Cranioorbital fibrous dysplasia: with emphasis on visual impairment and current surgical management
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Fibrous dysplasia is a benign but slowly progressive disorder of bone in which normal cancellous bone is replaced by immature woven bone and fibrous tissue. Significant deformity and both acute and chronic visual impairment can result. A contemporary understanding of fibrous dysplasia, emphasizing the origins of visual impairment, indications for decompressive surgery, and the techniques for correction of the cosmetic deformity are presented.

In their experience and review of the literature, the authors found the most frequent clinical presentations to be exophthalmos, displacement of the globe, abnormalities of extraocular motility, cosmetic deformity, and visual impairment. Although traditionally the cause of visual impairment has been ascribed to impingement of the optic canal on the optic nerve, the authors’ experience is that the most common cause of visual loss is cystic degeneration of the tumor, particularly with those involving the anterior clinoid process. Exophthalmos and optic canal stenosis are less common causes of visual impairment. Indications for surgical intervention include acute and/or serial radiographically documented and relentless visual impairment and significant cosmetic deformity. Individualized management strategies are also discussed.
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Fibrous dysplasia has been present since antiquity. It was noted, for example, in the report on a skull found in Tennessee dated 1480 A.D. and in another on the skeleton of a seventh century Anglo Saxon.18,51 Fibrous dysplasia was first reliably recognized in 1891 by von Recklinghausen48 in a report in which he describes patients with a disorder of bone characterized by fibrotic changes and deformity. The author used the term “ostitis fibrosa generalisata” to denote this condition. It was not until several decades later that Lichtenstein and Jaffe31 proposed the term “fibrous dysplasia” as the preferred nomenclature for this characteristic disorder of bone. Lichtenstein and Jaffe observed that fibrous dysplasia could affect single as well as multiple bones; thus, a rudimentary report of its monostotic and polyostotic forms of the disorder was born.31

Shortly thereafter Dandy8 published his classic monograph on orbital tumors. In his first case he described a 28-year-old woman who presented with exophthalmos and severe headaches. She had previously been treated by W. W. Keen and underwent surgery in which exploration and partial extirpation of the orbital roof was undertaken in the pursuit of tumor within the orbit. In this patient Dandy had diagnosed a “diffuse osteoma of the skull and orbit,” although the skull radiographs, drawings, and description of the bone as “soft and spongy” suggest, rather, that this case likely represented what is now termed fibrous dysplasia.

Contemporaneously, McCune33 and Albright, et al.,1 recognized the frequent correlation between this condition and coexisting endocrinopathy. The triad of polyostotic fibrous dysplasia, areas of cutaneous pigmentation (café-au-lait spots), and precocious puberty was subsequently termed McCune–Albright syndrome. Since the time of these important pioneering contributions, considerable advancement has occurred in our understanding of the nature of fibrous dysplasia and its appropriate treatment.

Fibrous dysplasia is a benign but slowly progressive bone disorder of unknown origin in which normal cancellous bone is replaced by immature woven bone and fibrous tissue. It is a relatively uncommon, nonfamilial congenital disorder of bone41 that is usually manifested before the third decade of life.15 There is no sex preference.41 Fibrous dysplasia comprises approximately 2.5% of all bone tumors and nearly 7.5% of benign bone neoplasms.14,22 It may be isolated in its monostotic form (representing 70% of cases and most commonly affecting the ribs and femur), or it may involve multiple foci including the long bones, skull, and cranial base. Of significance, in 50 to 100% of patients with the polyostotic form and in 10%
with the monostotic variant craniofacial involvement is present. There is a predilection for involvement of the frontal, sphenoid, ethmoid, and maxillary bone complexes. Various terms have been applied to fibrous dysplasia with craniofacial involvement, including cranioaxillofacial, cranioorbital, frontoorbital, orbital, and complex fibrous dysplasia. Because the orbit is frequently involved, there are related problems including exophthalmos, displacement of the globe, abnormalities of extraocular motility, cosmetic deformity, and possible compromise of the optic nerve manifesting as visual impairment.

The primary purpose of the present study is to discuss fibrous dysplasia in light of our experience at the University of Virginia in the care of patients with this condition. Knowledge and experience gleaned from the treatment of these patients over the past three decades has allowed us to develop and refine appropriate treatment paradigms in tandem with our evolving understanding of this disease. The origins of visual impairment in fibrous dysplasia will be discussed, as well as its implications for surgical management. Indications for and methods of surgical treatment will be outlined. Because fibrous dysplasia with orbital involvement is rarely limited to the orbit itself, neurosurgical expertise in its comprehensive management is mandated. The surgical management of fibrous dysplasia is multifaceted; its many important surgical-related principles and axioms serve as an excellent model of orbital surgery. The contemporary literature will also be reviewed in the process.

Pathological Features

Fibrous dysplasia appears to arise from a perturbation in the mesenchymal precursor of bone, producing a defect in osteoblastic differentiation and subsequent maturation of bone (that is, a defect in cells of the osteogenic lineage). Although the origin is unknown, recent molecular biological findings have provided some insight. One of the putative defects appears to involve a missense mutation, which gives rise to an anomaly of intracellular signaling that produces increased cell proliferation and inappropriate cell differentiation, resulting in a disorganized fibrotic bone matrix. Additionally, increased interleukin–6 may also play a role in the development of fibrous dysplasia.

Fibrous dysplasia is most commonly a benign disorder. Although typically considered progressive, active growth tends to diminish with time. Malignancies, however, have been reported in conjunction with fibrous dysplasia and may include, most commonly, osteosarcoma, as well as fibrosarcoma, chondrosarcoma, and malignant fibrohistiocytoma.

Histologically, fibrous dysplasia appears as a nonneoplastic tissue mass in which multiple small and irregular spicules of immature bone are abundant. This is referred to as “woven bone,” which is reminiscent of embryonic membranous bone because of the disordered nature of the spicules, absence of mature lamellae, and paucity of calcium. The woven bone is superimposed on a background of moderately cellular fibrous connective tissue. The histological pattern appears to stabilize over time, and no difference is evident between that observed in adults and children.

Clinical Presentation

Symptoms arise due to the expansion and impingement of bone on adjacent structures. The most common symptom is swelling or deformity of the affected site. Based on our experience, other common presenting signs and symptoms of cranioorbital fibrous dysplasia include exophthalmos, displacement of the globe, abnormalities of extraocular motility, and visual impairment.

The definitive diagnosis of fibrous dysplasia is based on multiple factors. Data accrued from clinical, radiological, and histological examinations provide the basis for accurate diagnosis.

Radiological Characteristics

Fibrous dysplasia exhibits distinctive radiological characteristics. There have been three imaging-categorized forms of fibrous dysplasia: sclerotic, cystic (lytic), and mixed varieties. The mixed-type fibrous dysplasia is most common, representing 40% of cases. The sclerotic form comprises 35% of cases and typically involves the cranial base. Cystic fibrous dysplasia is least common, and mixed forms appear inhomogeneous in texture in the expanded bone on CT scans. Magnetic resonance imaging offers excellent definition of soft-tissue and ocular involvement and allows assessment of adjacent neurovascular structures. Of particular usefulness, MR imaging demonstrates cystic change (or mucocles) as evident by very high signal from the cystic component seen on T2-weighted images and very low signal from bone sclerosis observed on T1-weighted images. Enhancement of pathological tissue is commonly observed on MR imaging but is more difficult to discern on CT scanning. Lytic areas are suggestive of malignant degeneration, particularly when viewed to expand on repeated imaging.

Visual Impairment in Fibrous Dysplasia

Of all the sequelae of fibrous dysplasia, visual impairment is the most feared and potentially debilitating. Visual loss also represents the most common neurological complication of fibrous dysplasia affecting the skull. As such, visual impairment has received considerable attention; much has been published on its causes and putative treatment. Elucidation of underlying causes of visual impairment has important implications for the planning of therapeutic interventions: treatments must be targeted against appropriate pathological substrates and not on coincident and unrelated phenomena.

Visual impairment in patients with fibrous dysplasia may include, alone or in combination, perturbances in...
color vision, central and peripheral field defects, and afferent papillary defects. It may occur in a chronic and progressive manner or may manifest in an acute and impressive fashion.²,²⁷ Although fibrous dysplasia–induced visual impairment is certainly well documented, its pathoetiologcal basis and indications for treatment are controversial.

Visual impairment has been ascribed to a multitude of underlying pathological processes. One of these processes appears to involve progressive diminution of optic nerve venous drainage and, ultimately, retinal ischemia that develops due to optic nerve compression resulting from fibrous dysplasia–related optic canal stenosis.³,⁴¹ Because the arrangement of fibers within the optic nerve at the optic canal is such that the peripheral fibers run circumferentially along the periphery of the nerve, central vision may be preserved in cases in which there are peripheral visual field defects.⁴¹ Other explanations include exophthalmos–induced optic nerve traction, sinus mucocele formation, with increased intraorbital pressure, spontaneous hemorrhage, bone cyst formation, or rare vascular events.⁴,⁷,⁹,¹⁰,¹⁴,²²,²⁸,³⁰,³⁵,³⁹,⁴⁰ More recently, our group and others have questioned whether the most common cause of visual loss in fibrous dysplasia is indeed bone overgrowth–induced optic canal stenosis. This traditionally held belief may not be supported after careful scrutiny and critical analysis, particularly of cases in which quality CT or MR imaging studies and careful neuroophthalmological data are available.

Michael and colleagues have recently reviewed the current literature concerning visual loss in fibrous dysplasia. The impetus for this review stemmed from the authors’ case report of a patient who experienced visual loss secondary to a mass of cystic–appearing fibrous dysplasia that compressed the medial surface of the optic nerve in a nonstenotic optic canal. They reviewed cases of fibrous dysplasia–related visual loss reported from the beginning of the CT/MR imaging era.²⁶,³⁵,⁴⁶,⁴⁷,⁵⁰ Consequently, they examined 20 cases of patients with visual loss in which there were sufficient CT or MR imaging data to allow meaningful analyses. Of these 20 cases, visual loss was attributed to underlying disease other than optic canal stenosis in 16 patients. Six patients were found to be afflicted with cystic fibrous dysplasia, four with hemorrhagic lesions, four with mucoceles, and two with “aneurysmal bone cysts.”

In our group’s experience, the most common cause of visual impairment in orbit–related fibrous dysplasia is cystic degeneration within the tumor itself, most commonly involving the ACP (Fig. 1). Cystic degeneration of tumor has not been previously described as a common cause of visual loss in fibrous dysplasia. We have treated several patients in whom visual loss was observed in conjunction with cystic degeneration of the ACP but in whom no optic canal stenosis was apparent. Visual loss was less commonly noted in association with exophthalmos and/or inferior globe displacement (with subsequent optic nerve traction) and with true optic canal stenosis.

Knowledge of the underlying causes of visual loss in fibrous dysplasia is important for treatment. That visual loss in fibrous dysplasia is not usually due to optic canal stenosis affects our acceptance of current treatment para-

**Fig. 1.** Cystic degeneration of fibrous dysplasia with involvement of the ACP. Visual loss was associated with this lesion. **Upper:** Axial nonenhanced CT scan revealing cystic change within the ACP. **Lower:** Coronal nonenhanced CT scan demonstrating cystic degeneration of the ACP and immediate vicinity.

**Management of Fibrous Dysplasia**

The establishment of appropriate therapy for this condition has been hindered by its relative rarity and by the paucity of quality reports in which adequate documentation, including careful imaging studies, is presented. Treatment options have included conservative management with clinical and radiological follow up, medical management, and surgical intervention. Radiotherapy in fibrous dysplasia is unproven as an effective treatment modality. The 44% incidence of malignant transformation in patients with fibrous dysplasia who undergo radiotherapy further contradicts its use.³

**Conservative Management**

Conservative management may be indicated if predicated on sound knowledge of a patient’s clinical status and radiological findings. A patient with preserved vision and acceptable or minimal cosmetic deformity may be a candidate for serial clinical and radiological observation. Visual assessment should be meticulously performed at each visit. Radiological data, of course, should be interpreted in
based on the premise that increased osteoclastic activity is responsible for resorption/osteoclastic activity have been prescribed for cranial base dysplasia. Medications, such as bisphosphonates, calcitonin, and mithramycin, targeted at reducing bone resorption/osteoclastic activity have been prescribed based on the premise that increased osteoclastic activity is the primary mechanism of disease progression.

Medical management of fibrous dysplasia remains poorly characterized. At present, no medical therapy has been clearly proven to cure or impede the progression of fibrous dysplasia. Systemic corticosteroids have been used successfully as a temporizing measure in patients with acute visual loss. Long-term nonoperative reversal of visual loss is unlikely with corticosteroid use alone. Visual function can deteriorate suddenly and progress to complete and permanent dysfunction, and steroids should therefore not be used as the sole treatment in such instances. In preparation for surgical intervention, we have treated patients with corticosteroids when acute visual loss has occurred.

Surgical Management

Surgical intervention may be indicated when perceived and unacceptable cosmetic deformity is present or significant, acute, and/or progressive visual impairment is documented by serial examinations. The basic tenets of operative intervention include the improvement of cosmetic deformity and the preservation or improvement of neurological function.

Surgery for Cosmesis. Surgery for correction of cosmetic deformities is tailored to the individual patient. Depending on the type and degree of bone involvement and the patient’s preferences, we have performed procedures ranging from cranioorbital shaping and remodeling to extensive resection.

In patients in whom bone involvement is limited, cranioorbital shaping may be conducted. In such procedures the pathological bone may not completely removed; however, the residual involved bone is usually slow to grow. Cranioorbital burring and remodeling can provide dramatic cosmetic improvements. In a 39-year-old woman with a long history of progressive deformity the senior author (J.A.J., Sr.) performed this procedure to improve cosmesis. Because the patient was most concerned with the prominence of her forehead, direct bone remodeling was undertaken via a bicoronal incision. The reshaping of her frontal bone, orbital rims, and intraorbital regions provided significant and enduring cosmetic improvement, much to the patient’s satisfaction.

When bone involvement is more extensive in patients with fibrous dysplasia, simple burring and remodeling is inadequate; aggressive resection and subsequent reconstruction should be undertaken. Knowledge of the principles of cranial base surgery are often useful when complete resection and reconstruction are performed. In the authors’ practice, in patients with extensive bone involvement, bone resection has been performed in conjunction with cranioplasty in which acrylic is used; cosmetic results have been excellent. Figure 3 shows a patient in whom cosmetic deformity developed over a span of 15 years. This patient was taken to the operating room and a bicoronal incision was made (Fig. 4). Complete resection of the frontal bone complex was performed, and the resulting defect was immediately reconstructed using plates and acrylic. The final cosmetic results are shown in Fig. 4.

Another frequent cosmetic manifestation of fibrous dysplasia is inferior displacement of the globe. Residual globe dystopia may occur following intervention if methylmethacrylate alone is used to reconstruct the forehead.
and orbital roof. This type of reconstruction often does not provide sufficient space for the globe to ascend. This is clearly illustrated by Dandy’s case in which residual inferior displacement of the globe persisted following surgery. In cases such as these, the use of autologous or alloplastic material to reconstitute the orbital floor may adequately remove the downward compressive forces of the thickened orbital roof and restore the globe to its native location. Notwithstanding the above discussion, it is sometimes necessary to manually direct the globe superiorly to provide restoration of its location.

In the case illustrated in Fig. 5, inferior displacement of the globe and its treatment are demonstrated. The patient’s ocular motility and visual acuity were intact, but her globe was displaced inferiorly. Imaging studies revealed the thickened inferior portion of the orbital roof displacing the globe inferiorly and anteriorly. She was taken to the operating room, and a bicoronal incision was used to provide access to the frontoorbital region. The entire supraorbital rim was removed using the Gigli saw, which readily cut through the thickened frontal bone, thereby providing direct access to the inferior aspect of the orbital roof. The orbital cavity was mechanically hollowed to the sphenoid, and the restrictive periorbita was opened to allow decompression. This effectively cured the patient’s dystopia and exophthalmos. The original superior cortical table of the frontal bone was replaced rather than implanting alloplastic graft.

When globe dystopia and/or bone involvement is markedly prominent, a more extensive procedure is necessary. The entire involved bone may be resected and the defect reconstructed with methylmethacrylate, microplates, or other material.

**Surgery for Visual Loss.** Two main theories of surgical intervention have emerged that endeavor to preserve and/or improve visual function in patients with fibrous dysplasia. The first attempts to prevent the occurrence of visual dysfunction through prophylactic intervention via intracranial decompression of the optic canal. The second aims to stabilize and/or restore visual function in patients with acute and/or progressive and relentless visual loss.

Two recent studies were conducted to examine prophylactic optic canal decompression in patients with fibrous dysplasia. The emerging strategy of prophylactic optic canal decompression is predicated on the assumption that a stenotic optic canal is the most frequent cause of visual loss in patients with fibrous dysplasia. This assumption largely arose during the era predating the advent of CT and MR imaging. Advocates of this belief considered that the concomitant observations of fibrous dysplasia involving the optic canal and chronic visual loss proved that the former was the root cause of the latter. Clearly, optic canal stenosis can be entirely asymptomatic or a coincident occurrence in the face of another cause of visual loss. Moreover, the relationship between the frequency of optic canal stenosis and visual loss has never been proven. Furthermore, studies performed before CT and MR imaging were unable to identify other causes of visual loss such as cystic degeneration, mucoceles and hemorrhage, which, based on observations made in contemporary studies, are more frequent.

Chen, et al., demonstrated that prophylactic decompression of clinically or radiographically confirmed optic canal stenosis preserved vision in 67% of patients in whom visual symptoms were present. They found that visual loss persisting over a 1-month period was not improved by decompression of the optical canal. Papay and colleagues reported that optic canal decompression performed in five patients prior to signs of severe visual loss produced no disturbances in vision if performed before the onset of visual compromise. Others have expressed less enthusiasm about prophylactic optic canal decompression. In their review of cases of fibrous dysplasia with visual loss and CT- or MR-documentation, Michael and colleagues concluded that “prophylactic optic canal decompression may not have been of significant benefit in any of the 20 reported cases of fibrous dysplasia and visu-
The risks of optic canal decompression are not trivial. Unilateral blindness after prophylactic transcranial optic canal decompression for fibrous dysplasia has been reported. We have never performed prophylactic optic canal decompression. We believe that a procedure for which there exists significant risk of debilitating neurological dysfunction in an asymptomatic patient, not to mention one that does not address the more common causes of visual loss in fibrous dysplasia, is not warranted. Optic canal decompression should only be undertaken in cases of acute and/or progressive and relentless serially radiologically documented visual loss, when optic canal stenosis is thought to be the apparent cause. Perhaps in the future prophylactic optic canal decompression may prove to be safe and efficacious in a highly selected subgroup of patients.

Surgery for acute and/or progressive chronic visual loss in patients with fibrous dysplasia is targeted at the underlying pathoanatomical substrate in each individual case. A frequent cause of visual loss, as previously mentioned, is enlargement of naturally occurring cysts within the fibrous dysplastic bone. These lesions do not constitute mucoceles as they are usually not lined with mucosa. Decompression of the cystic area and additional soft-bone involvement can restore visual acuity. When visual loss occurs in cases of extensive optic canal involvement and marked compromise of the optic nerve, decompression should be undertaken. Even when the surgical technique is meticulous, visual loss can occur postoperatively. The mechanism by which visual loss secondary to unroofing of the optic canal occurs remains poorly defined.

CONCLUSIONS

Fibrous dysplasia is typically a benign but slowly progressive disorder of bone in which normal cancellous bone is overtaken by growth of immature woven bone and fibrous tissue. It has been present since antiquity, but only more recently has our understanding of this relatively uncommon but important disorder broadened. Patients afflicted with this disorder typically present with local deformity, exophthalmos, displacement of the globe, abnormalities of extraocular motility, and visual impairment.

Visual loss is the most feared complication of fibrous dysplasia involving the orbit. Although traditionally attributed to optic canal stenosis, other causes such as cystic degeneration within the pathological bone, hemorrhage, mucoceles, globe displacement–induced optic nerve traction appear more common. In the authors’ practice, cystic degeneration is the most common cause of visual impairment, particularly with ACP involvement.

Surgical intervention is contemplated in cases of signif-
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significant cosmetic deformity, as well as in cases of acute and/or chronic and relentless visual loss. Surgical management is tailored to the individual patient and is founded on intensive clinical, radiological, and pathoanatomical data. Prophylactic optic canal decompression, with its significant associated risks, is not indicated and specifically does not target the most common pathological substrates underlying visual loss. As perspectives on this unique condition evolve, our understanding of its natural history and our ability to select patients appropriately will improve. As we pay due attention to the specific disease and the patient’s cosmetic concerns, and as our experiences increase, management paradigms will be refined and ultimately translated into improved patient outcomes.
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