Arteriovenous malformation and glioma: coexistent or sequential?

Case report
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A 9-year-old girl was evaluated for behavioral changes and seizures. Initial computerized tomography and cerebral angiography revealed a left cerebral vascular mass, diagnosed as an arteriovenous malformation. An embolization procedure was attempted but was terminated before completion because the patient developed a right hemiparesis. Her right-sided neurological deficit subsequently increased with enlargement of the mass lesion. On follow-up cerebral angiography approximately 2 years later the vascular malformation was no longer demonstrated. Biopsy of the mass lesion revealed it to be an anaplastic astrocytoma. This case is reported with a review of the literature on the coexistence of a brain tumor and a vascular malformation, the difficulties in diagnosis, and possible etiologies.
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RARELY is there confusion in differentiating a brain tumor with abnormal vasculature from an arteriovenous malformation (AVM) of the brain. This report describes a 9-year-old girl in whom a left cerebral mass lesion, initially diagnosed and treated as an AVM, was subsequently proved from biopsy examination to be an astrocytoma. This case raises several questions as to the possible etiology: that is, the coexistence of two separate lesions, the evolution of one lesion into the other, a single “multipotential” lesion, or misdiagnosis.

Case Report

This 9-year-old Caucasian girl started to tire easily and her face occasionally became “beet red” beginning in September, 1983. In November, 1983, she developed a seizure. A lumbar puncture showed clear colorless cerebrospinal fluid (CSF). A computerized tomography (CT) brain scan on November 15, 1983, showed a contrast-enhancing lesion in the left centrosylvian region, with draining veins into the vein of Galen and straight sinus (Fig. 1). There was a large low-density area in the left middle fossa consistent with CSF, believed to be a dilated trapped temporal horn of the left lateral ventricle. There was a slight midline shift from left to right. Bilateral cerebral and left vertebral angiography (Fig. 2) performed on November 16 revealed vascular malformation with some mass effect in the left centrosylvian region, being fed primarily by the left anterior choroidal artery, left lenticulostriate branches of the insular loops of the left middle cerebral artery, left medial and lateral choroidal artery, branches of the left posterior cerebral artery, and (to a lesser extent) the left thalmaperforating artery. Primary drainage was into the vein of Galen and straight sinus. This constellation of findings was considered compatible with an AVM of the left cerebrum. Anticonvulsant medication was initiated.

In January, 1984, follow-up CT revealed a slightly increased left-to-right shift of the midline, but the appearance was otherwise essentially similar to the changes seen in November, 1983. The patient was referred for embolization of the AVM. The procedure was terminated when, during two test balloon inflations, she developed a right hemiparesis.

In June, 1984, a right visual field defect was demonstrated. In July, repeat CT without contrast enhancement showed enlargement of the left cerebral lesion,
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FIG. 1. Computerized tomography scan with contrast enhancement obtained on November 15, 1983. A contrast-enhancing lesion is seen in the left cerebrum. There is a mild left-to-right midline shift.

increased left-to-right shift, and ventricular enlargement. The patient's right hemiparesis increased. There was no hemiatrophy. The patient was referred for follow-up examination and, on December 12, 1984, repeat cerebral and posterior fossa angiography revealed a decrease in the vascular component of the mass lesion, occlusion of some feeding vessels, and an increase in the left temporal mass effect. At this time the possibility that the mass lesion was an evolving primary brain tumor was considered. The patient underwent a left ventriculoperitoneal shunt procedure with placement of the ventricular end of the shunt system into the fluid-filled cavity considered to be a trapped temporal horn of the left lateral ventricle. The fluid was xanthochromic, and cytological examination of the fluid specimen revealed no evidence of abnormal cells. After shunt placement, the patient's neurological status transiently improved. A follow-up CT scan showed decompression of the fluid-filled cavity in the left temporal lobe and decreased midline shift without a change in

FIG. 2. Left carotid angiogram, lateral view (left), and left vertebral angiogram, lateral view (right), obtained on November 16, 1983. An arteriovenous malformation is evident in the left cerebrum.

FIG. 3. Left carotid angiogram, lateral view arterial phase, obtained on September 10, 1985. The previously seen arteriovenous malformation is not visualized on this or on vertebral angiography.
the left cerebral mass lesion; however, serial follow-up CT scans demonstrated progressive enlargement of the left cerebral mass lesion.

In August, 1985, it had become apparent to the parents that the patient's condition was deteriorating, primarily manifested by withdrawal from activities and apathetic mood. By September, her speech had become more hesitant and on occasion she would stop speaking in the middle of a sentence. Left carotid and left vertebral angiography on September 10 showed hypovascularity of the mass. The previously demonstrated abnormal vascular pattern was not present (Fig. 3). A post-angiography CT scan delineated the left cerebral mass lesion (Fig. 4).

On September 12, 1985, the patient underwent a left temporal craniotomy with subtotal resection of the mass. Multiple fine vessels traversed the tumor, but no AVM was seen within the operative field. Histological examination disclosed foci of mild to moderate astrocytic proliferation, irregular dilated sinusoidal vascular channels, and an increased number of small arterioles and veins. Parenchyma adjacent to blood vessels was sparsely cellular with abundant Rosenthal fibers and hemosiderin pigment. Other areas had astrocytic proliferation and pleomorphism (Fig. 5).

Six months later (in March, 1986) the patient underwent a second subtotal resection when her condition acutely deteriorated following a probable seizure. A CT scan at that time evidenced further enlargement of the tumor. The histological diagnosis was increased cellularity, nuclear pleomorphism, and vascular proliferation consistent with anaplastic astrocytoma.

Discussion

Review of Literature

Coexistence of a vascular malformation and an intracerebral brain tumor is an infrequent occurrence. Review of the literature discloses 13 previously reported cases (Table 1). In 1958, White, et al. 2 in their review of intracranial vascular tumors found at necropsy, included a patient with a left temporal lobe cavernous hemangioma and an astrocytoma of the septum pellucidum. Fine, et al. 4 in 1960 described a 15-year-old boy with a right parietal AVM and an intraventricular oligodendroglioma. They believed that the coexistence of the two lesions in the same patient was fortuitous. In 1966, Welcher and Seidel 9 described a patient with two discrete lesions in the same hemisphere: an AVM...
**TABLE 1**

*Summary of 14 reported cases of coexisting vascular malformation and intracerebral brain tumor*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Appearance of Lesions</th>
<th>Clinical Features</th>
<th>Radiography</th>
<th>Surgical Findings</th>
<th>Autopsy Done</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heffner, et al., 1971</td>
<td>M = rt frontal meninges, T = rt frontal temporal</td>
<td>Sep, Si</td>
<td>17, M</td>
<td>8 yrs</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>bilat carotid, angio</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowell, et al., 1975</td>
<td>M &amp; T = rt temporal</td>
<td>Cb, Si</td>
<td>17, M</td>
<td>3 mos</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>rt carotid angio</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuccarello, et al., 1979</td>
<td>M &amp; T = lt temporal</td>
<td>Cb, ?</td>
<td>50, M</td>
<td>5 mos</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>lt carotid angio</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer, et al., 1982</td>
<td>M &amp; T = lt frontal</td>
<td>Cb, Seq†</td>
<td>11, M</td>
<td>5 yrs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>carotid angio, brain scan, CT</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M &amp; T = rt temporo-occipital</td>
<td>Cb, Si</td>
<td>19, F</td>
<td>several mos</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>rt carotid, angio, CT</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chee, et al., 1985</td>
<td>M &amp; T = rt frontal</td>
<td>Cb, Si</td>
<td>25, M</td>
<td>12 yrs</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>CT with contrast</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Lage, et al., 1986</td>
<td>M = rt parietal T = rt frontal horn</td>
<td>Sep, Si</td>
<td>Age (yrs), Sex</td>
<td>43, M</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>carotid &amp; vert angio, CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M = lt occipital T = lt temporal</td>
<td>Sep, Si</td>
<td>60, F</td>
<td>2 mos</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodkin, et al., 1990</td>
<td>M &amp; T = lt parietotemporal</td>
<td>Cb, Seq</td>
<td>9, F</td>
<td>2 yrs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>carotids &amp; vert angio, CT</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*M = malformation; T = tumor; Sep = separate; Cb = combined; Si = simultaneous; Seq = sequential; SAH = subarachnoid hemorrhage; vgram = ventriculogram; angio = angiogram; abn. = abnormal; cav = cavernous; CT = computerized tomography; vert = vertebral artery; AVM = arteriovenous malformation.† Same site, different time.
and an astrocytoma. The brain tumor, discovered at necropsy, had not been suspected during life. They considered their report of coexisting lesions to be the first, apparently unaware of the reports of Fine, et al., or White, et al. In 1965, Raynor and Kingman14 reported a patient with a hemangioblastoma and a vascular malformation occurring as one lesion. These authors credit themselves with presenting the first case of two intermixed lesions within the same mass, as well as the demonstration of an increase in size and vascularity of the lesion. In 1971, Heffner, et al.,9 reported a patient whose initial radiographic study suggested “vascular glioma;” however, surgery disclosed a nonvascular astrocytoma and a “typical AVM.” Crowell, et al.,2 described a patient who presented with subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) and whose angiogram showed an avascular mass; an oligodendroglioma and AVM were disclosed at the same site. Zuccarello, et al.,21 reported the case of a patient with an intermixed AVM and malignant astrocytoma. They suggested that the association of a tumor and vascular malformation represented a definite entity and not a coexistence of individual lesions. In 1982, Fischer, et al.,12 described two patients with glial neoplasms and cavernous hemangiomas. In one of these, the lesions were combined and coexistent; in the other, an oligodendroglioma apparently subsequently grew at the same site as the hemangioma. Chee, et al.,1 reported a case of coexisting oligodendroglioma and angioma in which the CT scan had not shown the angioma. An interesting case is that of Martinez-Lage, et al.,12 in which the SAH is believed to have originated from the intraventricular oligodendroglioma rather than the parietal AVM. Licata, et al.,11 reported two patients with central nervous system (CNS) parenchymal tumors and AVM's; one had coexistent lesions while the other patient's tumor appeared subsequently at the site of the former angioma.

Of these 14 cases, including ours, the vast majority (11 patients) had simultaneous occurrence or coexistence of the two lesions, whereas three apparently showed subsequent development of a tumor at the same site as the previously diagnosed vascular malformation (Table 2). Among the 11 simultaneously occurring lesions, six were coexistent but separate within the same hemisphere,5,11,12,19 ipsilateral ventricle,5 or septum pel- lucidum20 and five were coexistent but combined or intermixed.18 In the remaining three patients, tumors were found at varying periods of time after the initially diagnosed vascular lesion: 5 years,5 30 months,11 and 22 months (our case).

A separate group of combined or coexistent neural tumors and vascular malformations is that of peripheral nervous system neurilemomas and angiomas reviewed in 1979 by Kasanikul and Netsky.9 They described eight cases of their own in addition to five culled from the literature and proposed that a common embryological origin from ectomesenchyme could explain their simultaneous occurrence. We have not included this group in our tabulation, since we are concerned with intracranial CNS parenchymal tumors and associated vascular lesions.

Other features include an age range at occurrence from 11 to 25 years in patients with oligodendrogliomas and vascular malformations, with the only exception being a 43-year-old man. In cases in which the patient's sex was known, the majority were male: all five cases of oligodendroglioma and four of five cases of astrocytoma.

Etiology and Diagnosis

The question raised by the present case report is that of the possible occurrence of two different CNS lesions, either simultaneously or sequentially within the same patient, or the existence throughout the clinical course of only one lesion. Difficulties encountered in pathological and radiological interpretations can thwart a clear resolution of the issue. The gross and histological features of brain tumors (gliomas) and vascular malformations have many similarities. In 1937, Tönnis18 first described arteriovenous shunting and abnormal blood vessels observed at surgery for a glioblastoma multiforme. Since then, other vascular changes within malignant gliomas have been well described13 and include: increased small blood vessels, mainly capillaries, excessively convoluted masses of capillaries ("glomeruloids"); sinusoidal channels; and prominent endothelial and adventitial proliferation affecting all sizes of vessels. Tortuous ectatic vessels, aneurysmal dilations, and arteriovenous anastomoses with early draining veins as well as thrombosed vessels in varying stages of organization and recanalization may be seen in both tumors.
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### TABLE 3
Radiographic diagnoses in 12 cases*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>X-Ray Findings</th>
<th>Brain Scan Findings</th>
<th>Ventriculographic Findings</th>
<th>Angiographic Findings</th>
<th>CT Scan Findings</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine, et al., 1960</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>intraventricular mass</td>
<td>rt AVM; hydrocephalus; no mass seen</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>angio. missed tumor, ventriculogram missed AVM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raynor &amp; Kingman, 1965</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>posterior fossa midline mass</td>
<td>carotid &amp; vertebral art studies, initially normal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>studies did not diagnose vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heftner, et al., 1971</td>
<td>eroded sella depressed rt orbital plate</td>
<td>low rt frontal abnormality</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>carotid arts indicated rt frontal mass with abnormal vessels &amp; early venous filling</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>initial angio. diagnosed “vascular” glioma; abnormal tumor vascular pattern suggested AVM + tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowell, et al., 1975</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>rt temporal abnormality</td>
<td>rt temporal lobe cyst in communication with ventricle</td>
<td>rt carotid art indicated large, avascular, intratemporal mass</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no suggestion of vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zuccarello, et al., 1979</td>
<td>normal</td>
<td>lt temporal abnormal uptake</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>lt carotid art indicated temporal mass with tortuous vessels &amp; arteriovenous fistula</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>angio. did diagnose vascular malformation, but not tumor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fischer, et al., 1982</td>
<td>lt frontal Ca++</td>
<td>lt frontal abnormality</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>carotid art study normal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no suggestion of vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Lage, et al., 1986</td>
<td>no Ca++</td>
<td>no intracranial hypertension</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>rt carotid art study; rt parietal AVM; hydrocephalus; no abnormal vascular stain at site; lt carotid &amp; vertebral art normal</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no suggestion of vascular malformation on CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licata, et al., 1986</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>rt carotid study; rt rolandic AVM; 2½ yrs later: rt parietal mass with abnormal vascularity: tumor</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>angio. missed mass; CT missed vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chee, et al., 1985</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>rt carotid art indicated stretched vessels; no pathologic vessels or tumor blush</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no suggestion of vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Lage, et al., 1986</td>
<td>no Ca++</td>
<td>no intracranial hypertension</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>rt parietal mass with Ca++; mass effect; slight enhancement</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no suggestion of vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Lage, et al., 1986</td>
<td>no Ca++</td>
<td>no intracranial hypertension</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>rt parietal mass with peripheral enhancement; diagnosis: abscess</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no suggestion of vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez-Lage, et al., 1986</td>
<td>no Ca++</td>
<td>no intracranial hypertension</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>rt parietal mass with peripheral enhancement; diagnosis: abscess</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>no suggestion of vascular malformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodkin, et al., 1990</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>bilat carotid &amp; lt vertebral art study; lt centrosylvian AVM 2 yrs later; hypervascular mass without abnormal vascularity</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>initial studies diagnosed AVM; subsequent studies: disappearance of AVM, increasing size of hypervascular mass in same site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* AVM = arteriovenous malformation; CT = computerized tomography; angio. = angiogram; — = not done. Vascular malformation not initially diagnosed: a) by angio in five of 11 cases with angiography done; b) by CT in five of seven cases with CT done.
and vascular malformations. The AVM, particularly, can exhibit any or all of these features, in addition to abnormally structured arteries and veins.

Radiographic vascular changes of tumors can overlap with those of vascular malformations, causing diagnostic confusion. The presence of abnormal afferent and efferent blood vessels and arteriovenous shunting of blood, in the absence of mass effect and edema, usually leads to the radiographic diagnosis of an AVM. Difficulty may arise in differentiating an AVM which was ruptured with hematoma formation from a tumor with mass effect. Kumar, et al., reviewed 60 cases of clinically ruptured AVM's, in which high-resolution CT demonstrated local or remote mass effect in 33 patients. There are similarities between the CT scan appearance of their cases, as illustrated in their Figs. 1 and 3, and the CT scan findings in our case. Vascular malformations may simulate tumors, showing high density on noncontrast CT but not appearing on angiography (so-called "cryptic" angiomas, now more readily appreciated by a characteristic magnetic resonance (MR) image appearance). By contrast, a recent report by Rigamonti, et al., describes a patient with a right frontal venous malformation diagnosed by angiography, CT, and MR imaging who 1 year later developed a glioblastoma in the same site. They suggested that the initial studies did not exclude the possibility that the venous malformation represented a rather prominent venous drainage of the subsequently diagnosed glioblastoma.

Multiple etiologies for the association of vascular malformations and tumors within the same patient have been proposed. These include: fortuitous association; genetic predisposition; a distinct entity, possibly of viral origin, with biological potential to evolve along different lines; a secondary or reactive neoplastic glial change to a previously existing vascular malformation; and the influence of a tumor angiogenic factor. Another theory was that of Russell and Rubinstein, who rejected the concept of two different lesions, diagnosing instead either "hypervascularized tumor or undue glial reaction." Since concomitant histopathological sampling and radiographic studies throughout the duration of these lesions have not been performed in any of these cases, these proposals remain speculative.

Of the cases reviewed, a vascular malformation was not initially diagnosed by angiography in five of 11 cases (5,9,14) or with CT in the other five (Table 3). In a case cited by Hefnner, et al., the angiographic pattern of abnormal vasculature associated with a frontal mass was considered to be a "vascular glioma." In the two cases of Fischer, et al., the initial angiograms were normal in one and showed mass effect but no "pathologic vessels, early draining veins, or tumor blush" in the other. In the six cases in which the vascular malformation was diagnosed by angiography, a separate mass lesion was suspected in only two: by ventriculogram in one and by CT in the other. In the present case, the angiographically abnormal vessels, initially felt to represent an AVM, regressed and disappeared over a period of 22 months. Within the same period a progressively enlarging hypovascular mass developed at the same site. Two biopsies with subtotal resection, obtained 6 months apart, confirmed a diagnosis of anaplastic astrocytoma without evidence of a vascular malformation. It is the our contention that this case represents an AVM in which a malignant (anaplastic) astrocytoma grew, replacing the AVM.

We realize that this case may just as well represent a single lesion (anaplastic astrocytoma) in which the vascular pattern initially simulated the angiographic appearance of an AVM. It is suggested that future research may include, among other goals, the search for a tumor angiogenetic factor in resected vascular malformations in the hope of defining a possible relationship between the two lesions.
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