Therapeutic strategy for pineal parenchymal tumor of intermediate differentiation (PPTID): case report of PPTID with malignant transformation to pineocytoma with leptomeningeal dissemination 6 years after surgery
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Pineal parenchymal tumor of intermediate differentiation (PPTID) is rare. The WHO first classified PPTID in 2000 as a pineal parenchymal tumor (PPT) with an intermediate prognosis between pineocytoma (PC) and pineoblastoma (PB). It is considered an intermediate-grade tumor and divided into WHO grade II or III.

The number of available reports about PPTID is presently limited, and the appropriate management for this tumor has not yet been determined.

The authors report a rare case of PC in a 63-year-old woman who presented with lower-extremity weakness and gait disturbance. A pineal mass lesion was detected on MRI. A diagnosis of PC was established after microsurgical gross-total tumor resection, and the patient received no adjuvant therapy after surgery. Two years after surgery, a partial recurrence was recognized and Gamma Knife radiosurgery was performed. Four years later, the patient developed diffuse leptomeningeal dissemination. She was successfully treated with craniospinal irradiation. Leptomeningeal dissemination may develop 6 years after the initial diagnosis of PC. A histopathological study of the recurrent tumor revealed a malignant change from PC to PPTID.

The present case shows the importance of long-term follow-up of patients with PPTs following resection and the efficacy of craniospinal irradiation in the treatment of leptomeningeal dissemination.
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Although there is no sex predominance, the incidence of PPTID is somewhat more common among women, teenagers, and middle-aged patients. The reported 5-year survival rates of these tumors are from 86% to 100% for PC, 74% for WHO grade II PPTID, and 39% for WHO grade III PPTID.

In this study, we report the rare case of a patient with CSF dissemination 6 years after she underwent resection of the PC. Moreover, histopathological examination of the recurrent tumor revealed a malignant change to PPTID.

Case Report

Presentation

In February 2009, a 63-year-old woman presented with bilateral lower-extremity weakness and gait disturbance. She had no visual field complaints. Her pupils were bilaterally equal and round, and they exhibited a normal reaction to light. Her upward gaze was slightly abnormal, demonstrating evidence of Parinaud's syndrome. There were no significant findings in the patient's own history or family history. Blood tests including any tumor markers were also not significant. CT and MRI revealed a pineal mass with heterogeneous enhancement and partial calcification, causing hydrocephalus (Fig. 1). Leptomeningeal dissemination was not identified on whole-spine MRI. Digital subtraction angiography demonstrated no abnormal vessels or tumor stain.

Operation and Diagnosis

A craniotomy and microsurgical gross-total tumor resection via a right occipital transtentorial approach were performed. The histopathological examination demonstrated a primary pineal tumor with uniform cells, round-shaped nuclei, and focal clusters of cells consistent with pineocytomatous rosettes. Immunohistochemical examination revealed positive expression of synaptophysin, chromogranin, and neural cell adhesion molecule (NCAM). The MIB-1 index was 2% (Fig. 2). The final pathological diagnosis was PC. After surgery, no residual tumor was identified on Gd-enhanced MRI. Moreover, all her symptoms and hydrocephalus dramatically improved.

Tumor Recurrence

Gd-enhanced MRI was performed every 6 months following surgery to identify signs of tumor regrowth and recurrence. In April 2011, recurrence of the pineal lesion was recognized. PC was thought to be less likely to under-
Leptomeningeal Dissemination

In December 2014, almost 6 years after the initial surgery, the patient returned to our hospital complaining of bilateral lower-extremity weakness and dizziness for the previous several months; progressive low-back pain for the past 1 month; and headache, nausea, poor appetite, and fatigue increasing over the previous several weeks.

Whole-brain and whole-spine MRI revealed multiple widespread leptomeningeal enhanced masses involving the cervical and lumbar spine, as well as the lateral ventricle, perimesencephalic cistern, interpeduncular cistern, and basal cistern (Fig. 4).

Diagnosis and Treatment

Pathological studies of CSF revealed no abnormal cells. Blood and CSF studies revealed no evidence of bacterial infection, such as Cryptococcus, syphilis, tuberculosis, or others. Chest and abdominal CT revealed no evidence of a systemic malignant tumor.

To determine the pathology of the multiple tumors, an endoscopic biopsy of the mass in the left lateral ventricle was performed (Fig. 5). Histopathological studies of the tumor specimen revealed no evidence of a WHO grade IV PB, but the findings were consistent with moderate cellularity, mild to moderate atypical nuclei, and low mitosis (< 6/10 hpf). Necrosis and endothelial proliferation were absent. Immunohistochemical examination revealed positive expression of synaptophysin and negative expression of chromogranin A, CD3, CD5, CD10, and CD20, and the MIB-1 index was 8% (Fig. 6). The final pathological diagnosis was PPTID.
The patient underwent craniospinal irradiation (total dose 30.6 Gy in 1.8-Gy fractions) to the whole brain and spine. Chemotherapy was also administered (3 courses of ICE therapy [ifosfamide 900 mg/m², cisplatin 20 mg/m², and etoposide 60 mg/m²]).

Follow-Up

By March 2015, Gd-enhanced MRI demonstrated the disappearance of all leptomeningeal enhanced masses. The patient’s symptoms had almost completely resolved except for her general fatigue.

Two years after craniospinal irradiation with ICE therapy, she remained tumor free. Additionally, no neurological deficits or any late neurological side effects due to radiation necrosis and edema were present.

Discussion

PPTID is a newly defined CNS tumor. It was first identified by Schild et al. in 1993 and classified by the WHO in 2000 as a PPT with an intermediate prognosis between PC and PB. It is regarded as an intermediate-grade tumor and can be subdivided into WHO grade II or III. PPTID may account for up to approximately 20% PPTs, and PC and PB each account for approximately 40% of PPTs.

Although there is no sex predominance in particular, the incidence is somewhat more common among females, teens, and middle-aged patients. The reported 5-year survival rates of these tumors are from 86% to 100% for PC, 74% for WHO grade II PPTID, and 39% for WHO grade III PPTID.

The optimal treatment for PPTID has not yet been determined since it is a relatively new tumor entity and limited published data are currently available. Furthermore, there have been few publications on PC associated with leptomeningeal dissemination. As such, details about the spread, time course, and optical treatment of diffuse leptomeningeal progression are largely unknown.

Pathology

PCs (WHO grade I) are well differentiated with small, uniform, mature cells that virtually lack mitoses with innumerable, large pineocytomatous rosettes. PBs (WHO grade IV) are highly cellular tumors with frequent mitoses, irregular nuclei, and large nucleus-to-cytoplasmic ratios, forming patternless sheets, with necrosis, and rarely forming rosettes.

PPTIDs (WHO grades II and III) are histologically an intermediate between PC and PB, and generally have

FIG. 5. Endoscopy showed multiple disseminated lesions at the left frontal horn of the lateral ventricle, and a biopsy was performed. Figure is available in color online only.

moderate cellularity, mild to moderate atypical nuclei, and low to moderate mitoses. In contrast to PBs, necrosis and endothelial proliferation are absent.

Sato and Kubota reported that the appearance of necrosis, rate of mitosis, and immunohistochemical expression of neurofilament protein are used to classify PPTIDs as WHO grade II or III.24 Jouvet et al. reported that a WHO grade II tumor has < 6 mitoses and is strongly immunopositive for neurofilaments, while a WHO grade III tumor would have > 6 mitoses or < 6 mitoses without strong immunostaining for neurofilaments.15

PPTIDs have positive expression of synaptophysin, neurofilament, chromogranin A, and renal S antigen immunohistochemically. The MIB-1 proliferation index ranges from 3% to 10%, which is significantly higher in PBs.29

Treatment of PPTID

Radiotherapy

The optimal treatment for PPTID has not yet been determined. PPTID is considered a relatively new tumor and limited reported data are available. It is regarded as a PPT with an intermediate prognosis between PC and PB.

PCs are generally treated with resection. Total or subtotal resection leads to a favorable outcome without adjuvant treatment.5,6 Conversely, PBs should be treated with resection and adjuvant treatment containing chemotherapy and craniospinal radiation therapy. More aggressive treatments, such as high-dose myeloablative chemotherapy with stem cell transplant, may be required to treat PBs.8

Some reports indicate that PPTID can be treated with surgery alone because PPTID is prognostically similar to PC and shows long-term survival. Other studies have described PPTIDs as tumors with CSF dissemination and recommend postoperative adjuvant therapy similar to that used with PBs, since PPTID genomically resembles PB and is a radiosensitive tumor.1,23

Importantly, SRS is an invaluable therapy for patients with PCs. Hasegawa et al. analyzed the cases of 16 patients who had received SRS as the primary or adjuvant treatment for PPT (10 cases of PC, 2 cases of mixed PC-PB, and 4 cases of PB). The maximum radiation dose varied from 24 to 40 Gy (mean 30.5 Gy), and the margin dose varied from 12 to 20 Gy (mean 15.3 Gy). In 14 patients who underwent follow-up imaging, 4 (29%) showed complete remission, 8 (57%) showed partial remission, 2 (14%) showed no change, and no patient had local dissemination.12 The 2-year and 5-year actuarial overall survival rates were 75.0% and 66.7%, respectively. Compared to conventional radiotherapy, SRS can reduce the radiation dose to surrounding normal brain tissue and augment the radiobiological effect on the specified tumor volume. Therefore, SRS may have a lower risk of additional long-term cognitive morbidity. When local dissemination occurs after SRS, however, additional craniospinal radiation therapy and chemotherapy are required.

In spite of various reports emphasizing the efficacy of irradiation, the extent of irradiation in patients with PPTID has not been determined, and efficacy of craniospinal and whole-ventricular irradiation for patients with PPTID remains a matter of debate. Ito et al. reported 5 cases of PPTID in which the patients exhibited a complete response to radiotherapy.14

Based on a clinicopathological study of 76 patients with pineal tumors, Fauchon et al. found that irradiation had no clear influence on patient survival.9 Stoiber et al. reported that local irradiation is effective in patients with PC and PPTID.28 Das et al. emphasized that irradiation was necessary for patients with PPTID if complete resection was extremely difficult. Also, craniospinal irradiation would be a logically acceptable approach for patients with leptomeningeal dissemination.7

Schild et al. reported on 30 patients with pineal tumors and found a relationship between the radiation dose and survival time in patients with PPT.25 They reported that patients receiving a higher dose (> 50 Gy) of radiation had a significantly improved 3-year survival rate compared with those receiving a lower dose (94% vs 56%, respectively). Finally, Das et al. demonstrated a favorable outcome in patients with PPTID who received a 54-Gy dose of radiation.7

Chemotherapy

Chemotherapy for PPTID also remains a matter of debate. There is currently no standard systemic chemotherapy regimen for PPTID patients. Various combinations of chemotherapy for PPTID patients have been reported: vincristine (0.6 mg/m²), nimustine (60 mg/m²), carboplatin (110 mg/m²), and interferon β (3 × 10⁶ IU),29 or combination with cisplatin, ifosfamide, etoposide, vinblastine, and methotrexate, such as ICE therapy (isofamide + carboplatin + etoposide) or PCV therapy (procarbazine + lomustine + vincristine).16,17

Some molecular-targeted agents showed probable benefit for PPTID patients with a mutation of epidermal growth factor receptor (in-frame deletion of exons 2–7).17 PPTID patients may get some benefit from a combination of chemotherapy and molecular-targeted therapy in the near future.

Side Effects of Radiotherapy or Chemotherapy

Late adverse effects after radiotherapy or chemotherapy are also considerable problems. Craniospinal irradiation combined with systemic chemotherapy increases the risk of long-term side effects, including severe cognitive impairment 4–6 years after treatment.30 Chemotherapy enhances neurocognitive impairment due to injury to neural progenitor cells or neural stem cells.11,18 Some molecular-targeted agents including epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors and some proteasome inhibitors are also more neurotoxic.2 Moreover, radiation therapy may increase chemotherapeutic neurocognitive toxicity by causing blood-brain barrier damage.3 Damage to the blood-brain barrier will likely increase the neurotoxic effect on the brain caused by chemotherapy.

Previous studies have reported an association between radiation dose and injury to neural stem cells and the temporal lobes. Modifying the route of radiation delivery may mitigate the cognitive neurotoxicity of radiation therapy. For example, avoiding radiation dose to the hippocampus during radiation therapy lowers the incidence of long-term cognitive damage in the cases of brain metastases.22
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Watanabe et al. reported on 5 PPTID patients who received both craniospinal and whole-ventricular irradiation. After treatment, damage to the cerebral white matter was revealed in MRI findings that corresponded to neurocognitive impairment. Taking into consideration the long survival time of PPTID patients and the high frequency of the late neurotoxic side effects of irradiation, optimizing the irradiated area and the use of chemotherapy after surgery are important factors. 10

Some chemotherapeutic agents can contribute to neurocognitive toxicity due to variable sensitivity of normal neural progenitor cells. 11 A combination of epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors and some proteasome inhibitors has been reported to have a potential risk of neurotoxicity compared to conventional chemotherapeutic agents. 11

The development of radiation therapy and chemotherapy has contributed to the long-term survival of PPTID patients, even after recurrence. As such, combining systemic chemotherapy and craniospinal and whole-ventricular irradiation should be carefully considered to decrease severe late adverse effects.

Leptomeningeal Dissemination

Few reports are available regarding PC associated with leptomeningeal progression. Furthermore, details about the extent, time course, and treatment of diffuse widespread leptomeningeal dissemination of PC are largely unknown. 12,13,26 Lutterbach et al. emphasized that survival time is strongly associated with CSF dissemination in adult PPT patients. Craniospinal control was found to be more effective in patients with PPTID. 20,21

Stoiber et al. reported that local radiation therapy is successful in patients with PC and PPTID. 28 Das et al. emphasized that radiation therapy was necessary for all cases of PPTID if complete resection was not achieved. Also, craniospinal irradiation would be a useful treatment for PC patients with leptomeningeal dissemination. 7

Gomez et al. reported a patient in whom PC was diagnosed by biopsy and who was treated with local irradiation. Ten years after treatment, the patient showed craniospinal CSF dissemination, and histological examination of the recurrent tumor revealed a pathologically malignant change (MIB-1 index 10%–15%), and a diagnosis of PPTID was established. This patient received successful additional treatment with local and craniospinal irradiation and was without recurrence after 2 years of treatment. 10

The efficacy of craniospinal and whole-ventricular irradiation in patients with PPTID has yet to be determined. However, Schild et al. investigated the important role of irradiation for patients with PPT in their analysis of 30 patients with pineal tumors and 105 with other germ cell tumors. 25 They demonstrated a relationship between the radiation dose and long survival time in patients with PPT: the patients who received > 50 Gy of radiation had a significantly higher 3-year survival rate than those who received lower doses of irradiation (94% vs 56%, respectively; p = 0.03).

Conclusions

We reported a rare case of a PC patient with CSF dissemination 6 years after resection; histopathological study of the recurrent tumor revealed a malignant change to PPTID. Our case demonstrates the importance of long-term follow-up of patients with PPT after resection and the efficacy of craniospinal irradiation in the treatment of leptomeningeal dissemination.
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