Brain distortion is an everyday experience for the neurosurgeon; it is concomitant with the practice of neurosurgery and the occurrence of this phenomenon is not disputed. However, the magnitude of such distortion, the influence of tumor type, and the imaging characteristics that predict shift are poorly understood. Furthermore, the impact of brain shift on imaging guidance, the need for intraoperative image updating, and the resolution necessary for such updating are controversial and unresolved issues. To resolve these controversies requires knowledge of the magnitude, directions, influences, and predictors of postimaging brain distortion, information that is currently unavailable in the literature.

We report the results of a prospective study designed to quantify brain shifts during open cranial surgery, to determine correlations between these shifts and image characteristics, and to assess the impact of postimaging brain distortion on neuronavigation.

Methods. During 48 operations, movements of the cortex on opening, the deep tumor margin, and the cortex at completion were measured relative to the preoperative image position with the aid of an image-guidance system. Bone surface offset was used to assess system accuracy and correct for registration errors. Preoperative images were examined for the presence of edema and to determine tumor volume, midline shift, and depth of the lesion below the skin surface. Results were analyzed for all cases together and separately for four tumor groups: 13 meningiomas, 18 gliomas, 11 nonglial intraaxial lesions, and six skull base lesions.

For all 48 cases the mean shift of the cortex after dural opening was 4.6 mm, shift of the deep tumor margin was 5.1 mm, and shift of the cortex at completion was 6.7 mm. Each tumor group displayed unique patterns of shift, with significantly greater shift at depth in meningiomas than gliomas (p = 0.007) and significantly less shift in skull base cases than other groups (p = 0.003). Whereas the preoperative image characteristics correlating with shift of the cortex on opening were the presence of edema and depth of the tumor below skin surface, predictors of shift at depth were the presence of edema, the lesion volume, midline shift, and magnitude of shift of the cortex on opening.

Conclusions. This study quantified intraoperative brain distortion, determined the different behavior of tumors in four pathological groups, and identified preoperative predictors of shift with which the reliability of neuronavigation may be estimated.
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the night before surgery. Brain shift measurements and bone surface localization errors were obtained in 48 of the 93 image-guided cases. Shift measurements were not obtained in the remaining 45 cases for a variety of reasons. Burr hole biopsy and shunt procedures were necessarily excluded from the study because measurement of shift at depth was not feasible in such cases. In other cases data acquisition was precluded by surgical time constraints, poorly demarcated lesion margins, and in two cases loss of registration prior to completion. The mean age of the 48 patients in the study group was 47.1 years and the male/female ratio was 1:1 (Table 1). There were no statistically significant differences between the study subgroup and the total patient population (that is, the study subgroup was accurately representative of our normal patient population). The tumors were divided into four groups for data analysis: Group I, convexity and parasagittal meningiomas; Group II, cerebral gliomas; Group III, nonglial intraxial lesions; and Group IV, skull base lesions (Table 2).

Preoperative Imaging and Image Analysis

All patients underwent preoperative studies consisting of gadolinium-enhanced T1-weighted volumetric MR imaging in which the adhesive multimodality surface fiducial markers remained in situ. Two machines were used: a 1.5-tesla Signa (General Electric Inc., Milwauk ee, WI) and a 0.5-tesla Vectra scanner (General Electric Inc.). The imaging protocols were TR 14.2, TE 3.3, flip angle 30°, matrix 256×256, field of view 24 cm, thickness 160 mm; and TR 45, TE 15, flip angle 50°, matrix 192×192, field of view 24 cm, thickness 180 mm, respectively. The resultant voxel sizes in millimeters were X = 0.93, Y = 0.93, and Z = 1.30 for the Signa apparatus and X = 1.25, Y = 1.25, and Z = 2.25 for the Vectra. All image data sets were transferred via ethernet to an image-processing workstation (EasyVision CT/MR; Philips Medical Systems B.V., Best, The Netherlands) for evaluation. In each case the maximum midline shift, lesion volume, depth of the lesion below the skin surface, and presence or absence of edema were determined. Depth and volume were measured by first finding the center of the lesion in the standard three orthogonal views. Distance from this center of density to the nearest skin surface was then measured in millimeters by using a software caliper tool. The three perpendicular radii (X, Y, and Z) of the lesion were then found from the measurement of diameters bisecting this central point: in the anteroposterior plane on the axial view (a), the left to right diameter in the coronal plane (b), and the cephalocaudal diameter on the sagittal view (c). Lesion volume was calculated from these measurements using the formula for the volume of an ellipsoid (volume = 4/3 πXY,Z). Midline shift was determined as the maximum diversion of the midline from a line joining the anterior and posterior origins of the falx cerebri in the axial plane.

Neuronavigation Technique

The navigation system used for this study (EasyGuide Neuro; Philips Medical Systems B.V.) comprised a table-mounted array incorporating two infrared cameras, a mobile computer workstation with a high-resolution monitor, and handheld pointers with infrared light-emitting diodes. The MR imaging data sets were transferred to the operating system via ethernet or optical disk, and the position of each fiducial marker was selected manually. After patient positioning and application of the Mayfield head clamp (OMI Surgical Products, Cincinnati, OH) patient-to-image registration was performed using a nonsterile handheld pointer. After registration, axial, coronal, and sagittal reconstructions of the pointer tip position were displayed in real time on the monitor. Software tools for virtual pointer elongation, path planning, and caliper measurement were used, and the system-derived root mean square error (RMSE) of registration fit was recorded in each case.

Brain Shift Measurement Technique

The structures examined in this study were the skull surface at the center of the planned craniotomy, the cortical surface at the center of the dural opening (or, in the case of meningiomas, the most central cortex adjacent to the tumor), the deep tumor margin, and the cortical sur-

---

**TABLE 1**

Comparison of the study group and all patients undergoing image-guided surgery*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All Patients</th>
<th>Study Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>no. of cases</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>male/female ratio</td>
<td>1.5:1</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean age (range)</td>
<td>44.7 (15–77)</td>
<td>47.1 (20–77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean registration RMSE</td>
<td>3.6 (SD 1.1)</td>
<td>3.6 (SD 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pathological group (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>20 (21)</td>
<td>13 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>27 (29)</td>
<td>18 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>25 (27)</td>
<td>11 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>21 (23)</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No statistical difference was found between these groups.

**TABLE 2**

Breakdown of the study group according to histological diagnosis of lesions and allocation to tumor groups for data analysis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Group</th>
<th>Histological Diagnosis</th>
<th>No. of Tumors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I: vault meningiomas</td>
<td>meningioma</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II: cerebral gliomas</td>
<td>glioma</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III: nonglial intraxial lesions</td>
<td>colloid cyst of third ventricle</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>metastasis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>hemangioblastoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNET</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>choroid plexus papilloma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ependymoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cavernoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>granuloma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>abscess</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>epileptic focus</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV: skull base lesions</td>
<td>meningioma</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chordoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>neurilemmoma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>epidermoid</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>arachnoid cyst</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* DNET = dysembryoblastic neuroepithelial tumor.
face adjacent to the resection margin at completion. To detect and measure postimaging brain distortion, the surgeon first identified the required surface and gently touched a sterile handheld light-emitting diode pointer to this structure. The position of the pointer tip was automatically displayed in the preoperative images on the system monitor. When shift was present the pointer tip position appeared to lie at a distance from the chosen structure in the images. The system was then temporarily inactivated while this distance was measured with the caliper tool (Fig. 1) in each of the views in which the surface was visible. Measurement of shift of the deep tumor margin was only undertaken when the surgeon could identify this structure with confidence.

### Statistical Analysis

Measurements were assigned positive or negative values according to the direction of shift: positive for outward bulging and negative for infalling. The brain shift measurements in each case were corrected for registration skew by the subtraction of the bone surface offset respective of sign. This method presumes that translational mismatching of image coordinates with operating area coordinates will apply to each of the surfaces equally across a small area. The resultant data were analyzed for patterns, correlations, and significance as a whole and then segregated according to tumor groups. The statistical tests of significance used were the unpaired two-tailed t-tests for normally distributed data and chi-square tests for noncontinuous data (significance established at $p < 0.05$). Correlations were accepted when the sample correlation coefficient value exceeded 0.4 and the associated probability value was less than 0.05.

### Results

#### Preoperative Image Analysis

Examination of the values for lesion volume, midline shift, distance below skin surface, and incidence of edema (Table 3) determined from the preoperative MR studies allowed us to identify patterns particular to each tumor group (Fig. 2). Comparison of groups revealed that the mean volume of Group III tumors was significantly less than that found in the other lesions ($p = 0.021$). The extent of midline shift was significantly greater in Group I than in the other groups ($p = 0.031$) and significantly lower in Group III than in the other groups ($p = 0.011$). The mean distance below the skin surface was, not surprisingly, significantly less for tumors in Group I than for those in the other groups ($p = 0.0007$). Similarly, the frequency of

### TABLE 3

**Preoperative imaging characteristics for all 48 patients studied and breakdown according to tumor group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging Characteristic</th>
<th>All (48 patients)</th>
<th>Group I (13 patients)</th>
<th>Group II (18 patients)</th>
<th>Group III (11 patients)</th>
<th>Group IV (6 patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>volume (ml)</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>23.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>0.6–140.5</td>
<td>5.3–76.4</td>
<td>0.9–140.5</td>
<td>0.6–40.3</td>
<td>4.7–47.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>midline shift (mm)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>0–19.9</td>
<td>1.8–19.9</td>
<td>0.0–12.9</td>
<td>0.0–7.6</td>
<td>0.0–8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depth below skin (mm)</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>49.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>range</td>
<td>14.1–71.9</td>
<td>14.1–43.6</td>
<td>23.8–53.3</td>
<td>22.9–71.9</td>
<td>21.1–66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>percentage w/ edema</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### TABLE 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brain Shift</th>
<th>All (48 patients)</th>
<th>Group I (13 patients)</th>
<th>Group II (18 patients)</th>
<th>Group III (11 patients)</th>
<th>Group IV (6 patients)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>shift of cortex on opening range</td>
<td>0.0–19.9</td>
<td>0.6–19.9</td>
<td>0.0–10.5</td>
<td>3.4–16.2</td>
<td>0.0–3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shift of deep tumor margin range</td>
<td>0.0–24.2</td>
<td>0.8–24.2</td>
<td>0.0–13.7</td>
<td>0.1–12.1</td>
<td>0.0–3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shift of cortex at completion range</td>
<td>0.0–19.9</td>
<td>0.6–19.9</td>
<td>0.0–10.5</td>
<td>3.4–16.2</td>
<td>0.0–3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* All values are expressed as millimeters.

reactive edema was significantly greater in Group I than in Group II (p < 0.02) and all other groups (p < 0.001).

**Neuronavigation System Accuracy**

Laboratory phantom studies and in vivo accuracy assessments have shown the errors associated with clinical application of mechanical arm-based systems to be approximately 2.5 to 3 mm$^{17,22}$ and of optical systems to be 2 mm or less for the majority of cases.$^{1,22}$ Comparing well with the accuracy of frame-based systems.$^{17}$ The accuracy of tracking with the neuronavigation system used in this report as assessed in phantom studies has been calculated as 0.66 mm for 3-mm slices on computerized tomography scans$^6$ and the mean bone surface offset (registration error) in this study was 2.1 mm (median 1.7 mm, standard deviation [SD] 2). The system-derived RMSE of patient-to-image registration was 3.6 mm (median 3.5 mm, SD 1).

**Magnitude of Postimaging Brain Distortion**

The mean corrected shift of the cortex on opening for all 48 cases was 4.6 mm (0.0–20.4, SD 4), the mean shift of the deep tumor margin was 5.1 mm (0.0–24.2, SD 5.8), and the mean cortex shift at completion was 6.7 mm (0.0–19.9, SD 5.7) (Table 4). Thus the overall magnitude of postimaging brain distortion was seen to rise as the operations proceeded. However, starkly different patterns were revealed when the shift data were analyzed separately for each tumor group (Fig. 3). In Group I, the shift of the deep tumor margin was greatest of all shifts (mean = 10 mm), and shift was significantly greater (Table 5) than both deep shifts in other groups (p = 0.003) and shift of the cortex on opening in Group I (p = 0.015). Also in Group I, shift of the cortex at completion was significantly greater than that found on opening (p = 0.041). In Group II shift of the deep tumor margin and shift of the cortex at completion were both lower than cortical shift on opening. In Group III cortical shift on opening and shift at depth were significantly lower than shift of the cortex at completion (p = 0.014 and p = 0.012, respectively). In Group IV shift at depth and shift of the cortex at completion were significantly lower than in other groups (p = 0.003 and p = 0.0006, respectively).

**Direction of Brain Shifts**

The direction of shift at each stage of surgery (outward bulging or infalling) also showed striking differences between tumor groups. The pattern for all 48 cases was outward bulging of the cortex on opening in 67%, infalling in 30%, and level in 3% (Fig. 4). At the tumor bed there was an outward shift in 72%, infalling in 23%, and no shift in 5%. At completion the direction of cortex shift was bulging in 26%, infalling in 69%, and level in 5%. Tumor groups again showed marked differences in the pattern of direction of shifts at each stage. Thus, in Group I the same pattern was exaggerated, with bulging in 73% of cases at opening, bulging in 100% at the deepest tumor margin, and infalling of the cortex in 82% at completion. In Groups II and III the pattern was similar to that for all 48 cases together, and in Group IV, with the smallest recorded shifts, infalling of the cortex at opening was seen in the majority, minimal bulging at depth, and slight infalling at completion.

**Correlations Between Variables**

Analysis of preoperative image characteristics and brain shift measurements revealed a number of correlations that had statistical significance. For all 48 cases to-
together, shift of the cortex on opening was negatively correlated with distance of the lesion below the skin surface \( (r = 0.41, p = 0.012) \) and was positively correlated with the presence of edema \( (p = 0.013) \). Shift of the deepest tumor margin was positively correlated with lesion volume \( (r = 0.51, p = 0.001) \), midline shift \( (r = 0.52, p = 0.001) \), and the presence of edema \( (p = 0.035) \). When each group was analyzed individually, further correlations were revealed. In Group I, shift of the cortex at completion was positively correlated with tumor volume \( (r = 0.61, p = 0.046) \) and midline shift \( (r = 0.64, p = 0.045) \) and negatively correlated with distance below the skin surface \( (r = -0.69, p = 0.018) \). In Group II, shift of the cortex on opening was positively correlated with midline shift \( (r = 0.53, p = 0.043) \) and negatively correlated with distance below the skin surface \( (r = -0.55, p = 0.033) \), whereas shift at depth was positively correlated with midline shift \( (r = 0.56, p = 0.024) \) and tumor volume \( (r = 0.68, p = 0.004) \). In Group III, shift of the cortex on opening and shift at depth showed a strong positive correlation with tumor volume \( (r = 0.8, p = 0.017) \) and \( r = 0.94, p = 0.002, \) respectively), and shift of the cortex at completion was positively correlated with midline shift \( (r = 0.8, p = 0.017) \). Additionally, when the relationships between each of the shift parameters were examined, shift of cortex on opening was found to be positively correlated with shift at the deepest tumor margin \( (r = 0.5, p = 0.006) \) for all 48 cases and for individual tumor groups (Fig. 5).
Postimaging brain distortion measurements

Group I

The patients in this group displayed relatively consistent group-specific patterns of brain distortion that can be exploited. Thus, shift of the cortex on opening was modest whereas shift at depth and cortex at completion were significantly greater than in other groups. The direction of shift also followed a consistent pattern in meningiomas, with bulging on opening, bulging at the deepest tumor margin, and infalling at completion in the majority of cases. The implication for image guidance is that during meningioma surgery, flap positioning and tumor margin delineation can be relied on, but the deep tumor margin will be elevated toward the surgeon and encountered sooner than indicated on the image-guidance system. However, it should be noted that in this group the deep tumor margin represents compressed cortical tissue and that our method of measuring tumor bed shift after removal may overestimate the shift during resection, when the bulging of normal brain is inhibited by the presence of residual tumor.

Group II

Gliomas exemplify the desirability of accurate image guidance at depth when tumor margins are poorly demarcated, maximum removal is desired, and eloquent brain areas abut the lesion. Fortunately, the pattern of shifts revealed during glioma surgery by this study complement the role of neuronavigation. Thus, shift of the deepest tumor margin was significantly less in gliomas than that seen in meningiomas and was less than the shift of cortex on opening and at completion within Group II. In addition, correlations were identified between the preoperative image measures of tumor volume and midline shift and the magnitude of shift at depth. The implication for neuronavigation in glioma surgery is that image-guided resection is feasible and reliable but should be used with caution when preoperative images reveal a particularly large mass with marked deviation of the midline.

Group III

These lesions were significantly smaller, produced significantly less midline shift, and provoked less edema than those of other groups. Therefore, it is not surprising that the shift at depth in this group was significantly less than in the other groups. However, despite both the small mean size and the low associated shifts, there was a strong correlation between tumor volume and shift at depth. The implication is that postimaging distortion is slight, is smallest at depth, and that image guidance should be highly reliable. In addition, reduced accuracy may be predicted if the lesion is unusually large on the preoperative MR image.

Group IV

These lesions are remarkable for the low incidence of edema and the small magnitude of each shift (both significantly less than in all other groups). These factors predict good reliability for neuronavigation in the treatment of skull base lesions.

General Implications for Neuronavigation

The magnitude of brain shifts recorded in this study are not perceived to negate the value of image guidance in the majority of cases, and the predictive factors identified here should allow cases accompanied by above-average shift to be identified preoperatively. Naturally, care must be taken in extrapolating such data to other patient populations. For example, in our institution it is not a routine practice to administer mannitol, operations are not generally longer than 2 to 3 hours in duration, and many tumors are of a considerable size. We hope that these findings will stimulate further investigations into the nature of postimaging brain distortion and assist in the appropriate application and design of intraoperative image–updating methods.

Conclusions

We regard these findings as robust evidence for the value and reliability of neuronavigation. We conclude that measurable brain shift occurs during open cranial surgery, that guidance of resection of intrinsic lesions is possible, and that above-average shifts can be predicted from preoperative images. Image guidance should be used with caution at the deep margin of superficial extrinsic tumors. We suggest that additional work based on further quantitative studies is needed to identify means to control or correct for these shifts in individual cases and to improve the usefulness of neuronavigation further.
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