Meta-analysis of the surgical outcomes of symptomatic moyamoya disease in adults
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OBJECTIVE The purpose of this study was to evaluate treatment outcomes of future stroke prevention, perioperative complications, and angiographic revascularization in adults with symptomatic moyamoya disease (MMD) according to treatment modalities and surgical techniques.

METHODS A systemic literature review was performed based on searches of the PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Central databases. A fixed-effects model was used in cases of heterogeneity less than 50%. Publication bias was determined by Begg’s funnel plot, Egger’s test of the intercept, and the Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test.

RESULTS Eleven articles were included in the meta-analysis. Bypass surgery significantly decreased the future stroke events compared with conservative treatments in adult MMD (odds ratio [OR] 0.301, p < 0.001). Direct bypass showed better future stroke prevention than indirect bypass (OR 0.494, p = 0.028). There was no meaningful difference in perioperative complications between direct and indirect bypass (OR 0.665, p = 0.176). Direct bypass was associated with better angiographic outcomes than indirect bypass (OR 6.832, p < 0.001).

CONCLUSIONS Bypass surgery can be effective in preventing future stroke events in adults with MMD. Direct bypass seems to provide better risk reduction with respect to stroke than indirect bypass in these patients.

https://thejns.org/doi/abs/10.3171/2016.11.JNS161688
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Moyamoya disease (MMD) refers to an abnor-
mal progressive steno-occlusive disorder of un-
known etiologies at the distal internal carotid
artery (ICA) or proximal middle cerebral artery (MCA)
with abnormal collateral vessel formation.34 A current
treatment concept is to prevent cerebral ischemia by aug-
menting cerebral blood flow in patients with ischemic
presentation and to prevent intracranial hemorrhage by
decreasing hemodynamic stress in patients with a hem-
orrhagic presentation.28 MMD treatment outcomes have
been increasingly published; but heterogeneity among
studies with respect to such variables as treatment mod-
alities, surgical techniques, and patient age as well as
limited sample sizes could present concerns in interpre-
ting the results. In particular, MMD prevalence shows a
bimodal age pattern,21 with different clinical manifesta-
tions and treatment policies; pediatric patients with MMD
mainly present with ischemic symptoms.20,31 The efficac-
y of direct bypass is not significantly different from the effi-
cacy of indirect bypass in the pediatric population.3 Adult
MMD patients experience more hemorrhagic stroke
than pediatric MMD patients.35 Regarding treatment of
adult MMD, no definite recommendation can be given,
although surgical benefits of direct bypass have been
increasingly reported.2,18,27 MMD shows approximately
a 2:1 female predominance,21 although female predomi-
nance has been found to be more pronounced in Europe
(4.25:1)21 and the US (5:1).10 Kleinloog et al.20 reported that
the incidence of MMD was higher in Japan (0.35–0.94
per 100,000 patient-years) than in the US (0.05–0.17 per
100,000 patient-years). For adult patients, the frequency
of hemorrhagic presentation is also higher in Asia than
in the US.18,21

We conducted a meta-analysis to evaluate treatment outcomes of future stroke events, perioperative complications, and angiographic revascularization in symptomatic adult patients with MMD according to treatment modalities (surgical revascularization vs conservative treatments) and surgical techniques (direct and combined bypass vs indirect bypass).
Methods

Literature Search

We searched Medline through PubMed, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials in the Cochrane Library using the key words “moyamoya disease,” “surgical treatment,” “superficial temporal artery-middle cerebral artery (STA-MCA) anastomosis,” “indirect bypass surgery,” “combined surgery,” “encephaloduroarteriosynangiosis (EDAS),” “encephaloduroarteriosynangiosis (EDAMS),” “conservative treatment,” “STA-MCA bypass with EDAMS,” “secondary stroke,” “peri-operative complications,” and “angiographic outcomes.” All papers published between January 1990 and May 2016 were included.

Selection Criteria and Data Abstraction

Our criteria for including papers in this study were: 1) adult MMD patients older than 16 years;27 2) symptomatic patients who presented with ischemic or hemorrhagic insults, transient ischemic attack (TIA), or seizure; and 3) randomized controlled studies or prospective controlled or retrospective case-controlled studies that had a quality score greater than 5 on the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS).30,32 The exclusion criteria were: 1) incomplete data, 2) review articles or case reports, 3) study not written in English, and 4) secondary ICA stenosis or occlusion due to atherosclerosis, trauma, or radiation.30 Two authors (J.P.J. and J.E.K.) independently evaluated the eligibility of the studies and extracted the data using a standardized form. Disagreements between these 2 authors were resolved by discussion and consultation with a third author (C.W.O.). The primary end point was future strokes, including hemorrhagic and ischemic events, after intervention during the follow-up intervals. The secondary end points included perioperative complications and angiographic outcomes. Perioperative complications included hemorrhage or ischemic insults, seizure, hyperperfusion syndrome, wound problems, permanent neurological deficits, and death within 30 days after the procedure.17,30 For surgical methods, patients who underwent direct or combined revascularization were defined as the direct bypass group. Angiographic outcomes after revascularization were classified into 2 groups—good (angiographic opacification over one-third of MCA territory) and poor (under one-third)—based on the previous literature.25 This study was approved by the institutional review board of Seoul National University Hospital. The meta-analysis was performed according to the PRISMA guidelines.

Statistical Analysis

Mantel-Haenszel odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are presented for dichotomous variables. Heterogeneity was evaluated by using the I² test. If I² was less than 50%, a fixed-effects model was used.30 Publication bias was determined using Begg’s funnel plot, Egger’s test of the intercept, and the Begg and Mazumdar rank correlation test.1,2 Comprehensive meta-analysis software (CMA v2.2.064; Biostat) was used for all the above, with statistical significance indicated at p < 0.05.

Results

Identification of Relevant Studies

Figure 1 displays a flow diagram of the detailed search process. After record screening and determination of eligibility, 11 articles were included (Table 1 and Supplemental Table 1). Among the 11 studies, 6 compared future stroke events in adult MMD patients between surgical and conservative treatment6,11,19,23,27,29 and the remaining 5 investigated the difference in future stroke events between adult MMD patients who underwent direct bypass surgery and those who underwent indirect bypass surgery.2,12,16,23,28

Efficacy of Bypass Surgery in Preventing Future Strokes in Adult MMD

A total of 771 patients from 6 studies were included in this analysis (Fig. 2A). Among them, 531 patients underwent 586 bypass procedures and 240 received conservative treatment. Overall, bypass surgery significantly decreased the risk of future stroke events compared with conservative treatments in adult patients with MMD (OR 0.301, 95% CI 0.196–0.462, p < 0.001). For adults with hemorrhagic presentation, 18 stroke events were noted in the bypass group and 21 in the conservative group; for these patients also, bypass surgery decreased the stroke events significantly compared with conservative treatment (OR 0.240, 95% CI 0.059–0.987, p = 0.048; Fig. 2B). For adults with ischemic presentation, 35 stroke events were noted in the bypass group and 24 in the conservative group; these patients also, bypass surgery decreased the stroke events significantly compared with conservative treatment (OR 0.319, 95% CI 0.150–0.678, p = 0.003; Fig. 2B). For adults with ischemic presentation, 35 stroke events were noted in the bypass group and 24 in the conservative group; these patients also, bypass surgery decreased the stroke events significantly compared with conservative treatment (OR 0.240, 95% CI 0.059–0.987, p = 0.048; Fig. 2C). In the publication bias analysis for comparison between surgical and conservative treatments, Egger’s regression test showed an intercept of −0.80 (95% CI −5.30 to 3.70, t = 0.50, df 4, and 2-tailed p = 0.65). For the rank correlation test, Kendall’s tau was 0 with 2-tailed p = 1.00. Accordingly, there was no evidence of publication bias in this comparison (Fig. 3).

Comparison of Efficacy of Direct and Indirect Bypass in Adult MMD

A total of 341 patients and 441 surgical procedures (271 direct bypass procedures and 170 indirect bypass procedures) from 5 studies were included in the analysis of efficacy with respect to lowering the risk of future stroke events. There were 21 stroke events in the direct bypass group, resulting in a rate of 7.7% calculated on the basis of procedures (21 per 271 procedures). In comparison, there were 28 stroke events in the indirect bypass group, resulting in a rate of 16.5% (28 per 170 procedures). Direct bypass was associated with a significantly lower risk of future stroke events (OR 0.494, 95% CI 0.264–0.927, p = 0.028; Fig. 4A). A total of 221 patients and 299 surgical procedures (182 direct bypass procedures and 117 indirect bypass procedures) from 4 studies were included in the analysis of perioperative complications. There were 55 perioperative complications in the direct bypass group, resulting in a rate of 30.2% (55 per 182 procedures). In comparison, there were 22 perioperative complications in the indirect bypass group, resulting in a rate of 18.8% (22...
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There was no significant difference between the 2 groups (OR 0.665, 95% CI 0.369–1.201, \( p = 0.176 \); Fig. 4B). A total of 218 patients with 267 surgical procedures (144 direct bypass procedures and 123 indirect bypass procedures) from 3 studies were included in the analysis of angiographic outcomes. After surgical revascularization, there were 129 good angiographic outcomes in the direct bypass group, resulting in a rate of 89.6% (129 per 144 procedures). In comparison, there were 65 good angiographic outcomes in the indirect bypass group, resulting in a rate of 52.8% (65 per 123 procedures). Good angiographic outcomes were more pronounced in patients who underwent direct bypass (OR 6.832, 95% CI 3.512–13.287, \( p < 0.001 \); Fig. 4C). In the publication bias analysis for future stroke events according to surgical procedures, Egger’s regression test showed an intercept of 0.20 (95% CI, -4.50 to 4.89, \( t = 0.13 \), df 3, and 2-tailed \( p = 0.90 \)). For the rank correlation test, Kendall’s tau was 0.10 with 2-tailed \( p = 0.81 \). Accordingly, there was no evidence of publication bias in this comparison (Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

In light of the differences in brain plasticity, clinical characteristics, and treatment outcomes between adult and pediatric patients with MMD,14,22,24 a previous systemic review15 and meta-analysis30 could not fully reflect treatment outcomes in adult MMD. Up to 40% of adult MMD patients presented with hemorrhage, with a reported disease progression rate of 17.4%.13,18,31 The postoperative stroke rate after combined bypass surgery has been found to be significantly higher in adult patients with MMD (7.9% per surgery) than in pediatric patients with MMD (1.7%).15 Our meta-analysis revealed that bypass surgery significantly decreases future stroke events compared with conservative treatments in adult MMD (OR 0.301, \( p < 0.001 \)).

Miyamoto et al.27 compared surgical benefits of direct bypass to conservative treatment in adult MMD patients with hemorrhagic presentation in terms of primary end points (recurrent hemorrhage, completed stroke, or crescendo TIA) and secondary end points (recurrent hemorrhage, associated death, or severe morbidity). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis disclosed better outcomes for direct bypass with respect to primary and secondary end points (primary, 3.2% per year for bypass vs 8.2% per year for conservative treatment, \( p = 0.048 \); and secondary, 2.7% per year vs 7.6% per year, respectively, \( p = 0.042 \)). Kim et al.19 investigated the efficacy of direct or combined bypass surgery for adult MMD patients with ischemic presentation. The annual stroke rates were 1.6% and 1.9% in the bypass and conservative treatment groups, respectively. The 10-year stroke rate was significantly lower in the bypass group than in the conservative treatment group (9.4% vs 19.6%, respectively, \( p = 0.041 \)). Lee et al.25 evaluated recurrent stroke in symptomatic adult MMD patients according to clinical presentation and treatment modalities. For patients with hemorrhagic MMD, surgery in the form of mixed direct or indirect bypass was associated with a lower recurrent stroke rate than conservative treatment (6 [13.6%] of 44 in the surgery group vs 4 [44.4%] of 9 in the conservative group). For patients who also had ischemic presentation, the surgery group had a lower rate of recurrent stroke than the conservative treatment group (17

**TABLE 1. Summary of clinical data from the studies included in this meta-analysis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors &amp; Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Ethnicity (no. of pts)</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>NOS Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hallemeier et al., 2006</td>
<td>United States</td>
<td>Caucasian (23), African American (10), Asian (1)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery, conservative</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohue et al., 2008</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asian (17)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Han et al., 2011</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Caucasian (39)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al., 2012</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian (142)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery, conservative</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bang et al., 2012</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian (65)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2012</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian (96)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al., 2012</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian (43)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyama et al., 2013</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asian (30)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery, conservative</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al., 2013</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian (44)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery, conservative</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyamoto et al., 2014</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Asian (80)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al., 2016</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Asian (441)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>Surgery, conservative</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOS = Newcastle-Ottawa Scale; pts = patients.
[16.5%] of 103 in the surgery group vs 6 [66.7%] of 9 in the conservative treatment group). In contrast, Qian et al. did not find a significant difference in recurrent stroke prevention between surgical and conservative treatment in MMD patients with an ischemic presentation (OR 0.45, 95% CI 0.15–1.29, p = 0.14). However, their conclusion was based on a heterogeneous population with respect to age (including pediatric and adult MMD patients). Regarding adults, our meta-analysis revealed a benefit for surgery (in comparison with conservative treatment) with respect to future stroke prevention for patients with a hemorrhagic presentation (OR 0.319, p = 0.003) and for those with an ischemic presentation (OR 0.240, p = 0.048).

The difference in surgical efficacy between the direct and indirect bypass techniques remains controversial in the treatment of symptomatic adult MMD, although treatment outcomes have been increasingly reported. In a systematic review, Fung et al. found that there was no significant difference between these 2 techniques with respect to symptom improvement. A recent meta-analysis showed that indirect bypass had a lower efficacy with respect to prevention of recurrent stroke (OR 1.79, 95% CI 1.14–2.82, p = 0.01) in a mixed adult and pediatric population. Direct bypass is a technically challenging and time-consuming procedure. Accordingly, it is thought to entail a higher risk of complications than indirect bypass. Cho et al. reported that combined bypass surgery was associated with a 0.4% annual symptomatic postoperative hemorrhage rate and

![Funnel plot for publication bias in future stroke events in total adult MMD patients.](image)

![Forest plots for future stroke events in all adult MMD patients included in the studies (A), future stroke events in MMD patients with hemorrhage presentation (B), and future stroke events in MMD patients with ischemic presentation (C). MH = Mantel-Haenszel.](image)
a 0.2% annual postoperative infarction rate in adults. A systematic review showed that the difference between the 2 surgical techniques with respect to postoperative stroke rate did not reach significance (7.6% for direct or combined bypass vs 5.1% for indirect bypass). In the study, postoperative stroke was defined as cerebral infarction, intracerebral hemorrhage, subarachnoid hemorrhage, or intraventricular hemorrhage that developed during or within 4 weeks after the procedure. Sun et al. evaluated surgical efficacy of direct, indirect, and combined bypass in adult MMD. They concluded that direct bypass provides better prevention of long-term ischemia than indirect bypass (OR 0.51, 95% CI 0.33–0.77) or combined bypass (OR 0.47, 95% CI 0.31–0.72). Regarding treatment outcomes, direct or combined bypass showed better long-term favorable outcomes than indirect bypass. However, the authors analyzed treatment outcomes irrespective of MMD symp-
toms. In this meta-analysis, we investigated complications, including ischemic or hemorrhagic insults, seizure, hypoperfusion syndrome, wound problems, and perioperative death in symptomatic MMD patients. Perioperative complications were noted more frequently in the direct bypass group (55 [30.2%] of 182 cases) than in the indirect bypass group (22 [18.8%] of 117 cases, p = 0.176), although the difference did not reach statistical significance. One reason for the lack of statistical significance may be the relatively high complication rate in the indirect bypass group reported by Kim et al. Nevertheless, the results of our meta-analysis must be interpreted with caution, particularly due to the small number of studies that we were able to include.

Compared with indirect bypass, direct bypass yields good revascularization. Kazumata et al. reported that excellent revascularization on postoperative angiography (angiographic opacification over two-thirds of MCA territory) was more pronounced after direct bypass (57.5% in direct bypass vs 29.4% in indirect bypass, p < 0.05). For combined bypass surgery, the relative revascularization area (RA), defined as (RA/supratentorial area) × 100, increased from 44.2% in the short-term period (approximately 6 months) to 54.8% in the long-term period (approximately 5 years). In the present meta-analysis, good angiographic outcomes were noted more often after direct bypass (129 [89.6%] of 144 cases) than after indirect bypass (65 [52.8%] of 123 cases, p < 0.001).

Recently, several systematic reviews and meta-analyses of treatment outcomes in MMD patients have been reported. Qian et al. evaluated the efficacy of surgery in preventing secondary strokes in symptomatic MMD patients. They reported that bypass surgery significantly reduced the risk of secondary stroke (OR 0.17, p < 0.01) compared with conservative treatment. According to Kazumata et al., the postoperative stroke rate for direct/combined bypass surgery was comparable to that for indirect bypass surgery. However, the results of these 2 studies were derived from a heterogeneous population of adult and pediatric MMD patients. Due to the differences in clinical manifestations and surgical preferences according to age, surgical treatment outcomes could be better defined in a homogeneous population. Pediatric MMD mainly presents with ischemic symptoms. In contrast, adults with MMD experience more hemorrhagic events. In addition, the benefit of direct bypass has been increasingly reported. Accordingly, we included only symptomatic adult MMD patients in our assessment of treatment outcomes in the present meta-analysis. Sun et al. reported perioperative complications and long-term outcomes for adult MMD patients who underwent bypass surgery. However, these authors included the results obtained by Mesiwala et al. in their analysis. The mean age of Mesiwala and colleagues’ patients (n = 39) at diagnosis was 34 years (range 10–55 years). Moreover, we could not confirm the exact number of events. Therefore, the results obtained by Mesiwala et al. were excluded from our analysis. Kim et al. reported a meta-analysis of bypass surgery in adult MMD patients. They concluded that direct or combined bypass provides better angiographic revascularization in adult MMD patients with symptomatic or hemodynamic instability. However, they reached this conclusion based on analysis that included patients with moyamoya syndrome and asymptomatic MMD patients. In addition, stroke timing was not fully described. Compared with the study by Kim et al., we provided detailed information on future stroke events according to the patients’ presentation. Moreover, we included studies that were published more recently. Accordingly, the results of our study appear to differ from those of previous meta-analyses.

Study Limitations
There are some limitations in this investigation. First, all but one of the studies included in this analysis were retrospective. Second, 9 of the 11 studies were conducted in Asia, and rates of hemorrhagic presentation are likely to differ by region, as shown by Kleinloog et al. who reported regional differences in hemorrhage presentation rates in MMD patients (China, 56%; Japan, 21%; and Hawaii, 29%). Third, inter-institutional differences, such as diagnostic steps, surgical techniques, and preferences, could be limitations to a definitive conclusion. In this study, we only included studies with a quality score greater than 5 on the NOS (Supplemental Table 1). In addition, there was no evidence of publication bias. Nevertheless, the results of the meta-analysis should be interpreted with caution due to the level of heterogeneity and the fact that only 1 of the 11 studies included was prospective. Randomized controlled studies including more detailed data on repetitive stroke and perioperative complications according to treatment modalities and homogeneous diagnostic decision in adult MMD will be required.

Conclusions
Bypass surgery can be effective in preventing future stroke in adult patients with MMD. Direct bypass seems to provide better risk reduction of stroke than indirect bypass in these patients. Randomized, controlled, multicenter studies are needed to elucidate treatment outcomes in adult MMD.
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