Prospective, randomized, blinded, and placebo-controlled study of Cerebrolysin dose-response effects on long-term functional outcomes in a rat model of mild traumatic brain injury
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OBJECTIVE  Cerebrolysin is a neuropeptide preparation that mimics the properties of neurotrophic factors and has had beneficial effects in the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases, stroke, and traumatic brain injury (TBI). To further evaluate treatment schemes, the authors assessed the dose-response of Cerebrolysin on functional improvement in a rat model of mild TBI (mTBI).

METHODS  This dose-response study was a prospective, randomized, blinded, and placebo-controlled preclinical experiment. Male Wistar adult rats, subjected to mTBI induced by a closed head impact, were treated randomly with 0 (saline as placebo), 0.8, 2.5, or 7.5 ml/kg of Cerebrolysin 4 hours after mTBI and daily for a total of 10 consecutive days. A battery of cognitive and sensorimotor functional tests was performed over 90 days.

RESULTS  The primary outcome was functional improvement over the 90 days; animal weight and death were the secondary and safety outcomes, respectively. A significant (p < 0.001) dose effect of Cerebrolysin on cognitive recovery 3 months after injury was found. Cerebrolysin at a dose of ≥0.8 ml/kg significantly (p < 0.001) improved cognitive outcome. The higher dose (7.5 ml/kg) resulted in significantly better cognitive recovery than the lowest doses (0.8 ml/kg) but not relative to the 2.5-ml/kg dose. Cerebrolysin at a dose of 2.5 or 7.5 ml/kg also caused different onset times of significant improvement in sensorimotor function. No differences in body weight or mortality rate among the groups were found.

CONCLUSIONS  This preclinical randomized, placebo-controlled, and blinded study with a clinically relevant treatment scheme revealed that Cerebrolysin at doses of 0.8–7.5 ml/kg, administered 4 hours after mTBI and then once daily for a total of 10 consecutive days, improved functional outcomes 3 months after injury. A dose of 2.5 ml/kg is likely an optimal dose for the treatment of experimental mTBI.
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TRAUMATIC  brain injury (TBI) is one of the leading causes of death and morbidity globally. The majority of more than 1.7 million TBIs in the United States each year are diagnosed as mild TBI (mTBI).13 Some people with mTBI have a measurable cognitive deficit 1 year later,45 even though no obvious brain tissue damage can be detected.19,52 No effective treatment for TBI exists because all Phase II/III TBI clinical trials have failed.28,35 Clinical trials for TBI involve mainly moderate to severe injury.36 No effective, standard pharmacological treatment currently exists specifically for cognitive symptoms of patients with mTBI. Considering the high incidence of mTBI and associated cognitive deficits,14 the development of effective treatments for mTBI is an unmet medical need.

Cerebrolysin (EVER Pharma) is a low-molecular-weight neuropeptide preparation obtained through standardized enzymatic proteolysis of brain proteins and exhibits neuroprotective and neurotrophic properties similar
to those that occur naturally in neurotrophic growth factors.\(^\text{36}\) In previous studies, it significantly decreased the levels of tau phosphorylation by regulating kinase activity in a mouse Alzheimer disease model with amyloid precursor protein overexpression\(^\text{54}\) and improved functional outcome by increasing neurogenesis in a rat stroke model.\(^\text{58}\) Cerebrolysin improved functional recovery in a rat stab-wound TBI model by reducing brain edema when it was administered 5–60 minutes after injury.\(^\text{49}\) Recent clinical trials on stroke, TBI, and Alzheimer disease found that Cerebrolysin is safe and beneficial.\(^\text{1,6,42,43}\) Cerebrolysin falls into the category of drugs that have been approved in countries outside of the United States mainly for treatment of cognitive impairment in dementia, stroke, and TBI and mainly in Europe, Asia, and South America. Cerebrolysin administration in patients with severe disability after TBI is associated with a decreased mortality rate and improved favorable outcome (i.e., 3- and 6-month Glasgow Outcome Scale—Extended scores).\(^\text{31}\) Cerebrolysin improved the cognitive function, especially long-term memory, of patients with mTBI 3 months after injury in a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study.\(^\text{8}\) Therefore, Cerebrolysin might be a promising therapy for TBI.

Cerebrolysin is used currently outside the United States for the treatment of human TBI. However, the optimal dosing strategy in the context of different severities of TBI needs further evaluation. The current choice of the clinical dose for Cerebrolysin in patients with mTBI might be suboptimal. Among many other factors, suboptimal dosing has been considered a possible factor in the negative Phase III clinical trial results of treatments for TBI that include progesterone.\(^\text{27}\) Therefore, to advance our knowledge of Cerebrolysin administration for the treatment of TBI with the intention to optimize protocols for future clinical studies, we designed a dose-response study in rats subjected to mTBI. To maximize translational power, we followed current guidelines on the design and reporting of experimental animal studies\(^\text{32}\) for this prospective, randomized, blinded, and placebo-controlled preclinical trial. Considering the high incidence of mTBI and the lack of effective treatments, we used a Marmarou impact-acceleration rat model of diffuse TBI\(^\text{17,40}\) to induce clinically relevant mild closed head injury and to determine the dose-response of Cerebrolysin on long-term cognitive and sensorimotor functional recovery.

Methods

All experimental procedures were carried out in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Henry Ford Hospital.

Design and Oversight

This dose-response study was a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled, and double-blinded end-point study. Adult Wistar rats subjected to mTBI were assigned randomly to receive 1 of 4 Cerebrolysin doses (0, 0.8, 2.5, or 7.5 ml/kg) initiated 4 hours after injury and continued daily for a total of 10 consecutive days. The doses of Cerebrolysin were selected based on our previous studies in rats with experimental stroke\(^\text{59}\) or mTBI.\(^\text{60}\) The study design, surgeries, preparation of study medication, treatment, data collection, and analysis were each performed by different and independent members of the Henry Ford Hospital. Persons who performed experiments or outcome assessments were blinded to the treatment. EVER Pharma GmbH supplied Cerebrolysin, opaque syringes, and a grant to support study infrastructure but was not involved in conducting the study, data collection, or data analysis.

Study Animals and mTBI Model

In our experiments, we used 3- to 4-month-old male Wistar rats (Charles River Breeding Company) with a mean (± SD) weight of 417 ± 30 g (range 326–471 g). After 1 week of quarantine and acclimation, the rats were anesthetized initially with 4% isoflurane and maintained throughout the surgical period with 1.0%–1.5% isoflurane in 70% N\(_2\)O and 30% O\(_2\) via a nose mask. Their rectal temperature was maintained at a mean of 37°C ± 0.5°C (range 36.1°C–37.6°C) during the surgery. The surgeries were performed and mTBIs inflicted in accordance with the procedure described in detail in our previous study report.\(^\text{60}\) In brief, a 2-cm midline incision was made using a scalpel, and the skull was exposed. To prevent skull fracture, a small stainless steel helmet-disk was placed on the rodent’s skull while the animal was supported by a foam bed. Closed head injury was induced by dropping a cylindrical column of segmented brass (450 g) through a Plexiglas tube from a distance (1 m) onto the disk fixed to the skull vault of the animal. The incision was closed with sterile 4-0 sutures. Rats that died on impact and those with a skull fracture were excluded from the study.

To assess acute injury effects, apnea and times to toe-pinch, tail-pinch, and self-righting reflexes were all monitored immediately after each injury. The self-righting reflex represents the time the animal takes to right itself from a supine position to a prone position with all 4 paws against a table surface. A delay in self-righting reflex reflects transient unconsciousness.\(^\text{29}\) The loss of self-righting reflex in animals after TBI is considered analogous to loss of consciousness in humans after TBI and can be considered a behavioral indicator of injury severity.\(^\text{14,22}\)

Study Design and Treatment Regimen

Animals were assigned randomly in a 1:1:1:1 allocation to receive saline or Cerebrolysin at a dose of 0, 0.8, 2.5, or 7.5 ml/kg (equivalent to human doses of 0, 0.13, 0.42, and 1.25 ml/kg, respectively) via intraperitoneal injection.\(^\text{55}\) Randomization schema were generated using nQuery 3.0. The randomization was based on a mixed block size. Study medication was prepared by a designated investigator (who was not involved in any other part of this study), according to animal body weight, 1 day before treatment based on the randomization scheme (n = 12 per group, as planned). All experimental drugs were prepared in opaque syringes at a constant volume of 3 ml (adjusted with saline) and administered at scheduled injection times. The first treatment was administered 4 hours after the mTBI and repeated once daily for a total of 10 consecutive days. Laboratory
personnel who inflicted the mTBI and performed drug injections and outcome assessment were blinded to the treatment for each animal. The animals were monitored closely for any adverse events after they were enrolled.

Animals were assigned randomly into 1 of 5 groups: the sham-surgery (age-matched control) group or 1 of 4 treatment groups, which received a Cerebrolysin dose of 0 (vehicle), 0.8, 2.5, or 7.5 ml/kg. Cerebrolysin was administered intraperitoneally to the rats daily for 10 days, starting 4 hours after the mTBI. Injured animals treated with a 0-ml/kg dose of Cerebrolysin (saline) were used as a treatment control group. An age-matched control sham group (that is, with surgery but without injury and treatment) was included as the reference group. Acute neurological assessments (i.e., toe-pinch, tail-pinch, and self-righting reflexes) were monitored before study treatment, immediately after injury (i.e., on days 86–90) (for details, see Supplemental Information).

Study Outcomes

We used the following 2 primary end points: 1) cognitive function, which was measured by the MWM, social interaction, and NOR tests, and 2) sensorimotor function, which was measured by the modified neurological severity score (mNSS) and the foot-fault and adhesive-removal tests. The secondary end points are cognitive recovery or sensorimotor functional recovery at various time points, before 3 months after mTBI. A TBI worsening, death, and weight change were used as safety end points.

Adhesive Patch–Removal Test

Two pieces of adhesive-backed paper (113.1 mm²) were used as bilateral tactile stimuli occupying the distal-radial region on the wrist of each forelimb. Each animal underwent 3 trials per testing day, and the mean time (in seconds) required to remove the stimuli from each forelimb was recorded. The average of the mean times for both forelimbs was used for statistical analysis.

Foot-Fault Test

Each rat was tested for placement dysfunction of the forelimbs with the modified foot-fault test. The rat was placed on a horizontal grid. A foot fault was noted when a paw fell through an opening in the grid floor. The total number of steps (movement of each paw) that the rat used to cross the grid and the total number of foot faults (falls or slips between the wires) for the paw were recorded. Data are presented as percentages of paw foot faults.

mNSS

The mNSS is a composite of motor, sensory, reflex, and balance test scores. Neurological function was graded on a scale of 0 (normal) to 18 (maximal deficit), as previously described.

MWM Test

The modified MWM test was used to assess spatial learning function, as previously described. This test was performed daily for 5 days on all rats 3 months after injury (i.e., on days 86–90) (for details, see Supplemental Information).

NOR Test

The NOR task is a well-characterized behavioral measure of hippocampally based working nonspatial visual recognition memory in rodents. It can be completed in a short time so the animals do not feel stressed, and it can assess recognition memory after only 1 trial, which is an advantage over other methods. This test was performed on all rats 1 and 3 months after injury (for details, see Supplemental Information).

Three-Chamber Social Interaction Test

This test is used to assess memory for interactions with novel conspecifics. Rats tend to spend more time interacting with a novel rat versus one they have encountered previously. The 3-chamber test can help identify rodents with deficits in sociability and/or social novelty. A decreased duration and/or number of contacts can be associated with depressive and/or anxiety-like behaviors, which are common after TBI, especially after mTBI or with post-
A total of 60 animals were included in the study, and they were assigned randomly into 1 of 5 groups, including a sham-surgery group and 4 groups of rats with mTBI and treated with 0 (saline), 0.8, 2.5, or 7.5 ml/kg of Cerebrolysin; 12 animals were included in each group. No skull fractures or apneas were observed immediately after impact. No animal died after the mTBI was inflicted or during the 3-month study period.

### Acute Neurological Assessments at Baseline (after injury)

Results of the combined mTBI groups (n = 48) were compared with those of the sham-surgery group (n = 12). We observed significant deficits in all 3 acute outcomes in the mTBI groups compared with the sham-surgery group (toe-pinch reflex, p < 0.001; self-righting reflex, p < 0.001; tail-pinch reflex, p = 0.039). The mean (SE) times for each group are presented in Table 2.

### Injury-Severity Balance at Baseline

No difference in acute neurological responses was detected at baseline among the mTBI groups (Table 2). p values for the toe-pinch, tail-pinch, and self-righting reflexes were 0.614, 0.929, and 0.451, respectively, among the 4 groups of rats after mTBI. The lack of significant differences in these acute neurological indices suggests that each rat in the mTBI groups experienced an injury of equivalent severity before study treatment.

### Primary End Points: Dose-Response Effect on Functional Recovery at the Month 3 Cognitive End Point

The overall dose effect on cognitive recovery 3 months after mTBI, analyzed by the global test, was significant (p < 0.0001). Animals treated with Cerebrolysin 4 hours after mTBI at a dose of 0.8, 2.5, or 7.5 ml/kg showed significant improvement in cognitive outcomes compared with those of the control group. The 7.5-ml/kg dose resulted in significantly better outcomes than the 0.8-ml/kg dose. We found no significant difference between the 2.5- and 7.5-ml/kg doses in their effects on cognitive recovery. Results of the subgroup analysis after the global test indicated that Cerebrolysin at doses of 0.8, 2.5, or 7.5 ml/kg had significant effects on cognitive function test results compared with those of the control group 3 months after injury. For the MWM test, we observed no difference in swim speeds among all the groups (p = 0.48) (Fig. 1A), which indicates that mTBI did not affect the swim speed of injured rats and that the swim speed did not contribute to spatial learning and memory deficits in those rats. Compared with the control group, rats in the Cerebrolysin treatment group (at all 3 doses) spent increased time in the correct quadrant (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1B) and took a significantly reduced time (latency) to reach the hidden platform in the MWM (p < 0.05) (Fig. 1C). For the NOR test, significantly more time was spent exploring the novel object than the familiar object by rats with mTBI treated with Cerebrolysin at any of the 3 doses 1 and 3 months after injury than by the control group (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2A and B). Similarly, for social in-
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teraction measured by the 3-chamber test, mTBI caused social interaction deficit 1 and 3 months after injury. Significantly more time was spent exploring the novel rat than the familiar rat by rats with mTBI treated with Cerebrolysin at any of the 3 doses 1 and 3 months after injury than by the control group (p < 0.05) (Fig. 3A and B).

Sensorimotor Functional Outcomes

Different doses of Cerebrolysin resulted in diverse timings of onset of significant neurological improvement. On day 1 after mTBI, the overall dose effect was marginal (p = 0.05381), and the 2.5-ml/kg dose had a significant effect (p = 0.00594). The subgroup analysis found that rats in the 2.5-ml/kg dose group experienced a significantly reduced frequency of foot-fault occurrences as measured by the foot-fault test compared with that in the control and the group that received a 0.8-ml/kg dose (Fig. 4A). On day 7 after mTBI, the overall dose effect was significant (p = 0.02165). Rats in the 2.5-ml/kg dose group showed a significant effect compared with the control (p = 0.02386) and 0.8-ml/kg dose (p = 0.02003) groups. Specifically, Cerebrolysin at a dose of 2.5 ml/kg significantly reduced the frequency of foot-fault occurrences and the time for removing adhesive from the forelimbs (p < 0.05) (Fig. 4B). On day 14, no dose effect was observed. On day 21, the overall dose effect was significant (p = 0.00396). Rats in the 2.5-ml/kg group showed a significant effect compared with the control rats (p = 0.04484) and those that received the 0.8-ml/kg dose (p = 0.00037). One month after injury, the dose effect was not significant (p = 0.24558). Two months after injury, rats that received the 2.5-ml/kg dose had a significantly reduced time for adhesive removal compared with control rats (p = 0.00789) and those that received the 0.8-ml/kg dose (p = 0.01965), and the 7.5-ml/kg dose significantly reduced the adhesive-removal time (p = 0.04455) and had a marginal effect on reducing the mNSS (p = 0.05020) over that of no treatment (control group). Three months after injury, the rats that received the 7.5-ml/kg dose had a significantly reduced adhesive-removal time compared with that of the controls (p = 0.00506). Overall, Cerebrolysin at a dose of 2.5 or 7.5 ml/kg significantly reduced the adhesive-removal time over the 90 days, and the 2.5-ml/kg dose significantly reduced the frequency of foot-fault occurrences at early time.
points (1 and 7 days after injury). Cerebrolysin at both the 2.5- and 7.5-ml/kg doses had a marginal effect on reducing the mNSS (Fig. 4C).

Safety
In general, all the animals gained weight over time (significant time effect) (Fig. 5). However, we found no dose-by-time interaction (p = 0.29) or effect on weight. The animals in each group gained weight over time (p < 0.01). No other adverse effects of Cerebrolysin (i.e., death, seizures, dehydration, etc.), even at the highest dose (7.5 ml/kg), were observed during the 90-day study, which is consistent with the safety profile for this agent in animals and humans. In this study, no deaths occurred. Weight gain was monitored as the only major safety index, in addition to multiple functional tests.

Discussion
Study Outcomes
In this prospective, randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled preclinical study, we explored the efficacy and safety of Cerebrolysin in the treatment of rats with mTBI.

Acute neurological responses were comparable among all the mTBI groups at baseline (immediately after mTBI), which indicates that all rats in the mTBI groups sustained an equivalent brain injury before therapeutic treatment. The rats with mTBI showed functional deficits at baseline and 3 months after mTBI compared with rats in the sham-surgery group. We found no effects of Cerebrolysin on mortality or safety parameters (animal body weight), which shows that the administration of Cerebrolysin doses up to 7.5 ml/kg was safe in animals with mTBI. A dose of 2.5 ml/kg is likely optimal for the treatment of experimental rats with mTBI. Additional studies are warranted to assess whether more severe forms of TBI require altered dosing schemes.

The results of this study indicate a trend in the treatment effect of Cerebrolysin to gradually increase with dose; 7.5 ml/kg is better than 0.8 ml/kg. The highest dose we used was 7.5 ml/kg, which is approximately equivalent to 90 ml in humans; this dose has not been clinically tested in humans with TBI. Cerebrolysin at 0.8 ml/kg initiated 4 hours after mTBI significantly improved cognitive outcomes, and when administered at a higher dose (2.5 or 7.5 ml/kg), it was also associated with faster recovery. A significant dif-

FIG. 3. Effects of Cerebrolysin on social interaction measured by the 3-chamber test 1 (A) and 3 (B) months after mTBI. Control rats spent significantly more time exploring the novel rat than the familiar rat. Rats with mTBI treated with vehicle spent equivalent times exploring the novel rat and the familiar rat 1 and 3 months after injury. Cerebrolysin treatment significantly improved social interaction compared with saline treatment 1 (A) and 3 (B) months after mTBI. *p < 0.05 versus vehicle. Data represent means (± SD); n = 12 rats per group. Figure is available in color online only.

FIG. 4. Effects of Cerebrolysin on sensorimotor functional outcomes measured by the foot-fault (A) and adhesive-removal (B) tests and the mNSS (C). A: Cerebrolysin treatment at 2.5 ml/kg significantly reduced the frequency of foot faults from day 1 to 7 after mTBI compared with vehicle treatment. B: Cerebrolysin treatment at doses of 2.5 and 7.5 ml/kg resulted in significantly reduced adhesive-removal times compared with vehicle treatment. C: Cerebrolysin did not significantly lower the mNSSs compared with vehicle treatment. *p < 0.05 versus vehicle. Data represent means (± SD); n = 12 rats per group. Figure is available in color online only.
ference between the 0.8- and 7.5-ml/kg doses was found in sensorimotor functional test results at some time points. However, the difference in cognitive outcomes of the rats in the 2.5- and 7.5-ml/kg dose groups did not reach statistical significance. The 2.5-ml/kg dose is equivalent to the dose for humans (0.4 ml/kg, 30-ml infusion per day for humans with a 70- to 75-kg body weight) commonly used to treat TBI.42 and stroke.43 In our study, the 0.8-ml/kg dose (approximately equivalent to 10 ml/day in an adult human) initiated 4 hours after injury in the rats also showed significant efficacy compared with saline treatment. Cerebrolysin administration (10-ml dosage started 1 month after injury and then once daily for a total of 30 days) is associated with functional recovery in patients with severe disability after TBI.31

Study Strengths

More than 30 clinical TBI trials for neuroprotection have failed to yield a therapeutic agent for clinical use.23,44 Many reasons for these failures have been proposed and reviewed.23,44 In addition to the tremendous heterogeneity of the population of humans with TBI and some limitations of animal TBI models, most of the preclinical trials for testing a potential TBI therapy in animal models have not adopted the gold standard required for clinical randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and often lack crucial features, such as randomization and masking.

Our study on the dose-response of Cerebrolysin in a rat model of mTBI was designed to take advantage of important clinical features of RCTs; therefore, our study was prospective, blinded, randomized, and placebo controlled. RCTs provide the highest level of evidence because they are designed to be unbiased and introduce less risk of systematic errors. This preclinical study was intended to find an optimal dose, and our results extend those in earlier reports by addressing the efficacy and dose-response effects of Cerebrolysin in a rat mTBI model.60 Furthermore, the combined 90-day end point reduced the potential for overinterpretation of the observed effect sizes. To eliminate any bias in animal selection and outcome measurement, we considered inclusion/exclusion criteria, sample-size calculation, randomization of animal treatment, predefined end points, and reporting of data analyses and data evaluations by a statistician who was independent of the research group and not involved in the animal work. The study medications (saline and Cerebrolysin) were prepared in opaque syringes at constant volumes by an independent investigator to ensure that the personnel involved in the experimental work were blinded to the treatment and dose. The global test on a battery of cognitive and sensorimotor functional outcomes was performed under careful statistical modeling and proper data transformation. The global test on multiple outcomes is more efficient than that on a single outcome when treatment effects are consistent, as previously shown in TBI and stroke research.37

Unlike patients with mTBI with a heterogeneous injury nature and population, our animal model of mTBI produced a relatively homogeneous type of brain injury in terms of persistent histological changes and cognitive deficits up to 3 months in the rats after injury. This model is very suitable for evaluating the efficacy of a treatment.39,57

Study Limitations

In this study, the initial Cerebrolysin treatment was applied to only 1 early time point (4 hours after injury) in the rats after mTBI. Results of our previous study also indicated that delayed treatment (24 hours after injury) improves functional recovery in rats after mTBI.60 Thus, results of our 2 studies indicate that early and delayed Cerebrolysin treatment is effective in rats after mTBI. TBI is a complex and chronic disease. Additional studies are warranted to assess the efficacy of early (within 4 hours) and late (beyond 24 hours) treatment with Cerebrolysin after mTBI.

It is important also to investigate the efficacy of Cerebrolysin in models of repeat mTBI. Increasing evidence has shown that repeated mTBI exaggerates functional deficits and delays recovery.20,30,51,56 Repeat concussions often occur in contact sports.7 Physiological features, including arterial blood pressure and blood gases (pH, PO2, PCO2), are important parameters to be monitored during experiments. These parameters were not measured in this study. Previous studies found that these physiological parameters are within the reference range for rats subjected to mTBI induced by impact acceleration.18,41 In addition, patient data from clinical trials have shown that there were no differences in physiological parameters and extensive hematological profile measurements between patient groups with stroke31,41,26,34 and those with TBI treated with Cerebrolysin or vehicle. Preclinical data are consistent with the absence of an effect of Cerebrolysin on physiological and hematological parameters in patients (EVER Pharma GmbH, personal communication). Thus, it is likely that Cerebrolysin would not have affected the physiological parameters in the rats with mTBI used in our study. Nevertheless, it is important to include physiological monitoring in future studies.

Histological-functional correlations will strengthen the
case for the efficacy of Cerebrolysin and will also help to delineate key mechanistic details. Because of space restrictions and the extensive histological data generated from this study, this article focuses on the dose-response of Cerebrolysin on functional outcomes. We plan to report the results of our extensive histological analysis in another publication. In brief, our histology data (in a separate report) reveal that Cerebrolysin treatment—induced improvement of functional recovery was significantly associated with increased neurogenesis in the dentate gyrus and decreased axonal damage, diffuse activation of astrocytes, and amyloid precursor protein accumulation in the brain after mTBI. These findings, in concert with those from previous preclinical and clinical studies, strongly indicate that Cerebrolysin is a promising therapy for mTBI.

It would be interesting to determine if there was even an acute cognitive deficit after mTBI, although contemporary literature suggests that cognitive deficits appear days or months after mTBI. In our study, we did not perform other measures (i.e., cognition, social interaction, and NOR tests) immediately after the mTBI because the early treatment initiated 4 hours after injury prevented us from performing these tests. In addition, the effects of anesthesia and surgical wounds confound performance in these tests.

Clinical Translation

The majority of clinical trials of TBI treated with Cerebrolysin used different doses, in the range of 10–50 ml for 5–21 days (e.g., 10 ml for 30 days starting 1 month after severe TBI, 30 ml for 5 days starting 24 hours after mTBI, and 10–50 ml for 10 days starting 6 hours [acute] after TBI) and repeated with the same treatment 30 days after injury for another 10 days if necessary, in a recent clinical design for Cerebrolysin treatment of severe TBI. We adapted the scheme of 10–90 ml for 10 days for our preclinical experiment to recapitulate RCTs, the gold standard for clinical trials, and facilitate the comparison with human data. The 0.8-, 2.5-, and 7.5-ml/kg intraperitoneal Cerebrolysin doses that were selected correspond to equivalent intravenous doses of 10, 30, and 90 ml, respectively, in a 70- to 75-kg human. Based on the observed dose-response, there was statistically significant separation of the 3 effective doses; higher doses produced better effects than the lower dose. However, we found no significant difference between the 2 higher doses. It is tempting to speculate that in humans, increased dosing would probably further improve neurological outcome and speed of recovery, which would help patients with mTBI return to their normal activities, and might facilitate early mobilization and early rehabilitation after severe TBI. Even the highest dose of 7.5 ml/kg (an approximately 90-ml human dose) was well tolerated and did not result in any negative effect on mortality or animal health. Cerebrolysin was well tolerated, and no systemic pattern of toxicity was observed in patients with stroke even at a dose of 50 ml/day for 21 days. It is important to note that the tests that are used in animal studies focus on sensorimotor skills and cognitive functions of the animals, whereas some tests in humans also incorporate more complex functions, such as speech and language abilities, drawing, verbal fluency, abstract thinking, and mental manipulation. Therefore, it is important not to overestimate the effect sizes in animal studies with respect to expectations in human trials. The results of a meta-analysis indicated that Cerebrolysin produces favorable results for the Glasgow Outcome Scale score and improvement of cognition in patients with TBI, although the numbers of subjects included in those studies were relatively small. In a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomized study, patients with mTBI treated with Cerebrolysin within 24 hours of injury at a dose of 30 ml/day for 5 days had enhanced cognitive recovery 3 months after injury. It should be noted that patients with mTBI suffered intracranial congestion hemorrhage, and the number of patients was small (15 for placebo and 17 for Cerebrolysin) in that trial. Additional double-blinded, randomized, multicenter clinical trials using Cerebrolysin with a larger number of patients are warranted.

Outlook

The results of our study show that Cerebrolysin significantly improves neurological outcomes in rats with mTBI in a dose-dependent manner when treatment is initiated 4 hours after injury. Using the same prospective, randomized, blinded, placebo-controlled protocol, the dose-response effects of Cerebrolysin on functional outcomes were also shown in a rat model of stroke. Furthermore, our previous study found that delayed treatment with Cerebrolysin (2.5 ml/kg, starting 24 hours after injury and then daily for 28 days) improves cognitive function at least up to 3 months in a rat model of mTBI. Collectively, these findings suggest that Cerebrolysin has the potential to treat acute and subacute brain injuries. Clinically, pathophysiological heterogeneity of patients with TBI can arise from the primary injury (location, nature, and severity of injury) and preexisting conditions, including but not restricted to age, health, sex, medication, alcohol and drug use, and genetics, conditions that might also significantly affect the efficacy and safety of the treatment. Therefore, wide variability exists in the type of pathology and severity of injury in the clinical situation. Animal models of TBI produce a relatively homogeneous type of injury, with age, sex, genetic background, and injury parameters well controlled. However, none of the single-animal models perfectly mirror the complex conditions of TBI seen in human patients. This distinction might partially account for differences in TBI pathophysiology and therapeutic treatments between animal models and TBI clinical trials. Therefore, it will be important to further determine the efficacy of Cerebrolysin in multiple animal models of TBI and in both sexes and to include comorbidities and aged animals in rat models of TBI for our preclinical study of Cerebrolysin efficacy and safety.
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