Single enhancing computerized tomography–detected lesion in immunocompetent patients
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Single enhancing computerized tomography (CT)–documented lesions may occur in several infectious and neoplastic diseases of the central nervous system and are the most common radiological abnormality seen in patients with acute-onset seizures in India and many other developing countries. Similar CT-documented lesions have also been reported in the developed world where these lesions are often considered to be caused by neoplasms or tuberculoma. Histopathological studies in India and even in some developed countries revealed that neurocysticercosis (NCC) is the most likely cause of these lesions provided they fulfill a rigid set of clinical and radiological criteria. Single cysticercus granuloma measures less than 20 mm in diameter, may be associated with cerebral edema not severe enough to produce midline shift, and occur in patients with seizures, normal neurological status, and no evidence of active systemic disease. When these lesions resolve spontaneously, either disappearing or changing into a calcified nodule, the diagnosis of NCC is very likely. The second most common cause of these CT-detected lesions is tuberculoma; in patients with these lesions similar clinical and neuroimaging features are also present. Few authors believe that in poor and developing countries, where both tuberculosis and NCC are common, it is difficult to differentiate between tuberculoma and a single cysticercal granuloma. The most interesting feature of these solitary enhancing lesions is their spontaneous disappearance within weeks or months. Some lesions “heal” by becoming calcified. These patients require only antiepileptic therapy, and this medication may be withdrawn safely after the lesion has resolved on CT scanning. In several studies provision of anticysticercal drugs has been attempted, but because of conflicting results, their role in the management of these single lesions is uncertain. Neurosurgeons have a very limited role to play. In very rare situations, if the lesion increases in size and if focal neurological deficits manifest or seizures are uncontrolled, a brain biopsy sample may be required and histopathological evaluation performed to establish the correct diagnosis.
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EPIDEMIOLOGY OF THE SINGLE CT-ENHANCING LESION

The precise incidence and prevalence of single enhancing CT-documented lesions, in India and other parts of the world, are not known. All data available in India are from hospital-based studies. Wadia, et al., studied 150 patients with simple partial seizures, and CT scanning revealed single enhancing lesions in approximately 26%. The incidence of these lesions was higher among children; 40% of patients were younger than 15 years of age. Misra, et al., from North India, studied 1023 patients with partial seizures, and CT scanning revealed single enhancing lesions in approximately 26%. The incidence of these lesions was higher among children; 40% of patients were younger than 15 years of age. Misra, et al., from North India, studied 1023 patients with partial seizures, and CT scanning revealed single enhancing lesions in approximately 26%. The incidence of these lesions was higher among children; 40% of patients were younger than 15 years of age. Misra, et al., from North India, studied 1023 patients with partial seizures, and CT scanning revealed single enhancing lesions in approximately 26%. The incidence of these lesions was higher among children; 40% of patients were younger than 15 years of age. Misra, et al.,...
found only in individuals from the Indian subcontinent, similar lesions were later reported from other parts of the world. Single enhancing lesions are frequently seen in Latin American countries and are invariably treated as cysticercal granuloma. These lesions have also been reported in studies conducted in several developed countries such as Australia, the United States, and the United Kingdom.51 Recently a series of six patients from United Kingdom with single enhancing lesions. In all six patients the referral diagnoses were either tumor or tuberculoma. In the majority, the lesions had spontaneously disappeared. These authors concluded that patients harboring single enhancing CT-documented lesions, even in nonendemic regions, need careful observation because their lesions may disappear spontaneously and patients may be saved from unnecessary neurosurgery.

RADIOLOGICAL FEATURES

The characteristic ring or disc-enhancing CT lesions are seen after intravenous contrast administration. Plain CT scans, at times, demonstrate some abnormality. The most common abnormality observed on plain scans is irregular low attenuation areas of vasogenic cerebral edema. Infrequently, a tiny speck of calcification is demonstrated within the area of hypodensity. Using newer-generation CT machines, it is possible to see the outline of complete cystic lesion with an extramural nodule. Plain CT scanning may not reveal any abnormality.

After contrast administration, there is a ring or a homogeneous disc-like enhancement within the region of hypodensity. The enhancing lesions observed on these CT scans are usually less than 20 mm in diameter; they are surrounded by a varying amount of perifocal edema. Occasionally, the edema may be severe enough to produce midline shift. An enhancing or a calcified eccentric dot (presumed to be a scolex of cysticercal larva) can be seen within the ring lesion (Fig. 1). Single CT-documented lesions can be seen throughout the cerebral hemispheres, more commonly situated superficially in the gray matter or at the junction of gray matter and white matter. Parietal lobes are the most common location for these lesions. Frontal and occipital lobes are the other frequent sites.

RADIOLOGICAL DISAPPEARANCE OF THE ENHANCING LESION

The most remarkable feature of single enhancing lesions observed on CT scanning is their complete spontaneous disappearance in the majority of patients, as well as their occasional significant reduction in size in others. The edema surrounding the lesion is usually the first to resolve. Later, the lesion may disappear completely, leaving no residue, or it may leave a tiny speck of calcification at the former site of the lesion. In some patients the granulomatous lesion transforms into a calcified nodule. Controversy exists regarding the exact time that these CT-enhancing lesions disappear. In several retrospective studies the authors have suggested that spontaneous resolution may vary from as early as 6 weeks to as late as 75 weeks. Even greater persistence has been observed. Various authors have noted a wide variation in the rates of complete resolution. The rate of disappearance has ranged from 22 to 100% at 12 weeks after detection on the first CT scan. Addressing this same issue, Rajeshkhar, in a prospective study of 210 patients, observed that single enhancing lesions completely resolved at different time intervals. At 3 months only 19% of lesion had completely resolved; at 1 year approximately 63% had disappeared. In another prospective study, which supports majority opinion, Singh, et al., observed that approximately 73% of similar-appearing lesions had disappeared within 2 months of their first CT documentation. Because of these conflicting observations, it is very difficult to recommend guidelines concerning need and timing of obtaining follow-up CT scans in these patients.

ETIOPATHOGENESIS OF THE SINGLE ENHANCING LESION

Since these lesions were first described, their precise origin has remained controversial. Several origins have been postulated, from time to time, to explain the cause of these single enhancing lesions (Table 1).

Fig. 1. Plain (left) and contrast-enhanced (right) CT scans revealing a ring-enhancing lesion.

Fig. 2. Computerized tomography scans revealing a ring-enhancing lesion (left) and calcification of the lesion (right).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 1</th>
<th>Summary of causes of single enhancing lesions demonstrated on CT scanning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>common</td>
<td>NCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCC</td>
<td>tuberculoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>uncommon</td>
<td>glioma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glioma</td>
<td>secondary disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secondary disease</td>
<td>cryptoic AVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cryptoic AVM</td>
<td>brain abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brain abscess</td>
<td>larva migrans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>larva migrans</td>
<td>sarcoidosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sarcoidosis</td>
<td>small infarct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>small infarct</td>
<td>focal encephalitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>focal encephalitis</td>
<td>in immunocompromised patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in immunocompromised patients</td>
<td>toxoplasmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toxoplasmosis</td>
<td>CNS lymphoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS lymphoma</td>
<td>fungal granuloma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fungal granuloma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Postictal Phenomenon

Until recently one of the major points of controversy was whether these lesions were cause or effect of the associated seizure disorder. Several biochemical and physiological changes occur at the site of abnormal neural activity during or following partial seizure. It was thought that the changes noted in neurons, blood vessels, and the blood–brain barrier had resulted in ring- or disclike enhancement on CT scanning.18,19

Intercranial Tuberculoma

In initial reports, authors determined that single enhancing lesions were tuberculoma, based on several presumptions. Intracranial tuberculoma were common intracranial space-occupying lesions in this part of the world. Tuberculoma and tuberculous abscess constituted approximately 10 to 20% of all intracranial masses. Similar lesions documented on CT scanning were often seen in association with tuberculous meningitis (Fig. 3). A favorable response to empirical antituberculous treatment was observed. Finally, tuberculosis is a highly endemic disease in India.21,48

Neurocysticercal Cysts

A major breakthrough in the understanding of these single CT-enhancing lesions came when Chandy, et al.,3 reported obtaining CT-guided stereotactic biopsy samples. Histopathological examination of these brain tissue samples showed cysticercal granuloma in the majority of patients. In another study of 51 patients Rajshhekar, et al.,39 documented cysticercal granulomas in 25 patients and tuberculoma in six; in all cases these findings were confirmed. Of the remaining 20 patients, 12 patients harbored parasitic granuloma (cysticercal lesion not definite), six patients nonspecific inflammation, and one patient each had dystrophic calcification and secondary metastasis. The authors concluded that the majority of single enhancing CT lesions are caused by NCC; however, other diseases such as tuberculosis should always be considered in the differential diagnosis.

Other Diseases Causing Single Enhancing Lesions

Various other presumptive diagnoses such as glioma, larva-migrans infection, microabscess, secondary metastasis, small infarct, focal encephalitis, and cryptic AVM have been suggested but none is consistently demonstrated in histopathological studies.17,31

PATHOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS

A parenchymal cysticercal cyst of the brain passes through four stages of natural evolution. After entering into the brain parenchyma, the parasite develops into a "vesicular stage" in which the cysts are viable and elicit very little inflammatory response in the surrounding brain tissue. On CT scanning viable cysticercal lesions appear as rounded, circumscribed, hypodense lesions, and contrast enhancement is absent. After a variable period of time the parasite loses its viability either because of aging, inability of larva to become adult, or immunological factors particular to the host, and it enters into the next stage. The second phase is the "colloidal stage" in which inflammatory changes develop in the cyst wall and surrounding brain parenchyma. Transparent cystic fluid is replaced by jellylike whitish material, which is surrounded by a fibrous capsule. This dying stage of larva is referred to as cysticercal granuloma. In this stage, CT scanning demonstrates a ring-enhancing lesion. Progressive reduction in the size of the cyst and scolex and mineralization of cystic fluid lead to development of a "granular-nodular" stage in which the larva appears as a disc-enhancing lesion on contrast-enhanced CT. In the last "calcific stage" the lesion becomes completely mineralized and appears as a hyperdense calcified nodule on plain CT scanning. At this stage there is no contrast enhancement and surrounding edema is also absent because of abatement of inflammation.11

Rajshhekar, et al.,34 have attempted to demonstrate the presence of a viable parasite within the single CT-enhancing lesions. They performed histopathological examination of 43 brain tissue biopsy samples and were successful in demonstrating cystic lesions containing parts of an intact or degenerated larva in 22 patients. Inflammatory cavitary lesions, in which there was no definite evidence of parasite, were observed in 13 patients, whereas noncavitary hyalized fibrous nodules with inflammation were...
found in the remaining eight. Of the 22 specimens in which there was definite evidence of cysticercosis, only lesions in two patients were shown to have an entire parasite within the granulomatous lesion. It was not possible to predict the presence of an intact parasite within the granuloma on the basis of clinical and radiological features.

In a more recent article, Chacko, et al., 4 reported that, in a few patients, when no intact parasite or parasitic parts were observed within a granuloma, it was possible to demonstrate the presence of small ovoid masses corresponding morphologically to the intracerebral vacuoles of a cysticercal larva. These structures were found to lie within the cavitary space of granuloma. The authors concluded that even the presence of calcareous residues of parasites may be the only evidence of cysticercal origin in some of the granulomas.

**CLINICAL FEATURES**

The patients in whom CT scanning reveals single enhancing lesions usually present with new-onset seizures. The seizures are often partial (motor > sensory) with or without secondary generalization. If a lesion is located in the occipital lobe, seizure is often preceded by visual aura, and in frontal lobe lesions an “adversive attack” is frequently observed. Cases of complex partial seizures are rare. Few patients present with partial status epilepticus. Several episodes of seizure occur in clusters, within a span of 2 to 3 days. Infrequently, Todd paralysis, which resolves within 24 hours, is observed.15,35,50 Another major manifestation of seizures is the occurrence of focal neurological deficits.22 Focal neurological deficits in the absence of seizures are rare. In some patients, severe episodic headache may be the only presenting complaint. In these patients the headache can be of such severe intensity so as to mimic that of a subarachnoid or intracerebral hemorrhage. The fundus is usually found to be normal.33,35 Infrequently, the headache may be part of a frank increase in ICP. Patients experience headache, vomiting and papilledema. In this variety of headache the enhancing lesions have been noted in subcortical locations. Headache and other signs of raised ICP improve after spontaneous resolution of the lesion. Oral corticosteroid medication helps to relieve headache in the acute stage.14 In some patients, when no intact parasite or parasitic parts were observed within a granuloma, it was possible to demonstrate the presence of small ovoid masses corresponding morphologically to the intracerebral vacuoles of a cysticercal larva. These structures were found to lie within the cavitary space of granuloma. The authors concluded that even the presence of calcareous residues of parasites may be the only evidence of cysticercal origin in some of the granulomas.

**DIAGNOSIS OF THE SINGLE ENHANCING LESION**

*Cysticercal Granuloma and Tuberculoma*

The distinction between cysticercal granuloma and tuberculoma is controversial, often associated with single enhancing CT-documented lesions. This is because the clinical and imaging features are quite similar; both diseases are common in endemic areas and may coexist in the same patient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diagnostic criteria for cysticercal granuloma</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>seizure (partial or generalized) as initial symptom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no persistent raised ICP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no progressive neurological deficit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no active systemic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT picture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solitary, contrast-enhancing lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-mm diameter lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>no severe cerebral edema (no midline shift)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As established by Rajshekhar and Chandy (1997).

Rajshekhar, et al., 39 have attempted to differentiate between these two entities on the basis of clinical and imaging features. Based on these findings and their experience, Rajshekhar and Chandy 38 suggested that cisticerci are usually round in shape, 20 mm or smaller in size, with ring enhancement or a visible scolex; cerebral edema severe enough to produce midline shift or focal neurological deficit is not seen. Tuberculomas, by contrast, are usually irregularly shaped, solid, and greater than 20 mm in size. They are often associated with severe perifocal edema and focal neurological deficit 38 (Table 2). This distinction is important because parenchymal cysticercosis is a benign and self-limiting condition, whereas tuberculoma is an active infection requiring prolonged therapy that involves potentially toxic drugs. Several authors firmly believe that this vital distinction, based on clinical and imaging features, is very difficult to make.44 In addition to those features suggested by Rajshekhar and Chandy, 38 several other imaging features have been suggested to differentiate between these two entities. For example, in this setup a target lesion (a lesion with central or eccentric nidus of calcification or a dot of enhancement) is frequently visualized. In the past, these target lesions were considered a pathognomonic feature of CNS tuberculoma.2 More recently, Del Brutto, et al., 10 reported that visualization of an enhancing eccentric dot, which may possibly represent the scolex of cysticercosis, can be considered a definite imaging feature of cysticercus origin.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging. Magnetic resonance imaging is frequently performed with the objective of differentiating between cysticercal granuloma and tuberculoma. In fact, the MR imaging features of both these conditions are also very similar and usually not helpful in this differentiation. Investigation entailing T1-weighted MR images reveals a low signal center with isointense periphery. Granulomas are better visualized on T2-weighted images where a low signal ring and high signal center are characteristic features. Surrounding edema is also best seen on T1-weighted MR images (Fig. 4). On contrast-enhanced MR imaging studies the granuloma shows marked peripheral enhancement and a low signal area in the center.36

Serological Studies. The two principal serological tests are the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and the enzyme-linked immunotransfer blot. The latter is regarded as more reliable, with a specificity of 100% and a sensi-
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Fig. 4. Magnetic resonance imaging revealing a single enhancing lesion on a T₂-weighted sequence (left) and T₁-weighted sequence (right).

Fig. 4. Magnetic resonance imaging revealing a single enhancing lesion on a T₂-weighted sequence (left) and T₁-weighted sequence (right).

T. solium infection

**TABLE 3**

Revised diagnostic criteria for NCC*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>absolute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>histological demonstration of parasite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT or MR evidence of cystic lesion w/ scolex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fundoscopic visualization of parasite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lesions suggestive of NCC on CT or MR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive serum EITB‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>resolution of cyst after therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spontaneous resolution of single enhancing lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lesions compatible w/ NCC on CT or MR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>suggestive clinical feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive CSF ELISA†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cysticercosis outside CNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>epidemiological</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>household contact with T. solium infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emigration from or living in endemic area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>travel to endemic area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As established by Del Brutto, et al. (2001).
‡ Definite diagnosis of NCC: one absolute criterion; or two major and one minor and one epidemiological criteria. Probable diagnosis of NCC: one major and two minor criteria; one major and one minor and one epidemiological criteria; or three minor and one epidemiological criteria.  
† EITB = enzyme-linked immunotransfer blot; ELISA = enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

**MANAGEMENT OF THE SINGLE ENHANCING LESION**

Until recently, there was no consensus concerning the exact origin of these single enhancing lesions in India; thus, a uniform treatment has not been practiced. Various physicians continue to treat these lesions differently.

**Antituberculous Treatment**

In few initial series, patients received antituberculous treatment. After histopathological demonstration of cysticercal disease origin in a majority of patients, as well as evidence of spontaneous resolution of these lesions, antituberculous treatment is now infrequently used.

**Anticysticercal Treatment**

In Latin American countries, CT-depicted single enhancing lesions are invariably treated either with albendazole or praziquantel. In an uncontrolled study Del Brutto observed early resolution of lesions on CT scans following treatment with albendazole. More recently, in a controlled study, Pretell, et al., included 26 patients with single enhancing lesions. The patients were openly assigned to receive either single-day praziquantel therapy (three doses of 25 mg/kg at 2-hour intervals) or no treatment. In praziquantel-treated patients, complete resolution occurred in 11 and partial resolution in two; in the remaining patient the lesion was later diagnosed as AVM. Conversely, the lesions persisted unchanged in six of 12 patients in the nontreatment group. The authors favored routine administration of anticysticercal drugs in patients with single enhancing lesions. Although this single-day praziquantel therapy has been found particularly useful for single le-
sions, poor response has been noted in those with multiple cysticercal lesions.29

In India, studies involving anticysticercal treatment have provided conflicting results. In a placebo-controlled study Padma, et al.,27 observed that 7-day treatment with albendazole did not hasten the resolution of CT-documented lesions. In a different double-blind placebo-controlled study, however, Baranwal, et al.,1 observed a significantly faster and higher incidence of complete disappearance of lesions in children who underwent 28-day albendazole treatment (15mg/kg/day). The conflicting results of these two studies also fueled the controversy of the ideal dosage regimen of albendazole. A comparative study is needed to evaluate 7- or 8-day albendazole treatment in a 30-day course in patients with single CT-enhancing lesion. In patients with other forms of NCC, Cruz, et al.,7 have already demonstrated that 8 day albendazole treatment is as effective as 15- or 30-day therapy. These authors concluded that there is no benefit to extending albendazole treatment beyond 7 or 8 days.

Antiepileptic Treatment

It has been argued that because CT-demonstrated enhancing lesions represent dying stages of cysticercal lesion, they require no anticysticercal treatment. Because these lesions disappear spontaneously, patients require only antiepileptic drugs to control the associated seizure disorder.12 Chopra, et al.,6 reported on 78 patients who underwent follow-up CT scanning within 6 to 12 weeks; in 47 cases complete spontaneous resolution of the lesions was observed. Significant reduction in the size of the lesions and surrounding cerebral edema occurred in 24 patients; additional repeated CT studies revealed either complete disappearance or considerable regression in due course. All these patients received antiepileptic drugs only. In the only prospective study, Singh, et al.,46 included 75 patients with single enhancing lesions. Follow-up CT scanning conducted after 2 months revealed complete spontaneous disappearance of lesions in 55 patients (73.3%). In 11 patients (14.7%) the lesions became calcified. In the remaining nine patients in whom the lesions persisted or regressed, another follow-up CT study (after 6 months) revealed either complete disappearance or calcification. The majority of patients (87%) remained seizure free after 1-year follow-up examination.

Associated Seizure Disorder

Enough evidence is available to suggest that the prognosis of associated seizure disorder is better in cases in which single enhancing lesions are present than in those in which other forms of parenchymal NCC are found; in the latter there is very high incidence of seizure recurrence after withdrawal of antiepileptic drugs.3 It has been suggested that patients with CT-documented single enhancing lesions do not require conventional prolonged therapy of 2 to 3 years. Antiepileptic drugs can safely be withdrawn once the lesion has disappeared. In a retrospective study, Murthy and Subba Reddy25 studied 102 patients in whom CT scanning revealed a single enhancing lesion and in whom seizures were present. In 64 patients seizures did not recur once antiepileptic treatment was instigated.

Twenty-eight patients (27.5%) continued to experience seizure recurrence for a median 2-month period before spontaneous remission was achieved. In the remaining 10 patients seizures recurred after albendazole therapy (median period of seizure recurrence 8 months). The antiepileptic drugs were withdrawn in all patients after the follow-up CT scan revealed complete resolution of the lesions. After withdrawal of antiepileptic drugs, only one patient experienced seizure recurrence during the mean follow-up period of 45 months (range 19–101 months). In this patient in whom seizures recurred, follow-up CT scanning revealed a gliotic scar at the site of the enhancing lesion.25

In one review Carpio, et al.,3 suggested that seizures in patients with CT-demonstrated single enhancing lesions should be considered as acute symptomatic seizure disorder and such patients require antiepileptic treatment for the acute-stage period (perhaps for several months, during which the inflammatory reaction of the lesion is most active). Once the lesion has disappeared, antiepileptic therapy may be gradually withdrawn. If seizures recur, the antiepileptic therapy treatment should be reinitiated and the patient may be treated for 2 to 3 years. If lesions have become calcified, the antiepileptic therapy should be provided for a longer period (2–3 years) before being tapered.

Persistence of the Enhancing Lesions

Until recently, if single enhancing lesions did not disappear or regress within a reasonable time period (usually within 6 months), they were viewed with suspicion (Fig. 5). Often alternative diagnoses such as tuberculoma, pyogenic abscesses, or metastatic lesions were considered. Some authors have contended that persistence of lesions indicates that more aggressive treatment brain biopsy sampling is necessary.35 Currently it is very difficult to set a cutoff period after which these lesions may be termed “persisting.” In a recent prospective follow-up study, Raj-shekhar32 noted that the longer the follow-up period the higher the number of cases in which spontaneous disappearance of the granuloma occurred. He observed that at 6 months in only 19% of 210 patients had complete resolution whereas at the end of 1 year and 2 years,
respectively, in approximately 63 and 89% of patients CT scans revealed normal findings. Garg and Nag\textsuperscript{16} also reported similar observations in a retrospective study. They observed that in 16 of 101 patients the lesions did not disappear or regress after 6 months. Additional follow-up scans in these 16 patients, however, revealed that the lesion eventually calcified in four patients, the ring lesions changed to disc lesion and degree of associated edema was considerably less in four, and the lesion persisted unchanged in the remaining eight.\textsuperscript{16} Although concern is often expressed, in none of the prospective and retrospective follow-up studies has either clinical deterioration or significant enlargement of lesions been noted.\textsuperscript{6,15,25,26,32,46}

In several uncontrolled series, albendazole therapy has been shown to produce complete resolution of persisting lesions.\textsuperscript{30,49}

**ROLE OF NEUROSURGERY**

The mainstay of treatment in patients with CT-evidenced single enhancing lesions is seizure control with antiepileptic drugs. Seizures can often be very well controlled. Small cortical granulomas do not require biopsy sampling or removal of the lesion because the parasite is in the stage of dying and will disappear spontaneously. The principal indications for surgical intervention in patients with NCC are treatment of hydrocephalus, the removal of mobile intraventricular cysts, spinal cysts, accessible racemose cysts in the basal cisterns, and large supratentorial cysts causing mass effects.

Stereotactic brain biopsy sampling is often difficult because of the toughness and mobility of the cysticercal lesion, and it can also be hazardous because of the typical site of lesions at the junction of gray–white matter and possible risk of intracerebral hemorrhage. Moreover, because the lesion is benign, obtaining a biopsy sample is not justified in every patient. In very rare cases in which a lesion enlarges and causes increasing neurological deficit refractory to albendazole treatment, other diagnoses such as abscess, tuberculoma, or tumor (primary or secondary metastasis) are likely. Later in the course neurosurgery may be required. Even in developed countries where these lesions are infrequent this same nonsurgical approach has proven successful.\textsuperscript{51}

**CONCLUSIONS**

Initially single enhancing lesions demonstrated on CT scanning were presumed to be tuberculoma and were treated with empirical antituberculous drugs. Later, histopathological examination of brain tissue biopsy samples suggested that, in majority, these lesions are single cysticercal granuloma. Tuberculoma may be present in a few of these patients. It is very difficult to differentiate cysticercal granuloma from tuberculoma based on clinical and radiological characteristics. The authors of several pro-
spective and retrospective studies have convincingly demonstrated that, regardless of origin, single enhancing CT-documented lesions tend to disappear spontaneously. Patients require antiepileptic drugs to prevent seizure recurrence. The role of albendazole or praziquantel in hastening the resolution of lesions is uncertain. Antiepileptic drugs may safely be withdrawn after CT evidence that the lesion has disappeared. Neurosurgical intervention may be considered if lesions increase in size and produce uncontrolled seizure or progressive focal neurological deficit (Fig. 6).
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