Significance of fluctuations in serum sodium levels following aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: an exploratory analysis
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OBJECTIVE Fluctuations in patient serum sodium levels are common after aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (aSAH), but their effect on patient outcome is not well described in the literature. The goal of this work was to better characterize the relationship between fluctuations in serum sodium levels, outcome, and the development of delayed cerebral ischemia (DCI) after aSAH.

METHODS The authors performed a post hoc analysis of data from the Clazosentan to Overcome Neurological Ischemia and Infarction Occurring After Subarachnoid Hemorrhage (CONSCIOUS-1) trial. Patients had their serum sodium values recorded daily for 14 days post-aSAH. Average and average absolute daily differences in sodium levels were calculated for each patient based on 3 reference points: admission sodium levels, a normal sodium level (defined as 140 mmol/L), and the previous day’s sodium level. These variables were also calculated for the classic “vasospasm window” (days 3–12) post-aSAH. A stepwise logistic regression model, locally weighted scatterplot smoothing curves, and receiver operator characteristic curve analysis were used to evaluate the relationship between alterations in serum sodium levels and clinical outcome or the development of DCI after aSAH. Poor outcome was defined as a modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score of > 2 at 3 months.

RESULTS The average daily difference in sodium values from baseline (p < 0.001), average daily difference from a normal sodium level (p < 0.001), average absolute daily difference from a normal sodium level (p = 0.015), and average absolute daily difference from the previous day’s sodium level (p = 0.017) were significant predictors of poor outcome in a stepwise multivariate regression model. There was a trend toward significance for average absolute daily difference from admission sodium levels during the vasospasm window as an independent predictor of DCI (p = 0.052). There was no difference in the predictive capacity for DCI when sodium fluctuations from post-aSAH days 1–14 were compared with those from the classic vasospasm window (days 3–12).

CONCLUSIONS Fluctuations in serum sodium levels may play a role in clinical outcome and the development of DCI after aSAH. The timing of these fluctuations appears to have no significant effect on the development of DCI.
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Aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage (aSAH) is a devastating neurological condition with a worldwide incidence of approximately 600,000 cases per year.5 Outcome after aSAH is dependent on early factors such as patient age, the patient’s neurological condition on presentation, and the amount of blood visible on the initial CT scan.13,18 It is also dependent on complications throughout the course of hospital stay, such as aneurysm rebleeding and the development of delayed cerebral ischemia (DCI).7,8,22

Deviations in serum sodium levels are common after aSAH, and dysnatremia has been reported in more than half of patients in select studies.11,15,21 Nonetheless, the relationship between these sodium alterations and outcome
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after aSAH remains unclear. Although guidelines have been developed to address the issue of hyponatremia in patients who experience aSAH, there are relatively few studies that have described a relationship between hyponatremia and poor outcome. Conversely, a recent systematic review by Mapa et al. noted that hyponatremia might be associated with certain poor outcomes, such as DCI and duration of hospital stay, but it did not find evidence to suggest that it affected mortality. Still, it did note that there was a need for stronger evidence in the form of larger prospective studies. Other work has suggested that it is not hyponatremia, but fluctuations in serum sodium or the development of hypernatremia that is detrimental to patient outcome.1,2

The current study aimed to assess whether the development of dysnatremia affected the outcome of patients enrolled in the Clazosentan to Overcome Neurological Ischemia and Infarction Occurring After Subarachnoid Hemorrhage (CONSCIOUS-1) trial.3 We also sought to assess whether these fluctuations were predictive of DCI or whether the timing of sodium deviations had any impact on the risk of developing DCI.

Methods

Patient Population

This study was a post hoc analysis of the 413 patients enrolled in the CONSCIOUS-1 trial (clinical trial registration no.: NCT00111085 [clinicaltrials.gov]) from January 2005 to March 2006. The methods and results for this trial have been previously published. This project was approved by the institutional review board at St. Michael’s Hospital (Toronto, Ontario).

Data

Patients had their serum sodium levels checked daily from hospital admission to post-aSAH day 14. Average daily differences from admission sodium levels, normal sodium levels (defined as 140 mmol/L), and the previous day’s sodium levels were calculated for every patient. The average absolute daily differences from the same reference points were also calculated. Lastly, these variables were also computed for the classic “vasospasm window,” which was defined as post-aSAH days 3–12.25

The primary outcome measure was poor patient outcome, which was defined as a modified Rankin Scale (mRS) score of greater than 2 at 3 months.26 Secondary outcome was the development of DCI. This was defined as a decrease of 2 points on the Glasgow Coma Scale or an increase of 2 points on the abbreviated National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score that lasted for at least 2 hours and was associated with angiographic vasospasm. DCI was also defined by a new infarction on neuroimaging not attributable to any other cause, or, for patients unable to tolerate a neurological examination, clinical signs of DCI (new neurological deficit or unexplained fever) in association with angiographic vasospasm.9

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics, including clinical status and imaging variables, of the study group were compared using univariate logistic regression to determine their effect on patient outcome and the development of DCI. World Federation of Neurosurgical Societies (WFNS) grades were dichotomized as good (I–III) and poor (IV and V). Hypertonic saline and mannitol administration were analyzed as dichotomous variables, while the Fisher scale was analyzed as a categorical variable.

A stepwise multivariate regression model was created to assess for independent predictors of poor outcome and DCI. All characteristics with p < 0.10 on univariate analysis were included in the multivariate models (Table 1). To avoid collinearity, the multivariate analysis was repeated for each measure of sodium fluctuation that met criteria for inclusion into the model. Locally weighted scatter-plot smoothing (LOWESS) curves were used to visualize whether the aforementioned measures of sodium fluctuation affected patient outcome or the development of DCI. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis was used to assess for differences in the predictive capacity for DCI between absolute average daily change in sodium values during the classic vasospasm window (post-aSAH days 3–12) and days 1–14 post-aSAH.

The significance level was set at p < 0.05. Statistical analysis was carried out using Stata software (version 15.1, StataCorp).

Results

Of the 413 patients in the CONSCIOUS-1 data set, 130 (31%) had a poor outcome (mRS score > 2), and 78 (19%) developed DCI. Baseline characteristics of the study cohort and the results of univariate logistic regression are outlined in Table 1. Poor WFNS grades (IV or V) (OR 5.21, 95% CI 3.22–8.42), age (OR 1.03, 95% CI 1.01–1.05), female sex (OR 1.78, 95% CI 1.10–2.90), a history of hypertension (OR 2.27, 95% CI 1.47–3.47), mannitol use (OR 2.48, 95% CI 1.62–3.80), and the development of DCI (OR 5.69, 95% CI 3.66–9.64) were significantly associated with poor outcome on univariate analysis. Fisher grade 2 aSAH on initial head CT scanning was protective against poor outcome (OR 0.40, 95% CI 0.22–0.73). There was a trend toward poor outcome for patients who had higher baseline sodium values on admission, but this did not reach statistical significance (OR 1.05, 95% CI 1.00–1.10). Hypertonic saline administration and a diagnosis of diabetes or hyperglycemia also met inclusion criteria for the multivariate model.

Univariate regression results of all sodium fluctuation measures tested are outlined in Table 2. Average daily difference from admission sodium levels (OR 1.09, 95% CI 1.04–1.14), average absolute daily difference from admission sodium levels (OR 1.13, 95% CI 1.05–1.22), average daily difference from a normal sodium level (OR 1.18, 95% CI 1.12–1.25), average absolute daily difference from a normal sodium level (OR 1.19, 95% CI 1.10–1.30), and average absolute daily difference from the previous day’s sodium level (OR 1.29, 95% CI 1.10–1.50) were found to be associated with poor outcome.

In a multivariate model combining all of the variables with p < 0.10 on univariate testing, the following sodium fluctuation measures were found to be independent pre-
dictors of poor outcome: average daily difference in sodium values from baseline (OR 1.13, 95% CI 1.06–1.20), average daily difference from a normal sodium level (OR 1.17, 95% CI 1.08–1.26), average absolute daily difference from a normal sodium level (OR 1.14, 95% CI 1.03–1.26), and average absolute daily difference from the previous day’s sodium level (OR 1.24, 95% CI 1.04–1.48) (Table 2).

LOWESS curves demonstrated an increased proportion of patients who had a poor outcome with increasing average daily differences from baseline sodium values (Fig. 1).

No measures of sodium fluctuation were found to be independent predictors of DCI on multivariate analysis after accounting for poor WFNS grade (IV or V), initial Fisher grade, hypertonic saline use, and mannitol use. However, there was a strong trend toward significance for average absolute daily difference from baseline during the vasospasm window (p = 0.052). LOWESS curves showed that a greater proportion of patients suffered DCI as sodium values moved in both the positive and negative directions from baseline values (Fig. 2). There was no significant difference in the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for absolute average daily change in sodium levels from baseline during the classic vasospasm window (days 3–12) compared with post-aSAH days 1–14 (0.605 vs 0.607, p = 0.86).

Discussion

Effect of Sodium Fluctuations on Outcome After aSAH

Our analysis demonstrated that fluctuations in serum sodium values may play a role in both outcome and development of DCI in patients who have experienced aSAH. LOWESS curves suggested that an increased proportion of patients have poor outcome and DCI with increasing average daily differences from baseline sodium values (Fig. 1).

No measures of sodium fluctuation were found to be independent predictors of DCI on multivariate analysis after accounting for poor WFNS grade (IV or V), initial Fisher grade, hypertonic saline use, and mannitol use. However, there was a strong trend toward significance for average absolute daily difference from baseline during the vasospasm window (p = 0.052). LOWESS curves showed that a greater proportion of patients suffered DCI as sodium values moved in both the positive and negative directions from baseline values (Fig. 2). There was no significant difference in the area under the receiver operating characteristic curve for absolute average daily change in sodium levels from baseline during the classic vasospasm window (days 3–12) compared with post-aSAH days 1–14 (0.605 vs 0.607, p = 0.86).

Classically, hyponatremia after aSAH is thought to be due to one of 2 principal causes: syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone (SIADH) and syndrome of inappropriately decreased renin activity (SIRA). SIADH is typically seen in patients with severe head injury who are being treated with hypertonic saline and mannitol, while SIRA is more commonly associated with aSAH. However, the mechanisms by which these factors contribute to hyponatremia are not well understood. Since hyponatremia is associated with poor outcome and DCI, it is possible that fluctuations in serum sodium levels may be an important contributor to these outcomes.

**TABLE 1. Baseline characteristics of the study cohort**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total (n = 413)</th>
<th>mRS Score ≤2 (n = 283)</th>
<th>mRS Score &gt;2 (n = 130)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI, p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, yrs (SD)*</td>
<td>50.99 (10.77)</td>
<td>50.08 (10.57)</td>
<td>52.97 (10.99)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.01–1.05, 0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex*</td>
<td>292 (71%)</td>
<td>190 (65%)</td>
<td>102 (35%)</td>
<td>1.78 (1.10–2.90, 0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of hypertension*</td>
<td>172 (42%)</td>
<td>100 (58%)</td>
<td>72 (42%)</td>
<td>2.27 (1.49–3.47, &lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history*</td>
<td>217 (53%)</td>
<td>155 (71%)</td>
<td>62 (29%)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.55–1.29, 0.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor WFNS grade (IV or V)*</td>
<td>100 (24%)</td>
<td>40 (40%)</td>
<td>60 (60%)</td>
<td>5.21 (3.22–8.42, &lt;0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCI*</td>
<td>78 (19%)</td>
<td>28 (36%)</td>
<td>50 (64%)</td>
<td>5.69 (3.36–9.64, &lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisher grade*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4 (1%)</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>85 (21%)</td>
<td>69 (81%)</td>
<td>16 (19%)</td>
<td>0.40 (0.22–0.73, 0.003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>35 (8%)</td>
<td>27 (77%)</td>
<td>8 (23%)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.22–1.17, 0.113)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>284 (69%)</td>
<td>180 (63%)</td>
<td>104 (37%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given hypertonic saline*</td>
<td>53 (13%)</td>
<td>31 (58%)</td>
<td>22 (42%)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.92–2.99, 0.094)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Given mannitol*</td>
<td>191 (46%)</td>
<td>111 (58%)</td>
<td>80 (42%)</td>
<td>2.48 (1.62–3.80, &lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes or hyperglycemia*</td>
<td>53 (13%)</td>
<td>31 (58%)</td>
<td>22 (42%)</td>
<td>1.66 (0.92–2.99, 0.094)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean initial serum sodium value, mmol/L (SD)*</td>
<td>139.6 (4.16)</td>
<td>139.3 (3.95)</td>
<td>140.2 (4.54)</td>
<td>1.05 (1.00–1.10, 0.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA = not assessed due to perfect prediction or collinearity. * Variable included in multivariate model.

**TABLE 2. Effect of sodium fluctuation measures on outcome after aSAH**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sodium Fluctuation Measure</th>
<th>Univariate OR (95% CI, p value)</th>
<th>Multivariate OR (95% CI, p value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average daily difference from admission sodium level</td>
<td>1.09 (1.04–1.14, &lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.13 (1.06–1.20, &lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average absolute daily difference from admission sodium level</td>
<td>1.13 (1.05–1.22, 0.001)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.00–1.07, 0.062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily difference from normal sodium level (140 mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.18 (1.12–1.25, &lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.17 (1.08–1.26, &lt;0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average absolute daily difference from normal sodium level (140 mmol/L)</td>
<td>1.19 (1.10–1.30, &lt;0.001)</td>
<td>1.14 (1.03–1.26, 0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average daily difference from previous day’s sodium level</td>
<td>1.22 (0.83–1.80, 0.303)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average absolute daily difference from previous day’s sodium level</td>
<td>1.29 (1.10–1.50, 0.002)</td>
<td>1.24 (1.04–1.48, 0.017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

— did not meet criteria for multivariate model.
appropriate secretion of antidiuretic hormone and cerebral salt wasting. Hypernatremia following aSAH is also common and has been associated with poor prognosis in previous studies. However, in their article published in 2006, Wartenberg et al. correctly pointed out that hypernatremia following aSAH is likely a result of hypertonic therapy for the treatment of intracranial hypertension, thus confounding the impact of hypernatremia on outcome. Nonetheless, 1 study found that the presence of early hypernatremia (within 56 hours of ictus) was correlated with poor WFNS grade and poor outcome at 12 months, indicating that hypernatremia may be related to early brain injury following aSAH. Our work found a similar trend, but increasing admission sodium values did not quite reach statistical significance as a univariate predictor of poor outcome after aSAH.

The effect of hyponatremia on outcome after aSAH remains unclear. Many studies found no relationship between low serum sodium and outcome. A recent systematic review found conflicting evidence for the effect of hyponatremia on outcome but did note that there was an association between hyponatremia and duration of ICU stay and DCI. Our work suggests that sodium fluctuations are a significant predictor of a poor outcome. However, our graphic analysis suggests that, while decreasing serum sodium values may play a role in the development of DCI after aSAH, the proportion of patients who have a poor outcome decreased as sodium levels trended in the negative direction.

Hyponatremia after aSAH has been associated with poor clinical grade on admission and clot volume. As such, it may be that the severity of the bleed in aSAH impairs the pituitary gland’s ability to regulate sodium homeostasis, leading to the development of dysnatremia. Our work relied heavily on the use of graphic analysis to tease out the effect of sodium fluctuations on clinical outcome, particularly decreases in serum sodium. Our reason for this was, as mentioned previously, hypernatremia after aSAH is commonly induced by hypertonic therapy to treat patients with intracranial hypertension, and these patients are more likely to have a poor outcome, regardless of their sodium level.

Fluctuations in serum sodium have previously been associated with poor outcome in surgical ICU patients and in aSAH. However, these studies considered only absolute deviation in serum sodium and did not demonstrate whether the direction of sodium fluctuations impacted outcomes. Furthermore, the paper by Bales et al. considered only patient outcome at discharge, as opposed to clinical outcome at 3 months, a time when patients have had a chance to undergo more recovery and rehabilitation. We feel that our paper expands upon these works, as it demonstrates the association between both increasing serum sodium values and poor outcome at 3 months, with the inclusion of hypertonic therapy in our multivariate model. However, it is notable that decreasing serum sodium values were not associated with poor clinical outcome in our study.

**Effect of Sodium Fluctuations on Risk of DCI After aSAH**

The association between sodium values and DCI is more clearly established. The literature contains several studies that have shown that hyponatremia is associated with an increased risk of DCI. Our graphic analysis suggests that there is an increased risk of DCI when sodium levels fluctuate in both the positive and negative directions from baseline values on admission. However, multivariate analysis did not show sodium fluctuations to be an independent predictor of DCI, although there was a strong trend toward significance for average absolute daily difference from baseline during the vasospasm window. Interestingly, only one of the studies evaluated the timing of dysnatremia on the development of DCI. While that work showed that late-onset (defined as post-SAH day 3) hyponatremia was more predictive of DCI, our data did not support this conclusion.

There are a few strengths and limitations to our study...
that deserve mention. First, our data were prospectively collected. All patients were part of a large randomized control trial, and the data set for the CONSCIOUS-1 trial was heavily audited. Furthermore, our outcome data were collected at 3 months, as opposed to hospital discharge, thus giving a better picture of the patients’ long-term level of function. In terms of limitations, our study was an exploratory analysis, and the data were not collected for the purposes of comparing serum sodium values. As mentioned previously, the effect of hypertonic therapy for the treatment of intracranial hypertension remains unclear and may significantly confound the results for patients with hyponatremia. Although we were able to evaluate which patients received hypertonic saline, the exact timing and dose of hypertonic therapy was not readily available. Furthermore, the goal serum sodium for the CONSCIOUS-1 trial was not accessible for our purposes.

Future work should attempt to better characterize the effect of serum sodium changes in aSAH patients, while better accounting for those who receive hypertonic saline therapy for elevated intracranial pressure. A prospective trial, created for the sole purpose of evaluating the effect of dysnatremia on outcome and DCI in aSAH patients, would also be worth pursuing.

Conclusions

In our retrospective analysis of aSAH patients enrolled in a clinical trial, we found that positive serum sodium fluctuations were associated with poor outcome. Graphically, there is an increased risk of DCI with negative and positive serum sodium deviations. Sodium fluctuations within the classic vasospasm period do not appear to confer an increased risk of DCI compared with sodium fluctuations during the entire 14-day period. More work is needed to elucidate the relationship between hypertonic therapy, sodium fluctuations, and how the combination of these factors affect patient outcome following aSAH.
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