis for extradural ependymomas does not appear to be as good. Much depends on extradural tumor location, however; the outlook is better for dorsal sacral tumors than presacral tumors.
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Abbreviations used in this paper: CNS = central nervous system; CSF = cerebrospinal fluid; MR = magnetic resonance.
extradural spinal canal in association with the dural part of the terminal filum; 2) the bone substance of the sacrum; 3) the pelvic cavity anterior to the sacrum; and 4) the subcutaneous tissues dorsal to the sacrum.10,14,17,20,21,30 Because of the erosive nature of these tumors, it may be impossible to differentiate between one that starts within the bone of the sacrum and one that starts in the extradural spinal canal and erodes into the sacrum. Based on the number of case reports in the literature, the posterior subcutaneous location is the most common one for extradural ependymomas, followed by the presacral region.10,11,25

Intradural ependymomas arise from ependymal cell remnants in the extradural dural part of the terminal filum. The other extradural ependymomas likely arise from ependymal rests that are present at the time of birth. Bale2 reported finding ependymal rests in the dorsal sacral subcutaneous tissues in necropsy samples obtained in 10 of 15 infants. Others have reported finding ependymal rests in tissue obtained during pilonidal cyst resection.25 One theory is that the ependymal cell rests arise from the coccygeal medullary vestige, a remnant of the dural part of the terminal filum that involutes during embryonic development. Others hypothesize that these ependymal rests are heterotopias that occur as a result of incomplete closure of the neural arch.12,16,17,20 Presacral ependymomas are thought to arise from ependymal cell rests or in association with cauda equina nerve roots that have exited the sacral neural foramen. Histologically, all reported cases of extradural ependymomas have been myxopapillary types.8,10,16,17,25,34

Tumor Symptoms

Back pain and occasionally radicular pain are the most common presenting symptoms for intradural ependymomas arising from the terminal filum in the lumbosacral region. Motor or sensory abnormalities and bladder dysfunction may also develop in association with these lesions involving the cauda equina.5,24

Extraspinal ependymomas can present with a variety of symptoms caused by local mass effect. Intraspinal and extradural ependymomas present most commonly with local pain caused by erosion into the sacrum, but can also present with radicular or neurological symptoms caused by neural element compression.2,25 Dorsal subcutaneous tumors typically present as a growing, asymptomatic mass in the intergluteal fold or buttocks. Occasionally there can be pain or tenderness caused by local mass effect, and very rarely neurological symptoms are reported.1,9,17,21,25 Presacral pelvic masses can grow to be very large, because of significant tolerance for masses in this area. With sufficient size, presacral masses eventually cause bowel or bladder dysfunction from local mass effect or neural involvement (Fig. 2).2,10,21 Some patients may have a palpable mass on rectal examination. Rarely, presacral tumors cause pain in a sciatic nerve distribution or local pain from erosion into the sacrum.2,20,21,34

Preoperative Evaluation

All patients with these tumors require a complete neurological examination and MR imaging to delineate local disease. Intradural ependymomas can disseminate through CSF pathways throughout the CNS, but are not likely to metastasize outside it,21 although rare cases of this phenomenon have been reported.27,35 Therefore, we recommend preoperative screening MR imaging of the entire CNS to rule out tumor dissemination. Screening of other organ systems for metastases is not needed for intradural tumors. Preoperative plain anteroposterior and lateral x-ray films of the lumbosacral spine may also be valuable for comparison if instability or deformity develop after laminectomy surgery.23

Extradural tumors, in contrast, are not likely to disseminate within the CNS, but are at significant risk of metastasizing to other organ systems, such as lymph, bone, lung, and liver. Use of MR or computerized tomography studies is sufficient to evaluate local disease and we see no need to screen the entire CNS. In addition, plain x-ray films or computerized tomography scans may be warranted in extradural ependymomas to provide further detail.

Fig. 1. Sagital MR image with Gd contrast demonstrating an intradural ependymoma at the level of the cauda equina.

Fig. 2. Left: Sagittal noncontrast MR image demonstrating a presacral ependymoma and an enlarged bladder due to urinary retention. Right: Axial noncontrast MR image demonstrating a presacral ependymoma.
about bone involvement. We recommend paying particular attention to general examination for lymphadenopathy, especially in the inguinal lymph nodes, and would also obtain chest x-ray films, liver function tests, and alkaline phosphatase levels preoperatively. Presacral tumors warrant a special workup that likely requires an abdominal/pelvic surgical specialist. Other studies, such as barium enema, intravenous pyelogram, cystoscopy, and pelvic examination may need to be performed for presacral tumors.

**Treatment Options**

There are no prospective, randomized studies in which the management options of surgery alone, surgery followed by radiation, radiation alone, or chemotherapy are examined.

**Surgery Alone.** The surgical goal with all ependymomas, whether they are intra- or extradural, is gross-total resection when feasible. Gross-total resection provides a possibility for cure without the definite need for adjuvant therapy. For intradural ependymomas in this location, gross-total resection is the most frequently cited factor influencing prognosis in terms of local recurrence and patient survival. Some authors report higher recurrence rates for tumors removed in a piecemeal fashion, even though gross-total resection was achieved. Therefore, when possible, en bloc resection is preferred over piecemeal removal. Other factors, such as duration of clinical symptoms and tumor involvement with conus medullaris or cauda equina, have also been cited.

Obviously, when the conus medullaris and cauda equina are involved with the tumor, achieving gross-total resection becomes more difficult. In fact, Celli, et al., found that gross-total resection could be obtained in only 43% of cases when the conus medullaris or cauda were involved with the tumor. They also noted that, despite gross-total resection at the time of surgery, tumors involving the conus medullaris or cauda equina were more likely to recur. Sonneland, et al., reported gross-total resection in 59% of a series of 77 patients with predominantly terminal filum/conus medullaris/cauda equina lesions.

For posterior extradural lesions without sacral involvement, wide local excision for gross-total resection is advocated. Plastic surgery assistance may be needed for complex skin closure techniques required after resection of large masses. Some authors have recommended coccygectomy in addition to local excision as a means of reducing the risk of recurrence.

The surgical approach for presacral lesions depends on the degree of sacral involvement. Isolated presacral tumors with minimal to no sacral involvement may be radically resected via just an anterior approach. When the sacrum is involved, combined anterior–posterior approaches, either in the same sitting or during different operations, may be needed.

**Resection Followed by Radiation.** The role of radiation for lumbar sacral ependymomas has not been adequately studied to warrant firm conclusions. There appears to be a consensus for radiation therapy in cases of subtotal resections of intradural tumors, although the role for radiation in cases of gross-total resection and extradural ependymomas is controversial.

Sonneland, et al., based on their large series of patients, recommended local radiation therapy in cases of subtotal or piecemeal gross-total resection. Nevertheless, in reviewing their data, there appears to be no trend toward improved survival or recurrence rates with radiation therapy. Ross, et al., in a review of the 131 cases in the literature, concluded that although no differences in outcome after radiation have been shown for gross-total and subtotal resection, results in individual cases show that long-term tumor control can be achieved with this modality.

Waldron, et al., in a large series of spinal ependymomas, performed multivariate analysis in patients who received radiation after surgery. They evaluated the following variables: age, sex, preoperative symptom duration, preoperative functional status, tumor location, and histological grade. They found that only histological grade was statistically significant in regard to predicting recurrence-free survival and cause-specific survival. They reported a cause-specific actuarial survival at 5 and 10 years of 86 and 81%, respectively. Nevertheless, their results may not correspond completely with lumbosacral ependymomas because in their group of 59 patients approximately half of the tumors were above the level of the conus medullaris. They note that in patients with well-differentiated tumors, like those typically found in the lumbosacral region, there was a 97% 5-year, cause-specific survival. Although these survival rates with radiation appear to be excellent, when we compare these figures with overall survival rates for lumbosacral ependymomas there appears to be no significant difference. Waldron, et al., reported no cases of radiation myelopathy in the 59 spinal ependymomas treated, and others report very low complication rates with spinal irradiation in this area. Therefore, although they are not scientifically proven, the potential benefits of radiation therapy appear to outweigh the risks and can be justified in cases of subtotal tumor resection.

**Radiation Therapy After Biopsy Sampling.** Waldron, et al., report on five patients who received radiation therapy after biopsy sampling in which a diagnosis of ependymoma was made. This subgroup was included in a larger series of 59 patients who received radiation after surgery (biopsy or resection), and it is difficult to discern from the

---

**Fig. 3.** Intraoperative photograph of an intradural ependymoma in the lumbar thecal sac.
Radical recurrence has been reported to be as high as 60%, with mortality rates as high as 75% over a period of 4 years for cases of recurrence. Local recurrence for dorsal subcutaneous tumors is approximately 25% at 15 years. Overall, extradural lesions are much more likely to metastasize to other organ systems than intradural tumors, with the lymphatic system, lungs, bones, and liver being the most common sites. The tendency for extradural tumors to metastasize is likely because of the closer proximity of lymphatic and vascular channels in extradural locations. The risk of metastases also depends on the specific extradural location, with dorsal subcutaneous tumors at greater risk for metastasis than presacral tumors. Intrasacral lesions have also been reported to metastasize, but this appears to be very rare. Metastases have been reported in up to 20% of dorsal subcutaneous tumors in long-term follow up. Metastatic disease from extradural ependymomas appears to carry a grim prognosis due to lack of response to adjuvant therapies.

CONCLUSIONS

Lumbosacral ependymomas consist of two distinct entities that must be managed differently. The more common intradural ependymoma has a significant risk of both local recurrence and dissemination to other areas of the CNS. The rare extradural sacral region ependymoma has the potential for extraneural metastases in addition to local recurrence. The possibility of metastases for lesions in both of these locations should be taken into account in preoperative evaluation and in long-term follow up. In both tumor locations, gross-total resection is the treatment of choice when feasible. Radiation therapy may be valuable for subtotal intradural resections or CNS metastases from intradural tumors. Radiotherapy for extradural lesions does not appear to be as effective and is more controversial.
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