FLAIR signal increase of the fluid within the resection cavity after glioma surgery: generally valid as early recurrence marker?
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OBJECTIVE Recent studies have indicated that a signal intensity increase of the fluid within the resection cavity on FLAIR images may predict tumor recurrence after glioma surgery. The aim of this study was to assess the increase in FLAIR signal intensity in a large patient cohort and in subgroups to assess its prognostic value for early tumor recurrence in glioma patients.

METHODS A total of 212 patients (213 cases) who had undergone surgery for an intracranial glioma (WHO Grade IV \( n = 103 \), WHO Grade III \( n = 57 \), and WHO Grade II \( n = 53 \)) were included in this retrospective study. FLAIR signal within the resection cavity at the time of tumor recurrence/last contact and on the previous MRI study was assessed qualitatively and quantitatively. Appearance of FLAIR signal increase was studied over time using Kaplan-Meier estimates in subgroups.

RESULTS Patients with WHO Grade II glioma and connection of the resection cavity to CSF who did not undergo radiotherapy did not regularly develop this sign and were excluded from further analysis. For the remaining 87 cases, FLAIR signal intensity increase was observed in 27 cases. Recurrent disease was found in 26 of these 27 cases, resulting in a specificity of 80.0%, a sensitivity of 31.7%, and positive and negative predictive values of 96.3% and 6.7%, respectively. In 4 cases this sign had been observed prior (range 2.8–8.5 months) to tumor recurrence defined by standard criteria. Quantitative analysis underlined the results of qualitative analysis, but it did not add a diagnostic value.

CONCLUSIONS Signal intensity increase of the fluid within the resection cavity on FLAIR images is a rare but highly specific and early sign for tumor recurrence/tumor progression in completely and incompletely resected high-grade glioma without connection of the resection cavity to CSF and with radiotherapy.
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relatively small in these studies, no analysis of subgroups regarding patients with or without previous radiotherapy, with complete and incomplete tumor resection, and different WHO grades was performed.\textsuperscript{3,16}

The aim of this study was to assess the prognostic value of signal increase on FLAIR sequences of the fluid within the resection cavity in a large patient cohort for tumor recurrence in intracranial glioma and to address the above-mentioned subgroups.

**Methods**

**Patient Population**

This retrospective noninterventional single-center study was approved by the local ethics committee in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.\textsuperscript{9}

A total of 299 consecutive patients who had undergone 300 surgeries for an intracranial glioma (recurrent or primary disease) between January 2008 and October 2015 were analyzed. All patients included in this analysis had undergone early postoperative MRI (<72 hours after surgery). To avoid bias due to blood and postoperative fluid, analysis was not performed on early postoperative MRI (<72 hours after surgery), and only patients with at least 2 MR images obtained after the early postoperative MRI study were included.

Patients with missing follow-up information (n = 35), diameter of the resection cavity smaller than the intended region of interest (ROI) size due to shrinking of the resection cavity after surgery (n = 39), infection in the resection cavity (n = 2), or solid recurrent tumor filling the entire resection cavity (n = 1) were excluded. Patients who were lost to follow-up and did not reach the study end point (recurrent disease or death, n = 8) were also excluded. Therefore, 213 patients who had undergone 213 surgeries were analyzed. In 1 patient, 2 different resection cavities (right and left hemispheres) were analyzed and assessed as 2 different cases. Surgery was performed with the aim of maximum tumor resection while preserving eloquent areas such as language and motor function. Extent of resection was assessed on the early postoperative MRI; complete resection was defined as total resection of the contrast-enhancing tumor part in high-grade glioma or total resection of the FLAIR hyperintense tumor in low-grade glioma. Histopathological evaluation was done based on the 2007 WHO criteria for CNS tumors.\textsuperscript{19} Date of surgery, date of MRI at recurrent disease/last contact, and date of death as well as date of the previous MRI and date of FLAIR signal intensity change were recorded. Radiotherapy was assessed during the observation period. We defined tumor progression/tumor recurrence as death as study end points. Tumor progression was defined according to the RANO criteria in an interdisciplinary consensus (neuroradiology, neurosurgery, neuroradiology, and nuclear medicine).\textsuperscript{3,35} The date of recurrent disease was defined as the date of change in further treatment (e.g., radiotherapy, surgery, or chemotherapy). In unclear cases, advanced imaging methods (including \textit{O}-(2-[\textit{18}F]fluoroethyl)-l-tyrosine–PET (FET-PET)) were used for diagnosis of tumor progression or tumor recurrence.\textsuperscript{3} Patients who died during the observation period without imaging showing recurrent disease were classified as having tumor recurrence.

**Magnetic Resonance Imaging**

MRI was performed using Achieva (n = 111) or Ingenia (n = 8) (Philips Medical Systems); Verio (n = 77), Avanto (n = 5), Espree (n = 3), or Aera (n = 5) (Siemens Healthcare); or other MR scanners (n = 4). For MRI performed using Achieva MR scanners, axial T2-weighted FLAIR images (acquisition time 3 minutes, TR 12,000 msec, TE 140 msec, 0.45 × 0.45 × 4 mm spatial resolution) or T2-weighted 3D FLAIR images (acquisition time 4 minutes and 52 seconds, TR 4800 msec, TE 278 msec, 1.04 × 1.04 × 1.12 mm spatial resolution) as well as pre- and postcontrast axial T1-weighted fast field echo images (acquisition time 2 minutes and 53 seconds, TR 530 msec, TE 10 msec, 0.45 × 0.45 × 4 mm spatial resolution) or pre- and postgadolinium magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRage) images (acquisition time 5 minutes and 55 seconds, TR 9 msec, TE 4 msec, 1 mm\textsuperscript{3} isotropic spatial resolution) were acquired. For sequences obtained using the Verio MR scanner, axial T2-weighted FLAIR images (acquisition time 3 minutes and 44 seconds, TR 8560 msec, TE 136 msec, 0.8 × 0.7 × 4 mm spatial resolution) or 3D FLAIR (acquisition time 5 minutes and 52 seconds, TR 5000 msec, TE 395 msec, 1 mm\textsuperscript{3} isotropic spatial resolution) and axial T1-weighted images pre- and postgadolinium (T1 inversion recovery, time of inversion 860 msec, acquisition time 4 minutes and 2 seconds, TR 2000 msec, TE 9 msec, 0.9 × 0.7 × 4 mm spatial resolution) or MPRage (acquisition time 4 minutes and 18 seconds, TR 1900 msec, TE 2.45 msec, 1.1 × 1.1 × 1 mm spatial resolution) were assessed. The contrast agent (Magnografit or Magnevist) was administered intravenously (0.2 ml/kg, 0.5–1 ml/sec), using an MR-compatible contrast medium injection system (Spectris Solaris EP, Siemens Medical). Axial and coronal T2-weighted FLAIR images were acquired in 117 cases and 3D FLAIR images in 96 cases.

**Image Analysis**

MR image evaluation was done by a neuroradiologist with 6 years of experience (S.B.). Signal intensity of the fluid within the resection cavity on FLAIR images was assessed at the date of recurrent disease/last MRI and was classified as having a normal or elevated signal intensity compared with CSF. Change in signal intensity (classified as no change, increase, or decrease) was determined based on comparisons with previous MRI studies. An open connection versus no connection of the resection cavity to CSF was assessed. The date of signal intensity change was recorded, and the times from surgery to signal intensity change and from change to recurrent disease were calculated.

Quantitative analysis was performed in a manner similar to a previously described ROI method.\textsuperscript{36} ROIs with a size of 5–10 mm were drawn 1) in the resection cavity, 2) in the CSF, and 3) in extracranial space to avoid background noise (Fig. 1). Only fluids and no solid components
in the resection cavity were measured. Ratios of ROIs 1/2 and 1/3 were calculated. ROIs were also measured on the MR image before signal intensity change or on the previous MR image in cases with no change. Differences in signal intensity were calculated for ROIs measured in the resection cavity (1) and for ratios 1/2 and 1/3 and then again were classified as no change/increase or decrease.

To assess interrater reliability a second reader (T.H., 3 years of experience) performed qualitative and quantitative analyses, Cohen’s kappa was calculated for agreement of qualitative analysis, and the intraclass correlation coefficient was calculated for agreement of quantitative analysis. In cases of discrepancy, a third reader (T.B., 12 years of experience) decided.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis including descriptive data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (version 23.0, IBM Corp.). Nonnormally distributed data are shown as the median (interquartile range [IQR]). The Mann-Whitney U-test was performed between the 2 groups (recurring or no recurrent disease). Occurrence of FLAIR signal increase over time and the time from FLAIR signal increase to tumor recurrence were studied over time using Kaplan-Meier estimates.

Specificity, sensitivity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for FLAIR signal increase and tumor recurrence/tumor recurrence on the next MRI after a FLAIR signal change was noted. A p value < 0.05 was defined as significant.

Results

Patient Population and Tumor Characteristics

The study population consisted of 213 cases (125 males and 88 females, mean age at time of surgery 49.2 ± 14.9 years; initial diagnosis: n = 150) with the diagnosis of an intracranial glioma and previous surgery (Table 1). Of these 213 cases, 132 exhibited complete tumor resection (complete resection of the contrast-enhancing tumor part in high-grade gliomas and complete resection of the FLAIR-hyperintense tumor part in low-grade gliomas), and 81 showed incomplete resection. Histopathological analysis revealed the diagnosis of 103 glioblastomas (WHO Grade IV), 57 anaplastic gliomas (WHO Grade III), and 53 low-grade gliomas (WHO Grade II). Radiotherapy was used in 150 of the 213 cases during the observation period.

A total of 169 of 213 cases presented with recurrent disease during follow-up; patients in 96 cases died during the observation period. The median observation period was 22.0 months for all patients, 15.9 months for glioblastoma patients (WHO Grade IV), 26.8 months for patients with anaplastic gliomas (WHO Grade III), and 36.4 months for low-grade glioma patients (WHO Grade II). Recurrent disease was proven histopathologically in 107 of 169 cases, by MRI according to the RANO criteria in 35 cases, or by additional advanced imaging methods (including FET-PET) in 23 cases, and in 4 cases by death during the observation period without imaging of recurrent disease.

Qualitative Analysis

Seventy-three (34.3%) cases showed high FLAIR signal (defined as higher FLAIR signal in the resection cavity than CSF) within the resection cavity, whereas 46 of 213 cases (21.6%) showed a change in signal intensity. Increase in FLAIR signal intensity was shown in 32 of 213 (15.0%) cases, and decrease was seen in 14 (6.6%) cases.

### TABLE 1. Baseline patient and tumor characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age in yrs</td>
<td>49.2 ± 14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>88/213 (41.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopathology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma (WHO IV)</td>
<td>103/213 (48.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic glioma (WHO III)</td>
<td>57/213 (26.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>36/57 (63.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>18/57 (31.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>3/57 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-grade glioma (WHO II)</td>
<td>53/213 (24.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytoma</td>
<td>37/53 (69.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>9/53 (17.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>6/53 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PXA</td>
<td>1/53 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent disease during FU</td>
<td>169/213 (79.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connection resection cavity to CSF</td>
<td>93/213 (43.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>150/213 (70.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FU = follow-up; PXA = pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma. Values are presented as the number of patients (%) unless indicated otherwise. The mean value represents the mean ± SD.
For analysis of all patients, FLAIR signal increase in the fluid within the resection cavity showed a sensitivity and specificity of 18.3% and 97.7%, respectively, and a PPV and NPV of 96.9% and 23.8%, respectively (Table 2). Interrater reliability revealed high concordance between the 2 readers (Cohen’s kappa: 94.1%; asymptomatic standardized error = 0.41).

First, we studied the occurrence of FLAIR signal intensity increase over time in all patients, patients with different WHO grades, with previous complete or incomplete resection, with or without radiotherapy, and with or without connection of the resection cavity to CSF (Fig. 2). Significant differences were observed between different WHO grades (p < 0.001); patients with higher WHO grades developed this sign significantly earlier and more often. We also observed significant differences for patients with or without connection of the resection cavity to CSF (p < 0.001) and for patients with or without irradiation.

**TABLE 2. Qualitative assessment of signal intensity of the fluid within the resection cavity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>All Cases (n = 213)</th>
<th>Subgroup Analysis (n = 87)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FLAIR hyperintensity</td>
<td>73/213 (34.3%)</td>
<td>63/87 (72.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Change in signal intensity</td>
<td>46/213 (21.6%)</td>
<td>39/87 (44.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase</td>
<td>32/213 (15.0%)</td>
<td>27/87 (31.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decrease</td>
<td>14/213 (6.6%)</td>
<td>12/87 (13.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent disease</td>
<td>169/213 (79.3%)</td>
<td>82/85 (96.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase/recurrent disease</td>
<td>31/169 (18.3%)</td>
<td>26/82 (31.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase/no recurrent disease</td>
<td>1/44 (2.3%)</td>
<td>1/5 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity of signal increase</td>
<td>97.7%</td>
<td>80.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity of signal increase</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>31.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>96.9%</td>
<td>96.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Subgroup analysis of patients with WHO Grade III and IV gliomas, no connection of the resection cavity to CSF, and radiotherapy during the observation period.

**FIG. 2.** Kaplan-Meier graphs for time of surgery to FLAIR signal intensity increase within the resection cavity for patients with different WHO grades (A), with/without connection of the resection cavity to CSF (B), complete/incomplete tumor resection (C), and with/without radiotherapy (D).
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during the observation period (p < 0.001). We therefore ended up excluding WHO Grade II patients (n = 53), patients with connection of the resection cavity to CSF (n = 93), and patients who did not receive radiotherapy (WHO Grade II = 41, WHO Grade III = 18, WHO Grade IV = 4 [63 total]) from further analysis, as these patients do not typically develop this sign. No significant differences were observed between patients with previous complete or incomplete tumor resection (p = 0.869).

A second analysis for the remaining 87 patients (WHO Grade III or IV, no connection of the resection cavity to CSF, and radiotherapy during the observation period) was performed for FLAIR signal increase as the starting point and tumor recurrence by standard criteria as the end point (Fig. 3). This analysis shows that signal increase develops in most patients at the same time as tumor recurrence by standard criteria. Only 5 patients developed this sign earlier (range 2.8–8.5 months) (Fig. 4); 4 of these patients exhibited recurrent disease by standard criteria at the next MRI session and 1 patient developed no tumor recurrence at the next MRI session. According to our criteria, this latter patient was therefore classified as having no tumor recurrence and was censored in the Kaplan-Meier graph. In this analysis, no significant differences were observed between different WHO grades (p = 0.585) and complete/incomplete tumor resection (p = 0.066).

Eighty-two of the 87 remaining cases (94.3%) presented with recurrent disease. Twenty-six of these 82 cases (31.7%) with recurrent disease showed FLAIR signal increase (Fig. 5). FLAIR signal increase was only observed in 1 patient without recurrent disease, resulting in a specificity of 80.0% and a sensitivity of 31.7%, and a PPV and NPV of 96.3% and 6.7%, respectively, of FLAIR signal increase.

Quantitative Analysis

The intraclass correlation coefficient revealed an excellent agreement of both readers (0.974). Quantitative analysis was performed for the remaining 87 cases. Quantitative assessment of signal intensity of the fluid within the resection cavity showed higher values in cases with recurrent disease than in cases without recurrent disease (median 368.9 [IQR 109.3–744.5] vs 80.0 [IQR 43.0–142.0]) but missed statistical significance (p = 0.069) (Table 3 and Fig. 6). Similar results are shown for the ratios ROI 1/2 and ROI 1/3 and are presented in Supplemental Table 1.

Quantitative assessment of FLAIR signal increase underlined the results of the qualitative analysis but did not improve its diagnostic accuracy (sensitivity 62.2%, specificity 20.0%, PPV 92.7%, and NPV 3.2%).

Discussion

Signal intensity increase of the fluid within the resection cavity on FLAIR sequences can help to predict early tumor recurrence and tumor progression in patients with partially and completely resected gliomas with a high specificity and a high PPV. This sign occurred mainly in high-grade gliomas without connection of the resection cavity to CSF that had undergone radiotherapy and should therefore be applied in this glioma subgroup. Observation of this specific sign may implicate shortening of surveillance intervals in glioma patients to detect tumor progression or tumor recurrence in a timely manner.

A previous study showed that FLAIR signal increase of the fluid within the resection cavity is a highly specific and also early sign for tumor recurrence in partially resected and irradiated gliomas. However, this study did not include patients with completely resected glioma or without previous radiotherapy and did not differ between WHO grades. The aim of this study was to validate these findings of FLAIR signal increase in a larger patient cohort and to further include patients with nonirradiated and completely resected gliomas WHO Grades II–IV.

In this study we also observed a high specificity of FLAIR signal increase for tumor recurrence/tumor progression, in some cases (n = 4) with a range of 2.8–8.5 months before tumor diagnosis by standard criteria. Most cases showed FLAIR signal increase at the time of diagnosis of tumor recurrence/tumor progression by standard criteria. This raises the question of the added value of this sign in these cases. In some cases it might support the di-

FIG. 3. Kaplan-Meier graphs for time of FLAIR signal intensity increase within the resection cavity to tumor recurrence/progression by standard criteria in all remaining patients (n = 87; A), different WHO grades (B), and complete/incomplete tumor resection (C).
agnosis of tumor recurrence if there is a lack of clarity between progression and pseudoprogression. Even if the sign is only observed rarely prior to standard recurrence criteria, in these cases it might add a diagnostic value, especially if it is included in the routine imaging protocol.

Winterstein et al. postulated that signal intensity increase occurs due to an encapsulation of the resection cavity by tumor cells, leading to a disturbance of CSF exchange and therefore a higher protein concentration. This hypothesis is supported by the finding in our study that signal intensity increase occurs almost exclusively in cases without connection of the resection cavity to the CSF. Therefore, we hypothesize that in cases with an open connection to the CSF, mixture of CSF and fluid of the resection cavity occurs, leading to a washout of the high protein concentration. These findings also suggest that the sign of signal intensity increase should only be used in cases without connection of the resection cavity to the CSF to increase sensitivity.

Subgroup analysis of gliomas with different WHO grades showed that FLAIR signal increase is observed mainly in high-grade glioma (WHO Grades III and IV).

This finding supports the hypothesis that the failure of the CSF exchange caused by tumor cells is the possible pathomechanism. We hypothesize that tumor cell prolif-

eration is higher in WHO Grade IV tumors, leading to a higher tumor cell concentration and therefore a higher disturbance of CSF exchange. Studies with analysis of the composition of the fluid in the resection cavity will have to be performed to understand the pathophysiological mechanisms of the increase in signal intensity.

In the previous study all patients received prior radiotherapy, and it was discussed whether radiation could influence the signal intensity. Thus, in this study we analyzed cases with and without previous radiotherapy separately. We observed that FLAIR signal increase is mainly observed in patients who received radiotherapy during the observation period. However, this effect might be due to the high number of low-grade gliomas without radiotherapy (n = 41) that do not regularly develop this sign and might therefore introduce a bias. Further studies including only high-grade gliomas with or without radiotherapy should be performed to answer this scientific issue.

In this study we included partially and completely resected gliomas and showed that this method could also be used for gliomas that have previously been completely resected. Gliomas are infiltrating tumors with indistinct borders, and tumor margins are hard to define. The aim of neurosurgical resection is resection of the contrast-enhancing tumor part in high-grade gliomas with preservation.
of eloquent areas, as it is associated with improved prognosis.\(^\text{10,13,25,26}\) However, as the borders are poorly defined, it has to be assumed that there might be residual tumor cells despite complete resection of the contrast-enhancing tumor part. That supports the findings that FLAIR signal increase is also observed in patients who had undergone complete resection.

**Hemorrhage within the resection cavity resulting in signal intensity increase was discussed in the previous study, but it was not observed in the cases with recurrent disease.\(^\text{36}\) In our study we did not observe cases of bleeding within the resection cavity, which can cause an increase in FLAIR signal. Bleeding is described as a rare complication of intracranial glioma.\(^\text{2,15}\) Studies have shown that signal increase in FLAIR sequences is observed in bleeding and in cases of infarction due to vasogenic edema and a disrupted blood-brain barrier.\(^\text{12,21}\) Both bleeding and postoperative infarction are observed after glioma surgery, resulting in a FLAIR signal increase in the resection cavity.\(^\text{6–8}\) Therefore, the evaluation of FLAIR signal on the image obtained immediately postoperatively is not reliable and was excluded in this study. Only an increase in FLAIR signal at follow-up might predict tumor recurrence with high specificity. To avoid misinterpretation of bleeding, consideration of T1-weighted sequences without contrast agent is important.\(^\text{18}\)

Infection within the resection cavity was observed in 2 cases, and these cases were excluded from analysis. It is known that an intracranial abscess exhibits an increase in FLAIR signal intensity due to a higher protein concentration.\(^\text{20}\) Therefore—in addition to bleeding—infection could be another shortcoming of this method. In our study, none of the patients included in the study population with FLAIR signal intensity increase showed any signs of infection. However, in these rare cases, other MRI sequences such as T1-weighted images with and without contrast agent and diffusion-weighted imaging as well as clinical parameters could help determine the correct diagnosis.\(^\text{23}\)

Quantitative analysis confirmed the results of the qualitative analysis but did not add a further diagnostic value. Therefore, qualitative analysis might be sufficient in the clinical routine. Both qualitative and quantitative analyses showed high interrater reliability, supporting practicability.

Sensitivity of this method is low in comparison with other methods that are used for detection of tumor recurrence, such as perfusion-weighted imaging and PET imaging.
aging.\textsuperscript{4,27,29} However, one must consider that FLAIR sequences are included in the standard imaging protocol in glioma patients, and no additional MRI time or even radiation exposure is necessary for this method. Due to the high specificity of FLAIR signal increase for tumor recurrence/tumor progression, observation of this sign should imply adjustment of patients’ surveillance and shortening of monitoring intervals. However, as this sign is infrequently seen, exclusion of tumor progression is not possible.

The main limitation of this study is its retrospective design. Gliomas are a heterogeneous group of tumors. Except for the analyzed subgroups of partially/ completely resected and irradiated/nonirradiated gliomas, we did not assess other factors that influence tumor biology of gliomas, such as molecular markers or histopathological subtypes. These factors might have an impact on signal intensity in gliomas and should be addressed in further studies. Another limitation might arise due to the fact that quantitative and qualitative analysis of FLAIR signal intensity was performed on MR scanners with different sequence parameters. To reduce this bias, ratios of FLAIR signal intensity of the resection cavity to the CSF were calculated as described before in a previous study.\textsuperscript{36}

Conclusions

An increase in FLAIR signal intensity of the fluid within the resection cavity of gliomas is a highly specific and early sign for tumor recurrence/tumor progression and can easily be used in the clinical routine. As this sign is mainly observed in patients with high-grade gliomas (WHO III and IV), radiotherapy, and without connection of the resection cavity to CSF, its use should be limited to this subgroup.

No differences were observed for gliomas with previous complete or incomplete tumor resection. Observation of FLAIR signal increase should dictate shortening of surveillance intervals to timely detect tumor progression or tumor recurrence. The possible pathomechanism is disturbance of CSF exchange due to an encapsulation of the resection cavity by tumor cells, which is supported by the findings that it mainly occurs in cases without connection of the resection cavity to CSF. Further studies need to be performed to confirm this hypothesis.
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