Cerebral blood flow and temporal lobe epileptogenicity
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Long-term surface cerebral blood flow (CBF) monitoring was performed to test the hypothesis that temporal lobe epileptogenicity is a function of epileptic cortical perfusion. Forty-three bitemporal 2-hour periictal CBF studies were performed in 13 patients. Homotopic regions of temporal cortex maintained interictal epileptic cortical hypoperfusion and nonepileptic normal cortical CBF. At 10 minutes preictus, a statistically significant, sustained increase in CBF was detected on the epileptic temporal lobe. Two minutes preictus, there was approximation of CBF in the epileptic and nonepileptic temporal lobes. Thereafter, electrocorticographic (ECoG) and clinical seizure onset occurred. The linear relationship between CBF in the two hemispheres (epileptic and nonepileptic) was the inverse of normal \( y = -0.347x + 62.767, r = 0.470, df = 95, p < 0.05 \). The data indicated a direct linear correlation between epileptic cortical CBF and seizure interval (frequency-1), a clinical measure of epileptogenicity \( r = 0.610, df = 49, p < 0.05 \). Epileptogenicity was also found to be a logarithmic function of the difference between nonepileptic and epileptic cortical perfusion \( r = 0.564, df = 58, t = 5.20, p < 0.05 \). The results showed that progressive hypoperfusion of the epileptic focus correlated with a decreased seizure interval (increased epileptogenicity). Increased perfusion of the epileptic focus correlated with an increased seizure interval (decreased epileptogenicity). The fact that CBF alterations precede ECoG seizure activity suggests that vasomotor changes may produce electrical and clinical seizure onset.
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The ultimate goal of temporal lobe epilepsy surgery is to render the patient seizure free.[50] Success depends substantially on the validity of data used for seizure focus localization.[49] Methods available to localize the epileptic focus include clinical seizure phenomenology; neurological examination; neuropsychological testing; magnetic resonance imaging; functional brain imaging with single-photon emission computerized tomography (SPECT) and positron emission tomography (PET); video/scalp electroencephalographic (EEG) monitoring; intraoperative electrocorticography; and video electrocorticographic (ECoG) monitoring with depth, subdural, or epidural electrodes.

Recently, there has been a trend in epilepsy centers that perform invasive monitoring to do so less often.[16] Because invasive monitoring is decreasing in surgical candidates,[1,2,4-6,12-14,16, 23,26-28,31,36-39,43,44] there is need to improve our understanding of other pathophysiology that
might be amenable to noninvasive detection.

Localization of the epileptic focus using cerebral blood flow (CBF) methods is supported by the observation that SPECT detects cerebral hypoperfusion concordant with interictal scalp EEG epileptic activity in 50% to 60% of patients. Early postictal hyperperfusion is concordant with ictal scalp EEG for focal seizure localization in approximately 70% of patients.

This work involves the long-term study of bilateral temporal lobe thermal diffusion flowmetry (TDF) (Fig. 1). The safety and efficacy of TDF CBF monitoring has been extensively documented. Thermal diffusion flowmetry applies a thermal gradient directly to the cerebral cortex using a heating element and neutral thermal sensor. Using the isocaloric principle, this technique provides a constant power source while varying the temperature between the heated and neutral plate. The temperature difference between the plates is correlated with CBF. The sensor is designed to shut off at 42°C to prevent the cortex from overheating. There has been no evidence of compromised safety or efficacy related to heat dispersion from the heating element.

Fig. 1. Illustrations depicting anterior (upper) and lateral (lower) views of standard subdural
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strip electrode montage for continuous electrocorticographic and cerebral blood flow (CBF) monitoring in temporal lobe epilepsy. The CBF sensor consists of a heated and neutral plate. The temperature difference between the two plates is correlated with CBF.

Data derived from surface TDF correlate significantly with results obtained by other noninvasive methods such as hydrogen and isotope clearance and nitrous oxide CBF techniques.[7-10,19,51] This report analyzes TDF data to test the hypothesis that epileptogenicity is a function of epileptic cortical perfusion.

CLINICAL MATERIAL AND METHODS

Patient Population

We studied a selected series of 13 patients with medically intractable complex partial seizures. A magnetic resonance image of the brain was obtained in each patient to detect any structural lesions. All patients underwent video/scalp EEG monitoring, which proved to be insufficient to localize focal seizures due to at least one of the following reasons: 1) EEG onset was too diffuse to be localized to a single lobe of brain; 2) bilateral EEG onset; 3) EEG onset followed clinical seizure onset; or 4) EEG onset was obscured by electromyographic or other artifact. Therefore, each patient underwent implantation of bilateral subdural strip electrodes for long-term ECoG monitoring.[50,54] At the time of subdural strip placement, all patients also underwent implantation of bilateral subdural anteroinferior temporal surface cortical CBF thermal diffusion sensors (Flowtronics Corp., Phoenix, Arizona)[47] in accordance with the protocol approved by the University of Arizona Human Subjects Committee. Anticonvulsant medication was discontinued immediately prior to implantation of subdural strip electrodes.

Data Acquisition

Surface CBF was continuously measured in ml/100 g/minute over bilateral anterolateral temporal lobes in a timed sequence with video/subdural ECoG and clinical phenomenology. Cerebral blood flow data were digitized and transferred to a database for analysis. Electrical seizure onset was defined as the first sustained change from background ECoG activity.[51]

Cerebral Blood Flow Monitoring Periods

All patients were continuously monitored. The mean duration in hours between seizures (that is, seizure interval or frequency-1) was noted. Bitemporal CBF during the 60-minute period preceding and following the ictus was measured. The interictal period was defined as 60 to 20 minutes before the ictus (-60 to -20). The preictal period was defined as 20 to 1 minutes before the ictus (-20 to -1).[50] We defined the early preictal period as the first statistically significant sustained change in CBF from interictal values.[50] In our protocol using SPECT and surface CBF data we defined the early postictal, postictal, and late postictal periods as 1 to 5, 10 to 40, and 50 to 60 minutes, respectively, following clinical seizure onset (Fig. 2).[46,47]
Statistical Analysis

All CBF data were analyzed to assess correlation between epileptic and nonepileptic temporal lobes and with seizure interval. Univariate statistical analysis was conducted on all data from the 43 periictal periods in all patients using the unpaired Student t-test. To evaluate reproducibility and reliability of the CBF data, multiple regression analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed on the mean CBF data obtained in all patients during all periictal measurement periods. The results of the ANOVA were expressed as an F ratio. Statistical significance was defined as a probability value of less than 0.05.

RESULTS

Patient Population

Forty-three 2-hour periictal periods in 13 patients were studied with bilateral subdural temporal cortical CBF sensors. There were six males and seven females with a mean age of 36 years (range 12-50 years). Ictal subdural strip ECoG monitoring localized the epileptic focus to medial temporal in eight patients, the lateral temporal lobe in four patients, and medial and lateral temporal lobe in one.
During long-term monitoring, the mean duration between seizures (seizure interval, frequency-1) was $18.3 \pm 1.21$ hours. Magnetic resonance imaging of the brain detected epileptic temporal lobe structural abnormality as follows: medial temporal sclerosis four patients, hippocampal atrophy four patients, temporal horn dilation two patients, insular cortical cyst one patient, parahippocampal gyrus cavernous hemangioma one patient, and temporal lobe migration disorder one patient (Table 1).

### Table 1

**Demographic and Clinical Data in 13 Patients Studied with Long-Term Surface Cortical Cerebral Blood Flow Monitoring**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>7 females, 6 males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>Mean 36, range 12-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ictal subdural ECoG focus</td>
<td>Medial temporal: 8 patients, lateral temporal: 4 patients, regional (medial &amp; lateral) temporal: 1 patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECoG onset at ± SE (min)†</td>
<td>Mean -0.08 ± 0.03, range -0.31 to 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MR results</td>
<td>Medial temporal sclerosis: 4 patients, hippocampal atrophy: 4 patients, temporal horn dilation: 2 patients, insular cortex cyst: 1 patient, parahippocampal gyrus cavernous hemangioma: 1 patient, temporal lobe migration disorder: 1 patient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seizure interval ± SE (hrs)</td>
<td>Mean 18.30 ± 1.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Abbreviations: ECoG = electrocorticographic; MR = magnetic resonance; SE = standard error of the mean.
† The ECoG onset relative to clinical seizure onset at time 0 minutes, negative and positive values indicate time before and after clinical seizure onset, respectively.

### Table 2

**Temporal Lobe Cerebral Blood Flow Monitoring Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean CBF Value†</th>
<th>Epileptic Temporal Lobe</th>
<th>Nonepileptic Temporal Lobe</th>
<th>Significance (p Value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interictal</td>
<td>39.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>55.4 ± 3.4</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early preictal</td>
<td>46.3 ± 1.1</td>
<td>51.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>0.088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early postictal</td>
<td>47.2 ± 3.9</td>
<td>65.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postictal</td>
<td>42.1 ± 2.8</td>
<td>57.4 ± 2.8</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late postictal</td>
<td>31.3 ± 3.3</td>
<td>68.4 ± 9.3</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change from interictal to early preictal</td>
<td>+7.0 ± 3.1</td>
<td>-4.0 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data are presented as the mean ± the standard error of the mean. Abbreviation: CBF = cerebral blood flow in ml/100 g/minute.
† The CBF monitoring periods are defined in Fig. 2.

### Univariate Statistical Analysis

Cerebral blood flow data are summarized for all patients in Table 2 and Figs. 3 to 7. In interictal, preictal, and early and late postictal periods, there was an inverse linear relationship between epileptic and nonepileptic temporal lobe CBF ($r = 0.749$, df = 34, $p < 0.05$; $r = 0.487$, df = 22, $p < 0.05$; $r = 0.509$, df = 14, $p < 0.05$; and $r = 0.548$, df = 22, $p < 0.05$; respectively). Bitemporal CBF correlation data for these
periictal periods are summarized in Fig. 5 ($r = 0.470$, $df = 95$, $p < 0.05$). In the interictal period, epileptic cortex was hypoperfused relative to nonepileptic cortex (39.3 ± 1.8 vs 55.4 ± 3.4 ml/100 g/minute, $p < 0.05$) (Table 2). At 20 minutes preictus, CBF began to increase in the epileptic temporal lobe, reaching statistical significance, relative to interictal baseline, at 10 minutes preictus (39.3 ± 1.8 to 46.3 ± 1.1 ml/100 g/minute, $p < 0.05$) (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

Fig. 3. Graph depicting the mean long-term continuous bitemporal cerebral blood flow (CBF) monitoring data from epileptic and nonepileptic temporal cortex. The CBF data are given in ml/100 g/minute; clinical seizure onset at 0 minutes, negative and positive time values precede and follow clinical seizure onset, respectively. The y-axis bars illustrate the standard error of the mean. ECoG = electrocorticograph.

During the transition from interictal to early preictal periods, epileptic temporal lobe CBF increase and nonepileptic temporal lobe CBF decrease were statistically significant relative to interictal values (7 ± 3.1 vs -4.0 ± 2.5 ml/100 g/minute, $p < 0.05$) (Table 2). At 2 minutes preictus, the inverse linear correlation between epileptic and nonepileptic cortical CBF disappeared ($r = 0.108$, $df = 14$, $p > 0.05$) and epileptic and nonepileptic cortical CBF became approximately the same (46.3 ± 1.1 and 51.4 ± 3.8 ml/100 g/minute, respectively, $p > 0.05$) (Table 2). Thereafter, ECoG and clinical seizure onset occurred, followed by CBF increase in both temporal lobes (Figs. 3 and 4).
Fig. 4. Graph displaying the mean data from continuous surface cerebral blood flow (CBF) monitoring in the epileptic and nonepileptic temporal lobe. The y-axis bars illustrate the standard error of the mean. At 2 minutes preictus, statistical approximation of epileptic and nonepileptic CBF occurs. Thereafter, electrocorticographic (ECoG) seizure onset is recorded, followed by clinical seizure phenomenology.

At 2 minutes postictus, the inverse relationship between epileptic and nonepileptic CBF resumed (r = 0.509, df = 14, p < 0.05). Early postictal, postictal, and late postictal epileptic temporal lobe CBF was significantly decreased compared to nonepileptic temporal lobe (47.2 ± 3.9 vs 65.3 ± 3.3; 42.1 ± 2.8 vs 57.4 ± 2.8; and 31.3 ± 3.3 vs 68.4 ± 9.3 ml/100 g/minute, respectively, p < 0.05) (Table 2).
In interictal, early preictal, and early and late postictal periods, increasing epileptic temporal lobe CBF was significantly correlated in a direct linear fashion with increasing seizure interval (that is, decreasing epileptogenicity) ($r = 0.610, df = 49, p < 0.05$). Conversely, the lower the epileptic CBF, the greater the epileptogenicity (Fig. 6).
Fig. 6. Graph illustrating the linear relationship between epileptic temporal lobe cerebral blood flow (CBF) and seizure interval (frequency-1). At all times, with the exception of 2 minutes immediately before and after the ictus, seizure focus CBF monitoring is correlated with seizure interval. Seizure interval increases and epileptogenicity decreases from left to right. Increasing epileptogenicity is a function of progressive seizure focus hypoperfusion.

During the 2-hour periictal period, no statistically significant relationship was detected between nonepileptic temporal lobe CBF and seizure interval \((r = 0.074, \text{df} = 48, p > 0.05)\). However, there was a significant logarithmic correlation between the difference in nonepileptic and epileptic cortical CBF and seizure interval \((r = 0.564, \text{df} = 58, t = 5.2, p < 0.05)\). As epileptic cortical CBF increased to approximate and exceed nonepileptic CBF, the seizure interval progressively increased (epileptogenicity decreased) (Fig. 7).
Fig. 7. Graph showing that at all times, with the exception of 2 minutes immediately before and after the ictus, there is a statistically significant logarithmic correlation between the difference in nonepileptic and epileptic cortical cerebral blood flow (CBF) and seizure interval (frequency-1). As CBF in the epileptic lobe approaches and exceeds that of the nonepileptic temporal lobe, there is a progressive increase in seizure interval (that is, a decrease in epileptogenicity).

**Multiple Regression ANOVA**

Multiple regression ANOVA of data obtained in all patients detected no statistically significant differences in mean CBF data, within epileptic and nonepileptic categories, respectively, during interictal ($F = 0.181, p > 0.05$ and $F = 0.226, p > 0.05$; respectively); early preictal ($F = 0.000, p > 0.05$ and $F = 0.017, p > 0.05$; respectively); early postictal ($F = 0.225, p > 0.05$ and $F = 0.805, p > 0.05$; respectively); postictal ($F = 1.213, p > 0.05$ and $F = 0.181, p > 0.05$; respectively); and late-postictal ($F = 0.757, p > 0.05$ and $F = 0.000, p > 0.05$; respectively) periods. These results confirm that, within epileptic and nonepileptic categories during all periictal periods for all patients, there was no significant variation in CBF.

**DISCUSSION**

There has been considerable study of CBF patterns in humans without epilepsy.[3,11,30,52,56] In normal brain, there is significant correlation of CBF values between similar regions of the two hemispheres.[3]
In patients with an ischemic region in one hemisphere, the most sensitive measure of CBF is obtained by comparison of the normal hemisphere to the identical region of contralateral diseased cortex.[17]

**Interictal Seizure Focus CBF Monitoring**

Penfield, et al.[35] observed that epileptic lesions of all types showed cytological evidence of "progressive small ischemias." Intercital hypoperfusion of the epileptic focus has been confirmed with (133Xe)-SPECT,[25] HMPAO SPECT,[12] and (15O)H2O, (15O)O2, (15O)CO2, and (13N)NH3 PET.[1,18,28,32,40,55] During long-term subdural CBF monitoring, significant interictal epileptic focus hypoperfusion has been recorded.[47,48] Although cerebral hypoperfusion is the most common CBF manifestation of the interictal focus, false localization and lateralization occur with noninvasive interictal CBF imaging (for example, SPECT and PET) [5,6,13,23,27,36,38,39,43,44] and surface CBF recording[48] in 40% to 50% of patients. However, technical limitations of TDF restrict monitoring to the lateral temporal lobe. Insulation surrounding CBF contacts limits strip flexibility, precluding conformation to the irregular inferior temporal lobe surface.[47] Localization of temporal lobe epileptic foci using TDF might improve if future designs permit medial temporal lobe recording.

**Early Postictal CBF Monitoring**

Victor Horsley directly observed hyperperfusion of brain in a patient experiencing a seizure.[21] After intraoperative cortical stimulation, Penfield, et al.[35] reported hyperperfusion associated with focal seizure activity. Gibbs and colleagues[20] detected ictal cerebral hyperperfusion using an internal jugular vein thermocouple. Measuring temperature with a hippocampal depth electrode, Dymond and Crandall[15] inferred qualitative postictal increases in epileptic cortical CBF preceding changes in nonepileptic cortex. Functional brain imaging has recorded postictal hyperperfusion using (133Xe)-CBF,[22] (99mTc)HMPAO SPECT,[14,31] (123I) HIPDM SPECT,[23] and (15O)O2 PET.[18] During surface CBF monitoring, there is significant overlap between early postictal epileptic and nonepileptic temporal lobe CBF.[47] False-positive rates for early postictal epileptic focus localization with SPECT has been reported to be as high as 42%.[49] False-positive and nonlocalization emphasizes the need for concordant localizing data when CBF techniques are used for early postictal seizure focus detection.[47,49]

**Late Postictal CBF Monitoring**

During the late postictal period, Penfield[33] and coworkers[35] observed epileptic cortical anemia associated with vasoconstriction and decreased CBF in brain distant from the focal seizures. The results of the current study are consistent with previous conclusions that late postictal lateral temporal cortical hypoperfusion is most pronounced on the side of ictal onset[37,38] and useful in focal seizure localization.[1,38,47,48]

**Epileptogenicity and Seizure Focus CBF Monitoring**

To test Penfield's cerebrovascular hypotheses, seizure interval (frequency-1), a clinical measure of epileptogenicity,[48,53] was statistically analyzed. The data indicate a linear relationship between epileptic cortical CBF and seizure interval (Fig. 6). Increased perfusion of the epileptic focus is correlated with increased seizure interval (decreased epileptogenicity). Progressive hypoperfusion of the epileptic focus is correlated with decreased seizure interval (increased epileptogenicity). The results are consistent with Penfield's hypothesis that epileptic seizures are a function of "progressive small ischemias."
Progressive neuronal ischemia has been proposed as one theory of epileptogenesis for cortex exposed to chronic vascular steal.[33,34,45] Ongoing ischemia of the epileptic focus is associated with reduced oxygen metabolism and regional blood flow but increased seizure focus oxygen extraction fraction (OEF).[2] Because OEF is normal with reduced CBF during normal autoregulation, increased OEF suggests the epileptic focus is ischemic.[34,45] The results of the current study support the conclusion that increasing epileptogenicity is a function of progressive epileptic cortical hypoperfusion.

**Epileptogenicity and Vasomotor Reactivity**

In normal circumstances, similar regions of both hemispheres are under uniform vasomotor control.[3,24] Vasodilatory stimuli produce bilateral CBF increases in homotopic vascular territories as a direct linear function of CBF in analogous regions of both hemispheres.[11] Penfield hypothesized that, "physiological instability of the blood vessels seems to be the abnormal state common to epileptics of all varieties"[33] and postulated that, "some undiscovered secret of cerebral circulation is the ultimate cause of epilepsy, I fancy."[34] The results of the current study suggest that vasomotor activity in the epileptic and nonepileptic temporal lobe is correlated; but the relationship is the inverse of normal (Fig. 5).

**Interictal to Ictal Transition**

Seizure onset has been defined as the first sustained electrophysiological change from background activity.[49,51] The extent to which electrophysiological change precedes clinical seizure onset has been considered one measure of the accuracy with which the seizure focus is localized.[49,50] Failure to localize the seizure focus with ictal EEG recording has been defined by EEG onset as occurring after clinical seizure onset.[49,51,53] However, whether ictal ECoG onset precedes or follows clinical seizure phenomenology has no prognostic value for seizure outcome following epileptic focus resection.[50]

The results of this study confirm previous reports that ictal ECoG onset is not the sentinel physiological event signaling impending clinical seizure.[41,47] Ictal ECoG seizure onset is a result of some prior fundamental perturbation. Electroencephalographic and clinical onset are preceded by significant alterations in CBF in both epileptic and nonepileptic cortex. Beginning approximately 20 minutes preictus, epileptic cortical CBF increases.[47,(and unpublished data)] At approximately 10 minutes preictus, epileptic cortical CBF increases significantly from ischemic levels. Approximately 2 minutes prior to ECoG onset, nonepileptic CBF is significantly decreased, approximating epileptic CBF (Figs. 3 and 4). Contralateral homotopic nonepileptic hypoperfusion is unique to the early preictal period, associated with loss of reciprocal epileptic and nonepileptic CBF correlation, and detected minutes before ECoG and clinical seizure onset.

As epileptic CBF approximated nonepileptic CBF, the seizure interval increased. Because of the logarithmic correlation between the difference in nonepileptic and epileptic CBF and seizure interval, it is possible that a small increase in epileptic cortical perfusion could substantially reduce epileptogenicity (Fig. 7). Early preictal epileptic cortical CBF increase may be a protective vasomotor response to some fundamental perturbation initiating the ictus. Seizure may result from failure of this protective vasomotor response to perfuse the seizure focus adequately and reduce epileptogenicity.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of this study suggest that epileptogenicity is a function of epileptic cortical perfusion. Electrical and clinical seizure onsets appear to be epiphenomena that are preceded by significant changes in CBF. Whether early preictal CBF changes are due to redistribution from nonepileptic to epileptic
cortex, changes in metabolic activity, or some other factor cannot be determined from the data. Further research is needed to discover the perturbation causing preictal alterations in epileptic and nonepileptic CBF.
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